









































Appendix 13 - Extended Summary of Representations

| Rep ID No. | Date Received | Respondent                       | Organisation/Company           | Responding on behalf of (where applicable) | Question 1: Purpose of this Document - What planning policies might we need to help us if COVID-19 persists? What lessons can we learn from the Cherwell Local Plan Review?   | Question 2: Identification of Issues and Needs - What evidence do you think the Council needs to prepare the Cherwell Local Plan Review?   | Question 3: District Wide Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the district-wide issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?  | Question 4: Banbury Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Banbury issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?   | Question 5: Bicester Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Bicester issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?   | Question 6: Kidlington Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Kidlington issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?  | Question 7: Heyford Park Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the Heyford Park issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?      | Question 8: Rural Areas Planning Issues - Do you have any observations on the rural issues we have identified? Are there any others you think we should consider?  | Question 9: Key Themes - Do you agree with the Key Themes identified? Are there other Key Themes the Plan should address?   | Question 10: Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you think we should consider?   | Question 11: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you think we should consider?  | Question 12: Healthy Place-shaping - Do you have any observations on the issues we have identified for this theme? Are there any others you think we should consider?   | Question 13: Establishing a Vision and Objectives - Do we need a new vision for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040? What should be its key objectives? | Question 14: Call for Sites - Do you wish to propose any sites for the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2040?  | Question 15: Preparing the Plan - Do you have any comments specifically on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report that accompanies this consultation? | Question 16: Methods of Engagement - Are there any specific methods of engagement you would like us to consider in preparing the Local Plan?   | General comments |  |                                 |  |  |
|------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| PR-A-255   | 14/09/2020    | Paul Troop                       |                                |  | Encourage walking and cycling, discourage motor vehicles for short trips and reallocate roadspace to people. This will clean the air, encourage exercise, allow social distancing. Bicester should make more use of its public spaces. The Market Square should be completely pedestrianised and used for a market, not a carpark and traffic junction.   | How Dutch towns and villages are designed for people not for motor vehicles.   | There is insufficient attention given to biodiversity and need for safe intra-town cycling routes (greenways) to allow commuting by active travel rather than public transport.  |   | There has been a focus on building busy fast roads which are ruining Bicester. We need slow, safe roads, and wide, safe pavements and cycleways with priority for walkers and cyclists, not motor vehicles. The Oxford Road playing fields need to be preserved as there is a significant lack of green space in Bicester centre. |  |  |  | Broadly agree.  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-256   | 14/09/2020    | Michael Fraser                   |                                |  | It is clear that a large proportion of the working population are able to work productively from home. This should have a beneficial impact on no longer requiring policies that houses must be located close to places of work (as we have seen in the Partial Review debate). When calculating the number of houses required based on 'economic growth', for example, the overall number should be moderated by a method that | Evidence should be used to determine actual need. Use evidence based policymaking, not the policy-based evidence-making that was used for the Partial Review, that has resulted in a significant excess of housing being approved against actual need.   | Avoid removing green belt or ensuring there is not a greenfield-first approach to building and take seriously the climate emergency.   |   |   | Believes the Council is turning Kidlington, Yarnon and Begbroke into an urban environment, and representations for the Partial Review were ignored and questions whether people should participate in subsequent consultations.  |  | Believes that the Council is planning to ruin the rural area in the south of the district, in cooperation with other district councils, and led by Oxford City Council. Change the current policy of greenfield-first building.  |   | The representation states, 'Well, you have not attempted to address the challenge so far, having ignored it entirely during the Partial Review, so I guess it would require a political change of Council to ensure this happens in the future.'   | The representation states 'Please desist from the term "place-shaping" or "place-making" since it often suggests the location for your building plans is a formless void, awaiting intervention from the Divine Architect Consultancy and their magic combination of spreadsheet and Google maps. The reality is that many of the places which you wish to shape are have been perfectly viable places, whether rural or semi-rural, for | The representation states 'An independent (truly independent, not the Inspectorate) review of the Partial Review would be good, and maybe you could learn some lessons about what genuine consultation, and response to consultation, actually means in a democracy, rather than responding only to landowners, developers and (some) politicians.' |   |  |  | Claims that the consultation is appealing to landowners to submit sites in the Green Belt and supporting the University. Previous correspondence with the university is highlighted. The Council should listen and actually change plans in response to residents' feedback. |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-257   | 14/09/2020    |                                  | Roscom Strategic Land          | Christopher Gasson                         |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-258   | 14/09/2020    |                                  | Roscom Strategic Land          | Hugh Robert Nathaniel Stille               |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-259   | 14/09/2020    |                                  | Bloombridge LLP                |  | There is little point try to guess the trajectory of COVID-19. The economy and education are probably the two most important strategic considerations for our country, alongside the direct health consequences for sections of the community.  | The economy is probably the lead indicator for land use planning so Cherwell needs to have cutting edge evidence on this, informed by stakeholders active in business and the market.  | The plan needs to provide a reasonably certain framework for strategic planning, but flexibility also needs to be built into the plan, through a specific policy, to enable Cherwell to respond to the uncertain outlook with agility. |   | Many investors found the Bicester Master Plan to be exceptionally helpful in setting a strategic framework for growth in the town. It would therefore be worth revisiting and updating this document to ensure it continues to set a vision for investors and employers to follow.  | This is the plan where the status of Kidlington as Cherwell's "third settlement" (rather than a village) needs to be accepted and planned for. The significant change adopted with the Partial Review has changed Kidlington. There are still constraints and opportunities, but the case for comprehensive planning is now even stronger (and somewhat urgent). It is unclear what benefits the Partial Review has offered Kidlington and the surrounding villages and this is a missed opportunity. Kidlington, in particular, needs to return to the visionary, early work around the Alan Baster Master Plan, which linked growth to a series of community benefits, including improvements to the centre. Kidlington must have a plan of its own and a status that reflects the size of this settlement and its strategic location on the outskirts of Oxford. It can benefit from more growth. |  | Growth should be focused on Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington in order to protect the rural areas.  | A key additional theme needs to be flexibility. This is essential for creativity and innovation (planning cannot predict the market but it can provide a framework for change). | The plan needs to be much more positive on economic measures. It needs to engage with market and business stakeholders and specifically (in policy) provide for some discretionary flexibility as a response to uncertainty. Too often planning applications for something innovative receive a policy objection |  | A vision for Kidlington, which also needs to be raised in profile as Cherwell's "third settlement".   | Site promotion - Land west of Sibford Road, Hook Norton<br>Site promotion - Land south of Milton Road, Adderbury  | 1. Requests a clearer and more transparent decision making matrix for site selection. Each site should be scored in a transparent way and decisions made based on these scores.<br>2. Site promoters should be given the opportunity to address any 'red flags'.<br>3. The 800m walking distance (10 minutes) could be nuanced slightly such that 1200m gets a score of a similar score could be achieved if the majority (but not all) of a site is within 800m of a school or public transport.<br>4. The role of the Kidlington Rapid Transit should also be upgraded in sustainability terms. This is not an ordinary bus service, given the regular, high quality service, so accessibility to it should receive an additional score.<br>5. Reference is made to Grade 3 land generically. It is usual to | Cherwell should engage directly with the development industry and market.  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-260   | 03/09/2020    | V N Smith                        |                                |  | Support efforts to provide new homes but object to some proposals. Infrastructure has been neglected including road and hospital provision. The public should be involved in all elements of planning that affect living conditions and the Plan should deal with the full range of issues.   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-261   | 11/09/2020    | Hubert East and Caroline Dickens |                                |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-263*  | 21/09/2020    |                                  | Frampton                       | Mr R Hall                                  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-264*  | 28/09/2020    |                                  | Althoson Rafferty              | Mr Clive Tredwell                          |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-265*  | 15/10/2020    |                                  | ID Planning                    | Richard and Beverley Fisher German LLP     |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-266*  | 09/11/2020    |                                  |                                | Mr John Elkington                          |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-267*  | 18/12/2020    |                                  | See Group                      | CGIS Banbury Ltd                           |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-268*  | 19/04/2021    |                                  | HB Architects                  | John Andrew Hunter                         |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-269*  | 16/09/2020    | Belinda Ellis                    |                                |  | Importance of reversing the large decline in nature. The decrease in insect populations including bees threatens food production. Chemical insecticides should be prevented.  | New housing developments in Banbury and surrounding area impacted on infrastructure. High pollution levels such as in Henfield Way. Further developments should be limited with affordable housing being a priority. Council houses are needed. Houses should be built near employment areas to avoid long commutes. New homes should be built to the highest sustainable level. Modular and off-site construction, self |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  | No reference to the pressing need for planting trees. Importance of planting trees to suck up vast amounts of carbon dioxide from the air. Land should be identified for tree planting. Community gardens, orchards and re-wilding projects should be encouraged. The Council should work with Parish Councils and Community Action Groups to help to enact on the Climate Emergency.  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-270*  | 16/09/2020    |                                  | NHS Oxfordshire CCG            |  | COVID-19 distancing requirements led to many GP practices requiring more space to implement robust infection control procedures. Encourage greater use of ICT in caring for patients. Requirement for face to face appointments in the longer term is unknown.  | The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.   | The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.   | The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.  | The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.  | The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.   | The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here. | The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.   | The issue and action regarding community facilities and working with authorities included the Oxfordshire Clinical Commission Group under Rural Areas should be included here.  |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-271*  | 16/09/2020    |                                  | South Oxfordshire              |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-272*  | 23/09/2020    |                                  | Bicester Athletic Club         |  |   |  |  | An athletics facility is still required further to the aspiration in the current Local Plan. Bicester Athletic Club are currently renting use of a grass field from Bicester School however it is starting to become unfit for purpose, and will require the purchase of roof barriers in the region of £100k in order to continue to provide athletics training and competition into the future. Rugby and football have been considered as part of the Cherwell playing Pitches Strategy but athletics was not included. An athletics track was earmarked within the original plans for Kingmers and it has not been made a condition of the residential permissions that have been approved for Bicester. Existing facilities in Bicester are more appropriate for senior athletes whilst the Club caters mainly for the junior end of the sport. A high end eight lane all weather athletics facility with associated jumping and throwing facilities, changing and storage facilities would be fantastic. Whilst a |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  | Thank you for the invitation to |  |  |
| PR-A-273*  | 30/09/2020    |                                  | Middleton Stony Parish Council |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |
| PR-A-274*  | 01/10/2020    |                                  | Duns Tew Parish Council        |  |   |  |  |   |   |  |  | Duns Tew is covered in a Neighbourhood Plan and this should be reflected in the new Plan. Future infilling development should be affordable. Parking and traffic management issues in Duns Tew. Safety issues over the use of the bus service and that a path should be provided. Concern over the 4 way junction of North Aston Road with the A4260. Increase in traffic due to |   |  |  |   |   |  |  |  |                  |  |                                 |  |  |

\* denotes late representation