This report is public				
Infrastructure Funding Statement 2024-25				
Committee	Executive			
Date of Committee	2 December 2025			
Portfolio Holder presenting the report	Portfolio Holder for Planning and Development Management, Councillor Jean Conway			
Date Portfolio Holder agreed report	5 November 2025			
Report of	Head of Development Management, Paul Seckington			

### **Purpose of report**

To seek approval of the Council's Infrastructure Funding Statement 2024-25 for publication by 31 December 2025.

#### 1. Recommendations

The Executive resolves:

1.1 To approve the Infrastructure Funding Statement 24-25 at Appendix 1 for publication by 31 December 2025 subject to any final changes considered to be necessary by the Head of Development Management to secure accuracy in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Planning and Development Management.

# 2. Executive Summary

2.1 The council has a statutory obligation to publish an annual Infrastructure Funding Statement for the previous financial year by 31 December each year. Mandatory reporting requirements include information on section 106 contributions secured, received, held and spent. The 24-25 Infrastructure Funding Statement replicates the format of previous years and the data has been supplied from Planning and Finance records.

# **Implications & Impact Assessments**

Implications	Commentary
Finance	Work on the IFS has been completed in collaboration with the Finance team and the s.106 figures have been agreed. The work has been produced within existing budgets.  Kelly Wheeler, Finance Business Partner, 5 November 2025
Legal	There is a statutory requirement to publish an IFS that meets prescribed requirements and Planning Officers consider that the

				esented to meet those requirements is, in accordance
	with guidance, the most robust available at this time.			
Diels Menegement				ill, Head of Legal Services, 5 November 2025
Risk Management	Within the IFS, it is explained that the statement has been produced on the best information available at this moment in time. The data it			
				wn from current records which may change or be
				publication of the IFS mitigates potential reputational uncil as well as potential challenge for failing to meet
				uirement. This and any other risks that may arise will
				ccordingly by the services and escalated as and
			_	ry to the Leadership Risk Register.
				eeling, Performance Team Leader, 5 November 2025
	00	4		Commentary
Impact	۵		e e	, commontant
Assessments	Positive	iral	Negative	
	osi	Neutral	eg	
	٩	Z	Ž	
Equality Impact		<b>✓</b>		There are no implications arising from the gathering
				and publication of this date.
A Are there any		<b>√</b>		Not applicable
aspects of the				
proposed decision,				
including how it is delivered or				
accessed, that				
could impact on				
inequality?				
<b>B</b> Will the		<b>7</b>		Not applicable
proposed decision				
have an impact				
upon the lives of				
people with				
protected				
characteristics,				
including				
employees and service users?				
Climate &		<b>7</b>		Not applicable
Environmental		V		140t applicable
Impact				
ICT & Digital		<b>7</b>		Not applicable
Impact		_		
Data Impact		<b>✓</b>		Not applicable
Procurement &		<b>7</b>		Not applicable
subsidy			! -	aniarities for 0005 0000
Council Priorities			-	priorities for 2025-2026:
			_	at meets your needs
			_	environmental sustainability
			•	sing economy with strong and vibrant local centres
	•	realtr	ıy, re	silient and engaged communities

Human Resources	N/A
Property	N/A
Consultation & Engagement	Councillor Jean Conway, Portfolio Holder – Planning & Development Management

# **Supporting Information**

## 3. Background

- 3.1 The Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS) is published annually, and details developer contributions secured either to mitigate the impact of approved development or for which there has been a transaction during the financial year.
- 3.2 The publication of a Statement is a statutory requirement for the Council arising from changes to the Community Infrastructure Regulations (the Community Infrastructure Levy)(Amendment)(England)(No.2) Regulations 2019 and the Council's first IFS was published in 2020.
- 3.3 Any council which receives a contribution from development must prepare an Infrastructure Funding Statement. The Council only reports on the contributions it receives. It is for Oxfordshire County Council to report on its own contributions, such as those for highways and education.
- 3.4 Local Planning Authorities continue to be required to keep a copy of any Planning Obligation, together with details of their modification or discharge, and make these publicly available on their planning register (i.e. the Council's Public Access System) and Local Land Charges Register.
- 3.5 An Infrastructure Funding Statement should include a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Report (where relevant) and a Section 106 Report which refers to the section of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 under which developer contributions are sought. As the Council has not introduced a CIL, the Council's IFS includes only a Section 106 report.
- 3.6 The IFS at Appendix 1 covers the period 1 April 2024 to 31 March 2025 and has been prepared to meet regulatory requirements. It includes data reporting beyond the statutory minimum.
- 3.7 The IFS does not take into account allocations and transactions undertaken since 31 March 2025 nor all payments owing to services/infrastructure providers for the forward funding of infrastructure delivery and/or maintenance. It should also be noted that the IFS reflects a moment in time and that there may be cases where the data will be updated.
- 3.8 The data return has been produced following national guidance. There are three broad categories of data collected:

- 1. developer agreements details of those agreements entered into during the reporting year.
- 2. developer agreement contributions those individual obligations or sums within an agreement assigned to particular purposes/specified categories (e.g. community facilities, affordable housing, monitoring fees).
- 3. developer agreement transactions those that are secure `secured`, `received`, `allocated`, `transferred`, `spent` or `returned` with the amount specified.
- 3.9 There is not a requirement to republish historical data other than for contributions previously received that remain unspent.
- 3.10 Where the Council passes funds onto 3rd party bodies, this should be on the condition that they will provide information back to the Council on how contributions have been spent that reported year and how they intend to spend future contributions.
- 3.11 The IFS should be used to feed back into reviews of plans to ensure that policy requirements for developer contributions remain realistic and do not undermine the delivery of the plan.
- 3.12 The guidance recommends that authorities report on the delivery and provision of infrastructure where they are able to do so in order to give communities a better understanding of how developer contributions have been used to deliver infrastructure in their area.
- 3.13 It also acknowledges that data on developer contributions is imperfect, represents a snapshot in time and can be subject to change. However, the Statement published should contain the most robust data available at the time.

#### 4. Details

- 4.1 The key messages from this year's report are as follows:
  - 1. Total developer contributions secured in 24/25 was £6,247,080.20, a decrease from the previous year which totalled £11,529,633.25.
  - 2. 555 affordable dwellings were secured, down from 620 the previous year.
  - 3. Total contributions received were £3,574,501.75, compared to £1,167,340.94 received the previous year.
  - 4. Total contributions spent were £1,518,646.95, compared to £857,514.69 the previous year.
- 4.2 The current "unallocated" figure is £84,245.24 which relates to a single unidentified historic contribution. All monies secured during 23-24 were allocated and further detailed examination of historic records will continue to identify these "unallocated" legacy funds.

4.3 Members are invited to approve the IFS to meet the Council's statutory requirements.

## 5. Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

5.1 The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below.

Option 1: Not to bring forward and Infrastructure Funding Statement. This is not a lawful option and would leave the Council in breach of its statutory duty.

Option 2: Seek review of the key statistics and proposed Infrastructure Funding Statement. Officers consider that this is not required as the information is the most accurate and available at this time.

#### 6 Conclusion and Reasons for Recommendations

- 6.1 The publication of an Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS) is a statutory requirement that provides transparency and accessibility to information about developer contributions secured by the Council through Section 106 Agreements.
- 6.2 The report has been produced in collaboration with Financial Services and the information contained within it is considered to represent the most robust data available at this time.

#### **Decision Information**

Key Decision	No
Subject to Call in	Yes
If not, why not subject to call in	N/A
Ward(s) Affected	All

#### **Document Information**

Appendices	
Appendix 1	Infrastructure Funding Statement 24-25
Appendix 2	Infrastructure Funding Statement 24-25 Appendices
Background Papers	None
Reference Papers	Previous Infrastructure Funding Statements: <a href="https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/info/115/planning-process/766/infrastructure-funding-statements">https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/info/115/planning-process/766/infrastructure-funding-statements</a>

Cherwell District Council

Report Author	Sean Tilbury, Senior Enforcement Officer
Report Author contact	Sean.tilbury@cherwell-dc.gov.uk, 01295 221503
details	
<b>Executive Director</b>	Executive Director for Place & Regeneration, 5 November
Approval (unless	2025
<b>Executive Director or</b>	
<b>Statutory Officer report)</b>	