

Appendix 16: Extended Consultation Summaries (Part 2)

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-499

What is your name? - Name

Jenny Brow

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Ainscough Strategic Land

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Turley

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Overall agreement with Core policy 35 but the strategy distribution promoted falls short of 39 homes, and additional allocations at Bicester and Banbury will generate more transport pressures; the LPR is not yet supported by transport modelling. Their assessment concludes that the deliverable supply is no higher than 4.1 years and suggests a higher distribution of housing should be allocated to the rural areas.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Overall agreement with the objectives listed within core policy 86 but that core policy 85 provides a blanket provision of 500 dwellings across rural areas not specifying where this will go in terms of the settlement hierarchy.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land to the East of Barford Road, Bloxham for 115 homes.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Housing development at the site promoted will make a positive contribution to the districts sustainable growth

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-500

What is your name? - Name

Josh Plant

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Gladman Developments

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The council should align with the Environment Bill for a mandatory 10% BNG not higher and where this cannot be delivered on site the council should seek to work with developers to identify suitable and available sufficient local credit to meet this.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The council needs to carefully consider if a greater level of housing growth could be supported over the plan period. Welcomes the commitment to accommodate 32.8% of Oxford City's unmet need.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

General support but suggests that a greater proportion of the housing need should be directed to rural areas.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land off Tadmarton Road, Bloxham

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Concerns that the whole plan viability assessment does not robustly justify the policy positions within Regulation 18 version so this must be updated. Notes that core policies 3-7 have not been adequately tested

Summary comments of all questions

Core policy 38 does not provide a strategy to meet the needs of older people over the plan period and the council should allocate specific sites to meet those needs.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-501

What is your name? - Name

David Bainbridge

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Savills

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Hallam Land Management

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Support consideration of extending the plan period to at least 2042.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

Would welcome the use of more digital tools to assist involvement and suggests a list of plan policies after the contents with hyperlinks to each policy. It is very lengthy and could benefit from a reduction in size.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

There should be a comprehensive proposal to replace all existing policies with the new local plan to ensure a comprehensive plan.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Support for the strategy but objects to the omission of housing sites at villages in the draft local plan.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

They agree with the decision to not use the standard method and welcomes the joint HENA. Nevertheless, The 25,860 should be stated as a minimum.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The proposed 500 new homes in the rural areas is insufficient and does not make sufficient provision.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Objection due to the type of development at the upper levels of the hierarchy being restrictive, as development will only be permitted on allocated sites which is overly restrictive for larger villages.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Parts are ambiguous e.g. what constitutes 'exceptional circumstances'.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Object to the strategy as it is not justified and would fail to deliver some strategic objectives.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land East of Adderbury.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

It should be explicit within the plan that it includes a contribution to Oxford City's unmet need.

Summary comments of all questions

Requesting consideration of the CIL timetable alongside the local plan to ensure spatial strategy and distribution is fully considered in the CIL charging schedule preparation. Supports CP1 but objects to CP's 2-5 as they are ambiguous and regarding net-ze

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-502
What is your name? - Name
Christopher Roberts
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Boyer Planning
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Wates Developments
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Reasonable to extend the plan period to 2042, but the housing requirement must extend to reflect this also.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
CDC should carefully review any retained polcies against existing allocations.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Supports the focus on the climate emergency and the creation of new commuities at sustainable locations.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
In agreement that new developments should be walkable and inclusive to create sustainable places, however these policies should be effective and feasible.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The provision of housing and employment should be weighted towards key settlement and the plan is consistent with this.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

BNG should deliver at least 10% but recognise more than this may not be possible in all areas.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Support for employment growth in and around the larger settlements.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

In support of the joint HENA and agrees the move away from using the standard method. Notes that scenarios 2 and 3 are the most robust assessments.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The local plan does not address the levels of affordable housing identified in the evidence base. Welcomes the proposal for Cherwell to provide 33% of Oxford City's unmet need. Oxford's unmet need is likely to have been underreported and recommends applying a 5% buffer to the identified figure, if the plan period was increased the housing requirement would need to be rolled forward.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Opposes to Chesterton being classified as a smaller village. It should have been defined as a larger village instead due to its existing services and accessibility into Bicester.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

In agreement with the overall spatial strategy. Additional bullet points addressing the proposed greenfield allocation are required.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Supports proposed allocation of Land at Chesterton.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Support for proposed employment on land East of M40 J9 and South of Green Lane.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Supportive of CP71

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

On CP73 that the emerging playing pitch strategy identifies shortfalls of provision to meet football pitch need.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land south of Green Lane, Chesterton for 150 homes.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CP1 in support of this, development should be focused around the largest most sustainable settlements e.g. Banbury and Bicester. CP2 should be ambitious but requires further viability testing. CP3 should be more flexible as it may not be possible for all

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-503

What is your name? - Name

Alex Munro

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Armstrong Rigg Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Manor Oak Homes

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Value extending the plan period to 2045.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Priority should be achieving affordability and balanced growth in the right places to deliver the number of homes required to provide sufficient choice to buyers.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

There is not enough growth in Banbury considering its role at the districts largest and most sustainable settlement and there is too much proposed development in Bicester in comparison.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

BNG at 10% is mandatory for a reason so a 20% would need to be justified to demonstrate local habitat plights are more desperate than the general national permission.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

In support to uplift the annual housing requirement and supports scenario 4.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

There is an imbalance of planned growth between Banbury and locations at the South and there is little justification to confirm why this distribution is appropriate.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supports inclusion of Banbury at the top of the hierarchy.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

There should be no need to 'trade off' affordable housing provision against other requirements, an increase in the annual planned housing requirement would begin achieving this.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Lacks ambition and restricts needed growth here.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Lack of aspirations for growth at Banbury and there should be more development.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

LPR62 Land North of Hanwell Fields, Banbury for 400 dwellings.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

The Moors Green Belt release is unjustified.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-504

What is your name? - Name

David Bainbridge

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Savills

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Richborough and Lone Star Land

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Questions whether it would be considered to bring the regulation 19 consultation forward to bring formal submission before Jan 2025. In support of considering extension to at least 2042.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

Would be beneficial to use more digital tools e.g. listing planning policies after the contents with hyperlinks. Considers the plan lengthy and could benefit from a reduction in size.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Request a comprehensive proposal to replace all existing policies with the new local plan.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Support for joint HENA commissioning but the 25,860 homes should be stated as a minimum and there should be acknowledgment that Oxford City's unmet needs are unconfirmed.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Not appropriate as the focus for development is at Bicester and does not properly account for Heyford Park as a sustainable growing settlement.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Comments in support, particularly the identification of Heyford Park as a local service centre.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Parts of the policy are unclear and may need rewording.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

CP37 and CP38 are unclear and ambiguous

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Comments in support of the vision for Heyford Park and the overall spatial strategy. It is not justified to seek additional development later in the plan period at land south of Heyford Park.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Notes agreement that Heyford Park is identified as a local service centre.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Need for flexibility in terms of the location for safeguarded land.

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

Support for the site development template for LPR42A but this lists key constraints which have already been addressed.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Welcomes recognition of the need to monitor measure and review but does not deem CP87 to be sufficient as it does not define measurable triggers or deliverable actions. Notes absence of explanation of the process and timing proposed for review of the emer

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Requests consideration of the implications of the timetable for CIL and the draft local plan, considering the draft plan is fully considered in preparation of the CIL charging schedule.

Summary comments of all questions

Support for CP1 but not CP2-5 - they are ambiguous and the net-zero policy goes past current government policy which has not yet been justified. Questions why DP1 is in the middle of core policies. Considers CP24 not being justified under NPPF as the polic

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-505

What is your name? - Name

Charles Ross

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Any development considered should be greenfield as the very last resort, noting the available brownfield land at Graven Hill.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to further housing at Bicester questioning if there is a need for more housing due to the amount recently experienced and the lack of facilities to support.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-506

What is your name? - Name

Peter Twemlow

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

DP9 Limited

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Value Retail Management (Bicester Village) Limited

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

High support for the principles of creating a highly sustainable, connected, flexible economy which supports growth.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Supports reducing a dependency on cars as long as the relevant investment in public transport comes forward in parallel.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The strategy is supported and aligned with Value Retail's aspirations.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Supports the continued expansion of tourism development.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Support for the continued positive expansion of retail uses in Bicester, suggests CP32 could benefit from explicitly recognising the existing retail offer at Bicester village.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Strong support for the overall strategy, in particular the recognition for the need of sustainable growth focussed around public transport projects.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Support for aspirations to deliver transport infrastructure to improve connectivity.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Support in principle for providing new green connections through corridors in/around Bicester but would appreciate understanding which areas of land are being considered for safeguarding to ensure it does not compromise future plans.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-507

What is your name? - Name

Benjamin Hillier

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bure Park FC

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

It is a good timescale but there are major shortfalls which need addressing in the next 5 yrs.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

There needs to be a greater focus on sport/recreational facilities.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Questions where the latest playing pitch strategy is.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Not enough clear objectives around sport and recreation facility development.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

More space for sports and recreation facilities.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

More technology companies.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

More realistic affordable housing for first time buyers.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes.

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Needs more sports facilities.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

The aspirations are good.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Not without consideration of appropriate infrastructure first.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Yes, there is a massive shortfall in suitable sports facilities in Bicester.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Make business rates more affordable.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Good idea as long as the rent is affordable.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

There is a shortfall in suitable sport facilities.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Questions where the playing pitch strategy document is.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-508

What is your name? - Name

Michael Lowndes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Lichfields UK

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

North Oxford Golf Course (PR6b) Landowner Group.

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

The proposed retention of PR6b is welcomed and supported. The landowner is interested in bringing forward the land for development at the earliest opportunity.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

It shares and supports the vision but The vision should explicitly recognise the role that adjoining urban area of Oxford plays in driving economic success in the district.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Overall support, suggests ammendments to SO10 so it is drafted in a way to recognise that Cherwell is also helping to meet Oxford's growing housing need.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Broadly in support for the spatial strategy including the emphasis on Kidlington's role in bringing forward new homes to meet Oxford's need.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Broad support for the HENA findings and welcomes the conclusion that there is a need above the minimum level from the standard method. Cautiously agrees that scenario 3 is appropriate but It should be clear that these figures are a minimum.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

The suggested policy suggests an inappropriately rigid split between social and affordable rented housing and that the form and tenure of affordable housing should be more flexible. Recommends that CP36 is adjusted to allow this.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Support for the aspirations that the Kidlington area will deliver 4,400 homes to help Oxford's unmet need.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

PR6b can provide further opportunities to help meet the housing need through intensification of development to result in additional housing at the site.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Comments in support of the delivery of infrastructure to improve the choice and attractiveness of active and public transport options.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

It is important that the timetables for Cil and the Local Plan are properly coordinated and subject to public consultation. Broad support for CP1-5 but Concern than CP2,3,4,5 are poorly drafted and ambiguous. Any carbon offsetting scheme should be carefully devised, evidenced and subject to public consultation.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-509

What is your name? - Name

Mr Alan Lodwick

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Kidlington Development Watch

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Kidlington Development Watch

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Concern about the increase in proposed land allocated for the expansion of Begbroke Science Park. If this theme continues across the county, there could be an over-provision of employment land.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The HENA exaggerates the housing need resulting in issues achieving a 5yr land supply. The council should adopt a lower housing requirement based on the standard method.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

There is confusion between 'Kidlington' and 'Kidlington area' meaning there is very little housing being provided in Kidlington itself. Notes the partial review sites are to meet Oxford's need but this makes an 'artificial distinction' as housing cannot be reserved for Oxford, so this is unrealistic. Hence, there is no justification for the further 900 homes proposed.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Stratfield Brake and requests reconsideration for Bury Moor Fields and St Mary's Conservation Area Green Space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Enough homes are being provided through the partial review sites. Supports improvement of public transport and safer cycle/pedestrian routes, however, need council to acknowledge the need for car movements.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Land behind The Moors and land SouthEast of Woodstock should not be explored further for potential housing allocation. Objects to the Moors due to it being in the Green Belt and due to the recreational and biodiversity value it has.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Begbroke Science park site has proposed an increase in floor space from 46,000 swm to 155,000 sqm and suggests this should be taken into account due to a substantial increase in employment. Questions if there is going to be a demand for such a large amount

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Objection to the development whilst it was in the Green Belt, but as it is partially developed whether or not it is in the green belt does not matter.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

Welcome the projects proposed in the Green and Blue infrastructure and promotes a reference to the Green ring promoted by KPC.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Supports core policy 44 that Green Belt boundaries will be retained and requests that there are no more revisions to the boundaries. Kidlington infill housing- policy to control redevelopment to apartments requires a policy or design guide to address iss

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-510

What is your name? - Name

Grant Haly

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-511

What is your name? - Name

Paul Derry

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Santec UK Ltd

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Central Land Holdings

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

So as to provide a choice of well-designed market and affordable homes it would be appropriate to consider a range of locations.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

It should be recognised that sustainable location may be locations that do not fall within the appropriate category in the settlement hierarchy but are suitable due to facilities, services and public transport links.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

It is appropriate to avoid unplanned development in the countryside, but only if sufficient land is allocated to avoid this. Site allocations in rural areas are more likely to come forward quickly with less complications.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

10% BNG should be a minimum but exceeding this should not be mandatory.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The proposed strategy is reliant on large sites at strategic locations but if there are any delays to delivery this would affect the ability to demonstrate a 5year supply.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

The council must strike a balance in its policies to ensure that sites are not made unviable by setting unrealistic policy targets.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Site allocation is an effective way to ensure housing trajectory is met, as well as providing opportunities for provision of local green space.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

It makes no reference to promotion of development on previously developed land so does not align with requirements of CP24.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

There is more scope for dwellings in rural areas than the plan implies. The settlement hierarchy should recognise that smaller settlements could benefit from some additional housing.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land at Sugarswell Lane, Sherington.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-512

What is your name? - Name

Alan Divall

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Walsingham Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

L&Q Estates

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

The proposed timetable is lengthy and should be condensed to ensure the plan is adopted as soon as possible.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

There should be a section listing the proposed policies in the plan and it would have been helpful for the mapping to use the same format as the adopted 2015 plan and 2020 partial review to compare sites and boundaries.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Emphasis on addressing climate change will require planning officers to be trained in how to assess new policies/projects regarding this. More detail needed on how any carbon offsetting fund will operate. There should be a viability assessment of the proposals and that conservation target areas were not identified and agreed in conjunction with the landowners. There has not been a transport assessment which should be a priority.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No, but a balance needs to be found. Notes the carbon fund proposed is unnecessary and that there is no justification presented.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Identification of the proposed employment sites is welcomed. The plan should be explicit about the need to have a containment strategy where provision of employment sites are alongside housing to reduce need for travel.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

They welcome the joint HENA. Notes the importance of demonstrating a 5 year supply, and that it is not clear if this is being delivered.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Comments in support, that Banbury should remain at the top of the hierarchy.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

It is unclear if assessment of the anticipated impact of the policy has been undertaken and that more housing is needed to enable a higher volume of affordable housing.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

A full viability assessment of the requirements is needed. Concern about CP87 regarding what the measurable triggers are to address identifying alternative deliverable sites if there is a shortfall in housing delivery.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Supports LPR52

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Wykham Park Farm, Banbury for 625 homes.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-513

What is your name? - Name

Matthew Morris

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Avison Young (UK) Limited

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

ALDI Stores Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

There is a disproportionate strategy for Bicester regarding employment compared to the other settlements in the district. Suggests that the entire of Bicester 4 allocation should not be included and should be amended to remove areas not part of the outli

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

The 12-month marketing period for existing employment sites being reassigned for alternative use should not be the sole test, and should be combined with other tests e.g. supply and demand and characteristics. Notes that CP26 should be redrafted to consid

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

In support of the policy but questions the justification for the policy to exclude foodstore uses.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Core policy 32 should not suggest that all retail development outside Bicester town centre should be resisted.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-514

What is your name? - Name

James McAllister-Jones

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Thakeham Homes Ltd

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Recommends the period is extended to at least 2042.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

The plan is simple and straightforward to follow and understand.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Supports that policies in the 1996 and 2015 plans are replaced to ensure terminology is in line with national guidance and policies are identifiable in a single document.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

In full support of the vision and to achieve this allocations should be made specifically for new sustainable communities.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

In support of the objectives.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

In support of district wide strategy to reduce emissions, raise design standards and improve the built environment. Agrees that housing and employment should be focused at Bicester and Banbury before less sustainable rural locations are considered.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Supports the 10% BNG; if increases beyond this are considered this would need to be reviewed regarding the impacts on viability of development. Notes habitat creation e.g. swift bricks do not add to BNG calculation, but are important- so should be recognised.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

The current approach will not achieve the requirements in the HENA for employment hence, more land should be identified for employment.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

The approach is appropriate due to the existing transport connectivity and access to workforce which would not be possible if a remote site was identified.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Insufficient employment land has been identified, and promotes allocation at South Bicester for 6ha employment.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Welcomes ancillary uses to support existing employment and attract future businesses.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

There should be a policy to encourage flexibility for employment uses to support the rural economy. Welcomes approach to rural diversification.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Supports hierachy of town centres for new retail development.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The strategy has been based on the economic baseline position, which they do not believe is the correct approach and should be higher e.g. economic development-led approach.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Support focusing new development in the three main settlements but suggests a disproportionate amount has been allocated at Heyford Park, as a smaller settlement. Questions where the rural sites will be and recommends these are allocated.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

In support of the inclusion of a settlement hierachy and supports identification of Bicester and Banbury as the primary locations for growth. Recommends the hierachy is amended to reflect the current sizes of each settlement to reflect the demand for home

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

In support of the 30% requirement for affordable housing but notes the wording is unclear regarding first homes as it currently reads that first homes should be in addition to the 35% making a 55% affordable target which is unreasonable.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

There needs to be a balance in delivery of all housing tenures.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

In support of vision to support further growth at Banbury.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

In support of continuing to include sites previously allocated in the 2015 plan but recognises that there is a shortfall of 731 units from the target number in CP34 and that these cannot be delivered through windfall. Additional sites should be allocated

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Land west of Southam Road (Hanwell Rise) for 150 net zero carbon homes.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

CP64 suggests safeguarding land near Southam Road for M50 changes but there is no map showing the safeguarded area.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Supports aspiration to achieve high quality development whilst providing jobs and infrastructure.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No objection to the inclusion of these sites but there should be more allocations around Bicester due to unsustainability of locations at Heyford and Kidlington.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Land at south Bicester 2,850 net zero homes.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Supports identification of new employment land close to the road network and suggests there should be a variety of employment spaces around Bicester to accommodate existing and future opportunities.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Land at south Bicester.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No, but Thakeham's proposals at south Bicester includes delivery of the south-east link road, hence this allocation will deliver key infrastructure.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No, but Thakeham's proposals at south Bicester includes delivery of the south-east link road, hence this allocation will deliver key infrastructure.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Support enhancing green and blue infrastructure but more could be supported than currently proposed.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

No, in support of measures in CP74.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

In support of conservation-led proposals for RAF Bicester.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

In support of Kidlington being a local service centre. Any further allocations should be in accordance with the strategic aims of the plan.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Any new allocations should be in accordance with the plan principles and should be in sustainable locations with good access to infrastructure.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No, allocations identified will be sufficient housing for a local service settlement of Kidlington's scale.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Yes, and supports new employment space at Begbroke Science park.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Yes, but not in Kidlington, promotes land at South Bicester.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes, the boundary should be amended to reflect the edge of the existing settlement.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Including further employment land would result in encroachment into the Green Belt and closer to Woodstock, risking coalescence.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

In support of aspiration to improve public transport infrastructure but increasing service and shelter numbers does not make public transport a quicker or easier option. Promotes introducing bus lanes and bus priority junctions to reduce reliance on cars.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

No, other than priority bus routes.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No due to Green Belt constraints and the substantial number already allocated - any further development would adversely impact the landscape and cause a strain on infrastructure and services.

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

The scale of the development is not in-keeping with the aims of the plan and that there are more sustainable sites in the higher order settlements.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

No, it is a small settlement similar in size to many larger villages and should be classified as such.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Any development should only be considered with the previously developed land, but transport infrastructure should be in place to support this to ensure it is a sustainable location.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

The proposal discusses 'wider public transport improvements' but does not suggest how this will be funded, delivered and if bus companies want to support this approach.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No new development should occur until all infrastructure works have been implemented. No new residential development should be focused here until new employment has been identified.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No.

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No, as there are no site specific allocations in the local plan which is making us reliant on neighbourhood plans coming forward.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Welcome the commitment to continued annual monitoring but notes the language for contingency measures are not definitive enough, there needs to be a more decisive in identifying alternative deliverable sites.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Promotes land at South Bicester.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-515

What is your name? - Name

Louise Steele

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Framptons Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Hallam Land

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Support for retaining Banbury 4 but CP34 identifies a housing need of 25,860 which allocations fall 39 houses short of.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The strategy is unambitious and should refer to additional growth not 'limited additional growth' at Banbury.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The 10% is consistent with the Environment Act 2021 but The 20% is not justified and should be removed.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Supportive of a trend-based approach but any shortfall should be seen as a minimum as it is calculated against an untested housing target. The economic led scenario is overly optimistic of the present economic conditions.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

In overall agreement. Housing should be directed to the edges of the main towns but more sites should be allocated.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

In support, particularly Banbury as a focus for development and It is consstent with national policy.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Housing growth will drive housing prices down and allocating more sites will allow for the delivery of affordable housing.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

The tenure and type of affordable homes should be determined on a site by site basis.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

More housing should be allocated in Banbury.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Sites should be additional not alternative, promoted land adjacent to Bankside Phase 2 Banbury for 260 dwellings.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Support CP40 but self-build and custom build should only be required on the site if there is a clear market need in the Banbury area. On CP1-4 that the council should follow national policy as differing policies slow down housebuilding. Comments in support

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-516

What is your name? - Name

Stephen Brett

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land is reviewed and considered over greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-517

What is your name? - Name

Alan Vincent

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Need to look at brownfield sites, and good quality apartment blocks should be provided.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-518

What is your name? - Name

Alice and Alan Bowmaker

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Need to reconsider using brownfield sites not greenfield to prevent erosion of countryside and ruin of rural roads.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-519

What is your name? - Name

Amanda Darley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes instead of greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LRP-C-520

What is your name? - Name

Ann Lesley Milroy

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield land should be prioritised at Heyford Park over greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-521

What is your name? - Name

Anne Facciol

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brownfield land at Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-522

What is your name? - Name

Anthea Unsworth

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objection to building on greenfield land when there is a large brownfield site (Heyford Park) available.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-523

What is your name? - Name

Katy Soar

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The ecotown principles need strengthening to ensure proper adherence with principles. Traffic infrastructure should match growth of homes and the housing numbers proposed are too high, as are the housing densities. Brownfield land, e.g. at Heyford Park, s

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-524

What is your name? - Name

Jane Olds

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Launton Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Launton Parish Council

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

No view.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

One of the best that has been done, but there are some typos and maps are poor quality. Notes the colour coding is useful.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The vision is aspirational rather than realistic.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

They make sense.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Directing development at the larger villages will threaten their character and deprives the smaller villages of becoming more sustainable.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Unable to answer without knowing what requirements would be sacrificed.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, but any identified land should be readily accessible to the local workforce with infrastructure and transport.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

It seems sensible but sites must be sustainably chosen.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

CP26 seems sensible.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

CP27 seems sensible.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

It is acceptable.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

It is a sensible approach.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

CP31 does not specify if all items on the list should apply or only some.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

It is sensible, but needs to be enforceable.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

The Dean's Court shopping area in Bicester town centre has not been included.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

Yes.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

They lack the expertise to comment here.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Concern about the 800 houses south east of Wretchwick Green which will come very close to Launton and will have a detrimental effect.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No.

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The village designations should be reviewed regularly and meet a specific set of criteria on services available. A larger village now may have no facilities in a few years. Requests fixed definitions on what constitutes each designation.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

It should not be less than 30%, and ideally more.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

This cannot be answered without knowing sacrifices being considered but do support maximising delivery of affordable housing.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

No comment

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Nothing in Launton.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

CP43 and CP45 are important for retaining the character of the villages and providing a buffer between settlements.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

It is aspirational and says the right things.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Sites 1 and 3 are unsustainable, site 1 should not be part of Bicester and site 3 will have to be carefully designed to avoid coalescence with Launton.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

No

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

They make more sense than the housing allocations.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Infrastructure for Sustrans Route 51 ends at bridge at Launton and should be improved.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Nothing specific.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

In reality people will want to continue driving to Bicester and reducing parking facilities will threaten Bicester's viability.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Proposed approach is laudable.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Objection to directing development solely to larger villages.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

They seem sensible subject to consultation being held with the 11 larger villages about the allocations for the 500 dwellings.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Not in Launton.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Additional consultation with the 11 larger villages should be a prerequisite to reg19.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

There is nothing stating the housing being phased over the life of the plan.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Maps are poor quality and difficult to read, and there should be an explanation comparing the timescales involved in categorising deliverable and developable sites. There is no Launton road in Launton, Pg276 definition of Launton is incorrect.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-525

What is your name? - Name

Bridget Lewis

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Greenfield should not be developed over brownfield sites e.g. Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-526

What is your name? - Name

Carole Cutcliffe

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brownfield at Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-527

What is your name? - Name

Clive Wilkinson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

New housing should be at brownfield e.g. Heyford Park not greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-528

What is your name? - Name

Daniel Rhodes and Jennifer de Beyer

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield sites e.g. Heyford Park should be developed first.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-529

What is your name? - Name

David and Beryl Greenwood

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield sites e.g. Heyford Park should be developed first and that road systems cannot cope with the increased traffic from new development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-530

What is your name? - Name

Chris Seal

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The ecotown principles need strengthening to ensure proper adherence with principles. Notes traffic infrastructure should match growth of homes and that the housing numbers proposed are too high, as are the housing densities. Brownfield land e.g. at Heyfo

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-531

What is your name? - Name

Derek Dudley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Request to stop expanding into green spaces and use brownfield sites e.g. Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-532

What is your name? - Name

Diana and Michael Hailey

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brownfield sites e.g. Heyford Park not greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-533

What is your name? - Name

Louise Steele

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Framptons Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Mr and Mrs Login

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Land to the rear of Uplands Cottage and Part Fewcott Farm and East of Station Road, Ardley.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-534

What is your name? - Name

Harriet Jenner

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-535

What is your name? - Name

Ian Thomas

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-536

What is your name? - Name

Izzy Rundle

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-537

What is your name? - Name

Keith Fenwick

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Pegasus Group

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Barwood Development Securities Ltd.

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

The plan should be extended to 2042 or 2045 but in doing so it will be necessary to ensure there are enough additional allocations to meet the increased requirement.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Proposing only 'limited additional growth' at Banbury fails to recognise the significance of Banbury for sustainable development in the district and is not justified by substantive evidence.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

In agreement to allocate most housing at Bicester and Banbury but notes there is a need for more allocations at Banbury and to meet Oxford's unmet need.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Notes support of the settlement hierarchy identifying Banbury and Bicester as main towns but allowing only limited growth at Banbury conflicts this.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Overall housing requirement to be increased, which would be allowed through providing additional allocations at Banbury.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

There should be more growth to reflect the settlement hierachy position.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Land at Bloxham Road, Banbury.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-538

What is your name? - Name

Jane Cartwright and Jean Sansum

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-539

What is your name? - Name

Jane and Paul Fisher

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-540

What is your name? - Name

Jean Goodberry

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-541

What is your name? - Name

Therese Grear

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The ecotown principles need strengthening to ensure proper adherence with principles. Notes traffic infrastructure should match growth of homes and that the housing numbers proposed are too high, as are the housing densities. Notes that brownfield land e.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-542

What is your name? - Name

Susie Stephen

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Stantec

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bicester Sports Association

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Promotes site off of Akeman Street, Chesterton for expansion of sports facilities and highlights its role in the district should be recognised in the local plan.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-543

What is your name? - Name

Jenny and David Evans

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-544

What is your name? - Name

Roger Smith

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Savills

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Vistry Group

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

The plan period should be extended to 2042.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Comments need for an amendment to wording of SO1 regarding decentralised energy. Supports focusing development at sustainable locations.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports the spatial strategy and focussing development at sustainable locations e.g. Bicester.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

20% BNG may affect viability of new development proposals so should be tested on this but in support of 10%.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Support for Bicester being identified as a main town.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Need an assessment of the plan viability to ensure it is deliverable. Questions what 'exceptional circumstances' are for new developments to not provide at least 30% affordable housing.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Support for the aspirations.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Land at Dymock's Farm, North-West Bicester as an allocation, and if not, a reserve site.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Supports the overarching themes of the local plan and support for the plan vision.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-545

What is your name? - Name

Rachel Faulkner

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Kidlington Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Kidlington Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Scenario 3 for the housing calculation is unconvincing and there are not strong enough reasons for not using standard method.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Oxford's needs should not be ring-fenced.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Not in agreement with the 30% requirement, and that this should be 50% at a minimum.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes, but not at the cost of sustainability.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Mostly in agreement, but the plan should be supported by a Kidlington masterplan.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No exceptional circumstances for the Green Belt rrevison proposed, and objection to The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Capacity for high-density mixed development in the village centre, major brownfield sites in the future may come forward. The Skoda Garage.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Agrees with the concentration of employment land along the Innovation corridor.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No objection.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

This should be addressed in the framework for the area.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

It is optimistic about the impending transport problems, more mitigation is needed. Improvements are needed to the bus service.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

Provision for a canalside path for pedestrians and cyclists from Kidlington into Oxford, separate from the existing towpath.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

More emphasis on the Green Ring around Kidlington. If the development at The Moors is withdrawn they request the LGS designation to be reassessed. There is a need for improvements on the Oxford Canal.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Welcomes regeneration of the village centre, requests more emphasis on development on the west of Oxford Road and more traffic calming measures. Does not want more shops in the village centre but a better planned and attractive mix.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

The village centre plan needs extending.

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

The back of shops and adjacent lane on the NW of the High Street needs to be upgraded with parking provision at the back of the shops.

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CP1 requires a 5x increase in solar generation but it is not clear what the base level is and how this will be measured. Questions the reasoning CP2 not including all non-residential development only that over 1000msquared. Requests that where significant

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-546

What is your name? - Name

John Clare

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-547

What is your name? - Name

John Dovey & Lesley Coleman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-548

What is your name? - Name

Dr Andrew Thomas

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Chesterton Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Chesterton Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objection to the spatial strategy as it subsumes Chesterton into Bicester. Instead it should be treated as a small village, which the proposed development LPR37a does not,; this will harm the identity, especially when there are brownfield sites available.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-549

What is your name? - Name

Kathryn Cusdin

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-550

What is your name? - Name

Laura Beir & Ronak Patel

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-551

What is your name? - Name

Cathy Fleet

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bucknell Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bucknell Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Challenges the need to extend NW Bicester due to lack of justification and intrusion into Bucknell. There should be highway improvements and introduce traffic calming

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-552

What is your name? - Name

Lee Flashman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review. Objects to The Moors.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-553

What is your name? - Name

Liz and John Darley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-554

What is your name? - Name

Paul Bell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The ecotown principles need strengthening to ensure proper adherence with principles. Notes traffic infrastructure should match growth of homes and that the housing numbers proposed are too high, as are the housing densities. Notes that brownfield land e.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-555

What is your name? - Name

Lauren Patel

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Santec UK Ltd

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

A2Dominion Developments Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Questions why the start date is 2020, suggests it should be 2023 and have a 20-year plan run from then.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Unclear if BSC1 and CP50 from the 2015 plan will be retained or replaced.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Support for the vision to 2040 and supports SO1 to achieve net-zero developments.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supportive, particularly LPR33.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Strong objection to CP12 regarding 20% BNG and that this should remain at 10% to prevent viability issues. Welcomes flexibility to provide BNG off site.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

The number of homes allocated at NW Bicester should be increased as it is capable of delivering more.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Questions what 'realignment of Howes Lane' means.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Support for CP1 but notes that net zero by 2030 will be challenging and adopting government targets may be more realistic. CP2-4 are overly technical for a public document and should be simplified to allow for public understanding. Questions how in CP24 I

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-556

What is your name? - Name

Liz and Graham Wilkes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-557

What is your name? - Name

Marina and David Brounger

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-558

What is your name? - Name

Martin and Elsie Clist

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-559

What is your name? - Name

Mary Walker

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-560

What is your name? - Name

Matt Robinson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-561

What is your name? - Name

Alan Divall

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Walsingham Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Nicholas King Homes

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Supports extending to 2042 or beyond and would welcome an evidence base on how this can be delivered.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

There should be a list of the proposed policies and it would have been useful if the maps used the same format as the 2015 plan and 2020 review to compare between the documents.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The strategy does not account for sustainable development in the districts villages. Further detail on the carbon offsetting fund is required and there needs to be a viability assessment of the proposals.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

No as there needs to be a balance found. Notes the carbon fund is unnecessary and should be deleted.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

In support of the proposed sites but there should be a containment strategy where there is employment sites provided alongside housing to reduce travel need. Notes that reserve sites should be highlighted in the plan.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Welcomes the joint HEENA with Oxford City. Unclear if a 5year supply is being delivered.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The 25,860 houses by 2040 should be stated as a minimum and that the figure is overly cautious - should be higher.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Cropredy should not be categorised as a smaller village and there is no reasoning provided for this change as the facilities have not changed.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Questions if a viability assessment has been undertaken. More housing is needed to enable more affordable housing.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

A viability assessment is needed. Requests that the spatial strategy is considered in preparing the CIL charging scheule.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Promotes land at The Old Dairy, off of school lane, Cropredy.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Concern about CP87 as it does not define measurable triggers which would lead to the council identifying alternative deliverable sites and how these would be decided.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-562

What is your name? - Name

Melissa Zuydam

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-563

What is your name? - Name

Alexia Lewis

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Horton cum Studley Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Horton cum Studley Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The draft vision, objectives and strategy give a reasonable approach but do not take into account current and projected economic growth; there is a continuing over assessment of housing needs, inadequate provision to achieve net carbon targets and there is still to be a reliance on private transport.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

On CP30, this is desirable but will cause negative consequences e.g. on road traffic.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

It assumes economic growth of 27% whereas the government assumes 5% so the housing requirement is inflated, in part by accepting Oxford City's unmet need.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The housing requirement is too high, puts pressure on the countryside and does not prioritise affordable housing. Questions how the 500 houses for rural areas will be distributed.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

It should be possible to identify green spaces without a neighbourhood plan being created.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Notes broad support for the strategy but questions how maintaining the green belt will be achieved. Driving growth in the towns goes against net zero targets as it increases the need for transport into the major towns.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Cherwell has no clear policy on solar farms and there should be one, and a requirement for all new builds (housing and commercial) to install solar panels.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-564

What is your name? - Name

Michael and Angela Morris

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-565

What is your name? - Name

Mr Tyrell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-566

What is your name? - Name

Nic Bell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-567

What is your name? - Name

James McAllister-Jones

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Thakeham Homes Ltd

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Notes the plan period should be extended to at least 2042.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

The plan is simple and straightforward to understand, no changes proposed.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Supports replacing the policies from the 1996 and 2015 plans, but all site specific policies should be replaced not retained.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Supportive of the vision, in particular the reference to the climate change targets.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Supportive of the objectives.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports the district wide strategy. Agrees that Bicester and Banbury should be the focus for new housing and employment and that further opportunities should be explored here before rural less sustainable locations are considered.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

In support of 10% BNG but if a higher % is considered this would need to be reviewed and assessed regarding the impacts on viability. Needs to recognise that some habitat creation e.g. swift bricks do not contribute to BNG but should be recognised.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes, as the current approach will not achieve the HENA requirements.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

This is appropriate but more should be allocated.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Insufficient employment land has been identified.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Welcomes ancillary uses.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Supports to ensure rural areas remain sustainable.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Supports hierachy of town centres for retail development.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Not in agreement with the current approach, and this should be higher, using the economic development-led approach.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

In support of focusing development in the main settlements but notes the development proposed at Heyford Park is disproportionate.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supportive of Bicester and Banbury being identified as primary locations for growth. Recommends the hierachy be amended to reflect the current sizes of each settlement.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Notes agreement for the 30% affordable housing but that it is unclear when referencing first homes and it should be clear this is a part of the 30%.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

There needs to be a balance of all tenures.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Supportive of further growth at Banbury.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Supports sites already allocated in the 2015 plan but notes there is a shortfall of 731 units in Banbury regarding CP34.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Land to the west of Southam Road (Hanwell Rise) for 150 homes.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Assumption that any safeguarding area for M40 improvements would be to the North of the M40.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Supportive of the aspirations.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No objection to the sites but There should be more allocated around Bicester.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Land at south Bicester for 2850 homes.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Support for the identification of new employment land close to existing road networks.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Land at South Bicester.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

No, development proposed at south Bicester would include delivery of the south-east link road.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

No, development proposed at south Bicester would include delivery of the south-east link road.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

In support of enhancing green and blue infrastructure but the local plan could support more than currently proposed.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

No, supportive of CP74.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Supports the conservation-led approach.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Supports its classification as a local service centre, but any further allocations should be in accordance with the plans strategic aims.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Any new allocations should be in accordance with the plan principles so are in sustainable locations.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No, the identified sites are sufficient.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

In support of Begbroke Science Park.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Yes but not in Kidlington.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes, it should reflect the edge of the settlement boundary.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

It would cause further encroachment into the Green Belt and risk coalescence with Woodstock.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

In support of improving public transport infrastructure but more needs to be done to make it a quicker and easier option.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No due to the constraints and the likely adverse impacts more development would have on the landscape and services.

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

The council should re-evaluate Heyford's position in the hierarchy.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Heyford Park should be classed as a larger village.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Any development should be on brownfield land and it should be ensured that there is sufficient transport infrastructure to make this a sustainable location.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Questions how public transport improvements will be funded and delivered.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

No new development should occur until all infrastructure works have been implemented. No new residential development should occur until new employment has been identified.

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

No.

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

No, as there are no specific allocations for rural areas in the local plan and these should be identified.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Welcomes the annual monitoring commitment but The language proposed for contingency measures is not definitive enough.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-568

What is your name? - Name

Nicola and Graham Westwood

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-569

What is your name? - Name

Pat Smith

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-570

What is your name? - Name

Patricia Edwards & Katie Schoen

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-571

What is your name? - Name

Paul and Sarah Bell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-572

What is your name? - Name

Philip England

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-573

What is your name? - Name

Rachel & Grayham Hammersley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-574

What is your name? - Name

Roger Shipway

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-575

What is your name? - Name

Ros Flatt

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review. Most larger villages are saturated with houses and is causing traffic issues.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-576

What is your name? - Name

Samuel Brooks & Cinthia Pina

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-577

What is your name? - Name

Sarah Dawes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the review. Lack of services at Milcombe.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-578

What is your name? - Name

Emma Andrews

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Savills

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Broughton Estate

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

There is an opportunity for the council to engage with the client to understand the needs of the Estate and ensure the plan positively plans for the future of Broughton Castle and park.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Support for the themes and objectives but recognises there can be competing priorities, e.g. in rural areas.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Development opportunities beyond those already identified e.g. in villages around Banbury.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

No objection to focusing new development in strategic sites but considers there is a need for greater recognition of the potential for rural areas around Banbury to support more economic growth.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

The policy should be updated to acknowledge the potential for rural tourism in the district to support the rural economy. Suggests changes to wording in the draft policy.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

There is additional capacity at Banbury and the immediate surrounding rural area to the west to deliver housing including rural areas.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

There is a need for more information on the basis of the settlement hierarchy and re-classification as there is scope to allow growth in villages which would benefit the community.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

The strategy conflicts with NPPF requirements to plan for future development needs. There is a need for a new settlement edge on the SW of Banbury to enable future housing needs to be met whilst also protecting the countryside. Would welcome the local pla

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Land known as 'The Bretch' at SW Banbury should be acknowledged for future residential development and this would provide green infrastructure links around Banbury.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supportive of the strategy but more consideration should be given to the viability of villages. Considers an assessment would result in an uplift of the 500 dwelling allocation suggested.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Welcomes the emphasis on smaller employment sites and the recognition of the need for diversification. Opportunities around Broughton Castle to create employment opportunities.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-579

What is your name? - Name

Ashley Maltman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Blenheim Strategic Partners

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Merton College, St Johns College and Blenheim Estate

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Proposes the removal of land at Loop Farm, Peartree from the Green Belt to provide a link road to improve connectivity between the A40, A44 and A34

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-580

What is your name? - Name

Ed Barrett

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Catesby Estates

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

The plan should be extended to 2041 as a minimum.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The vision should be amended to reference encouraging delivery of new housing in rural areas to support local services and sustain the communities viability.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports the spatial strategy for rural areas particularly directing new housing to the larger villages.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Concerned that the council is seeking to push energy efficiency standards of new homes past the Future Homes Standard and does not consider it necessary to adopt alternative methods.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Concerned that the HENA number is overly cautious and suggests a mid-point between CE Baseline and economic-development led scenario should be used. An additional 8,394 homes by 2040 should be identified.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

There should be a higher number of houses allocated to rural areas, a minimum of 750 homes.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supports Bloxham being identified as a 'larger village'.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Comments in support of the aspiration for rural areas, particularly directing new housing to the larger villages as this will deliver benefits for the rural areas.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Supports identifying new sites for residential development in the most sustainable villages, should include land north of Grove Road, Bloxham.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land north of Grove Road, Bloxham LPR-A-151 for 100 homes, promoted due to its sustainable location, being in flood zone 1, not in the Green Belt or AONB.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-581

What is your name? - Name

Jamie Roberts

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Tetlow King Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Rentplus UK Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

Well presented but recommends that the plan period (start and end dates) are on the front cover.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Welcomes recognition of So10 however notes these could go further in reaffirming importance of affordable housing and a separate objective should be prepared specifically relating to this.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The HENA assessment of affordable home ownership is simplistic and does not consider other barriers to home ownership e.g. deposit. Notes the third-scenario in the HENA results in a net need for 20 affordable home dwellings in Oxfordshire which is unreali

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

CP36 provides a flexible framework to bring forward a range of affordable tenures. The policy for First Homes appears to be incorrect and inconsistent with PPG and would result in more first homes than intended by national policy restricting the ability to deliver other tenure types.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Advocates a flexible approach here to ensure that the amount of affordable housing can be achieved without compromising the overall numbers of affordable housing.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-582

What is your name? - Name

Sarah Reay

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-583

What is your name? - Name

Mike Robinson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Oxford and Country Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Mr Charlie Lane Fox and Dr Adrian Reynard

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports the additional development committed at Bicester, promotes continuation of residential and employment development to reduce dependency on private cars.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Council should be encouraging further opportunities to deliver high quality high-tech employment.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Land south of the B4030.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Support focussing employment at Bicester.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The proposed allocation at Chesterton is flawed as it is too large and in the wrong location.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Support Bicester being the focus for additional development and continued improvement of the town centre. Keen to assist in improving and enhancing green infrastructure in the town.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Site South of Chesterton should be removed.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Land south of B4030 for a mixed-use development of 500 dwellings, a high-tech research campus and urban park.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Supports the recognition of Bicester to the local economy but promotes land south of B4030 to attract new graduates and high-tech workers to the town.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Land south of B4030.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Supports aim of establishing urban edge park on the outskirts of the town, the land promoted could deliver this.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-584

What is your name? - Name

Sarah Turnock

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Cherwell District Council should use Brownfield sites for development as a priority over converting more Greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-585

What is your name? - Name

Sharon Canning

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests stopping building on green field sites - Bicester is meant to be "healthy" town & "garden" town. Green spaces are crucial for mental & physical health. Questions if building continues on farmland then how food will be produced. There are numerous

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-586

What is your name? - Name

Shirley Cheeseman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

--

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

--

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

--

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

--

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

--

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

--

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

--

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

--

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used over greenfield and there is too much housing being built in Banbury without sufficient infrastructure. Maintaining road quality should be a priority.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-587

What is your name? - Name

Stephen and Rita Crowe

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Supports using brownfield land at Heyford Park, and villages are being swamped by infill and development causing congestion to worsen.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-588

What is your name? - Name

Susie Carrdus

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Brownfield should be prioritised above greenfield in government policy so questions why Heyford Park brownfield land is not being considered to remove pressure on the rural villages. Objection to development in the villages.

Summary comments of all questions

Sceptical about Dorchester Living hyping up whole communities by mass leafleting them. The company has a clear agenda, with its 210 unaffordable houses and 90 'affordable' houses. There may be excellent reasons for Cherwell choosing not to prioritise the

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-589

What is your name? - Name

Tim and Anita Moore

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-590

What is your name? - Name

Timothy Walker

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-591

What is your name? - Name

Toni and Samantha Milns

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The local roads simply cannot cope. They are busy and in a state of disrepair. A centre of sports excellence was refused for this reason and yet Heyford then took on new houses.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-592

What is your name? - Name

Michelle Quan

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Boyer Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Harrow Estates

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Supports saving the allocation SE Bicester as the site has outline planning permission and is available and deliverable within the plan period.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Supports the focus on sustainability and climate action but That it is important for the policies to be deliverable and achievable so as to not affect the viability of development.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

In greement that developments should deliver a minimum 10% BNG, but it should be clarified that these will only apply to matters submitted after the BNG takes effect. Prioritising BNG over 10% risks creating tension between infrastructure supply and should be limited to 10%.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Comments in support of saving allocation SE Bicester. It is unclear how the table in CP25 relates to existing allocations saved in the new LP.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

CP28 wording is unclear as to whether an 'allocated employment site' includes only those listed in CP25 or any site with employment land on it.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Support for the overall spatial strategy and supporting committed development in Bicester as well as the explicit inclusion of SE Bicester as a saved allocation.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Support for retaining SE Bicester.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

CP70 table on employment should also include SE Bicester as it contains 40ha of employment land.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

In CP71 there is a typo, it should state CP51 not CP5. There should not be a blanket requirement to seek contributions.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Supports CP1-4 but states that any emerging policies should not be applied to sites already with planning permission, this should be acknowledged in the supporting text. Supports CP21 but feels that the requirement for electric charging points in new deve

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-593
What is your name? - Name
Peter Canavan
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Carter Jonas
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Obsidian Strategic Asset Management Ltd
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Yes but it is difficult to follow and some policies are over detailed, the plan should be a strategic framework not a prescriptive list.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Broad support but would benefit from specificity regarding the more rural communities.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
14 objectives is a lot and there could be scope to rationalise some of them as there is overlap between some.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports the emphasis on climate change but the role building regulations play will need to be reflected on and any deviation from national requirements will need to be considered regarding viability.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The plan should not seek to encourage BNG %'s higher than the 10% in the environmental bill and the plan should reflect this to ensure benefits and viability.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Welcomes the joint working with Oxford City and welcomes the choice not to use the standard method. However, the requirement should be higher than that suggested. 500 dwellings for rural areas has limited evidence and the rural needs need to be better und

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

In absence of the HELAA it is difficult to comment but The distribution should include a range of site types and sizes. Notes that rural areas should not be overlooked e.g. Cropredy where there is an identified housing need.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Broad support but the wording is vague and the justification for settlement designations is lacking evidence. Questions Cropredy's demotion to a smaller village.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Generally supports policy seeking 30%, but as there is no evidence publishes it is hard to comment further. However, there should be a distinction between improving the affordability of market homes and the delivery of affordable homes and any policy for affordable homes should be viability tested. There needs to be sufficient homes supplied to meet the increasing demand.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No, developer contributions are required to mitigate the impact of development which concerns more than just providing affordable housing. Notes there is a need for a full viability assessment of the policy requirements.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Concern over arbitrary nature of the 500 dwellings figure, requesting that this should be reviewed.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land east of Claydon Road, Cropredy.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Key pieces of evidence missing e.g. strategic land availability, landscape, gypsy and travellers, flood risk and infrastructure.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

A viability assessment has not yet been published so comments cannot be made on whether the objectives and policies are deliverable. Concern about the lack of reference to cross-boundary matters.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-594

What is your name? - Name

Peter Johnson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Comments objection to The Moors due to the recreational benefits it provides.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-595

What is your name? - Name

Louise Steele

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Framptons Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Tritax Symmetry Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes, additional B8 floorspace should be allocated and expressed as a minimum.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

There is an application for B8 uses at J10 Ardley site.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

In agreement of Bicester being the focus for employment development but notes that where employment needs can be met elsewhere, this should be supported.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Preference for Symmetry Park, Ardley site being allocated, but flexibility for unallocated sites in CP27 is welcomed.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Symmetry Park, Ardley supporting information in rep.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Makes detailed On Economic need assessment (Sep2022), employment land availability study (Oct2022), and HENA in the rep.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Promotes Symmetry Park Ardley site for economic development, supporting information in the rep and notes that not enough employment land has been allocated. Suggests wording changes to CP1, requests clarity on CP5 what is meant by necessary development and

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-596

What is your name? - Name

Martina Sechi

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bidwells

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Oxford University Development

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Promotes a 1.3 hectare site west of the A4260 Banbury Road and the Oxford Spires Business Park for commercial or office space.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

The LP at Policy Kidlington 1 refers to a small scale review of the Green Belt to accommodate identified high value needs. The respondent has included a landscape and visual baseline and Green Belt review for Oxford Technology Park. It refers to the 2017

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-597

What is your name? - Name

Rich Suckling

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the recreational benefits it provides, and the issues for services and traffic this would cause.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-598

What is your name? - Name

Jennifer Smith

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Smith Jenkins Planning & Heritage

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Ainscough Strategic Land

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Yes, in the absence of an Oxfordshire Plan it is appropriate that the CLPR looks over a period to 2050. This may also help address locally specific challenges in terms of delivery and delayed adoption.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Generantly supports the approach taken but further justification and evidence is required. Supports the replacement of Policy Bicester 1 but considers a more fundamental review is required. Similar position is held for SE Bicester, a review is needed pri

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Supports the vision but considers that it should include reference to areas of large scale growth and be set into a longer plan period e.g., 2050.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Strongly supports the Bicester Strategy.

Questions the justification for additional expansion at Heyford Park. Considers it could potentially detract from infrastructure investment needed at Bicester.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Supports the need to plan for more employment land. Considers that as consequence of the HENA errata (Oct 2022), the employment need is c.147ha and 244ha.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Supports the need to plan for more employment land. Considers that as consequence of the HENA errata (Oct 2022), the employment need is c.147ha and 244ha.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Challenges the ELR assessment conclusions for LPR34 that the market for this land is warehouse space.

Requests that LPR34 is reassessed for its potential to support hybrid developments and complementing the hub-office development in Bicester.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Supports the approach to meeting employments needs.

Supports the inclusion of a line for non-strategic allocations for each of the main settlements to help differentiate between CP27 sites and the 15ha for non strategic sites.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Strongly supports planning for homes more than the standard method. Considers there is a need to do more than the minimum to reflect Oxfordshire's housing pressures, remain competitive and attract inward investment.
Considers the HENA approach is generally sound and that using the mid point is justified.
Advocates a longer Plan period for Plan vision and direction of growth rather than housing requirement.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Supports the delivery of a single figure in the district showing the extent of identified need including unmet need.
Agree that indicatively only 1,654 homes would be required if all sites were deliverable but this is not the case and there is a need to l

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

CP70 Bicester Area Strategy - considers the policy should reflect and be honest in respect of under delivery at Bicester and provide a positive narrative of how CLPR will be different.
Considers the area strategy should make reference to the importance o

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Notes delivery progress of NW Bicester, Bicester 1 to date and highlights delivery barriers: link road and realignment of Howes Lane, improvements to SRN and energy generation not expected to be available ahead of 2028. Notes the CLPR focuses on land north

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Location of strategic employment sites is broadly supported but encourages the incorporation of smaller employment opportunities including laboratory space and incubator units within strategic allocations such as LP34 and Crowmarsh Farm.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Considers that land at LPR34 is suitable for homes and employment opportunities. Some 1,900-2,100 homes (of which 900 are within the existing allocation) together with around 4ha of employment land. Indicates the employment land is additional rather than

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Welcomes the inclusion of the realignment of Howes Lane as a strategic priority for Bicester. Considers that further work is needed to support the SE link Road on alignment, costs and impact on landscape and habitats. Notes that this further work would

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Supports the schemes identified in the CLPR and notes the proposed masterplan for LPR34 could deliver enhancements to Ardley Cutting SSSI, provide space for nature recovery and opportunities along the Langford Brook as multi-functional spaces.

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Notes there is some logic to identifying a single site within the village to address Kidlington's needs. Considers that SE Woodstock makes less sense than North of the Moors when assessed against the wider strategy and objectives SO5, SO8, SO11 and SO13.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Proposes further allocation at Bicester as an alternative to SE Woodstock.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Notes the absence of reference to the heritage assets at RAF Upper Heyford as unusual given the historic significance of Heyford Park. Considers there is no requirement during the proposed plan period to provide for a further expansion and that it would p

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Could be supported.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Supports the delivery of Policy Villages 5 and approved masterplan (2022). Does not support further allocation of 1,235 homes. Considers the expansion to be dependent on significant transport improvements which would undermine or delay delivery.

Proposes a

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Considers the measures listed are not contingencies but measures to deliver the plan. Proposes effective contingencies should include 'reserve' sites and a 'buffer' over an above the housing requirement figure. Considers that early discussions with infra

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

SA - There is no justification for the departure from the

January 2023 version of the CLPR which included an additional 1,000 homes at LPR34.

SA - Assumptions in respect of landscape and SSSI with LPR34 are incorrect. Employment assumptions are also incorrect.

SA- LPR34 has not been assessed effectively as a reasonable alternative within the wider narrative around the extension of NW Bicester and a genuine option for helping to meet the housing needs for Cherwell. The Interim SA has been amended to reflect the planning application status at LPR33.

Summary comments of all questions

The vision and over-arching strategy to continue to focus development at the main towns of Banbury and Bicester is supported.

Continued growth at Bicester is supported despite the infrastructure challenges.

Concerns with several of the proposed allocati

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-599

What is your name? - Name

Martina Sechi

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bidwells

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Oxford University Development

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Strongly supports Bicester's strategy. Considers the justification for additional expansion at Heyford Park is unclear and could potentially detract from infrastructure investment at Bicester. Support general approach to protecting the villages and unplanned development in the countryside but it needs to be balanced with the strategy for the rest of the district. Considers many policies bring together core policies with those that manage development and will need refinement.
CP9: Water Resources - Considers the clause restricting development unless adequate water resources exist is contrary to the legal position and places the onus on the applicant rather than ensuring investment to meet planned development.
CP24: Considers the policy is misleading and unclear. It should make clear whether it is based on net or gross deliverable areas. Advocates for a standalone policy clause that requires masterplanning or design coding to achieve the policy objective.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Strongly supports planning for homes more than the standard method. Considers there is a need to do more than the minimum to reflect Oxfordshire's housing pressures, remain competitive and attract inward investment.
Considers the HENA approach is general

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Supports the delivery of a single figure in the district showing the extent of identified need including unmet need. Agrees that indicatively only 1,654 homes would be required if all sites were deliverable but this is not the case and there is a need to look at supply and deliverability.
Considers that given historic delivery challenges at Bicester the Plan should include a trajectory setting out the anticipated rate of development for specific sites.
Regarding Bicester 1, considers that allocations at Bicester 1 would equate to over 7,000 if all applications were approved as currently submitted. Therefore the current text could be considered misleading and underestimate the investment required.
Considers LPR34 could deliver an additional 1,000-1,300 homes over the 900 allocated of which a 1st phase could deliver 300 homes within the first five years of the plan period. Includes a phasing strategy and masterplan for the LPR34 site.
Policy CP34 - considers it should include explanatory text or link to the overarching strategy to ensure proper interpretation of how the strategy and distribution is intended to be delivered.
In the absence of evidence, it doubts whether the identified sites will meet housing need or whether the delivery assumptions are accurate and reliable.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

CP70 Bicester Area Strategy - considers the policy should reflect and be honest in respect of under delivery at Bicester and provide a positive narrative of how CLPR will be different.
Considers the area strategy should make reference to the importance o

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Promotes a 1.3 hectare site west of the A4260 Banbury Road and the Oxford Spires Business Park for commercial or office space.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

The LP at Policy Kidlington 1 refers to a small scale review of the Green Belt to accommodate identified high value needs. The respondent has included a landscape and visual baseline and Green Belt review for Oxford Technology Park. It refers to the 2017

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-600

What is your name? - Name

Stephen Sweet

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the recreational benefits and strain on infrastructure and services.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-601
What is your name? - Name
Chris Patterson
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Bidwells LLP
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
University of Oxford
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Note that the Partial Review that released land to support a proportion of Oxford's unmet need is to be retained in the main and relates to two key sites for the University - PR6b - North Oxford Golf Club (referred to as Land West of Oxford Road, North Ox
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Draft Plan needs to support Oxfordshire as a home to international research and innovation. Investment in academic, research and residential Recognition of roles of Oxford University, its partnerships including the regional partnerships including with CDC and Ox City and the role of its estate for investment, growth and development. Support from CDC and Ox City for growth to ensure future success of the University and for CDC Draft Plan to support the City's role in innovation and developing UK as a global leader. Cross Ref to Q5 as well.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Needs to align with views of the OxLEP and the Oxfordshire Local Industrial Strategy's ambition for the area to be one of the top three global innovation systems by 2040.Needs to recognise and take account of the impact of new technologies such as AI. Oxf

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Yes cross refer to Q4/Q5 points. Needs to align with views of OxLEP and Oxfordshire Local Industrial Strategy's ambition to be one of the top 3 global innovation systems. Needs to recognise and take account of new technologies including AI. Oxford Unvers

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Yes cross refer to Q4/Q5/Q6 points. Needs to align with views of OxLEP and Oxfordshire Local Industrial Strategy's ambition to be one of the top 3 global innovation systems. Needs to recognise and take account of new technologies including AI. Oxford University preparing additional evidence on these matters which they will submit to the Oxford City LP 2040 consultation and will also provided to CDC. CDC need to review their strategy, evidence base and Economic Needs Assessment, recognise new technologies, look at forcecasts of unmet need, ensure consistency with Ox Plan 2040 in relation to these matters raised.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Needs to cross refer to reps made by Oxford University to the Oxford Local Plan 2040 re further evidence. The forecasts underplay the extent of unet requirements in th eregion and the rapid global sales in cell, gene and RNA therapy which has increased from \$0.5 billion in 2016 to \$8 billion in 2022 (CDC Note: question why the consultant has stated this in dollars) Needs to recognise and take account of new technologies including AI. Oxford University will be submitting new evidence to Ox Plan 2040 which they will also provide to CDC which challenges the Ox Plan Strategy, some of the conclusions made in the analysis set out in the supporting Economic Needs Assessment and highlights the unmet need, the need to recognise growth of new technologies.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Questions if Employment Land Review covered the need for new and growing technologies, recognised the need for growing sectors, its links with Oxford University and Ox LP 2040 and the sectors relating to academic, research, innovation, business. Questions

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Oxford University supports site LPR63 Begbroke Science Park as it is essential to ensure the success of Begbroke Innovation District, Oxford University as a whole, the City Region and supports the UK economy as a global leader in innovation.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Challenging Ox Local Plan 2040 on their economic needs assessment, they state that there is unmet need and that the Ox LP does not consider the OxLEP Local Industrial Strategy, that there are rapidly growing sectors, does not consider growing and new tech

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Oxford University promoting their sites of PR6b and PR8 and supports the representations submitted by their joint venture /development partner Oxford University Development (OUD). PR8 is now a current submitted planning application. Oxford University prov

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

PR6b - Land West of Oxford Rd, North Oxford is being progressed by Oxford University's joint venture company Oxford Unievrsity Development (OUD). PR8 Land East of A44, Begbroke status is a submitted planning application being coordinated by Oxford University Development (OUD) and a detailed representation has been submitted by OUD.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

University's concern of the lack of support within the Affordable Housing Core Policy (36) for staff housing. They want to leverage affordable housing on university sites within Cherwell owing to the lack of expression of apolicy supporting staff housing schemes. Collegiate Univertsity represents landowners, business and employers in Oxford. One of the largest employers in Oxford with over 21,000 staff. University of Oxford (excluding Oxford University Press or OUP) has 13,600 staff as of July 2017, of which 7,000 are academic staff including research staff, whilst over 6,500 staff work as eitehr technical or support staff. In additional 2017 data confirmed further 5250 staff employed by colleages and OUP employs 2,200 staff at its premises in Walton Street, Oxford.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Recognise Oxford University its roles and partnerships including with CDC, the regional, national and international - academic, employer, economy, landowner, research and innovation.

Summary comments of all questions

1. Recognise Oxford University its roles and partnerships including with CDC, the regional, national and international - academic, employer, economy, landowner, research and innovation 2. Ref and supports retention of sites PR6b Land West of Oxford Rd, No

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-602

What is your name? - Name

Chris Patterson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bidwells LLP

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

University of Oxford (Chesterton)

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Comments in support of LPR37A South of Chesterton and North-West of A41 but objects to the lack of an integrated approach which would look holistically at both sites. Supporting information in rep.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Supports Cp70 Bicester Area Strategy and agrees with focusing additional development here.

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-603

What is your name? - Name

Chris Patterson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bidwells LLP

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Tusmore Estate

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The vision does not address housing in final point relating to rural communities.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Clauses v-vi of Core Policy 27 may conflict with Core Policy 30. Rural diversification schemes should not have to contribute to the viability of the holding and is contrary to national policy.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

The final bullet point should make it clear that only those proposals which do not relate to an existing rural business should submit their plan to a test regarding location close to or within a town or service centre.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Rural areas strategy departs from national policy and only focuses on larger villages rather than consider groups of smaller settlements.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Site 400m east of Cottisford for housing for local people of up to 30 dwellings. Second omission site is land around Stoke Lyne.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Questions how the 500 homes for rural areas was arrived at and That the figure should be met by allocations. Notes cause i of DP7 should be removed and is supportive of new dwellings in the countryside.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-604

What is your name? - Name

Steve Harley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Oxalis Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Mountpark Logistics

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Welcomes the vision particularly supporting 'good transport links and infrastructure' and the focus on urban areas.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

They are helpful but how these translate into the overall spatial strategy requires refinement.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The spatial strategy does not explicitly reference the economy or economic development, this should be revisited. Support role of Bicester and the continued mixed-use development at Heyford Park. There has not been a transport assessment which is essential to demonstrate deliverability of the proposed strategy.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Notes that only 1x employment site has been allocated which is suitable for B8 distribution and logistics uses and that the plan is not supported by any information about the alternative sites promoted and considered.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Questions how a vision for an expanded Heyford Park would relate to the 20-minute neighbourhood principle.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

The evidence base for a new passenger station at Ardley iand the assumptions about delivery are not clear. Unless there is clarity regarding land ownerships covered by the proposed safeguarding area, it may be more appropriate to include a general positiv

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

They strongly welcome the recognition that priorities should be considered which extend beyond the district's boundaries. Welcomes emphasis on rail travel in CP21 but notes than none of the employment allocations are in locations which would enable them t

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-605

What is your name? - Name

Lauren May

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Berrys

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

David Wilson Homes (South Midlands) Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Supports housing allocations in the rural areas, but that strategic sized sites should be considered in the larger villages e.g. Bloxham.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land west of South Newington Road (A361), Bloxham. Supporting information in representation.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-606

What is your name? - Name

Emma Baker

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

South and Vale District Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

South and Vale District Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

General support for the themes in the vision, but suggests that climate and natural environment could be more ambitious.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

There will be a difficult balance between providing housing for all sectors of Cherwell's communities with other parts of the strategy. Notes CDC should provide clarity about what circumstances exist for removing land from the Oxford Green Belt. Questions why CDC have not considered and tested option of not meeting Oxfords' unmet need.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

There is no reason to use an Oxfordshire-wide study area in the HENA. The employment need is very high and CP25 does not explain what sites are retained and that which are new from the employment land review. Questions if the economic ambition in the HENA

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The 2023 Duty to Cooperate statement requires updates, outlined in the representation.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-607

What is your name? - Name

David Burson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

JPPC Chartered Town Planners

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Evans Exports Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Promotes land north of Evans Automotive, Bunkers Hill, Shipton-On-cherwell, promotion information in representation.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-608

What is your name? - Name

Alex Duncan

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Two main objections to building on land adjoining The Moors. The land provides a better quality of life with the views, the landscape and walks. The thousands of new builds, the Stadium etc is outstripping the public infrastructure and services available which includes the roads already gridlocked twice a day.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-609

What is your name? - Name

Florence Leung

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Lichfields UK

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Boxham is a sustainable and well connected village. Bloxham can realise its potential for further growth to support and enhance services.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The quantum of the proposed rural housing provision is too low and there are potential risks in relying solely on the Neighbourhood Plan process (instead of allocating appropriate sites within the LPR).

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Regarding the HENA, there is potential to consider a growth scenario beyond that underpinning the LPR (i.e. between scenario 3 and 4). Failing to fully meet housing need could result in impacts such as housing unaffordability, unmet housing needs and envi

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Concerned that the quantum of proposed rural housing provision is too low, and the policy mechanism for its proposed delivery will prevent the Council's vision from being fully realised, could lead to delay in development, and its delivery in less sustainable locations.

Given the absence of evidence to justify the proposed windfall supply it is not possible to determine whether it has realistic prospect of delivery, as required by the NPPF. The proposed windfall provision requires further analysis. Queries whether the proposed development on Green Belt (The Moors) is justified. Whilst exceptional circumstances may exist for site specific Green Belt release in the district, development in Bloxham represents a 'reasonable option' to meet rural housing need that should be examined fully as required by the NPPF.

Given the LPR's reliance on larger sites for the majority of its future supply, and the associated potential for delays in their delivery, small and medium sites will play an important role in achieving a sufficient mix of sites to meet supply requirements.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

Concern over the effectiveness of relying solely on Neighbourhood Plans to deliver the proposed rural housing provision and that this could lead to delay, and ultimately risks in delivering development – associated with this is the risk of delivery in les

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Agree that Bloxham is one of the most sustainable villages in the district and warrants its designation as a Larger Village within the Settlement Hierarchy. This is made clear by the Transport Assessment (2022) and the work supporting these representation

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Concerned that the quantum of proposed rural housing provision is too low, and the policy mechanism for its proposed delivery will prevent the Council's vision from being fully realised, could lead to delay in development, and its delivery in less sustainable locations.

The Interim SA (2021) explored the options for lower and higher growth scenario for rural areas. No definitive growth figure for each scenario was outlined and the Appraisal did not conclude which scenario was considered best performing overall.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Concerned that the quantum of proposed rural housing provision is too low.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

The large majority of the site at land north and south of Milton Road remains the only undeveloped site in Bloxham to be assessed during the HELAA as being Suitable, Available and Achievable for housing development and on this basis should be the clear pr

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

CP87 (Delivery and Contingency) in the LPR proposes to include contingency measures to be utilised if policy targets in the plan are not met. These contingency measures include identification of alternative deliverable sites that are in general accordance

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-610

What is your name? - Name

Susan Franklin

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the loss of green amenity space and due to pressure on roads/services.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-611

What is your name? - Name

Mitchell Barnes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Framptons

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Facon Family

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The policy is consistent with the Environment Act 2021 which comes into effect in early 2024. However the Policy also seeks to impose an arbitrary requirement for new urban extensions to achieve 20% BNG. This requirement is not justified and should be removed.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Core Policy 34 identified a need of 25,860 (1,293 per annum) for 2020 to 2040 and refers to the Housing Distribution in Table 1 of CDC LP Sept 2023. Then refers to Table 8 of current summary of housing supply. Table indicates once completions and existing supply to 2040 is taken into account a 'new supply' of 4616 dwellings is required. The total level of supply identified in CS34 in table 1 of 25,821 does not equate to the overall figure of sought by the Policy. There is a need to find 39 dwellings to meet the requirement. Core Policy 34 provides provision for 500 new homes for the Rural Area which includes Bloxham which is a Larger Village.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Rep references Core Policy 35 that sets out the Settlement Hierarchy. Rep cross references the existing adopted CDC LP village categories and that Bloxham falls within Category A which is a Service Village. Note that CDC has reviewed the categorisation of

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Painters Farm, Bloxham for Rural Areas Housing, up to 100 dwellings, also adj blue lined land can provide allotments and cemetery space. Bloxham list of villages contained in appendix 4 of submitted rep.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Ref Policy CS86 1. References bullet point 3 of the CS86. Then in para 3.9 states of the submitted rep it quotes from Policy CS 86 Rural Areas Strategy but to note the quoted text within the representation is not text that is contained within the CDC Core Strategy Policy 86. Quotes Para 8.1 bullet point quotes 1st sentence. The CDC Local Plan does state at this stage identify sites in the Rural Areas and recognises this is explained in paras 8.6 to 8.8 of the CDC LP Sept 2023.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land at Painters Farm, Bloxham for housing development and potential recreation asset for up to 110 dwellings on a developable area of 3.58 ha. Site comprises of 3 agricultural fields, 3 barns, concrete area & an unfinished house and is located north-wes

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Adjacent Falcon controlled land adjacent to Land at Painters Farm could accommodate allotments and cemetery space. A need which was raised at the 4th Sept Parish Meeting.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

1. Promoting land at Painters Farm, Bloxham for housing development to contribute to Rural Areas Housing and potential recreation asset for up to 110 dwellings on a developable area of 3.58 ha. Site comprises of 3 agricultural fields, 3 barns, concrete ar

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-612

What is your name? - Name

Arron Twamley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

ARC Planning Associates

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Neptune Land Promotions Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The spatial strategy fails to disperse houses adequately in all parts of the district, particularly in areas of greatest housing need and the key benefits of development in those areas including new and enhancement to shops, services, physical and natural infrastructure. Spatial strategy also prevents villages from meeting their share of the district's housing needs, which is a separate requirement to a village's own housing needs. This includes a mix of private and affordable homes, first time homes and homes for the elderly. More radical solution needed would be to allocate a higher proportion of the district's housing needs to the villages, ensuring vitality and viability. Villages not previously taken much of district's wider housing need due to overfocus on Main Towns and Local Service Centres. Caveat they still support the growth of the Main Towns. Consider expansion of Milcombe in line with their vision.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Good to see CDC take 32 % of Oxford City's unmet need. Note the suggested figure of 1293 homes per annum is slightly reduced compared to the current figure of 1362 (1,142 for Cherwell's needs plus an average of 220 per annum for Oxford over 20 year. The

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Spatial strategy fails to disperse houses adequately in all parts of the district, particularly in areas of greatest housing need and the key benefits of development in those areas including new and enhancement to shops, services, physical and natural inf

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Consider villages both large and small should be included for 'clustering' and each village cluster would then be a focus for an appropriate and proportionate amount of growth. Logic of approach rooted in the NPPF.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Promoting site to eastern side of Milcombe, to south of Bloxham Rd. Site is within Milcombe Parish boundary, approx 5.97 hectares. Previous submission to CDC Call for Sites potential for 90 dwellings. Site is subject to a current outline planning applicat

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

1. Promoting site to eastern side of Milcombe, to south of Bloxham Rd. Site is within Milcombe Parish boundary, approx 5.97 hectares. Previous submission to CDC Call for Sites potential for 90 dwellings. Site is subject to a current outline planning appli

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-613

What is your name? - Name

Dr Amy Thakurdas

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to it being in the Green Belt and the impact on wildlife, services and infrastructure. Also it regularly floods.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-614

What is your name? - Name

Erica Boshoff

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

In support of The Moors allocation due to the need for new homes. Notes improvements could be made e.g. infrastructure to support the application. Issues around wildlife and walking should not block the building proposed.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-615

What is your name? - Name

Andy Somerville

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Nexus Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Lone Star Land

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Extend plan period to 2041

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Should go for more ambitious economic growth and adopt economic led scenario.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

The plan fails to identify sufficient employment land.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Employment development should be directed to Bicester.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Concern that 500 home residential allocation at Chesterton is inappropriate and does not fit with the spatial strategy.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Omission site to the south of Bicester and west of A41 for employment land is promoted with green buffer between site and Chesterton.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

The proposed loss of Green Belt around Kidlington, including land north of the Moors has not been justified via exceptional circumstances as other reasonable options exist. Object to the proposed allocation of land at SWE Woodstock.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-616

What is your name? - Name

Martin Smith

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Suggests numerous potential housing sites in Merton.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Representation includes a detailed breakdown of the facilities, and services within Merton. Any development up-river of Islip could have negative consequences for floodings. Concludes Merton is not a sustainable settlement and is next to the Green Belt.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-617

What is your name? - Name

Mark Behrendt

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Home Builders Federation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Home Builders Federation

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Suggests Plan period should extend to 2041 to ensure that it is consistent with national policy.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The HBF considers CP12's requirement of 20% BNG in urban extensions and in NRN Core and Recovery Zones to be unjustified, as there are significant viability uncertainties on delivering 10% BNG, let alone 20% BNG. A 20% requirement would require further headroom in viability across the Borough and potentially create viability issues that would necessitate other policies, such as those for affordable housing or energy efficiency, to be reduced. The Council must be clear that should local mitigation not be available then they can deliver credits outside of the local area or indeed purchase national credits. It should be noted in the supporting text or policy that BNG can be delivered via either a Section 106 agreement or through a Conservation Covenant. CP11 outlines in the third from last paragraph that new development will need to secure the long-term management and monitoring of biodiversity features created on site and off site to compensate development impact through a biodiversity improvement plan. The above section of CP11 should be moved to sit within the main body of CP12.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

HBF is concerned that the Council is being overly cautious with its decision to adopt the baseline growth scenario in the HENA. The HBF would advocate planning for a level of housing growth somewhere between the CE baseline and economic development-led sc

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

HBF were disappointed that the Oxfordshire JSP was abandoned.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Regarding CP36, the first paragraph needs to be amended to state that it does not apply to sites that are less than 0.5 hectares to be consistent with national policy. Secondly, a further amendment is needed to improve the policies flexibility and allow for the overall contribution for affordable housing to be reduced if development is made unviable by this policy. The wording in the proposed policy allows for an offsite provision or a financial contribution where it can be robustly justified however the policy makes no allowance for reducing the overall affordable housing contribution from a development. Given that the Whole Plan Vitality Assessment in Tables 10.2a and 10.2b shows that there will be circumstances where development will be made unviable by the policies in this local plan it is important to ensure that the affordable housing policy, as well as other policies can be varied, to secure the delivery of these sites. It is notable that those sites where viability is most likely to be compromised are those on brownfield land. Given the importance attached

by government to the development of such sites it is vital that there is the necessary flexibility to secure their delivery should they become available for redevelopment.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

HBF are supportive of Core Policies 1-6 move to reduction CO2 emissions, but is concerned that these policies seek to push energy efficiency standards beyond those in the Future Homes Standard (FHS), which is deemed unnecessary as it is inconsistent with

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-618

What is your name? - Name

Ben Cook

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Stantec

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Gallagher Developments - Nethercote

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Support for the vision.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Critical the LP provides sufficient sites to meet employment needs.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Support for the 10% BNG but that any provision over this should be viability tested. Not in support of providing BNG above 10%.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Promotes land at Nethercote for 8.3ha employment floorspace.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Support and promotes land at Nethercote.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Approach for redevelopment is logical but cannot be pursued in isolation.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

The use of term 'exceptional circumstances' should be reserved for green belt harm and this is not appropriate for the provision of an employment site.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

More employment land should be allocated at main centres, promotes land at Nethercote.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Promotes land east of M40 J11, Nethercote for employment floorspace, or 700 dwellings.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-619

What is your name? - Name

Ben Cook & Mark Sitch

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Stantec

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Gallagher Developments -land south-west of Graven Hill

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Critical that the LP provides sufficient sites to meet the needs of CD and accord with Government's wider growth aspirations for Oxford-Cambridge Growth Arch - focus for housing and economic growth including area between Oxford, Milton Keynes and Cambridg

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Ref to Table 3 and Point 3.176 of the draft CDC LP to 2040 and housing figures, sites and 2 potential sites in Bicester. The site being promoted at SW of Graven Hill is deliverable, available and has capacity to delivery 525-600 homes and should be allocated and it would assist in delivery of current housing shortfall.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Supports spatial strategy for Bicester Area and lists why. They state their site would contribute to this strategy.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Lists the site allocations for housing delivery at Bicester from 2020 to 2040 for 9100 homes. Ref to para 3.191. suggesting additional 1000 homes capacity by extending NW Bicester and that they are not expected to contribute to 2040. This compounds the need

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Ref A41 study exploring options for provision of a South-East Perimeter Road in OxCC LTCP (2022) Bicester Area Strategy. Support wording of Core Policy 71 which would require all development in Bicester Area to contribute in accordance with Core Policy 5.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

Proposed site could assist to deliver the new relief road that connects through Graven Hill to the A41, developer will contribute towards this with their proposal for 525 to 600 homes.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

1. Land south-west of Graven Hill on behalf of Gallagher Developments. 2. Refer to Appendix 1 Plan. 2. Site is 38.8 ha mainly 3 agricultural fields. 4. To west of site 3 separate designations for Scheduled Ancient Monuments (List ID: 1443650, 1006365, 100)

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-620

What is your name? - Name

Chris Pattison

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bidwells

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

University College

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Strongly support the vision

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Supports the allocation of land at CP37A, but objects to the lack of an integrated approach to bring together the two parcels of land into one single masterplan. Such a coordinated approach would improve the GI strategy, the ability of public footpath an

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-621

What is your name? - Name

Stephen Clark

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-622

What is your name? - Name

Christine Coles

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bodicote Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bodicote Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Demand for housing in all areas should be constantly re-evaluated to keep development within what is strictly necessary and the needs of the local communities should be paramount. Spaces around settlements should be protected.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Disagrees that larger villages should have to accept the bulk of rural housing and decisions should take into account previously approved developments, local needs, affordable housing and infrastructure.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land to the south; south-west where there is evidence of the medieval ridge and furrow and west of Bodicote village to maintain the rural aspect.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Consider land north of Wykham Lane unsuitable as the lane is a narrow country lane not able to support a development of this size, would have a significant visual impact on the villages and is not consistent with CP43, 30 and spatial strategy for Banbury.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Questions the detail regarding where the 500 houses for rural areas will be allocated and calls for the rural periphery of Bodicote to be protected.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Requests to be kept informed and consulted on Bodicote House's future.

Summary comments of all questions

Support general proposals in general to improve Banbury centre. The alternative access and parking at Banbury Station should be completed urgently with development of LPR55. Supports densification of the town centre.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-623

What is your name? - Name

Nicholas Wyke

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Framptons Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Tritax Symmetry Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

1. Request for Policy CP5 to be removed as deemed as a restriction to future development. Requirement to address residual carbon emissions forecasted over a 30 yr period by a financial contribution to Councils offsetting does not meet req of para 16 of the NPPF. Policy CP5 needs to detail how the financial contribution to Councils offsetting fund will be calculated rather than just referring to the Councils Dev Contributions SPD as no details of when it will be prepared and published. 2. Policy CP21 Hierarchy conflicts with part 'i' of Policy CP.23 cross ref NPPF para 83 & need for freight & logistics dev to be located to enable direct routing to the strategic road network. CP21 needs to give priority to movement of people and goods. 3. Support objective of Policy CP23 to minimise freight trips on road network.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

1. Core Policy 30 ref to new urban extensions required to achieve 20% net gain. Request clarity on what constitutes an urban extension. They consider that this should not apply to employment development (B2/B8) given the space required for such large units. 2. Nature Recovery Network (NRN) is broadly drawn and its current status is not clear. 3. Environment Bill (CDC note should state the Environment Act) states BNG objective of 10% must be achieved. Requiring 20% BNG goes beyond the requirements of the Environment Bill (CDC note this should state the Environment Act)

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Support Policy CP25 re Bicester as focus for employment development.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

1. Support sentence 2 of para 3.123 and the flexibility. 2. Ref British Property Federation's response to the Future of Freight Call for Evidence (October 2023) Key Ask 7 is that "Local Plans to include more flexible/agile mechanisms (criteria-based polic

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Land adjacent to Symmetry Park, Bicester (6.67 Ha, request for minor amendment to site boundary to be amended ref to Appendix 1 of rep and LRP21B in Reg 18 CDC LP. Appendix 2 of rep = illustrative masterplan. Policy CP.25 clarity needed as to why shortfa

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Support the Bicester Area Strategy and it should remain the focus for employment development and allocation of additional employment land identified in Core Policy 25. The site can accommodate 25,097 sqm.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Support allocation of 6.67 HA Land North of the A41 subject to a minor amendment to the site as per appendix 1 of their submitted rep. Requested that the table in Core Policy 70 is updated to reflect this as it currently states 6.3HA and needs to state 6.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Tritax Symmetry FTSE 250 company - would welcome the opportunity to further engage on the identified evidence base that informs employment needs. In accordance with Planning Practice Guidance Para 040 Ref ID: 61-040-20190315. Ref to British Property Federation (BPF) Industrial Committee Freight, Logistics and the Planning System: Call for Evidence Response Oct 2023, the BPF states that (para 2.1 to 2.2.) "We consider the plan-making has been largely ineffective at identifying needs and providing for the logistics sector. This is particularly the case for 'larger than local' or 'strategic' needs following the abolition of regional spatial planning and its replacement with 'Localism'. This is qualified by significant supply/demand gaps experienced in important logistics hotspots across the country, with record take-up, rising rents and record low vacancy rates serving as key market indicators. The plan-led system is therefore failing to keep pace with the fast-changing nature of the market demand.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Core Policy 29 - Wording should be added that would alternatively enable a Community Employment Plan to accompany the submission of a planning application instead of being required as part of a condition discharge.

Summary comments of all questions

1. Promoting land adjacent to Symmetry Park, Bicester. Support allocation for employment in Reg 18 CDC LP under site LPR21B. 2. Tritax Symmetry's interest is 6.67 ha which is different from extent shown as proposed allocation, the CDC LP states 6.3 HA an

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-624

What is your name? - Name

Joanna Willis-Bund

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors and There is too much new development in Kidlington.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-625

What is your name? - Name

Jane Burrett

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

1. The proposed housing development between the approved Siemens Factory across the A41 from Wendlebury village and the Green Lane to Little Chesterton. Since the opening of the extension to M40 from the early 1990s there have been increasing high water e

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-626

What is your name? - Name

Lesley Beagent

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Concern over the proposed development at North East Bicester due to the impact on infrastructure and services. Notes the proposed link road between Vendee Drive and Bucknell Road has been shelved which aimed to alleviate traffic congestion.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-627

What is your name? - Name

Rocio Valverde Pastor

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to it being Green Belt land with an amenity and biodiversity value.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-628

What is your name? - Name

Chris Robins

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

They are broadly correct.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

30% is a reasonable proportion, except that where a higher proportion is required under existing policies (for example, 50% in the recent partial review), that higher proportion should be retained.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes, although a balance may need to be struck.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

If land north of The Moors is developed for housing (see Q43), the area fronting The Moors near to Benmead Road together with the pond should be designated as a local green space. Part of the field immediately behind the pond might also be so designated a

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

There has already been considerable loss of Green Belt land as a result of the partial review. The site south-east of Woodstock (outside the Green Belt) should therefore be developed in preference to land north of The Moors. Consideration should be given to extending the site south east of Woodstock, so as to avoid the need to remove land north of The Moors from the Green Belt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

No. Too much Green Belt has been lost already.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

With reluctance, yes. Care should be taken to ensure development is contained within the site already identified.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No. Too much Green Belt has been lost already. Nothing should be approved that would result in the coalescence of communities.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Boundaries could be adjusted to exclude from the Green Belt land that is already developed, but care should be taken not to remove any existing land.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Possibly, provided it does not encroach on open land.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

The broad strategy is good, but in order to encourage motor traffic to use the A44 in preference to the A4260 it would be helpful if the road junction at Bunkers Hill were realigned such that Upper Campsfield Road became the "straight on" option for southbound A4260 traffic, and a conscious decision to turn off had to be made in order to travel through Kidlington.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

Any land needed for the above realignment of the junction at Bunkers Hill.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Improvements to the west of High Street/Watts Way need to include the provision of rear access to business premises so as to enable the street to be fully pedestrianised. The pedestrian area needs to be extended to the Co-op corner. Also the pedestrian ar

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

No obvious sites

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-629

What is your name? - Name

Deborah Arthur

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to its amenity value and the lack of suitable infrastructure to support such development.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-630
What is your name? - Name
Karen Barnes
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Turley
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
L&Q Estates
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Supports the emphasis on sustainability, climate action and forming well-designed market and affordable housing. Notes the vision should reflect how rural communities are anticipated to accommodate housing growth.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Considers SO1-7, 10, 11 and 12 to be the most important and reflect the key theme. Considers Hook Norton as a rural location to support new development.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports directing development to the larger villages but does not support that the rural areas should only provide limited development to meet local community needs.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Does not support the 20% BNG as it is stringent and may affect the viability of proposals, targets should align with the 10% from government.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Supportive of focusing employment at strategic locations but this should also be supported by smaller development in other locations to disperse growth across the district.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Scenario 4- economic development led should be considered. It is essential the plan allocated sites which are deliverable and flexible to changing circumstances.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The total level of supply in table 1 (25,821) does not equate to the overall figure sought by the policy (25,860). The plan should be flexible to respond to evolving changes.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

No evidence base for the new village categorisation which is essential. Notes there are varying range of services within the larger villages and the policy on rural development is too restrictive.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Supportive of aspirations for affordable housing and a balanced approach should be taken in setting % requirement for affordable housing reflecting evidence of infrastructure costs and values. The plan should be tested for viability.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Considers an appropriate balance should be sought between affordable housing and infrastructure requirements to support viability of development.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supports that rural areas have been recognised for some growth and that this will be directed to the larger more sustainable villages. The policy should allow flexibility for sustainable windfall sites to come forward.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land north of The Bourne, Hook Norton for 75 dwellings

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Support for CP1, evidence is needed to justify adoption of alternative standards to FHS in CP2. Regarding CP3, supports the inclusion of option to submit justification where compliance is not feasible or viable. CP4 duplicates CP3 and should be merged.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-631

What is your name? - Name

Chris Pattison

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bidwells

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The draft plan does not adequately address the needs of rural communities. Objects to the rural areas strategy as housing should not be directed just at larger villages. Questions how the 500 houses for rural areas was decided.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Lower Heath Farm, Cottisford and land around Stoke Lyne.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

On DP1 requesting clause i is deleted on the basis of viability requirement. Support for DP8. CP27 clauses v-vi may conflict with CP30 so this should be resolved. Clause i in CP30 introduces a test not supported by national policy so should be deleted. CP

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-632

What is your name? - Name

Dave Sandy

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Questions how services and road infrastructure will cope with the additional population, and closure of Sandy Lane will increase traffic pressures in Kidlington.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-633

What is your name? - Name

Stephen Hewer

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the impact on traffic and services and because the area is used for recreational activity.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-634

What is your name? - Name

Patricia Stokes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to its recreational and biodiversity value.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-635

What is your name? - Name

Emily Burton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to its biodiversity and recreational value.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-636

What is your name? - Name

Guilherme Stahlberg

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the impact on wildlife.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-637

What is your name? - Name

Dianne Bates-Brownsword

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to its recreational value, impact on the roads and flood risk.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-638

What is your name? - Name

Ruth Preston

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the impact on nature, flood risk, it being in the Green Belt and the recreational value. There is a lack of supporting infrastructure and services.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-639

What is your name? - Name

Anne Davies

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Stoke Lyne Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Stoke Lyne Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

In 'avoid unplanned development in the open countryside', avoid is too weak of a word and should also apply to gypsy and traveller sites. Suggests there should be more emphasis on development being supported by sustainable transport and active travel.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Need to incorporate specific policies on solar installation on new buildings and the infrastructure this generates. The proposed zoning of employment and residential development will not support the aim to reduce car use. Theme three should recognise that

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-640

What is your name? - Name

Tim Huckvale

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Ref CP11 very concerned about the decline of swifts referring to the British Trust for Ornithology's Red List. Welcomes the reference to "nest boxes" but The importance of long term provision for swifts and other endangered species such as house sparrows. Therefore, thinks it would be better to include a more explicit reference in the Local Plan to "swift nest bricks", installed in accordance with best practice, in all new developments including extensions. The excellent provision for swifts in the "Management Areas and Ecological Enhancements" plan developed by Aspect Ecology for the Hook Norton Road development in Sibford (22/02648/DISC) shows what can be done when a developer puts their mind to it.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-641

What is your name? - Name

Dominic Woodfield

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bioscan UK Limited

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bioscan UK Limited

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to LPR-A-071 Land adjoining Charbridge Lane, SE Bicester as it is designated as a Local Wildlife Site and CTA.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-642

What is your name? - Name

Paul Varnsberry

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Regarding 'Land at Merton Road, Ambrosden', this would be an overdevelopment and unsustainable. The dr's surgery and health centre in the Ambrosden profile no longer exists.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-643

What is your name? - Name

Darl Sweetland

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Anglian Water

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Anglian Water

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Development on the border of the district may not be able to be supplied by Anglian Water.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Core Policy 1 - change to 100 litres in clause iv. All BREEAM water assessment criteria to be satisfied on major employment sites.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-644

What is your name? - Name

Rosa Cadd

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to building in small villages and Kidlington due to a lack of traffic infrastructure and services.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-645

What is your name? - Name

Joe Grafikowski

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Mulberry Land

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Mulberry Land (Crouch Farm)

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Plan period should be extended to cover until 2045. Housing need should be increased accordingly.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

No guarantee that specialist housing will be delivered.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Banury should be considered as a key location and the plan only identifies two sites. Queries delieverability of Canalside.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

A higher BNG target would create concern for the deliverability and viability of sites.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

In support, but considers Banbury should be afforded more growth commensurate with its role/function.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Supportive, but provide flexibility for viability and mix.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Consider that strategy for Banbury is not sufficiently ambitious and effectively suppresses housing and employment growth.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Concern that the plan is over reliant on large sites and more sites should be introduced to reduce this risk.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Promoting 16 hectares of land at Crouch Farm to the west of Bloxham Road for residential development.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

It is unclear that evidence exists to support the removal of land from the Green Belt. The interim sustainability appraisal should be used to support this.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Only where exceptional circumstances exist.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Does not consider that Heyford Park will be able to deliver the 1235 dwellings within the plan period.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Indicate where rural growth is be delivered.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-646

What is your name? - Name

Patrick Blake

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

National Highways

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

National Highways

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

They would be concerned by proposals that have the potential to impact safe and efficient operation of strategic road network (SRN) e.g. M40, A34, A43. Particularly interested in traffic added to M40 J9 and J10. CDC should be supporting car alternatives a

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-647

What is your name? - Name

Paul Canning

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bicester Town Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bicester Town Council (Bowmont Square)

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

1.54ha land Bowmont Square, Bicester. Nature reserve for social and recreational activities including walkers and unofficial childrens play area.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-648

What is your name? - Name

Paul Canning

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bicester Town Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bicester Town Council (Browning Drive)

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land 0.04ha Browning Drive, Bicester. Open space encouraging wildlife.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-649

What is your name? - Name

Paul Canning

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bicester Town Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bicester Town Council (Derwent Green)

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

0.4ha Land Derwent Green, Bicester. Open space encouraging wildlife and unofficial childrens play area.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-650

What is your name? - Name

Rupert Harrison

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Prospective Conservative Parliamentary Candidate for Bicester and Woodstock

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

y

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the impact on biodiversity. Too many unwanted developments in Kidlington.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-651

What is your name? - Name

Carol Thompson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the negative impact development would have on biodiversity and the impacts this would have on traffic and services.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-652

What is your name? - Name

Pearce Gunne-Jones

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Tor & Co

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

The Blenheim Estate

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Supports the draft vision.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Supports the HENA.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Agrees with the housing numbers and the % for Oxford City's unmet need. Supports LPR2 as an allocation to support Kidlington's spatial distribution.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supports the settlement hierarchy, and Kidlington's designation as a local service centre.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

LPR2 aims to deliver 35% affordable housing, above the policy aspiration.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Support for the aspirations.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Support for LPR2 South-East of Woodstock/ Upper Campsfield Road, supporting information in rep.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Transport scheme improvements are considered to be vital in supporting growth at Kidlington and supporting the shift to active travel modes.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

It is critical that allocated sites come forward without delay.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-653

What is your name? - Name

Nicky Bowden

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Rejects plans as insufficient consideration given to continuous building in this area. No thought in providing further infrastructure nor to protecting the rural villages surrounding Bicester. Requests leaving the farming fields/Bucknell alone and instead

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-654

What is your name? - Name

Dr Farrah Ching

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There is a need for new and improved infrastructure alongside homes. Questions the justification for the housing number and It is too high. Highlights the need to prioritise brownfield land for development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-655

What is your name? - Name

David Burson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

JPPC Chartered Town Planners

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Mr & Mrs O'Neill

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Site submission for residential development Merton Stud, Merton which can form the basis of a small residential close.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-656

What is your name? - Name

Sean Nicholson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

WSP

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bloor Homes (South Midlands)

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

As there is some uncertainty as to when adoption will be, the plan date should be kept under review.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The opportunity to improve transport choice and reduce transport related GHG emissions should be reflected in the spatial strategy. Specific allocations should be included. Larger villages should provide both a service function and located on existing strategic public transport corridors and are outside of the green belt preferably. It is unclear if work has been done to justify exceptional circumstances for Green Belt release.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Justification for allocating the 500 homes in rural areas is unclear and this approach could be overly restrictive and result in a mismatch between supply of suitable sites and need. Questions whether the housing supply can be reliably delivered within the suggested plan period, questions if there are larger scale developments with longer time frames for delivery, what does supply tell us about meeting housing needs in rural areas and is there a sufficient supply in the longer term to meet housing need in rural areas? Anticipated lead times and build out rates for new allocations are not available.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The technical work to set out the justification for the proposed hierarchy was not available online at the time of preparing the response. A greater recognition should be given to larger villages on public transport corridors between main towns and Oxford

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Land at Banbury Road, Deddington for 135 homes.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

It is essential the LPR includes an agreed share of Oxford City's unmet need and specific sites should be identified for the plan to be found sound at examination.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-657

What is your name? - Name

Keith Strangewood

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Keep the Horton General

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Keep the Horton General

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Suggestions to enhance the plans for health and care, particularly for CP49,50 and 67- details in the representation. Suggests that the Horton should be developed to provide modern facilities, to support a growing population which the JR would not be able

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-658

What is your name? - Name

Nick Dolden

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bicester Athletic Club

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bicester Athletic Club

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The proposed plans lack substance and ambition and the hoped for track and field facility at Kingsmere has been ebbed away at which is a step back from the current plan. With the additional housing there is likely to be a higher demand for a local track a

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-659

What is your name? - Name

Kevin Williams

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The government standard method should have been used.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

The field in Hanwell village used for Hanfest and the paddock next to the castle.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Comments in agreement of developing the brownfield land around Banbury station and canal.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CP45 criteria is too narrow and may not prevent developers arguing that screening vegetation is sufficient to protect a settlement gap, and suggests that policy should be strengthened and extended to clarify additional criteria that must be met for develop

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-660

What is your name? - Name

Chris Wells

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The housing demand should be reduced to meet ONS statistics.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Housing should be more equitably spread across the district.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

This should be enforced strictly at the 30% level.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

NW Bicester is the wrong place for such intense development due to the lack of infrastructure and the intrusion towards Bucknell.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Not many people know about the draft plan and this should've been publicised by post. Six-weeks consultation period is too short and the consultation events were not well publicised. There should be a further wider consultation before reg19 is moved towards

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-661

What is your name? - Name

Beth Dodson-Wells

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In support of Bucknell Parish Council's response but highlights it is a rural community and the proposed development will change the nature of the village, and there is a lack of infrastructure developments and services to support this development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-662

What is your name? - Name

Ella Hammond

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Vistry Group

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

The plan should be extended to 2042.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

They broadly support the vision but suggests that the following should be included: 'increase the supply and diversity of affordable housing to ensure the right types of housing are available in the right places' 'improve the quality and sustainability of our homes and build thriving healthy communities' and 'enhance opportunities for residents to access suitable homes and have housing choices'.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Support the vision of the plan.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Growth should not be solely limited to town centre redevelopment, there is a risk to Banbury's anticipated delivery of market and affordable housing. The strategy should be more robust, to include development of previously developed land and greenfield d

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

East of Warwick Road, and north of Banbury for up to 170 homes.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-663

What is your name? - Name

Joe Grafikowski

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Mulberry Land

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Mulberry Land (Chesterton)

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

There may be a need to extend the plan period to 2045. It is unlikely that the plan will be adopted until 2025 so the housing supply figures would need to be amended to reflect a more up to date position.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

As there is no policy requirement for specialist housing, there is no guarantee these will be delivered in the plan period.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

A higher % BNG should be an aspirational target but not enshrined by policy as an increased BNG requirement is likely to create concern for site deliverability and viability.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

LPR37a and LPR21b make it inappropriate for Chesterton to remain a smaller village, and the settlement hierarchy should be amended for Bicester (including Chesterton) as a main town.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

30% creates a good balance but the policy should be caveated to allow flexibility.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

In general agreement for aspirations at Bicester.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Supports allocations outside of the main town e.g. LPR37a, LPR33 and LPR21a but due to the delivery risks, suggests additional sites are added to cover shortfall of delivery.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Land off A4095 Chesterton for 150-250 homes.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

It is unclear if exceptional circumstances apply to justify green belt release.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Only where exceptional circumstances apply.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

The allocation South of Heyford Park forms a reasonable extension but is reliant on development of the former RAF Upper Heyford site which is not expected to be completed until 2036 so the new allocation will not be able to deliver the 1235 dwellings in the new plan period.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

Comments sustainable and sensible growth in rural areas is necessary so supports the 500 houses allocation in rural areas. Identifying these areas of growth will help ensure the dwellings are delivered.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-664

What is your name? - Name

Danny Cheung

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The ecotown principles should be reinforced as wordings can be exploited, these should be strengthened to prevent this and ensure developers have to adhere to the principles of net-zero housing. Developing new infrastructure should match the growth of new

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-665
What is your name? - Name
Sarah Hockin
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Turley
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Countryside Properties (UK) Ltd
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
In support of the emphasis on sustainability, climate action and providing well designed homes.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Supports the objectives. Notes SO1 does not give specific details within the objective regarding what 'delivering the highest viable energy efficiency' entails. SO5 should recognise the ways of achieving active travel is dependent on the scale of the set
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports the spatial strategy, particularly focusing development at Bicester. Strongly supportive of LPR21A.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The requirement for 20% BNG is stringent and may affect viability of new development proposals, it should be aligned with government targets.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Supports focusing employment at strategic sites to support sustainable growth as these are the most sustainable locations.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Detailed comment in the rep appendix 3.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Supports the recognition that Bicester plays an important role in housing provision.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Support for CP35 identification of Bicester as a main town as it is the largest and most sustainable settlement. Land at Blackthorn Hil Bicester is promoted.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Supportive of aspirations but requests in CP36 what 'exceptional circumstances' are for a site not to have to provide at least 30% affordable housing. Queries the evidence for the need for 2-bedroom first homes.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Supports the aspirations as it is the largest and most sustainable settlement.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Strongly supportive of LPR21A.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Supports CP1 but suggests that the plan currently states to use decentralised and renewable energy 'where appropriate' which is a vague term, open to interpretation and that point v should be altered to include about feasibility and viability. CP2 in part

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-666

What is your name? - Name

Abigail Boisot

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Heyford Park brownfield sites should be built on rather than greenfield e.g. Steeple Aston.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-667

What is your name? - Name

Abigail Masterson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consider Brownfield sites over greenfield sites in planning decisions.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-668

What is your name? - Name

Adrienne Evans

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

North Oxfordshire is subject to too much development, particularly around Bicester, without enough attention to roads and traffic. Notes brownfield land should be considered at Heyford Park.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-669

What is your name? - Name

Al Grenfell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Additional Heyford development will have a negative impact on Middleton Stoney as the junction itself is already at capacity and sustainable travel has issues which needs addressing.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-670

What is your name? - Name

Aleksander Wiczorek

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-671

What is your name? - Name

Clive Hunt

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Housing targets are over stated due to flawed methodology. There is no commitment to making building on brownfield first a priority which they should be. There should be more care given when approving solar farm development and a greater use of roofs for

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-672

What is your name? - Name

Alex Reid

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In strong agreement that Brownfield should be developed before more agricultural/Greenfield land is dug up, especially after the Great Wolf Resort got the go-ahead.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-673

What is your name? - Name

Alex Rudd

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-674

What is your name? - Name

Alison Smith

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Support for building on brownfield land at Heyford Park rather than greenfield.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-675

What is your name? - Name

William Gasson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Brown & Co

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Landowner - land at Blackthorn

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

2 parcels of land at Blackthorn, shown in the representation appendices. Both for housing, one for 100 dwellings and the other 40 dwellings. They have limited constraints

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-676

What is your name? - Name

Louisa Slator

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Stratford upon Avon District Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Stratford upon Avon District Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

If development is identified in locations close to the District boundary, any potential crossboundary impacts on Stratford-on-Avon District should be considered and mitigation provided where possible.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

They note the Assessment identifies an indicative housing need for Cherwell above the minimum level of need arising from the Standard Method. This equates to 1,293 homes per annum in Cherwell up to 2040, or 25,860 across the Plan period 2020 to 2040, and

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

If development is identified in locations close to the District boundary, any potential cross-boundary impacts on Stratford-on-Avon District should be considered and mitigation provided where possible. Notes that 5,950 homes have been identified to be provided at Banbury in the Plan period 2020 to 2040. Any cross boundary impact resulting from the development of these homes on Stratford-on-Avon District should be carefully considered and mitigated if necessary.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

If development is identified in locations close to the District boundary any potential cross-boundary impacts on Stratford-on Avon District should be considered and mitigation provided where possible.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

It is noted that Core Policy 62 identifies that 5,950 homes will be delivered at Banbury between 2020 and 2040, including two proposed new site allocations at North of Wykham Lane and Withycombe Farm for 830 homes. A number of site allocations are also pr

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-677

What is your name? - Name

Alistai Strang

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Future development should include all best locations e.g. brownfield land at Heyford Park and should also include the proposed rail interface at former Ardley station. Future development should include traffic planning to major areas. PV systems should be

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-678

What is your name? - Name

Andrew Bartlett

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Greenfield land should not be used where brownfield land is available at Heyford.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-679

What is your name? - Name

Andrew Crawley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Comments please build on brownfield e.g. Heyford Park not rural areas

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-680

What is your name? - Name

Andrew James Cripps

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Comments please build on brownfield not in villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-681

What is your name? - Name

Andrew Mawby

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Comments please build on brownfield land e.g. Heyford Park.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-682

What is your name? - Name

Caroline Beadle

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-683

What is your name? - Name

William Gasson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Brown & Co

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Landowner - land off Ploughley Road, Ambrosden

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Yes - Land off A41 and Ploughley Road, Ambrosden Grid Ref SP598205

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The potential Development site has the capacity to deliver approximately 70 dwellings or a commercial led development scheme. Consider housing development at the Site will make a positive contribution to the sustainable growth of the district as a whole a

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-684

What is your name? - Name

Andrew Whiffin

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Concerned about the amount of greenfield used for building in Cherwell where there is brownfield available. Objects to the small proportion of new builds allocated for affordable housing.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-685

What is your name? - Name

Andy Camoenié

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brownfield land at Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-686

What is your name? - Name

Joe Grafikowski

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Mulberry Land

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Mulberry Land (off Overthorpe Road)

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

There may be a need to extend the plan period to 2045.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Banbury should be considered as a key location for sustainably developing new homes and employment opportunities. Notes that identifying both Canalside and Higham Way would not be able to fulfil future logistics growth.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

A higher % target than 10% should be an aspiration not an enshrined policy as an increase in BNG is likely to cause concern for the deliverability and viability of sites.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Higham Way and Canalside are questioned regarding deliverability and appropriateness of the site. Hence, it is important that additional sites are considered to meet the area's needs e.g. Overthorpe Road, Banbury.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

In agreement with focusing employment at strategic sites such as Banbury. Questions how the 15ha non-strategic employment will be included and developed through neighbourhood plans.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

The sites listed are outdated, sites 10 and 11 are not suitable to be included as they are outdated.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Fully support placing appropriate employment on unallocated sites where there are demonstrable needs. The proposal to re-use, convert or adapt suitable existing buildings for employment are suitable but the lack of suitable buildings in the area heavily r

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

The aspirations fall short in responding to the opportunities afforded to the settlement, so housing and employment growth is suppressed. Strongly support spatial strategy but growth shouldn't be limited and rather respond to local and national need.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

It is unclear if exceptional circumstances apply to adjusting Green Belt boundaries at Kidlington where alternative sustainable opportunities exist within the district which would not require green belt removal. It must be satisfied that sites have been properly considered through review of evidence including sustainability appraisal.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Promotes land off of Overthorpe Road, Banbury for employment.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-687

What is your name? - Name

Angela Brady

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Don't understand building on Greenfields when there are Brownfields sites such as Heyford Park. The want the village to stay as a village, that's why they moved here.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-688

What is your name? - Name

Angela and Anthony Cox

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used not countryside.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-689

What is your name? - Name

Angela Sillgoe

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Any housing development in future should be at Heyford. The rural area around the villages should not be built upon, we will all end up as a suburb of Bicester.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

They cannot understand why greenfield is being used when there is brownfield available e.g. Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-690

What is your name? - Name

Annie Dudley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to building on GreenBelt.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-691

What is your name? - Name

Anthony Walton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Not supportive of Bicester ecotown as it is development on greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-692

What is your name? - Name

Antonia Maclean

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield not greenfield in villages e.g. Steeple Aston.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-693

What is your name? - Name

Ashley Prior

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used and developers should bear the cost of preparing the land. Infill development is acceptable in small amounts and sustainable travel should be a priority.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-694

What is your name? - Name

Audra Humphrey

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield land should be used and redeveloped.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-695

What is your name? - Name

Katherine Eustace

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objection to The Moors and land SE of Woodstock. The Moors due to the impact on the landscape/skyline and biodiversity and regarding Woodstock due to the flightpath overhead. Development would have a negative impact on quality of life in Kidlington.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-696

What is your name? - Name

Barbara Emery

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be considered over greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-697

What is your name? - Name

Bev Martin and Iain Wilson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests reviewing brownfield land at Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-698

What is your name? - Name

Bev Martin

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests reviewing brownfield land at Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-699

What is your name? - Name

Carol Neville

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CDC should use brownfield sites first.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-700

What is your name? - Name

Carol Cutcliffe

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be prioritised over greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-701

What is your name? - Name

Will Whitelock

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Frampton Town Planning Ltd

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Tri7 Banbury LLP & The Barker Family Trust

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Supportive of the aspirations for the Banbury area and the focus of development on previously developed land is consistent with NPPF. The redevelopment of land between Calthorpe Street and Marlborough Road would align with the Banbury area strategy.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Land between Calthorpe Street and Marlborough Road, Banbury (1.8 ha for 230 dwellings).

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

The retail market assessment confirms that there are 11 vacant retail units available providing a comparable floorspace so the site is not required for this function. Supportive that CP69 supports redevelopment of site 3 Calthorpe Street/ Marlborough Road

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-702

What is your name? - Name

Kate Brown

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Hanwell Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Hanwell Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

No.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

The contents is more important than presentation.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Most policies have been replaced and often amalgamated. Feels this may weaken the council's position when rebuffing speculative planning applications.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

No

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Not enough focus on maintaining the rural character of the district. This should be a distinct point.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Not enough focus on maintaining the rural character of the district. This should be a distinct point.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

The need for clarity that an objective is to direct and limit development to identified locations only.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Open countryside needs to be more clearly defined to protect existing buffers between the villages and the town.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

There is little supporting evidence as to the benefits of an artificial increase in biodiversity.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, recent large increase in warehouses and too many vacant units within the towns already.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

N/A

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

It seems appropriate.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Very positive.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

In favour policy 3.124 over 3.123 which does not seem to provide a check on unallocated site development.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

It seems proportional.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

In support of the proposed approach.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

It seems reasonable but could be over-interpreted to favour development in rural areas.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

This should go further given the amount of vacant retail space in central Banbury.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

No views.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

No views.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Cherwell should accept Oxford's unmet need.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The rural area allocation seems high. Question why canalside might be downgraded. This is something of highest importance to rural areas and the current plan is not prescriptive enough to curb speculative applications.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

No

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

In approval of the reclassification as long as settlement boundaries are not breached and this must be specified.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

There is no clarity as to overlap between first homes and affordable homes. Are first homes (25%) part of the 30% affordable? More homes need to be available for social rent.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Developers should deliver in all areas.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

Yes

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Very few sites identified. Within Hanwell, they propose the field bordered by Hanwell Grange/Park Farm, the churchyard and the Castle grounds and also the 'sports field' which is accessed down 'Muddy Lane' adjacent to the village hall. Both are vital area

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Yes - policies from the previous plan have been amalgamated which weakens them. There is no clear strategy for preventing coalescence.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Healthcare must be improved. Inadequate access to GP and dentistry for existing residents.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, redevelopment of brownfield town centre sites is the only appropriate way forward.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

No.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

No.

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

No.

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

N/A

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

N/A

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

N/A

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

N/A

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/A

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

N/A

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

N/A

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/A

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

N/A

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

N/A

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/A

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

N/A

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

N/A

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/A

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

N/A

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/A

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

N/A

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

N/A

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

N/A

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

N/A

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

N/A

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

N/A

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

N/A

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

N/A

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

N/A

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

The boundary where town becomes open countryside must be clearly defined to prevent unchecked development and coalescence.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Agrees in principle but without any identified sites this could pose significant challenges to local communities and planning officers.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

No.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

No.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Rural exception sites seem a 'get out of jail free card' for developers and an unmitigated threat to small communities. This must be revised.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

No.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

No.

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

No

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-703

What is your name? - Name

Caroline Hansford

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-704

What is your name? - Name

Caroline Maclean

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Comments use brownfield sites and that villages have very narrow roads and other constraints. Use land at Heyford Park instead.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-705

What is your name? - Name

Cathryn English & Derek Kenney

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consider the brownfield sites before building in rural village. Providing the infrastructure for many different rural areas would cost more than investing in brownfield sites nearer urban areas.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-706

What is your name? - Name

Charlotte Bartlett

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield sites should be used whenever they can be. Greenfield sites should always be protected where there is an alternative. Especially when the brownfield sites are requesting more development and where they have such good transport links and connect

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-707

What is your name? - Name

Charlotte Creasy

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to any more greenfield development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-708

What is your name? - Name

David Flavin

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Oxfordshire County Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Oxfordshire CC Property (Corporate Landlord) & Estates

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

CP68 Banbury Canalside - the significance of ensuring provision of safe access to existing local service buildings at all times should be outlined.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

CP70 NW Bicester - the policy should highlight the significance of safeguarding the amenity of the primary school. The policy should address noise, overlooking issues, and transport matters to ensure new residential development will not have an adverse i

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

CP76 North of The Moors - requests additional information relating to the nature of the new connections proposed to link OCC land with the rest of the green belt. The proposed policy should not have an unacceptable adverse impact on biodiversity, access to and amenity use of the OCC land. CP81 site 2 - Watts Way Piazza that the policy does not refer to the need to safeguard the school and as a consequence OCC property would like this included.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

On CP54 questions what a 'robust marketing exercise' should include and that there should be clarification of this.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-709

What is your name? - Name

Charlotte Moody

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brownfield over greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-710

What is your name? - Name

David Flavin

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Oxfordshire County Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Oxfordshire County Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

OCC is supportive of climate action being central to the Local Plan. Supportive of high-level themes which underpin the Local Plan. OCC is preparing a Climate Vulnerability Assessment (to be published in the coming months) that can support the emerging Lo

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

CP12: The policy text should be updated to reflect that the version of the Defra metric to support mandatory BNG will be metric 4.1. Supportive of >10% BNG requirement, where justifiable. The Oxfordshire Local Nature Partnership are compiling information to help support development of >10% BNG policy, as well a set of Oxfordshire BNG Principles which it could be useful to reference.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

Banbury Area Strategy

Supportive of focus on PDL and aim to deliver schemes to reduce transport congestion, particularly along Hennef Way. It will be important to develop the transport accessibility proposals as the site options are progressed. There is

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

Bicester Area Strategy: CP70: OCC support the strategy seeking to reduce the need for out-commuting. OCC support the strategy to redevelop Market Square which is key for the area travel plan and note that provision of high quality walking, cycling and bus

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Kidlington Area Strategy

CP76: The strategy to improve access to community facilities, sports and recreation spaces, and health care facilities should be supported by delivery of the measures identified within the Local Cycling & Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) and any local bus service or infrastructure improvements.

CP78: Reference to the Central Oxfordshire Travel Plan and the shift to car-free travel at paragraph 6.60 is supported, but the August 2023 version of the COTP should be cited. The schemes identified this policy will need to adhere to the COTP and the emerging A44 travel plan. The policy mentions the prioritisation of the A44 over the A4260, which is understood, but it will be important that there is a sense of place as the route runs through Begbroke and Yarnton rather than just designing this as a movement corridor. This will need to be worked through with the local community.

CP79: The safeguarding of land for the following schemes is supported:

- Improved bus services and facilities along the A44/A4260
- A44 P&R/Transport Hub
- Proposed cycle and walking route network in Kidlington's Local Cycling and Walking Implementation plan (LCWIP)

The A44 P&R/Transport Hub should be referred to as the A44 P&R/Mobility Hub. OCC are now working this up to be a mobility hub rather than a Park & Ride so that 15 as well as bus and parking facilities there could be bike share, car club, micro mobility, freight consolidation, other local services.

CP80: Kidlington GBI – policy is supported.

CP81: Kidlington Areas of Change - policy is supported.

Oxford United Football Club - Potential New Stadium at Kidlington: The consultation document is silent on OUFC's proposals for a new stadium on the Triangle site in Kidlington. OCC has an interest in this proposal both as landowner and regulator, particularly as the Highway Authority and the Lead Local Flood Authority, and would be interested should a draft policy for the site be prepared.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

Heyford Park Area Strategy

CP82: OCC support the requirement for further transport investment as this is a challenging location to deliver sustainable transport connectivity

CP83 Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Heyford Area – policy is supported.

CP84: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Heyford Area - The safeguarding of land for the schemes listed in this policy is supported:

- A new spine road within the new proposed allocation to accommodate buses and to provide for active travel.
- A commuter cycle route to Bicester linking to an improved bridleway to Bicester to the east of Heyford Park.
- Capacity upgrades to M40 Junction 10 along with wider highway capacity improvements.
- Upgrading of the access road to the B430 to the east of Heyford Park.

Education - Additional housing growth in the Heyford Park area would need to incorporate the expansion of primary and secondary school capacity, OCC anticipate the need for a 2.22ha site for a new primary school and possibly additional sports provision for the secondary school.

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

Rural Areas Strategy

CP51: providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services should include provision to recover monies where forward funding has been provided such as the wording currently in the Partial Review Policy PR11 Infrastructure Delivery: 'All s

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

OCC support policies relating to climate action and confirms that the suits of policies relating to net zero development and energy systems reparement examples of best practice and are aligned with the priorities of the County Council. Key matters include

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-711

What is your name? - Name

Cheyenne Vallis

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There should be more homes at Heyford rather than in rural villages with a lack of facilities. There should be more social housing at Heyford.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-712

What is your name? - Name

Chris Heath

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to building in the villages and Brownfield should be used.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-713

What is your name? - Name

Chris Pharazyn

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In support of using brownfield land for more housing.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-714

What is your name? - Name

Chris Preston

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Upper Heyford should be considered as brownfield land and objects to building more at Steeple Aston.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-715

What is your name? - Name

Emma Lancaster

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Quod Ltd

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Albion Land

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes, considers there is shortfall of land for B8 uses to meet the qualitative needs.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Notes that just 32.3ha is proposed to be for logistics and warehousing and considers this is potentially capable of being met along the M40 corridor.
Reuests the update of Bicester 10 allocation boundaries to reflect the extent of planning permissions gr

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Supports Policy CP27 New Employment Development on unallocated Sites but considers the policy should not be relied upon to deliver known employment needs. This would be at odds with NPPF which requires a plan-led approach.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Does not object to the employment sites proposed in the CLPR but questions whether LPR21b given scale and location can meet logistics needs.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Proposes Land at junction 10 of the M40 (HELAA214) for employment allocation to meet logistics and warehousing needs on the M40. Request the amendment of Bicester 10 to reflect extent of planning permissions.
Promotes site LP23 for employment for residen

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Refers to Council's Executive of 7 April 2015 report noting that additional detailed work would be undertaken within Part 2 of the Plan to include "examining options for the release of land at motorway junctions for large scale logistics development" and notes this work was not advanced. Considers that the CLPR must recognise and support the critical role logistics plays in the success of the transformative and high technology economies.

Summary comments of all questions

Considers additional allocations for employment and housing are required to meet needs in full over the plan period.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C 716

What is your name? - Name

Chris Wardley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In support of building on brownfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-717

What is your name? - Name

Christopher Neville

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Villages and greenfield should be untouched when brownfield remains available e.g. Heyford Park where there is infrastructure and facilities.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-718

What is your name? - Name

Colin Walker

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Building should be on brownfield not the villages to keep the village identity.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-719

What is your name? - Name

Layla Moran MP

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Member of Parliament for Oxford West & Abingdon

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Overall in support of the stated aims but does not believe that the approach being taken will actually deliver the aims.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

CDC should be using the standard method as set out in the NPPF as there are no exceptional reasons to move away from standard method. The current HENA should be dropped and assumptions about future growth or the attitude to Oxford's unmet need in the othe

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CDC should clarify approach on Green Belt and only propose further incursions if there is no other alternative. The Local plan should balance housing and employment in each area, reducing the need for travel. If the alternative approach is adopted, there

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-720

What is your name? - Name

Nick Freer

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

David Lock Associates Ltd

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Hallam Land Management Bicester

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

They note and understand the plan period but it is likely it will need to be extended to at least 2042.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

The proposed approach will result in the replacement or abadonment of policies in the local plan, this is supported. That the proposed approach in the LPR severs any direct policy relationship with the NW Bicester SPD (2016) and the implications of this

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

In support of the vision in the draft LPR.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Generally supportive of the each of the strategic objectives and their grouping into three themes. Reccomends that SO1 is amended, these amendments are in the representation.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supportive of strategy and welcomes the proposed extension of NW Bicester for a further 1000 dwellings.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

It is unhelpful to afford primacy to 20% BNG over other policy expectations and requirements.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Supports carrying forward Bicester 1 policy unchanged.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Supports approach to town centres and retail development.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

That choosing scenario 3 appears a conservative choice, even more so if the plan is extended to 2042 or 2045.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

They welcome the focus of provision at Bicester as this is positive planning and consistent with the strategy. Considers that the 9100 dwellings at Bicester is deliverable but that the assumptions and approach to NW Bicester is overly cautious and could provide more in the plan period placing less reliance on windfall sources.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Supportive of 30% but That 'at least' should be removed. That no reference is made in policy to circumstances where the expectation cannot be achieved and this should be recognised and addressed in the policy.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

That the priority should extend only as far as to secure a higher overall level of affordable housing rather than in the mix of affordable tenures.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

No, the criteria for selection is tightly defined and therefore supports the limited number identified in the LPR. It is not appropriate to consider LGS designations within strategic allocations e.g. North West Bicester where the pattern of open space and

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

The vision for Bicester is realistic and achievable. The positive planning in CP70 and Bicester vision 2040 presents the best opportunity to deliver healthy and sustainable communities.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Comments south of Chesterton and south east of Wretchwick Green should not be seen as a contingency to allow for later delivery of NW Bicester. Strongly support the proposal to increase the number of homes planned at NW Bicester and the revised position o

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

General support for CP71 but that the realignment for Howes Lane description should be altered, as suggested in the rep.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Supportive of safeguarding land for the 'realignment of Howes Lane' but notes appendix 5 does not record the realignment of Howes Lane, which it should be.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Comments support to Green Infrastructure approach in CP73, the NW Bicester allocation should be updated to show this.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

They would welcome discussion with CDC regarding the status of the template for clarity. Hallam are supportive of the indication of higher capacity of dwellings, which is deliverable. Supportive of opportunity to create a permanent and extensive green b

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Support intention to effectively monitor progress of the implementation of the LPR. There is a risk that contingency measures suggests seeking alternative deliverable sites and this increases the risk of failing to deliver. Rather, flexibility should be b

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Welcomes the ambition to ensure sustainable development. On CP1-5 in the representation appendix. On CP39, a more fine grained approach to space standards should be introduced. On CP40, placing self-build delivery ahead of other aspirations is not justifi

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-721

What is your name? - Name

Daniel Gardiner

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There should be more on brownfield, there is no infrastructure for more houses in the villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-722

What is your name? - Name

Danielle and Wendy Girling

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to building more houses.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-723

What is your name? - Name

David and Jennifer Cox

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield e.g. Heyford Park should be considered over greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-724

What is your name? - Name

David Jackson and Philippa Smethurst

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-725

What is your name? - Name

David and Emma Jayne Radwell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to building on brownfield sites.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-726

What is your name? - Name

David Rollason

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Comments urging consideration to be given to brownfield alternatives to greenfield in the villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-727

What is your name? - Name

Diana Roberts

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used e.g. Heyford Park for new housing developments over building on the edge of rural villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-728

What is your name? - Name

Mr & Mrs Bowdler

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Support for Nethercote becoming a local green space.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-729

What is your name? - Name

Dorothy Bean

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

It is not sustainable to build on greenfield as water gas and electric is already diverted to brownfield development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-730

What is your name? - Name

Nick Freer

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

David Lock Associates Ltd

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Hallam Land Management Ambrosden

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Notes and understands the time period covered. Believes that the period should be extended to at least 2042.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Supports replacement of policies in 1996 local plan. Welcomes reconsideration and replacement of more focussed policies for development in villages and rural areas.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports the strategy. Specifies support for directing development to larger villages over smaller villages.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

No comment on technical assumptions or methodology in HENA. Notes that choosing scenario 3 is conservative, especially if the plan period is extended.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Notes the approach in rural areas is overly cautious and inconsistent with the objectives of the spatial strategy. A more sustainable approach would be to support more new homes in the larger villages.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Strong support for focused approach towards identification of larger villages e.g. Ambrosden in CP35.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Supports recognition for the need of housing employment in larger villages. Notes limitation of 500 dwellings is inconsistent with evidence and believes this figure should be higher. Lack of justification for 500 dwellings in CP86 and believes specific allocations should be made in rural areas.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-731

What is your name? - Name

Kerry Wilce

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Not in objection to the proposed housing numbers for Bicester but any new developments should provide services that expansion would require.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objection to proposed allocation at Chesterton as it would double the size of Chesterton and cause a loss of identity for Little Chesterton, additionally there is not enough services.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Land on Gavray Drive, North of the park and ride to cause an extension of Kingsmere.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Industrial development on land east of M40 J9 and south of Green Lane will result in more traffic congestion and have a negative impact on Wendlebury becoming a rat-run.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Exploring options' for the SE relief road should be removed as it is clear the route has been determined.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-732

What is your name? - Name

Edward Macfarlane

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield sites should be used to their full capacity before any thought is given to any other locations e.g. greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-733

What is your name? - Name

Emma Jewson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-734

What is your name? - Name

Evah Burgess Pharazyn

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In support of using brownfield sites e.g. Heyford and stop building in villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-735

What is your name? - Name

Fiona and Andrew Kilby

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield development would be better for the environment. Leave green fields green for wildlife, etc.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-736

What is your name? - Name

Suzanne Mclvor

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Cherwell Development Watch Alliance

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Cherwell Development Watch Alliance

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Employment uses is only in ha and there is no indication of floorspace, employment type etc. Highlights concern over over-provision of employment sites.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

They believe the council should be using the standard method and that the HENA figures are too high.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Do not agree with development in the Kidlington Area. Highlights objection to land behind the Moors due to it being undeveloped in the Green Belt and local road capacity is deemed inadequate. Highlights objection to land at SE Woodstock.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Concern over no indication of proposed floorspace or likely employment levels.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Strong support for CP44 Green Belt and believes the boundaries should not be changed.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-737

What is your name? - Name

Roeder Gillian

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brownfield sites at Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-738

What is your name? - Name

Gillian Simmonds

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CDC should prioritise brownfield sites over greenfield sites in the draft Local Plan.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-739

What is your name? - Name

Giselle and Donovan Fonseca Du Val

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-740

What is your name? - Name

Glyn Williams

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Questions why CDC is looking to develop in rural villages which do not have infrastructure or services.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-741

What is your name? - Name

Michelle Kidd

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Environment Agency

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Environment Agency

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Flood Risk Management

Sequential Test

From the submitted information a sequential test has not been carried out for the proposed site allocations. Some of the proposed housing employment and safeguarded areas in core policies, 6, 62, 64, 70,71, 72 and 7

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-742

What is your name? - Name

Gordon Pusey

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Heyford Park brownfield should be prioritised over greenfield to protect villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-743

What is your name? - Name

Greg Elphick

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used for new housing but Heyford Park already has a massive amount of brownfield development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-744

What is your name? - Name

Hannah Stiles and Bradley Stiles

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Houses should be built on brownfield, and villages should be protected.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-745

What is your name? - Name

Harriet and Sebastian Stapleton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CDC shouldn't ignore a sustainable brownfield site in favour in rural villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-746

What is your name? - Name

Nicholas Alston

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Avison Young (UK) Limited

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Oxford Aviation Services Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

1. Refer to South eastern 'R & D Science Park' Zone = under utilised PDL land. Subject to an approved planning application ref 23/00517 approved in 2023. To be redeveloped for high value employment uses 2. Proposals for the Western 'Commercial Aviation Zone' as pg 3 and 4 of the submitted rep. including proposals for limited commercial aviation services, typically 10-35 seater aircraft. 3. Transport Hub - land reserved for a potential public transport facility including a park and ride as identified in the Oxfordshire Transport Strategy and the current Local Plan Opportunity for co-location of employment and other development. 4. Northern land parcels as part of LOA's shift to zero carbon in relation to the operation of the airport, seeking to use its estate for the generation of energy from renewables. Northern land parcels identified as being suitable for a photovoltaic solar farm.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Note: London Airport planning permission ref 23/00517 - South-eastern 'R&D Science Park' Zone

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Refer to London Oxford Airport Rep from Avison Young page 6. The Kidlington Strategy should be updated as necessary to ensure consistency with updated Core Policy 77.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Note London Airport planning permission ref 23/00517 and their planned future development in the Western area.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

London Oxford Airport. Ongoing evolution of general and business aviation maintenance companies that employ highly skilled engineers in knowledge based sectors whilst also providing aviation related training for both pilots and engineers = increasing dema

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes, should also include land required for further development necessary to meet London Oxford Airport's (LOA's) economic needs. Refer to exceptional circumstances in the submitted rep. Requests removal of part of the LOA from the Green Belt. Requests sup

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes, refer to exceptional circumstances in the submitted rep. Continues to be exceptional circumstances to justify a review of the Green Belt in and / around the Airport today request for review of the Green Belt in and around the Airport and Langford Lan

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

London Oxford Airport site offers unique ability to accommodate strategic public transport infrastructure to support sustainable housing growth due to its location along the A44.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

Continues to be exceptional circumstances to justify a review of the Green Belt in and / around the Airport today request for review of the Green Belt in and around the Airport and Langford Lane to address employment need for Kidlington.

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Evidence to be prepared to support and justify exceptional circumstances for part of LOA to be removed from the Green Belt and LOA keen to work with the Council in relation to this.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Appendix 4 - Extent of Green Belt Release ref to NPPF para 143 and quote various extracts. Appendix 4 of CDC LP does not meet LOAs development needs as set out in Section 2 and falls short. Rep includes a revised proposed plan in Appendix A which illustrates revised Green Belt boundary which is considered to better reflect NPPF para 143.

Summary comments of all questions

1. Continues to be exceptional circumstances to justify a review of the Green Belt in and / around the Airport today request for review of the Green Belt in and around the Airport and Langford Lane to address employment need for Kidlington. 2. Adopt a pos

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-747

What is your name? - Name

Helen and Paul Worrell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consider building some of the proposed 500 houses for rural areas on brownfield over building on greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-748

What is your name? - Name

Ian and Sandra Davies

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Comments stop building on greenfield sites

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-749

What is your name? - Name

Ian Glendinning

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brownfield sites for more housing

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-750

What is your name? - Name

Ian Thompson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield sites should be utilised before considering greenfield. Notes Brownfield sites should be quicker to develop and have more infrastructure existing to support development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-751

What is your name? - Name

label Barrell and Jackie Phillips

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Heyford Park should be used before greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-752

What is your name? - Name

Giles Brockbank

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Ridge & Brockbank LLP

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Oxford United FC

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

**There is no reference to OUFC or its needs. Recommends that scope for exceptional circumstances for green belt release should expand the scope of requirements to include other forms of commercial and community facilities.
After June 2026 OUFC will have no**

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-753

What is your name? - Name

Jo Cox

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The Local Plan does not deal with hamlets such as Nethercote, and would like Nethercote included in the classification of smaller villages.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Comments in support of Banbury Lane Nethercote as a local green space due to its historical and residential significance as well as its biodiversity value.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

40

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

They are pleased to see proposals for a new M40 junction/ enlarged slip roads at Southam Road Banbury. Transport infrastructure improvements are required. It is unclear if land has been safeguarded for this proposal, and this needs confirming.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-754

What is your name? - Name

Dr Judith Webb

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes, but notes BNG is flawed as it only assesses vascular plants and omits baseline assessment of any other species other than a few priority ones. Notes there is no capacity in local authorities to check the baseline assessment for accuracy, check delivery of habitat enhancement and check nature gains in the future.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Targets are exaggerated and should use the standard method instead for housing numbers.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

The proposal to site OUFC on the green belt should not be allowed as The Traingle is rich in biodiversity which will be eliminated with development. Siting the stadium adjacent to Stratfield Brake District Wildlife site wil cause damage to the wildlife in the ancient woodland.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

The proposed housing allocation in the green belt (The Moors) should be removed.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

It should not be supported to expand, the airport mainly services private jets which are disproportionately damaging regarding CO2 emissions.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

All solar energy needs can be met on rooftops or brownfield sites and this should be supported and incentivised. Objects to the Botley West Solar Power Station being proposed on farmed fields. Objects to (CP7) allowing any development in any areas with an

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-755

What is your name? - Name

Judith Mason

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Reference the Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity. Notes the importance of providing nest boxes and swift bricks within the walls of new builds and extensions in accordance with best-practice guidance.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-756
What is your name? - Name
Gary Stephens
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Marrons Planning
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Rainer Developments Ltd
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Believes the plan period should be extended to 2042 or 2045
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Notes that plans must be succinct, up-to-date and accessible. Believes having policies spread across 3 different plans does not achieve this.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
They welcome the potential in rural communities. Notes the future need for more housing in rural communities to meet the vision. Believes the vision should be assessed against the Health and Equality Impact assessment prior to the plan progressing.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Believes adopting SO12 will not build healthy and sustainable communities in rural areas as villages will be starved of new development for local people.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Notes the strategy to direct housing to larger villages will not deliver the vision. Highlights that small scale development in smaller villages will ensure a wider housing mix.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

It only considers need to 2040, will need to be updated to consider a longer time period e.g. 2045.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Housing need includes contribution to OCC unmet need which should be kept under review. Queries the relevance of including completion figures since 2020, base date should be at or around submission e.g. 2023 or 2024. Figures in table 7 have no contingency for delay on any sites. Rural strategy will not meet the rural unmet housing need and a higher distribution should be required to the rural areas.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Satellite villages have been removed but believes they should be reinstated.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Strategy to direct housing to larger villages will not deliver the vision as there will not be enough to meet the needs of smaller villages.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

They do not support and feel the % allocation to rural areas should be higher.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-757

What is your name? - Name

John Mills

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Cotswolds National Landscape

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Notes overall support for the aspirations. Highlights need for more specifics e.g. 'we achieve our climate action targets- what are these targets?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Notes overall support for these objectives. Highlights that objective SO 3 does not read well.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Notes overall support for the strategy. Suggests rewording on Cotswolds AONB section.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Supports a BNG % to be higher than 10%. Supports aspiration in Core policy 12 to seek 20% BNG, highlights this should be explicitly applies to the Cotswolds National landscape.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Supports CP30 as long as it is compatible and positively contributes to conserving and enhancing the beauty of the Cotswolds National landscape.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Supports CP31. Highlights new tourism facilities in the Cotswolds National Landscape should contribute to conserving and enhancing the landscape. Notes new facilities should integrate with public transport options to reduce private car reliance.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Highlights the plan should acknowledge paragraph 11b in NPPF identifies exemptions to the requirement to meet objectively assessed needs in full e.g. AONB.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

The proposed settlement hierarchy is appropriate. Believes housing provision in the Cotswolds should focus on meeting needs specific to the settlement and an up-to-date housing needs survey is required.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Support for the focus here on affordable housing. Suggests CP26 should set a lower threshold of 5 unites in rural areas in line with para 64 of the NPPF. Recommends CP36 to be increased to 50% affordable housing, especially in Cotswolds National Landscape.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Support for maximising delivery of affordable housing. Highlights the need to look on a case-by-case basis at the extent sacrifices to other requirements would need to be made to achieve this.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Support for spatial strategy in rural areas.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Support for development policies 7-9. Highlights particular support for development policy 7 but requests the aspiration for Dp6 to be 100% affordable housing on rural exception sites. Needin Dp6 to ensure another building is not required to fulfill the c

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Supports CP6 (renewable energy) but highlights the policy does not specify 'suitable areas' for renewable energy and recommends the plan should identify suitable areas for wind and solar energy. Recommends a 'solar energy evidence' report is commissioned. Support for Core policy 11 but suggests the policy addresses irreplaceable habitats separately and highlights some of these habitats. Support for Core policy 43 but highlights need for the Cotswold National Landscape to have its own policy due to its importance as a designation.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-758

What is your name? - Name

Jack Day

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Supports not including any more housing at Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-759

What is your name? - Name

Jacqueline Davies

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

All brownfield should be developed first before greenfield is considered especially in villages where infrastructure is not able to support additional housing.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-760

What is your name? - Name

Gregory Crichton & Gem Toes-Crichton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Concern and objects to The Moors due to the loss of green space and habitat. Notes that traffic infrastructure and services would be pressured.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-761

What is your name? - Name

Jacqui Brain and Paul Day

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-762

What is your name? - Name

Jacqui Hepburn

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Leave Adderbury as it is and requests brownfield construction is prioritised.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-763

What is your name? - Name

Julian & Lina Vennis

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Objects to The Moors due to the loss of green space and biodiversity. Comments development will impact infrastructure and reduce quality of life.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-764

What is your name? - Name

James McDermott

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consider brownfield sites e.g. Heyford Park before greenfield land.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-765
What is your name? - Name
Sarah Hamilton-Foyn
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Pegasus Group
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Dorchester Living
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
Supports plan led system. Acknowledges the need for extension to the plan period, and recommended 2045. Highlights the need to best use brownfield land first. Notes they do not believe the 2025 adoption date is realistic.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Notes the plan is clear but highlights the need for policies to be listed in an index to improve clarity further. Notes the site development templates should be removed.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Policy villages 5 should be reviewed as it is too long. Notes some criteria is mutually exclusive so there are conflicts between policies.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
Notes introduction would benefit from context about the future development for the district. Believes the introduction should provide a context and refer to key documents e.g. HENA for Cherwell and Oxford City. Notes there is no reference for duty to coop
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
Notes overall support for the draft vision but notes no reference points since loss of Oxfordshire 2050 plan. Welcomes reference to Heyford Park but notes this should be explicitly referenced in settlement hierarchy.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Notes objectives should be capable of being monitored to determine if the plan is 'on track' to meet the vision. Notes relationship to other neighbouring authorities should be clearer.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Notes the plan does not recognise brownfield opportunities on Heyford park. Believes the plan is proposing less development than the adopted local plan and that brownfield opportunities should be considered.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Notes there is a need for a flexible approach to mitigation. Notes the lack of justification for 20% BNG and highlights an increase should be supported with a clear case and justification.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Need for Heyford park to be assessed for meeting employment needs in plan period.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

A rolling yearly HELAA update would be useful and notes the lack of HELAA publication alongside reg18.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Notes the importance of Heyford Park for employment.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

The draft plan does not acknowledge existing of potential future employment land at Heyford Park.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Notes that Core policy 27 is inconsistent with focus on employment at strategic sites and hence there is a risk for unplanned development in the countryside.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Notes the importance of ancillary services for employment sites.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Highlights support for tourism development but notes the policy should be redrafted for a district wide approach.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Notes the role of Heyford Park has the potential to evolve with further development and its role supporting neighbouring villages should be noted.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Proposes scenario 3 as the most appropriate but highlights that a midpoint between scenarios 3 and 4 would be most appropriate as 1293 dwellings/annum should be a minimum.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Core policy 34 should be ammended to reflect the longer plan period and a higher growth level.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Core policy 35 identifies Heyford Park as a local service centre so highlights Heyford Park should be recognised as having a wider role.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Highlights the need for affordable housing and supports the 30% approach.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Core policy 36= notes the whole plan viability assessments were based on emerging options so will need to be updated for reg19. Core policy 39= ntes this should be consistent with government guidance. Core policy 40= notes these should be consistent with NPPF.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Need to consider ongoing maintenance agreements which should be agreed not assumed.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Core policy 45= notes settlement gaps should only be used in justifiable exceptional circumstances. Core policy 46= notes the balance between heritage and new design achieved at Heyford Park so highlights the design code is not always required to shape a place. Core policy 47= notes Heyford park masterplan has been designed with the 20-minute neighbourhood in mind. Core policy 57= largely support the approach but promoted rewording of the supporting text. Core policy 58= notes the policy should reflect NPPF guidance surrounding safeguarding the fabric of historic buildings during retrofitting. Core policy 59= considered to be unsound.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Core policy 72 would be supported by expansion of Heyford Park.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Supports the allocation as long as it is done so with principles of revised policy villages 5. Highlights the lack of long-term strategy for Heyford Park and the contribution of combining greenfield and brownfield land.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Highlights the growth of Heyford Park and that the plan fails to recognise opportunities here for future growth.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

Notes the lack of recommendation for employment uses and highlights this should be supported in the local plan as well as an intensification of the current employment use.

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

The remaining policy does not support the approach outlined in the first paragraph and there is greater scope in Heyford Park than that proposed to meet housing and employment needs.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

Notes the local plan does not provide framework for future development past current masterplan (2022). Highlights the belief that brownfield and greenfield development should come forward pre 2030. Promotes a phased approach to support transport infrastru

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Supports bus spine road, commuter cycle link, junction improvements at B430 and Junc10 and Ardley Station. Highlights the need for funding to reinstate the station and the postive impact this would have for transport into Heyford.

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Notes the local plan should limit development to meet local needs with the intention to enhance or maintain local community viability.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Notes Heyford Park should not be deemed a rural settlement as it functions to provide facilities to neighbouring villages.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Appendix 2 key is not readable on the template for Heyford Park. Notes these are too detailed.

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Notes rep includes a review of infrastructure development plan and a local plan transport assessment.

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Core policy 1= supportive of the policy. Core policy 2= notes the policy could be improved by setting expectations for proposed allocations and for where net zero cannot be met on site, identifying areas where off site measures could be used. Recommends energy demand should be calculated using national methodology. Core Policy 3= Notes the policy lacks clarity and risks becoming out of date as it directly references building reg requirements. Core policy 4= Notes the policy is repetitive and unnecessary. Core policy 5= Notes the policy lacks clarity on how the carbon offsetting fund will operate and highlights this must be transparent for developers. Core policy 6= largely welcomes the policy. Core policy 7= notes a stage a level 2 SFRA has not been prepared. Core policy 11= Notes the policy does not mention the further two NRN zones core and that the policy currently provides more protection to local sites than SSSIs for example. Notes some inaccuracies in supporting text to the policy. Core policy 13= Largely in support but some On the wording. Core policy 14= notes the policy is vague and is unclear if there is an established system for natural capital assets to be assessed. Core policy 24= notes a lack of focus on brownfield development e.g. Heyford Park

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-766

What is your name? - Name

Jan Sprake

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In favour of using brownfield sites e.g Heyford to stop building in rural villages with few facilities.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-767

What is your name? - Name

Jane Hughes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-768

What is your name? - Name

Janet Barker

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests to use brownfield not the green belt.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-769

What is your name? - Name

Janet Jones

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-770

What is your name? - Name

Janet Lince

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests using brownfield sites for development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-771

What is your name? - Name

Jean Biggerstaff

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests brownfield land is used first to prevent building on villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-772

What is your name? - Name

Jennifer Henderson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to any more greenfield development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-773

What is your name? - Name

Jenny Tamblyn

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to greenfield development when brownfield is available at Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-774

What is your name? - Name

Jo and Kevin Martin Allen

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be favoured over greenfield as villages have not got the infrastructure to support new housing.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-775

What is your name? - Name

Jo Rushton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brown-field sites first. Green field sites must be protected.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-776

What is your name? - Name

John Gill

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

A feasibility study should be undertaken to evaluate options for expanding Heyford Park. Notes development in villages will add pressure to stretched infrastructure.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-777

What is your name? - Name

John Hodges

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to housing in villages and comments housing should be concentrated in urban areas and brownfield sites e.g. Heyford.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-778

What is your name? - Name

Jon & Georgina Eilbeck

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Prioritise brownfield over greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-779

What is your name? - Name

Julia Whybrew

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use the brownfield site at Upper Heyford before new development on green field sites in villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-780

What is your name? - Name

Julie Findley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Questions why greenfield is being used for development without considering further brownfield land.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-781

What is your name? - Name

Gary Denham

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Claydon with Clattercote Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Claydon with Clattercote Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

They welcome Claydon being classified as 'open countryside' rather than as a satellite of Cropredy but Concern that this change may cause a rush of planning applications. The statement 'development will not be appropriate unless specifically supported by

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-782

What is your name? - Name

Cllr Donna Ford

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bicester North & Caversfield

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bicester North & Caversfield

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

LPR31 and LPR32 will encroach on Caversfield which is categorised as open countryside, it should be protected. Against further development at NW Bicester until infrastructure issues are resolved.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-783

What is your name? - Name

Kelly Williams

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to it being a green area with benefits for wildlife and recreation. Heyford Park is preferable for building.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-784

What is your name? - Name

Karen and Mark Hibbert

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Planning permission should only be allowed on brownfield before further permission is granted in the villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-785

What is your name? - Name

Matt Bateman

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to it being green belt land which is in the 'recovery zone' of the Oxfordshire nature recovery network.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-786

What is your name? - Name

Kath Morris

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield sites should be used over greenfield sites.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-787

What is your name? - Name

Keith and Tracy Tong

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brownfield sites.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-788

What is your name? - Name

Kildare Bourke-Borrowes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield sites should be used as opposed to villages and greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-789
What is your name? - Name
Peter Canavan
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Carter Jonas
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Phillipa & Georgia Pain
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Broadly yes. The plan adds policy text to the adopted plan and plan review making it hard to follow. Some policies are over detailed so could be inflexible and unresponsive to change that will happen in the plan period.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
It is supported but would benefit from specificity regarding the deliverability of the proposed strategies. The plan should not downplay the needs and opportunities for growth.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
Supports the objectives and that it is important that the final strategy ensures development comes forward in the correct quantum, typology and location. 14 objectives is a lot and there could be scope to rationalise them as there is overlap between some
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supportive of the emphasis on addressing climate change and the move to carbon neutrality but there is a need to reflect on the role that building regulations play in the delivery of new development. Any deviation from national requirements on climate change and building regulations should be considered in terms of viability.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

The plan should reflect the requirements (10%) of the Environmental Act and national policy guidance, any provision over the 10% should be an added benefit.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

They welcome the joint working with Oxford City and making a provision to meet their unmet need. Would not be supportive of the council utilising Standard method as it suppresses actual housing need but the HENA figure should be higher- closer to the 1335d

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

In the absence of an up to date HELAA it is difficult to comment fully, but the distribution must include a range of site types and sizes. There needs to be a clear definition of sites associated with Bicester and those associated with close settlements. Smaller villages must not be overlooked and the scale of development should not be restricted to an arbitrary number of houses but rather reflect local constraints and opportunities.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Comments broad support but that the policy wording is vague and the justification for settlements being placed in certain classifications is not justified with evidence. There is no explanation of why minor development has been lost in this policy. Cheste

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

General support for 30% but without evidence it is difficult to comment further. Notes to improve affordability the council will need to commit supporting sufficient homes to meet the increasing demand. The council needs to establish the scale of the affordable housing need. Any policies will need to be tested for viability.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

No, developer contributions are used for more than just provision of affordable housing. There is a need for a full viability assessment.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

General support for the strategy but that the role of Chesterton needs to be articulated better. The draft strategy is currently a bullet pointed list and more consideration will need to be given to delivering the aspirations.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Yes, and the proposed allocation at Chesterton should be reviewed to consider land north of Green Lane and East of The Hale.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Land north of Green Lane and east of The Hale as it is minimally constrained for 50 dwellings.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There are key pieces of evidence not yet available, e.g. strategic land availability, landscape, gypsy and travellers, flood risk and infrastructure. A viability assessment has not yet been published and there is a need for this.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-790

What is your name? - Name

Laila Slaney-Dowse

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-791

What is your name? - Name

Lee Humphreys

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield e.g. Heyford Park should be a priority to prevent village development

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-792

What is your name? - Name

Anne Marie Cromarty

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bicester Community Wellbeing Garden

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bicester Community Wellbeing Garden

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Bicester Community Wellbeing Garden.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-793

What is your name? - Name

Linda Watkins

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consider using brownfield land at Heyford Park to prevent building in the rural villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-794
What is your name? - Name
Rob Lawrence
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
It is reasonable.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
Difficult to navigate and the sections do not align well with the questions.
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
There is too little emphasis on health in the community which is important in villages remote from hospitals with poor access.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
It is important to achieve high-quality design standards for new development and that it is good to encourage active travel. There should be more high tech employment opportunities and housing should be affordable for the population. There is a need for a
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The reasons why Banbury town centre has lost character and infrastructure has not kept up with population growth need addressing. In rural areas, unplanned development needs eliminating and issue of public transport and safe cycle routes need addressing. Notes there should be dark skies in rural areas.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes but it depends on what would be sacrificed and to what extent.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

It depends where and depends on the impact on the environment. Brownfield sites should be used.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

It is reasonable as these areas have good transport links. Small villages would not be appropriate.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

See question 10

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

See question 10

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

It depends on what would be compromised, rural villages should not be spoiled.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

In rural areas, low quality and unplanned development should be eliminated and public transport issues should be addressed. Safe cycle routes should be provided and light pollution reduced.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-795

What is your name? - Name

Lisa J Mansell and Adrian Armstrong

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Opposes to greenfield sites use when brownfield is available.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-796

What is your name? - Name

Liz Goodwin and Bruce Eggeling

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

All options should be considered including brownfield land at Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-797

What is your name? - Name

Lorraine Watling

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used before greenfield and villages should not be built in. Development should be directed to Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington as they have facilities. Requests a community hospital and cemetery at Heyford Park. Requests a Saturday bu

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-798

What is your name? - Name

Peter Canavan

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Carter Jonas

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bloombridge LLP (in assoc with Harper Crewe)

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

It is unclear the LPR presents a long term strategy and the proposed timetable is lengthy and slow.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

It would've been helpful for the maps to have used same mapping in 2015 LP and 2020 partial review to allow for comparison. The site policies are too detailed.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Many district wide policies are very detailed and suggests the LPR should provide a framework not prescriptive details.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The LPR downplays need and opportunities for growth and this should not occur.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

There are too many.

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Supports emphasis on climate change but questions how the carbon offsetting fund will operate. Need for an overall viability assessment for the local plan review.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Notes more than a 10% biodiversity net gain should not be required but should be encouraged. The proposed carbon fund is unnecessary and there is no justification for this.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Supports this and promotes Land south of Oxford Technology Park.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Notes desire for Oxford Technology Park to expand south and west and suggests a yearly rolling HELAA update would be useful.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Does not support this approach and highlights it is too narrow for the district.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Does not support this as there is a risk for development into the open countryside.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Not supportive of this as it is too narrow and tourism is not isolated to town centres.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

They welcome provisions for Oxford City's unmet need but highlights the requirement of 1322dpa appears short of needs. Notes the importance of demonstrating a 5year supply over the first 5 years and questions if this can be achieved.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

In absence of a HELAA assessment the LPR is likley to be challenged and cause delay.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Supports the settlement hierachy proposals and welcomes the recognition of Kidlington's' increased role. Highlights the 'lower order' parts lack evidence and justification.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Not in support of the policy as there is no assessment published of the anticipated impact of the policy.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Not in support as achieving good balance is complex and will be complicated by over-emphasising on this issue alone. Highlights the need for a full viability assessment.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Need for more to be done here e.g. long term development and suggests the Banbury Masterplan should be revisited.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

Need for a clearer strategy for all factors in a comprehensive integrated way.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Supports these sites and highlights need for employment and housing developments to occur in tandem to reduce travel need.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Notes no other schemes but retains support for current schemes e.g. SE Bicester Relief Road.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Support for Kidlington being recognised as a higher order settlement. Highlights core policy 76 should be more strategic than the current bullet pointed list.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Support for the Moors as a proposed allocation but states disagreement for the key constraints on this site listed on pg260 as many have been resolved/being overcome.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

They believe the local plan review has not gone far enough and there are more opportunities for this.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Oxford Technology Park extension.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Support this so the employment sites can be utilised to their full potential.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

In support.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

The detail for these schemes should be in an IDP to bring them together.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

The green and blue infrastructure plan for LPR8a is incorrect and not based on technical evidence.

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

There is scope to improve the village centre.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

They welcome The Moors allocation proposal.

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

The expansion of Oxford Technology park should be included.

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Overall support for the plan but notes key evidence has not yet been published including a viability assessment. Highlights concern about lack of reference to cross boundary matters.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-799

What is your name? - Name

Louis Pouyanne

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to further development at Adderbury as road infrastructure cannot cope.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-800

What is your name? - Name

Margaret Bulleyment

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield sites should be the choice unless there are very good reasons against a particular site. Objects to building more in the villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-801

What is your name? - Name

Margaret Forey

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to building more at Heyford Park.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-802

What is your name? - Name

Jonnie Godfrey

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used first and solar panels should only be on brownfield land or roofs. There should be adequate social housing and no building on greenbelt land.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-803

What is your name? - Name

Margaret Price

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Greenfield should not be considered and brownfield should all be used. Solar panels should be on roofs not agricultural land.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-804

What is your name? - Name

Margaret & Joseph Sanderson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Chesterton is a small village and development should not be here when brownfield is available.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-805
What is your name? - Name
Neil Wild
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Banbury Chamber of Commerce
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Banbury Chamber of Commerce
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
It is confusing that the plan period is to 2040 when other consultations such as Banbury 2050 cover a longer time frame. Would be sensible to combine time scales.
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Many sites are retained and some have not been developed despite allocation in previous plans. Notes Higham Road site, Banbury will have a hampered demand due to its location and traffic congestion.
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
They are excellent but Concern about how it will be ensured that it is implemented.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
They are excellent but are watered down later in the plan by terms such as 'where possible'.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

Questions if CDC and OCC will commit themselves to achieving the visions.

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

'Wherever possible' will be used by developers in viability assessments to suggest that the requirements are not possible. The plan needs to be very clear and have precise requirements in all circumstances.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Yes, there are plenty of examples where existing Cherwell businesses cannot identify suitable buildings for expansion. It is essential to appreciate distinction between different building types considered for employment use, there are insufficient buildings of more modest size coming forward in Banbury so smaller land allocations are needed to encourage smaller scale developments e.g. 1-3ha. The allocation for employment at Canalside is not realistic and lists why this is.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Many of their members have expansion needs and it is unfortunate that CDC has ceased its regular engagement with commercial agents and chamber via Cherwell Investment Partnership. Notes many employers and businesses do not need to be based on traditional

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Banbury is no longer a market town and allocating key sites for Bicester and Heyford Park enhances the lack of vision for Banbury.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Employment use should be protected within existing and allocated sites. A full viability assessment should be required. There is a need to embrace mixed use developments in Banbury to a greater extent and the town centre offers opportunities for this. Sit

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

There is a need to encourage sites coming forward through regular engagement with businesses including representation groups.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

It is acceptable as long as the uses do not undermine the provision of services within the town centre.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

More up-market hotels are required in Banbury and the permitted hotel use on Southam Road has lapsed and the building is no longer suitable for use.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Town centres should support a mix of uses, and not just a choice between retail and residential. In Banbury town centre there is a demand for small retail units, smaller office units and small light industrial units. Residential use in the town centre sho

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

It is not restrictive enough, there is a strong demand for smaller commercial units in Banbury town centre. There are parts which could be allowed to become residential but should be carefully considered.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

No, for reasons given previously.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

There are tensions throughout the plan, which is inevitable but not acknowledged- they should be identified so solutions can be made.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

Banbury does not have its own identity and it being classed as a market town is an old vision, it is actually a large commercial centre and this should be reflected in the vision.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Allocations for housing should be for a mix of sizes. Questions why Wykham Lane has been chosen as one of the only strategic allocations when it does not connect to an existing settlement and will be a car reliant new community.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

Banbury town centre for regeneration of housing (not apartments) and for affordable housing provision.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Questions if an extra junction is viable and who will cover the cost. Propose land should be safeguarded for an enlarged bus station, for improving the Middleton Road/ Bridge Street Junction to widen the road and river crossing, for cycle and walking route

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

CP6 discusses pollution on Hennef Way but proposes no solutions apart from a vague statement. Additional policies are needed for Hennef Way to reduce congestion and the resulting pollution. The council has a duty to protect the 225 listed buildings in Ba

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

In agreement that the plan requires regular monitoring. There should be a regular review process with local businesses, commercial agents and other stakeholders e.g. Chamber of Commerce, to help ensure business needs for expansion can be accommodated.

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

There are surprisingly few set questions on policies in chap 3. Supportive of the drive to a net zero economy and for all buildings to have excellent energy efficiency standards. Applauds the strong policies on green and blue infrastructure. There are other consultations occurring at the same time and results from them should have come first to set the policies and priorities for the local plan review, not the other way around.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-806

What is your name? - Name

Margaret Sheriff

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to greenfield development over brownfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-807

What is your name? - Name

Marion Daniels

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Questions why brownfield is not being prioritised and why council owned buildings which are empty are not being used for housing.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-808

What is your name? - Name

Pete and Beth Flemming

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield sites should be used first.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-809

What is your name? - Name

Michael Harris

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Rural villages do not have the infrastructure to support additional houses. Brownfield land which is available e.g. Heyford Park should be used.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-810

What is your name? - Name

Peter Gregory

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brownfield first.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-811

What is your name? - Name

Mark Hobart

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Recognises the need for more housing but brownfield should be used as a priority and greenfield as a last resort.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-812

What is your name? - Name

Peter Piddock

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Rural villages should not be used and all housing should be on brownfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-813

What is your name? - Name

Mark and Deborah Taylor

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield e.g. Heyford Park should be used.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-814

What is your name? - Name

Martin Dale

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used e.g. Heyford Park before greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-815

What is your name? - Name

Martin Winterbottom

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Villages should be protected and development should be at Heyford. Notes there is not sufficient services and infrastructure in the villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-816

What is your name? - Name

Martyn Sheriff

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to building on greenfield when brownfield is available.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-817

What is your name? - Name

Mary Goodrum

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-818

What is your name? - Name

Mary Gregory

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to building on greenfield when brownfield is available.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-819

What is your name? - Name

Peter Walsh

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to building in villages with insufficient facilities when Upper Heyford has the capacity.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-820

What is your name? - Name

Charles Pither

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The presentation and response method is not satisfactory as the plan is lengthy meaning the average person will not be able to comment. The housing numbers are substantially higher than the standard method and questions why the district should have growth

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-821

What is your name? - Name

Phil Champ

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-822

What is your name? - Name

Phil Price

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield first to protect farmland and promote redevelopment.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-823

What is your name? - Name

Philip Clarke

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield land first over greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-824
What is your name? - Name
Michael Kennard
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
N/a
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
N/a
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
N/a
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
N/a
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
N/a
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
N/a
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
N/a
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
N/a
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

N/a

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

N/a

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

N/a

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

N/a

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

N/a

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

N/a

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

N/a

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

N/a

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

N/a

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

N/a

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

N/a

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

N/a

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

N/a

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

N/a

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

N/a

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

N/a

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

N/a

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

N/a

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

N/a

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

N/a

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

N/a

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

N/a

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

N/a

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

N/a

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/a

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

N/a

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

N/a

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

N/a

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

N/a

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/a

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

N/a

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

N/a

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/a

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/a

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

N/a

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/a

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

N/a

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

N/a

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

N/a

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

N/a

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

N/a

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

N/a

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

N/a

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

N/a

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

N/a

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

N/a

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

N/a

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/a

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

N/a

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

N/a

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

N/a

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

N/a

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

N/a

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

N/a

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

N/a

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

N/a

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

N/a

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

N/a

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

N/a

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

N/a

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

N/a

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

N/a

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

N/a

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

N/a

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

N/a

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

N/a

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

N/a

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

N/a

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

N/a

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

N/a

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

N/a

Summary comments of all questions

N/a

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-825

What is your name? - Name

Mike Watling

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Development should be prioritised at Heyford before villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-826

What is your name? - Name

Moira Bowden

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfields sites e.g. Heyford shoul be used and countryside preserved.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-827

What is your name? - Name

Philippa Tickle and Mark Tickle

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be a priority over greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-828

What is your name? - Name

Neil Good

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In support of brownfield development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-829

What is your name? - Name

Nigel Francis

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield e.g. Heyford should be used where infrastructure is already in place and that villages should not have to take houses when this land is available.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-830

What is your name? - Name

Pam and Alan Rochford

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Villages should be protected and brownfield should be prioritised before greenfield is used.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-831

What is your name? - Name

Paula Hicks

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Ensure brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-832

What is your name? - Name

Paula Lyne

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used and greenfield should be saved.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-833

What is your name? - Name

Pauline Glendinning

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used before greenfield and villages are spoilt.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-834

What is your name? - Name

Rachel Rowntree

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to NW Bicester as it does not propose any provision for new infrastructure or services and effectively joins Bicester to Bucknell.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-835

What is your name? - Name

Carolyn Pheasey

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to building 500 homes at Chesterton as this does not constitute minor development where there are other sites to allow for smaller scale developments which would allow for assimilation and infrastructure to catch up.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-836

What is your name? - Name

Patricia Redpath

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objection to South-East of Woodstock due to its proximity to the West Oxon town of Woodstock and the impact on the setting of Blenheim. Objects to The Moors as it is Green Belt land and is valuable for recreational and natural beauty.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-837

What is your name? - Name

Rachel Dean

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be built on before green belt.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-838

What is your name? - Name

Rachel Phillips

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be utilised before building in villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-839

What is your name? - Name

Richard Lodge

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield at Heyford Park should be considered.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-840

What is your name? - Name

Richard MacAndrew and Catherine Lawday

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be considered at Heyford Park before building in the rural villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-841

What is your name? - Name

Richard and Sandra Watkin

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In support of building new homes but this should be on brownfield land before in the villages which do not have the infrastructure to support more development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-842

What is your name? - Name

Rob Hallett

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In support of brownfield use e.g. Heyford and objects to building in villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-843

What is your name? - Name

Robert and Jan Gazeley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used instead of greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-844

What is your name? - Name

Robert Cooke

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield e.g. Heyford Park should be used before any further greenfield is considered as they are more suitable and sustainable due to transport links and job opportunities.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-845

What is your name? - Name

Rod Roberts

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used before destroying greenbelt or joining villages to Bicester or Banbury.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-846

What is your name? - Name

Ryan Letley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used before any greenbelt land is affected or development is imposed on villages.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-847

What is your name? - Name

S Morris

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be a priority for all new building over green environments which is unsustainable .

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-848

What is your name? - Name

S Skillings and P Chubb

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consider building on the brownfield site at Heyford Park

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-849

What is your name? - Name

Sally Finesilver

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Use brownfield land at Heyford Park as opposed to greenfield which would cause villages to expand.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-850

What is your name? - Name

Sandra Allen

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield for development first.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-851

What is your name? - Name

Sharen Balderson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Villages are not designed for expansion with not enough facilities. Brownfield e.g. Heyford Park should be used.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-852

What is your name? - Name

Sharon Keen

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used but there are enough houses already at Heyford Park which lacks infrastructure and amenities.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-853

What is your name? - Name

Sheila Doel

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield at Heyford Park should be considered before green field. The infrastructure e.g. roads needs attention.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-854

What is your name? - Name

Siobhan Miller

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

All other space should be used over the countryside.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-855

What is your name? - Name

SM Connolly

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used first over greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-856

What is your name? - Name

Sophie Macfarlane

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used before greenfield.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-857

What is your name? - Name

Stephen Donovan

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used over the green belt for development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-858

What is your name? - Name

Stuart Cummins

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield e.g. Heyford should be utilised.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-859

What is your name? - Name

Sue Willis

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used e.g. Heyford Park over the green belt and that the villages are beginning to merge. Notes there is not sufficient infrastructure.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-860

What is your name? - Name

Suzanna Tomlin

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Opposes to development on greenfield when Upper Heyford brownfield could be used.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-861

What is your name? - Name

Tim Cooper

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield at Heyford should be used as it is ugly and its history not well known.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-862

What is your name? - Name

Alex Peebles

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to its recreational value and lack of supporting infrastructure.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-863

What is your name? - Name

Tim Varrall & Ellen Higgins

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to development on farmland and green space.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-864

What is your name? - Name

Tracey Walker

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield land should be built on and villages kept as villages. Opposes Chesterton becoming a Bicester suburb.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-865

What is your name? - Name

Victoria Evans

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield should be used before considering greenfield areas. Notes development in villages causes them to lose thier identity and causes a loss of green space.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-866

What is your name? - Name

Wayne Crook

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield at Heyford Park should be considered.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-867

What is your name? - Name

Wendy Rees

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Brownfield sites should be used for housing.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-868

What is your name? - Name

Wes Montgomery

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests brownfield land at Heyford Park is reviewed for new homes in the local plan review.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-897

What is your name? - Name

Karen Howe

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bladon Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bladon Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Inclusion of site LPR2 goes against stated aspirations as it is not close to the village centre and states concern that the development will be an isolated settlement.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes proposed allocation LPR2 due to its isolated location and unlikelihood for integration with Kidlington.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Concerned that proposed developments in Kidlington are not taking into account traffic impacts for neighbouring villages outside Cherwell's boundary.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-898

What is your name? - Name

Anne Pearce

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

There is often a lag between government aspiration and that of the real world meaning local government plays catch up.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

The sites should be explored further to ensure houses are not being built at the expense of wildlife and access to green space. Objects to The Moors.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Proposed houses will be purchased by investors making young people living independently impossible.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Wages and cost of living are far apart. More people work from home so less employment sites are required. Suggests employment sites are swapped for housing.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

The current plan is more than enough and the impact should be considered first.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

See 45

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Kidlington has become a rat run and that the speed reduction at The Moors is not monitored. A bypass would help this.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-899

What is your name? - Name

Mrs J Manley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Yes.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

It needs to be longer as things change quickly and it will take a long time to get GP's.

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Infrastructure e.g. GP's, nurses, schools and more facilities at the Horton need to be in place before more housing development.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Use the Horton vacant buildings and spare land first.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Objects to more retail parks, the focus should be on town centre with one hour free parking.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

There should be more available for key workers.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-900

What is your name? - Name

Peter Manley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

nfrastructure e.g. schools, healthcare and facilities need to be in place before more housing is built.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

The derelict are of Horton hospital site.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Town centre should be the primary place for shops and objects to more retail parks.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-901

What is your name? - Name

Ian Jelfs

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests the use of more determined language to show stronger intent and explanation of how strategies will be achieved. Questions the high housing number and the use of Cat. A villages as well as promoting brownfield sites at Heyford Park for housing . A

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-902

What is your name? - Name

Terry Pearce

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Yes, to discount them from current and future plans- all green belt is precious and needs to be protected. The council is providing too many houses and Oxford's need has been exaggerated. The level of development will cause a negative impact on services and infrastructure. Objects to The Moors due to the flood risk, its recreational and biodiversity value, poor access and should be a LGS instead.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-903

What is your name? - Name

Mr & Mrs Keith Webster

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Kidlington is overcrowded and the roads are very congested. Objects to The Moors.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-905

What is your name? - Name

Maureen Kelly Miller

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

It should be considered permitting accomodation for the american colony away from Caversfield and relocate them at Croughton.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

It is incomplete, all land should have ID numbers on the maps. Agree with the text on PG223. Maps in appendix should be updated to include call for sites submission as it is not fully representative of land available at the town.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Questions where the Bicester land they own is on the maps.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Paddocks by the footpath on Fringford Road meeting Southwold Road, Bucester.

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-906

What is your name? - Name

Graham Willoughby

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to its recreational value. Kidlington needs more 2x bedroom estates and brownfield sites should be considered first.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-907

What is your name? - Name

Patricia Shaw

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to The Moors due to its recreational and biodiversity value as well as the lack of infrastructure to support development. The number of homes for Kidlington is overestimated and should not have to accommodate Oxford's need.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-908

What is your name? - Name

Barry Fenemore

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to The Moors due to its recreational and biodiversity value as well as the lack of infrastructure to support development.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-909

What is your name? - Name

David Broadley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Buckinghamshire Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Buckinghamshire Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Concern about land southeast of Wretchwick Green (LPR21a) and land adjacent to Symmetry Park north of A41 (LPR21b) impact on the traffic levels on the a41 and impact on local communities and other road users. Suggests that a strategic approach should be t

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-910

What is your name? - Name

Anne Davies

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Stratton Audley Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Stratton Audley Parish Council.

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

'Avoid' is too weak of a word in 'avoid unplanned development in the open countryside' and 'prohibit' should be used instead. Requests this policy is applied to gypsy and traveller sites as well. Welcomes clarification on a definition of 'local' and what 'local and community needs' are.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Questions if any specific rural sites can be ruled out?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There is a need for specific policies on solar installation on buildings and infrastructure this generates. The proposed zoning of employment and residential development will not support aim of reducing car use. On CP70 that new developments must not caus

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-911

What is your name? - Name

Nick Wyke

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Frampton Town Planning Ltd

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Tritax Symmetry Ltd Land Adjacent Symmetry Park

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

With regard to the 20% BNG requirement in draft policy, Tritax Symmetry comment that further clarity is needed on what constitutes an urban extension. Adds that the extent of the NRN Recovery Zone is broadly drawn, and its current status is not clear. Requiring development to achieve a 20% net gain goes beyond the requirements of the Environment Bill. Clarity is sought as to whether site allocation LPR21B: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park, North of A41 (Page 254) is within a designated Nature Recovery Zone.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Clarity is needed as to why a shortfall of 15ha has been identified in policy CP25 given the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment (2022) identifies a need for between 274 and 283ha of employment land? In order to encourage the development of employment land to meet regional and national needs it is advised that the figures referenced in policy CP25 are referred to as minimum development land areas. The area of land within Tritax Symmetry's interest extends to 6.67 hectares, which differs marginally from the extent shown as proposed to be allocated in the draft local plan. It is requested that this land is allocated within the draft local plan allocation as it reflects a logical adjustment to the site boundary.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

The plan-led system is failing to keep up with the fast-changing nature of market demand and would welcome the opportunity to further engage on the identified evidence base that informs the employment needs within the draft local plan and how this translates

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

As Bicester is identified in the draft local plan as being a key commercial centre within the District, Tritax Symmetry consider it should remain a focus for employment development through the allocation of additional employment land as allocated in Core

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

The supporting text for this policy states "In addition, we want to support appropriate employment development on unallocated sites across, the District where there is a demonstrable need." (paragraph 3.123), this flexibility is supported by TSL.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Support the Bicester Area Strategy objectives. Fully supports allocation of land North of A41 in the draft local plan and are fully committed to bringing this site forward for employment development. .

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CP1 should be amended to: Part IX of policy CP1 refers to minimising the effects of development on the microclimate through the provision of green infrastructure, including open space, water, planting and, where possible, green roofs. (Frampton's emphasis

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-912

What is your name? - Name

Anne Davies

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Piddington Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Piddington Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Avoid is too weak of a word in 'avoid unplanned development in the open countryside' and calls for this policy to be applied to the development of gypsy and traveller sites.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Questions if any specific rural sites can be ruled out?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There is a need for specific policies on solar installation on buildings and infrastructure this generates. The proposed zoning of employment and residential development will not support aim of reducing car use. On CP70 that new developments must not caus

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-913

What is your name? - Name

Tracey Wyse

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors as It is in the Green Belt with historic and heritage value. It is used for recreational benefit and will cause a detriment to wildlife, increase flood risk and traffic issues.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-914

What is your name? - Name

Jeana Cassidy

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to the proposed development as j9 of the M40 will increase traffic problems, overloading the A41. The proposed relief road will isolate Wendlebury and limit access to the village as well as increasing traffic volume through the village.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-915

What is your name? - Name

Linda Ward

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

CP46 should not include 'where possible' regarding 20minute neighbourhoods and CP44 should be retained unchanged, Green Belt revisions should not be sought.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes, the council should seek 20% and not accept less than 10%. It should include policies to enforce the delivery and maintenance of biodiversity. Policies should limit development in the Nature Recovery Network and nature space should be separated from recreational space.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, or only as a coordinated county wide exercise. Concern about the proposed expansion of Begbroke Science Park and the council should coordinate with other councils to reduce the risk of over provision.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Unallocated sites should be used for social housing or withdrawn from consideration.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The HENA should use the standard method and should not include a provision to meet Oxford City's unmet need. Concerned about meeting a 5yr housing supply.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Table 5 shows very little housing being provided in Kidlington but should show the entire Kidlington area and partial review sites. The windfall site number has not been justified and the 900 houses at Kidlington have not been justified .

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Priority should be given to providing social rented housing for Kidlington residents. Policies should be backed by penalties to ensure affordable housing is delivered and the % should not be lowered.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes but not at the cost of sustainability.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Supportive of the submissions by Kidlington development watch for Stratfield Brake, The Bury Moor Fiels and the Church Street Conservation Area.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

To achieve the aspirations, the existing green spaces e.g. land behind The Moors should be preserved. The 'green ring' proposed by Kidlington Parish council should be reinstated.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, the area will be well served by the 4400 houses in the partial review, The Moors and land South East of Woodstock should not be explored. Opposes to The Moors as there are no exceptional circumstances to release it from the Green Belt and has a recreational value.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Begbroke Science Park development should be limited to the original proposal.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

This should highlight why more Green Belt should not be released in Kidlington.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Support for improving public transport provision but notes the transport network is reaching its capacity and will be stressed by new development.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

The Green Ring proposal should be reinstated

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Support for reps on this submitted by Kidlington Parish Council, would welcome more of a night life in the evening for socialising.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

A policy to control redevelopment for apartments should be included and promotes a policy to tighten regulations on garden rooms destined to be repurposed as an airbnb.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-916

What is your name? - Name

Richard Cutler

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bloombridge Development Partners

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Blenheim Estate

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

It is unclear that the local plan is positioning the district within a long term strategy. Notes the proposed timetable seems lengthy and slow.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Broadly yes but the long text and long policies add unwieldly text making the plan difficult to reference. Comments many district wide policies are overly detailed and too prescriptive.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The LPR cannot downplay the needs and opportunities of economic growth.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

Land south of Oxford Technology Park.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

Oxford Technology Park would like to expand southwards and westwards. Notes a rolling HEELA update would be sensible.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

This approach is too narrow and does not reflect growth and innovation across the district.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

The policy is not consistent with the focus on strategic employment sites and risks development in the open countryside.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

Yes, as these services are integral to making employment sites work successfully.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

The policy requires clarification. It is important to be clear that new economic investment will be on the edges of towns, so rural areas.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Welcome the joint working with Oxford City and believes that there is a need to plan for more growth.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Agree with the proposals, and it is good to see Kidlington's increased role in the hierarchy being positively reflected. Notes adding in 'science North' would be beneficial.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Yes as it is becoming a high profile, high-quality centre of engineering excellence.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Kidlington should be recategorised as a higher order settlement. Questions the differentiation between 'Kidlington' and 'Kidlington area' should be made clearer. That CP76 should be more strategic, spatial and thought through, with maps for the proposals.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

No, it has not gone far enough. Notes the innovation corridor should be termed science North and that there is opportunity to allocate an extension of Oxford Technology Park.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Extension of Oxford Technology Park to deliver up to 800,000 sq ft of employment space.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Yes so the sites can deliver their economic potential without being held back by policy restriction.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

Yes to support long term sustainable growth.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Expanded Oxford Technology Park at Langford Lane.

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-921

What is your name? - Name

Tom Wignall

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Avison Young

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

National Gas

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CP46 should be altered to include reference to respecting existing site constraints including utilities.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-922

What is your name? - Name

Tom Wignall

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Avison Young

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

National Grid

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There is a NGET asset (400Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: Cowley-East Claydon) in the plan area and recommends that CP46 is altered to include reference to respecting existing site constraints including utilities.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-923

What is your name? - Name

Robert Bruce

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the benefits the area has on health and wellbeing.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-924

What is your name? - Name

Mr G L Tosti

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the impacts on mental health and the stress development will have on infrastructure and services.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Questions how the housing numbers have been justified so high compared to the standard method.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-925

What is your name? - Name

Ashleigh Denton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to it being in the Green Belt, the wildlife present and the impact on traffic in the area.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-926

What is your name? - Name

Mrs H Tosti

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to the impacts on mental health and the stress development will have on infrastructure and services.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Questions how the housing numbers have been justified so high compared to the standard method.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-927

What is your name? - Name

John Wakefield

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

The aspirations are very poor, and Green Belt land should be preserved for health and recreational purposes.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

No.

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

No.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-928

What is your name? - Name

Dale Tranter

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to its recreational value and wildlife present, as well as it being in the Green Belt.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-929

What is your name? - Name

Sophie Nicole Clarke

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to its recreational value.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-930

What is your name? - Name

Adrian Bettridge

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Tudor Hall School

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Tudor Hall School

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

There is opportunity to develop a denser housing mix at Canalside.

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Concern about LPR52 due to the proximity to the boarding school. Believes LPR52 is not consistent with Banbury's spatial strategy, CP30 and CP43 but if it is to go ahead, they would welcome landscaping to screen the housing development from the school.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-931

What is your name? - Name

Danny Kavanagh

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors LPR8a

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-932

What is your name? - Name

Nick Lyzba

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Thomas Homes Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

On Bicester Gateway Site existing allocation that due to planning permissions, the site extent is larger than the existing allocation and should be reflected in the 2040 Local Plan. It is clear there is a need for housing in Bicester and that the housing

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-933

What is your name? - Name

Rachel Mold

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors LPR8a

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-934

What is your name? - Name

Andrew Lawson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to further housing in Chesterton, particularly development from Green Lane/Akerman Street to the A41. Questions why the traffic on the A41 needs to be improved as will cause more traffic. Questions the housing number and level of growth for Bicester

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-935

What is your name? - Name

J G Kille

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Comments alarm for the proposals, due to the large scale and visual impact, as well as pressure on traffic and services in Bicester which will reduce quality of life for residents. Requests proposals for Bicester are downsized.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-936

What is your name? - Name

Karen Fill

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Questions wording on P12 under Bicester subheading. Queries what a 'town centre first' approach means in practise for Bicester. There is nothing regarding the demographics which underlie types of housing needed in different areas, supportive of proposals

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-937

What is your name? - Name

Andrew Rein

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Campaign to protect old Woodstock

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Opposes to South-East Woodstock, due to impact on infrastructure, on Blenheim World Heritage site, on setting of Woodstock and increasing car travel. Details of each objection are in the representation.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-938

What is your name? - Name

Rosie Wilcock

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Concern on Cp11 about the declining local swift population and requests swift bricks are installed on all new developments, including extensions.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-939

What is your name? - Name

Malcolm Patterson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Swift numbers are declining and swift bricks should be included in all new houses and extensions to mitigate this

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-940

What is your name? - Name

Louise Crone

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors as it is accessible green space, protects the view of St Mary's church spire, would increase flood risk, pressure on services and congestion if built on, and destroy local wildlife

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-941

What is your name? - Name

Clare and Mike Harris

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors and questions where the pressure for extra housing is coming from. That The Moors is an abundance of wildlife and with the other development in Kidlington, facilities and infrastructure will be at breaking point.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-942

What is your name? - Name

Jane Parker

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

East West Rail

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

London Road crossing, Bicester use when EWR is operational will increase waiting times and congestion in the area, and alternatives are being considered to mitigate this. Welcomes the clear policy directgion for this area.
Requests clarification of the im

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-943

What is your name? - Name

Marcus Simmons

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The local plan should make a clear emphasis on Nature Recovery as vital in its own right, rationalise the order/number of policies and include some simple 'best practise' guidelines. Requests highly accessible map layers are made available to show relevant

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-944

What is your name? - Name

John Smith

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests Cherwell District Council to remove a plot o land which was the subject of a recent planning application by Elan Homes. Rep No LPR-A-229. Land off Stocking Lane, Shenington

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-945

What is your name? - Name

Janet Thurston

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

No

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

What is proposed for NW Bicester is massive and out of all proportion. It is far too close to Bucknell Village. It is agricultural land and should be kept for food production as with climate change we will need to be more self sufficient. The area south of Chesterton near the A41 is confusing. Chesterton is not Bicester yet seems to have Bicester's allocation of housing. Chesterton is a rural village and any plans for housing should be a minor development or infilling not what is suggested on the plan.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Biodiversity net gain is vital. Wild areas, Orchards, allotments, growing food should take preference over sports fields etc.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

People should use the shops but unless there is free parking or free parking for a good few hours then it is a waste of effort as parking charges puts people off and they go to where parking is free.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Would like to see Cherwell District Council build and own council houses.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

There are numerous unloved areas in and around Bicester. Areas of concrete or paving that could become community gardens with some planting , a bench , a tree. Designated cycle paths separate from the road.

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Encourage the town council to provide free parking.

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Any affordable housing built in the rural areas should be carefully managed for local people and not be allowed to be bought to used for holiday homes etc

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

It sounds good, limited development in open country side , sustainable travel options , does not cause damage to the environment , yet all this is contradicted for plans around Bucknell and Chesterton.

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-869

What is your name? - Name

Igor Dyson

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objection to The Moors due to its value as a natural and communal space. Focus should be on brownfield sites

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

The housing figures cannot be trusted as objective need.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-870

What is your name? - Name

Clare Haly

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

Concern about the size of proposals in Kidlington as it is stretched regarding access, traffic issues, Green Belt.

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to The Moors due to the impact development would have on community health and wellbeing, increase in pollution, damage to the Green Belt and natural habitat and flood risk.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-871

What is your name? - Name

Richard Sands

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Housing numbers should be revised to align with ONS projections to avoid excessive construction. Notes that aligning traffic infrastructure development with growth of new homes should be crucial and a prerequisite to granting planning permissions, includi

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-872

What is your name? - Name

Elizabeth Roberts & Mark Aspinwall

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Support the proposal from KDW for The Moors being allocated as a LGS.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to it being open countryside in the Green Belt, which is well used for recreational purposes and is rich in wildlife. Development would have a negative impact on the setting of St Mary's Church and the fields flood.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CDC should prioritise brownfield over green belt and green field land.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-873

What is your name? - Name

Ruth Power

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Support for a 20% BNG.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

In support of this.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

In support of brownfield over green field sites. Notes concern about the development of solar farms on green field sites- and opposes to this. Notes concern about traffic and services.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-874

What is your name? - Name

Stuart Vaughan

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Opposes to the additional houses proposed at NW Bicester due to the infrastructure in Bicester not being able to cope with the current traffic congestion and that services are also at capacity .

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-875

What is your name? - Name

Rebecca Chatterley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Ambrosden Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Ambrosden Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Proposals should be retained where still relevant.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

It will not allow villages to thrive if they become a suburb of Bicester.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Carbon free development should be enforced not just promoted. Car drivers should not be punished for having a car and charging extra for buses results in less uses. Villages do not go to out of town areas and there is little in Bicester to attract visitor

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Questions if all brownfield land at Heyford is being utilised. Greenfield sites should stop being built on as villages will lose their identity.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

Yes but it is sensible to also support infrastructure.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

All the executive style housing indicated higher paid jobs but the employment land around Bicester is predominately warehouse. New employment land should be further North. Due to covid home working means less buildings are required.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Employment should be less focused at Bicester.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Protect land currently allocated but refrain adding any more.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

They are relevant within reason.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

They are relevant within reason.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

Out of town shopping centres are killing town centres, which should be explored first.

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Yes.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

Yes.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Questions if this is what is actually needed or an arbitrary commitment.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

It should be more evenly distributed to all villages, smaller villages may need to expand to facilitate this. Notes attention should be paid to the actual facilities in the village, not what is assumed to be there. CDC should stop taking Oxford's unmet housing need and it should be fairly met by other districts and developers with permission should be followed up on to ensure they have started building.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Many houses in the village are transient because of the MOD and should be taken into account, especially as facilities are limited.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Supports, but questions how this will be upheld.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes but this is dependent on what the sacrifices are.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Cherwell should take more responsibility for them which are enforced in developments.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

People move to Bicester as they cannot afford to live where they work, taking housing away from local people. Do not build any more out of town shopping centres.

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

No, build houses with planning permission and stop building on greenfield.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

Utilise Graven Hill to its full potential and refrain from building any closer to the villages- they are losing their identity

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

Questions if they are needed. Warehousing should be closer to the motorway for access.

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

Brownfield sites with better infrastructure and roads in place. The site North of A41 will stretch the built area to almost touch surrounding villages which will be absorbed- their identity should be kept.

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

SE link road goes through a SSSI but Bicester needs a ring road. The road comes out on the wrong side of the M40 putting a bigger burden on J9.

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

Questions the point of transport schemes when there is nothing to travel into the town centre for.

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

Questions why Pingle Field was sold to Bicester Village if there is a need for playing pitches. Questions why the 800 houses for Symmetry Park is being put forward and not as a park area. Enhance the parks already in place and stop building on greenfield

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

Objects to plans for market square, traffic should be able to pass through for access to Sainsbury's. Queries if there is any scope for empty shops to open as co-operatives for smaller businesses to sell in a joint area. Could a larger building house seve

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Sounds reasonable, expansion here can only be a good thing.

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

4400 houses seems disproportionate, can this not be distributed to Heyford Park which is brownfield?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

They don't think additional sites are needed.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

Expanding Begbroke seems sensible.

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

Green Belt land should be untouched.

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

It is a sensible place for further employment as long as the road network is improved.

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

Seems sensible as long as it does not make travel impossible for car users.

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

Questions if there are any scope for improvement made to the Bicester Road to better connect Bicester and Kidlington.

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

Questions if providing a gateway to the village centre will limit cars entering the area, as this will kill the shopping centre.

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

Improving the road infrastructure can only be a good thing, as long as it has a minimum impact on greenfield land.

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

Questions if there is enough employment planned or in place to make it a service centre.

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

Should include the 800 houses planned for SE Bicester as Heyford Park is brownfield.

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

More thought is needed around driving access to Heyford Park.

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

People in villages will still drive so walking/cycling cannot be an expectation. Any rural development needs to be in villages with enough local services to support it.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

They appear sensible but are vague and more detail is needed to explain how a village would be considered sustainable and suitable. All villages should be considered for development.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Those villages with little or no development.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Finmere- the market is brownfield site which is underutilised.

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-876

What is your name? - Name

Sarah Kearney

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Wendlebury Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Wendlebury Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

LPR37A and LPR38 goes against the rural areas strategy as they do not protect the identity of the local community. The volume of employment goes past the communities needs. J9 cannot support current traffic levels and proposed development will worsen this. No development should be approved without appropriate mitigation provided to Wendlebury to protect from traffic damage.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

LPR37a and LPR38 do not fulfil SO12 as their location is not sustainable and will require car access. On Cp7 that proposed development at Chesterton will put Wendlebury at risk of flooding. Cp18, Cp47 and Cp48, LPR37A will remove public rights of way and

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-877

What is your name? - Name

Mitchell Barnes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Framptons Planning

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Facon Family

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

The figure quoted in CP34 does not match the housing supply level, it is 39 houses short.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

A requirement above 10% is not justified and should be removed.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Bloxham offers the most village services and hence sites should be allocated here to address the rural area housing need.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Painters Farm, for 110 dwellings, supporting information in representation.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-878

What is your name? - Name

Nicholas Wyke

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Framptons

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Tritax Symmetry Ltd (land east of M40 J9 & south of Green Lane Bicester

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CP1 should be amended to: Part IX of policy CP1 refers to minimising the effects of development on the microclimate through the provision of green infrastructure, including open space, water, planting and, where possible, green roofs. (Frampton's emphasis

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-879

What is your name? - Name

Alan Munn

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

West Northamptonshire Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

West Northamptonshire Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Recognition in the local plan that West Northamptonshire residents utilise Cherwell facilities is needed. Supportive that proposals for further allocation of land near J10 and J11 of the M40 have not been taken forward. Raises no objections to the spatial

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-880

What is your name? - Name

Alex Holloway

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors due to its recreational value and the negative impact on services.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-881
What is your name? - Name
Robert Beale
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Morgan Elliot Planning
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Landowners
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
In support of much of the draft vision but that the vision to reshape Banbruy, Bicester and Kidlington will draw Cherwell further away from the supported parts. Notes that Heyford Park does not include a train station, causing a carcentric transport link which conflicts with climate change targets. The vision should have a stronger focus on sustainability and delivering more sustainable development including reducing use of private vehicles. Considers growth at Banbury and Bicester to be disproportionate and promotes The Shipton's for development.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
The growth strategy does not encourage sustainable change and does little to address to housing crisis. Promotes The Shipton's for this.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

The spatial strategy positively aligns with the strategic objectives but the current strategies will pull Cherwell further away from true sustainability. Notes CP34 and CP35 need amending to achieve a settlement hierarchy and housing distribution which limits urban extensions. Promotes The Shiptons.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Promotes Shipton Quarry, supporting documents in the representation.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-882

What is your name? - Name

Julie Trinder

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bicester Town Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bicester Town Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There is a need for a green buffer between Bicester and all neighbouring villages and that the ecotown development is being compromised by proposals. Notes concern that active travel is not embedded through the plan enough and that this should prioritise

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-883

What is your name? - Name

Vanessa Maundrell

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to the SE relief road and development of industrial park adjoining the M40 due to the negative impact on Wendlebury regarding traffic.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-884

What is your name? - Name

Theresa Goss

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bloxham Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bloxham Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Any village development should meet the needs of existing residents and not adversely affect them, requires a mix of housing sizes. During CDC discussions with developers they should be made aware of shortfalls in village amenities. Villages should not be urbanised and where a development is in walking distance to a Cat. A village, this should count towards meeting development needs for that village, not the adjacent town. Questions why not consider spreading development over multiple smaller villages. Larger villages should be reviewed for their facilities and CDC should work with parish councils to understand the sustainability needs for specific larger villages.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There should be a period of time where a road map can be developed to address the shortfall in amenities and infrastructure as a precursor to future development. Requests an explicit acknowledgment that villages are no longer able to support new housing d

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No
LPR-C-885
What is your name? - Name
Paul Derry
What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation
Stantec
Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation
yes
Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of
Central Land Holdings
1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?
2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?
3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?
Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?
4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?
In support of the vision to provide a choice of well-designed market and affordable homes, but to achieve this a range of locations should be considered including in smaller villages.
5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?
SO12 aims to focus growth at sustainable locations and it should be recognised that this may include locations which do not fall within the appropriate category in the settlement hierarchy but are still suitable.
Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

In rural areas avoiding unplanned development is appropriate but this will only be possible if sufficient land is allocated for housing elsewhere.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

10% BNG should be a minimum but exceeding this should not be mandatory as it can affect viability.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

Yes, smaller settlements should be allocated a greater proportion of the housing distribution.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Smaller settlements should be considered regarding distribution of site allocations as due to the lack of constraints, these smaller settlements can often come forward quickly.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

There is a need for balance in policies to ensure a site is not made unviable due to unrealistic policy targets.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

Site allocation is an effective way to ensure the housing trajectory can be met. There is more scope for allocations around smaller villages.

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

There is more scope than that currently proposed for housing in the rural areas.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

The settlement hierarchy should recognise that the smaller settlements could benefit from additional housing, not allowing small settlements to grow means that these communities end up losing all services as there is an insufficient population to sustain them.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

Lane at Epwell Road, Shutford for 28-40 dwellings.

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?
66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?
Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?
Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?
Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-886

What is your name? - Name

Ian Middleton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

North Oxfordshire Green Party

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

North Oxfordshire Green Party

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

The HENA is over-inflated and is not supported. Questions Oxford's unmet need and that CDC should be using the standard method.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Traffic flow through Bicester should be limited and more walking/cycling should be encouraged.

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to The Moors, as it is valued by the community and should be retained as green belt and considered as a local green sapce. Requests the Skoda Garage site is considered for the impact on the conservation area, that any development enhances hedgerows brodering it, that Crown Road is made safer for pedestrians. The Exeter Close development site is not an ideal venue for a funair and should be reallocated.

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Carbon offsetting appears to be laying the groundwork for avoiding the need for zero carbon development. Notes that the vision should include improving water, electric and sewerage infrastructure to support developments and any large developments should i

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-887

What is your name? - Name

Theresa Goss

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Milcombe Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Milcombe Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Milcombe should be a small village and that there should be no further large developments due to the lack of facilities. There is no safe footpath between Milcombe and Bloxham.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-888

What is your name? - Name

Christine Coles

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Bodicote Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Bodicote Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

The demand for new housing should be constantly re-evaluated to keep development within what is necessary. spaces around settlements should be preserved.

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

Larger villages should not have to accept the bulk of housing. Decisions should take full account of previously approved developments, local needs, affordable housing and infrastructure- allowing villages to remain as villages.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Land south and south-west of Bodicote where there is evidence of medieval ridge and furrow and west of Bodicote.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

There should be greater densification of the town centre and all properties should be occupied before new developments are considered.

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

Objects to land north of Wykham Lane as the lane is narrow and not suitable access for a development of this size, it would cause greenfield sprawl and have a significant visual impact on the villages. Not consistent with Banbury's spatial strategy, CP30

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

The draft plan is light on detail relating to the rural areas and where the 500 homes would be allocated.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests a more positive use of natural resources e.g. PV and ground source heat built into development plans. There should be no development without the corresponding infrastructure. Requests to be kept informed and consulted on Bodicote House progress.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-889

What is your name? - Name

Ben Fox

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Planware Ltd

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

McDonald's Restaurants Ltd

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

CP5 – Hot Food Takeaways: policy is unsound and is too restrictive. Object to CP5 for the following reasons:

A. The 5-minute exclusion zone is inconsistent with national planning policy

B. The policy is inconsistent, discriminatory and disproportionate.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-890

What is your name? - Name

Karen East

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Gosford and Water Eaton Parish Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Gosford and Water Eaton Parish Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

Opposes to the choice not to use the standard method and comments that this will make it harder to demonstrate a 5-year housing supply. Oxford City should be required to reexamine the housing they can provide within Oxford itself.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

There should be no more development in the Kidlington area particularly Gosford and Water Eaton, and no further release of the Green Belt.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-891

What is your name? - Name

Rachel Williams

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Oxford City Council

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

yes

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

Oxford City Council

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

Support for the 2040 plan period.

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

They welcome the commitment to help deliver Oxford's unmet need and welcomes the retaining of allocations from the partial review.

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

Welcomes the vision.

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

Welcomes the reference to meet Oxford City's unmet need.

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

In support of the figure being higher than the standard method and endorses the calculations in the document. Welcomes the support from CDC to meet Oxford City's unmet need.

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

They look forward to working in partnership to deliver policies.

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-892

What is your name? - Name

Lin Richards

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Requests that swift bricks are made mandatory in all new builds and extensions.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-893

What is your name? - Name

Elaine Barnes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objection to the extension of NW Bicester and the green buffer towards Bucknell as it will eradicate the countryside and is unnecessary. There is not enough infrastructure to support the plan.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-894

What is your name? - Name

Sarah Middleton

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objection to the 10,000 new homes proposed on Siemens site on Bicester outskirts due to the impact on Wendlebury, that there is no need for such development and there has not been adequate notice of the proposed site or relief road.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-895

What is your name? - Name

Susan Bentley

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Support for Langford Community Orchard and Gavray Meadows as LGS.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-896

What is your name? - Name

Trisha Lawes

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Objects to building on the Green Belt.

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-904

What is your name? - Name

Jane Kilsby

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

20% BNG should be a minimum, the stats on insect and species depletion are terrifying and consequences are damaging on health and food security.

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

No, there is plenty of unused premises which should be filled first.

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

Agree with approach for Banbury as long as existing economic sites are used before any new development occurs.

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

Canalside should be all residential, there is no need for economic use there.

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

Objection, all existing sites should be fully used.

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

They should not be necessary as it leads to employees paying higher than average prices for lunch.

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

Solar panels should be on all warehouse roofs and not in fields. Rural diversification should not include solar panel farms- the land should be clear for agriculture.

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

Agrees that no more out of town retail developments should be created. Gateway, Banbury could be demolished and used for housing. The town centre footprint should be reduced so South and North bars are for residential use not retail.

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

No- allow change of use to residential when there are vacant retail units.

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

All class E uses should be allowed to change to residential use no matter where they are.

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

In agreement.

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

Affordable should be genuinely low cost housing for rent.

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

Yes.

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Nethercote hamlet.

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

There are numerous opportunities in the town centre for flats above shops which the draft plan hardly mentions.

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

Support for keep Nethercote rural campaign and requests as specific statement regarding committing to protecting the rural status of the area.

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

Yes.

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-917

What is your name? - Name

Sylvia Teteris

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Strong objection to The Moors due to the recreational value.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-918

What is your name? - Name

Janine Freeston

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Objection to The Moors due to it being in the green belt, regularly floods, the impact on infrastructure and services.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-919

What is your name? - Name

Russell Grant

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Objection to The Moors as it is beautiful green land and regularly floods. There is a lot of wildlife present and poor infrastructure.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

LPR-C-920

What is your name? - Name

Janet Scanlon

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

Objection to The Moors due to it being a rich habitat and being idyllic countryside.

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions

Consultation Statement

Rep ID No

What is your name? - Name

What is your organisation (if applicable)? - Organisation

Are you submitting On behalf of another person or organisation? - Acting for another person/organisation

Please provide name of person or organisation you are representing. - On behalf of

1: Do you have a view on the Plan period?

2: How could we improve the presentation of the Plan?

3: Do you have any comments on our draft proposals for retaining/saving existing policies?

Do you have any additional comments on the Introduction Chapter?

4: Do you have any comments on the draft Vision?

5: Do you have any observations on our objectives?

Do you have any additional comments on the Plan Vision and Objectives Chapter?

6: Do you have any comments on our strategy?

7: Should we seek more than 10% biodiversity net gain if this means sacrificing other requirements?

8: Should we identify further land for employment?

9: We would welcome information from local businesses and landowners that would like to expand or potentially relocate. It will help inform an Employment Land Review and the further consideration of employment land needs.

10: Do you have any comments on our approach of focusing employment development on strategic sites at Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington?

11: What are your views on our proposed approach towards development at existing and allocated employment sites?

12: What are your views on our proposed approach towards new employment development on unallocated sites?

13: What are your views on allowing ancillary uses on employment sites?

14: What are your views on our proposed approach to rural diversification?

15: What are your views on our proposed approach to tourism development?

16: What are your views on our proposed approach to retail development and town centres?

17: Do you agree with the town centre and primary shopping frontage boundaries shown on the plans?

18: Do you agree that only within the primary shopping frontage area E use classes should be protected?

19: Do you have comments on the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment?

20: Do you have comments on our emerging housing distribution?

21: Are there any Parish Councils seeking a specific housing requirement for Neighbourhood Plans?

22: What are your views on our settlement hierarchy proposals?

23: What are your views on our suggested policy for affordable housing?

24: Would you support maximising the delivery of affordable housing, and in particular the delivery of more social rented housing, if sacrifices were made in respect of other requirements?

25: Do you agree with our approach for assessing the suitability of sites for travelling communities?

26: Would you like to propose any sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces?

Do you have any additional comments on Our Strategy for Development in Cherwell Chapter?

27: What are your views on our aspirations for the Banbury area?

28: Do you think these sites in the Banbury area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

29: Are there any alternative housing sites for Banbury you wish to suggest?

30: Are there other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Banbury?

Do you have any additional comments on the Banbury Area Strategy chapter?

31: What are your views on our aspirations for the Bicester area?

32: Do you think these sites in the Bicester area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

33: Are there any alternative housing sites for Bicester you wish to suggest?

34: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Bicester to accommodate new employment development?

35: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

36: Are there any other transport schemes that you think should be delivered at Bicester?

37: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes at Bicester?

38: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

39: Is there other green and blue infrastructure you think should be delivered at Bicester?

40: Are there any other measures we should be taking to improve Bicester town centre?

41: What are your views on our proposed approach to development proposals at Former RAF Bicester?

Do you have any additional comments on the Bicester Area Strategy chapter?

42: What are your views on our aspirations for the Kidlington area?

43: Do you think these sites in the Kidlington area should be explored further for potential allocation for housing?

44: Are there any alternative housing sites for the Kidlington area you wish to suggest?

45: Do you agree with the employment sites we have selected at Kidlington to accommodate new employment development?

46: Are there any alternative sites to accommodate housing and employment needs that you think are more suitable?

47: Should this Plan adjust Green Belt boundaries in the Langford Lane area in response to recently developed land?

48: Should land for employment use be identified at London Oxford Airport?

49: Do you have any comments on the transport schemes proposed for the Kidlington area?

50: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Kidlington area?

51: Do you have any comments on the green and blue infrastructure proposed for the Kidlington area?

52: Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the village centre?

53: Do you have any views on the areas of change identified?

54: Are there any other opportunity areas or sites that we should be including?

Do you have any additional comments on the Kidlington Area Strategy chapter?

55: Do you have any views on our aspirations for Heyford Park?

56: Do you agree with the local service role for Heyford Park proposed in Core Policy 3?

57: Do you think we should be considering employment uses alongside the potential allocation for more homes in the longer term at Heyford Park?

58: Do you have any comments on the potential allocation at Heyford Park?

59: Do you have any views on the principle of phased development at Heyford Park subject to implementation of the approved masterplan and the delivery of transport infrastructure?

60: Are there any other areas of land that you think should be safeguarded for transport schemes in the Heyford area?

Do you have any additional comments on the Heyford Park Area Strategy chapter?

61: Do you have any views on our aspirations for our Rural Areas?

62: Do you support our preliminary proposals for housing in our rural areas?

63: Are there any potential rural housing sites you wish to suggest?

64: Do you know of any potential new rural employment sites?

Do you have any additional comments on the Rural Areas Area Strategy chapter?

65: Do you have any comments on these measures?

Do you have any additional comments on the Implementing the Plan Chapter?

66: Do you have any comments on the appendices?

Do you have any comments on the supporting technical evidence?

Do you have any additional comments on the Draft Local Plan Review?

Summary comments of all questions