## **Appendix 7: Press Releases**



# New Cherwell Local Plan approved for final consultation

Residents will soon be asked to have their say on a vision for sustainable development in north Oxfordshire.

Published: Thursday, 5th December 2024



At a meeting on Thursday, 5 December, Cherwell District Council's executive approved the Proposed Cherwell Local Plan 2042 for consultation. When finalised, the Plan will help ensure that new homes, places of work and infrastructure are built in the right places.

The consultation is expected to be the last opportunity for people to make comments before the council decides whether to submit the Plan for its independent examination. The examination will focus on whether the Plan contributes to the achievement of sustainable development and if it has been prepared appropriately.

Councillor Jean Conway, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Development Management, said: "The new Local Plan will help the district accommodate development in the most sustainable locations while protecting the landscape and heritage assets, promoting nature recovery, and helping us achieve our climate action targets.

"This is the final consultation stage before we submit it to government for examination. During last year's consultation, the council heard a range of views on the Plan's strategy, sites, and infrastructure requirements, as well as on protecting the environment and retaining important gaps between towns and villages.

"The district already has a significant pipeline of development, which has given us a head start with this new Local Plan. It provides for 3,185 additional homes by 2042 and includes new landscape designations and important policies for town centre renewal, sustainable construction, and renewable energy."

Preparation of the Plan follows three formal public consultations in 2020, 2021 and 2023. This further consultation will begin in December and run for eight rather than

the usual six weeks. Residents and other interested parties will be available to view the Plan on the council's website and at public locations around the district.

The council will publicise the consultation and supporting exhibitions on its website and social media in the coming weeks.

Representations received by the council in response to the consultation will be sent to a government-appointed planning inspector when the Plan is independently examined.

#### Get the latest news direct to your inbox



#### Call for views on new Cherwell Local Plan

People can now submit comments on a vision for sustainable development in north Oxfordshire.

Published: Thursday, 19th December 2024

#### Cherwell Local Plan 2042

A vision for sustainable development



Cherwell District Council has launched a consultation on its Proposed Local Plan 2042. It runs for eight weeks from today, Thursday, 19 December, until Friday, 14 February 2025.

When finalised, the Plan will set out where new housing and infrastructure should be delivered in the years through to 2042. It also contains policies to help the district

meet the challenge of climate change, maintain a thriving economy, and create healthy communities.

**Councillor Jean Conway, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Development Management, said:** "Our aim is to protect Cherwell's heritage and natural landscapes in the years to come while meeting our housing and other needs. We also aim to play a positive role in climate action. How and where we deliver the housing and other development that our residents need is therefore critical, and our new Local Plan sets out just how we will do that up until the year 2042.

"The Plan includes vital policies on everything from biodiversity to tourism, regenerating our town centres and creating jobs to help our economy thrive and our residents prosper. We invite residents to take part and help us move forward with this positive vision for the future of their area."

Known as a Regulation 19 consultation, it is the final formal stage before the council submits the Plan to a government-appointed planning inspector for independent examination.

The Plan is available for consultation online at <a href="mailto:cherwell.citizenspace.com">cherwell.citizenspace.com</a>

People can also attend consultation events and meet the planning policy team as follows:

- Kidlington Exeter Hall, OX5 1AB, on Monday, 20 January, 3pm-7pm
- Bicester Littlebury Hotel, OX26 6DR, on Friday, 24 January, 3pm-7pm
- Woodstock Community Centre, OX20 1PB, on Tuesday, 28 January, 2pm-5.15pm
- Lock 29, Castle Quay, Banbury, OX16 5UN, on Saturday, 8 February, 11am 3pm

All representations the council receives will be sent to the Planning Inspector when the Plan is examined.

The council is launching the consultation before Christmas but extending the statutory consultation period by two weeks.



## Opportunity to meet planners at consultation events

People wanting to take part in the council's Local Plan consultation are invited to meet planning experts at a series of events starting next week.

Published: Thursday, 16th January 2025



Cherwell District Council is currently running a consultation on its Proposed Local Plan 2042, and, as part of it, events are taking place in Kidlington, Bicester, Woodstock and Banbury.

They are opportunities to ask questions about the plan-making process and find out more about the policies in the key council document.

**Councillor Jean Conway, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Development Management, said:** "When our new Local Plan is finalised, it will guide decisions on where new housing and infrastructure are delivered in the coming years. It also contains key policies to help us take action on climate change, support the local economy, and protect our heritage and natural landscapes.

"By their nature, Local Plans are very technical documents, so these events are a great chance to talk to the team in person and receive their help and guidance. Our hard-working officers have spent several years shaping the new Local Plan, gathering evidence to support it, and diligently working through the comments received during previous rounds of consultation to get it to this stage.

"The huge effort needed to put a Local Plan together can go unnoticed, and it is great that people will have the chance to speak face to face with officers before the Local Plan is submitted for examination by a planning inspector."

Details of the events are as follows:

Kidlington Exeter Hall, OX5 1AB, on Monday, 20 January, 3pm-7pm

- Bicester Littlebury Hotel, OX26 6DR, on Friday, 24 January, 3pm-7pm
- Woodstock Community Centre, OX20 1PB, on Tuesday, 28 January, 2pm-5.15pm
- Lock 29, Castle Quay, Banbury, OX16 5UN, on Saturday, 8 February, 11am 3pm

This consultation is the final formal stage before the council submits the Plan to a government-appointed planning inspector for independent examination.

The Plan is also available for consultation online, click below to visit. It runs until Tuesday, 25 February.

#### Get the latest news direct to your inbox



## Two weeks left to comment on new Local Plan

Residents in Cherwell have two weeks left to comment on a vision for the future of their area.

Published: Monday, 10th February 2025

## Cherwell Local Plan **2042**A vision for sustainable development



Cherwell District Council has prepared its Proposed Local Plan 2042 to direct new housing and infrastructure to the most sustainable locations in the coming years.

A formal consultation is running and will close on Tuesday, 25 February.

Councillor Jean Conway, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Development Management, said: "A tremendous amount of work goes into getting a new local

plan to this stage, and we are extremely grateful to everyone who has helped shape it so far, both in this and the previous three consultations.

"The Local Plan is a crucial tool to protect our natural landscapes and heritage, promote biodiversity, and further our climate aspirations. At the same time, a plan-led system uses the latest evidence about the housing and employment our residents need. It allows us to accommodate these needs in the most sustainable way.

"I encourage residents, businesses and partner organisations to respond to the consultation before it closes on 25 February."

The council has now run four well-attended public consultation events, with members of the public meeting staff from the planning policy team, who helped them with the Plan's proposals and how to submit their comments.

All representations the council receives will be sent to a government-appointed Planning Inspector when the Plan is independently examined.

## **Appendix 8: Articles on the Staff Intranet**



#### Staff update: 12 December

#### Hello everyone, and welcome to this week's update!

#### This week's big story

#### ••• Proposed Local Plan is approved by executive

We wanted to start this week with a big shout-out to our colleagues in the planning policy team<sup>1</sup> for all their hard work on the Local Plan as it reaches another milestone.

The executive approved the Proposed Cherwell Local Plan 2042 last week for consultation. When finalised, the Plan will guide how we use the land in our district, helping to ensure that new homes, places of work and infrastructure are built in the right places in a planned and sustainable way. It will help protect our countryside and support our communities.

We expect this consultation to be the final chance for people to provide feedback before we decide whether to submit the Plan for independent examination. The team will continue to be very busy with engagement and consultation activities in the coming weeks. Keep up the great work, and well done!

We will let you know when the consultation goes live.

While we're on the subject of consultation, please remember we have the residents' satisfaction survey and our 2025/26 budget consultation on Citizen Space<sup>2</sup>. Please share the news and encourage people to take part.

#### Keep an eye out for

#### ••• Christmas hours and bin collection day

As a reminder, our opening times and bin collection days<sup>3</sup> will see some temporary changes during the Christmas period.

If you're public-facing, please remember to set your out-of-office on Outlook. We'd also suggest popping our Christmas hours in your email signature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://intranet.cherwell.gov.uk/info/184/whos-who/662/communities---whos-who/2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://cherwell.citizenspace.com/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/info/5/your-council/656/council-services-at-christmas-and-new-year

Our intranet also has our business closure hours<sup>4</sup> for people working at Bodicote House, which details our early Christmas Eve finish.



#### • • • Christmas

#### The reason for the season

From sparkling lights on evergreen trees to the story of a star guiding wise men, many traditions we associate with Christmas are rooted in Christian beliefs. Each tradition carries a deeper meaning that speaks of Christianity.

Head to Viva Engage<sup>5</sup> and explore the significance behind the Christmas tree, the nativity, the star, and more - and discover why these symbols continue to inspire traditions around the world.

#### **Refuge donations**

We're pleased to share that last week's domestic abuse service drop-in event in Castle Quay was a success. The team offered support and advice for people with personal concerns or concerns about a loved one or neighbour. They received a few gift donations for victims in our local refuge and have enough for girls and women, but donations are lacking in toys and games for boys.

If you can help, the team requires donations that boys between 2 and 15 would enjoy. They also need labels, wrapping paper, sellotape, and gift bags.

Please spread the word or make your donations to Sarah Whitton before the end of next week.

<sup>4</sup>https://intranet.cherwell.gov.uk/homepage/127/itrent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>https://engage.cloud.microsoft/main/threads/eyJfdHlwZSI6IIRocmVhZCIsImlkIjoiMzEwNDI2MDEzMzcyNDE2M CJ9?trk\_copy\_link=V1\_

#### ••• What's happening on Viva Engage

Check out the BAK food truck's updated Christmas menu<sup>6</sup> and get your Christmas orders in! The giant Yorkshire Christmas dinner looks particularly tasty!

#### Office move

#### ••• Office equipment

The office relocation team have received several enquiries regarding what is happening to our current office equipment/furniture, etc, that will not be required at Castle Quay and is therefore surplus to requirements.

During the clearance process, we will work with partners, organisations and agencies to repurpose any surplus items for others to use, such as local charities and schools. There will also be the opportunity for various items to be made available to staff, and we will share more details about this early in the new year.

We want to reiterate that any disposal is a 'last resort' and will hopefully be reserved for items that are either broken, damaged, or beyond their usual lifespan.

We have also received a number of enquiries about our new postal address at Castle Quay; we have been liaising with the Post Office and anticipate being able to confirm details to staff before Christmas.

Finally, we would like to thank staff who have provided feedback on the proposed roll-out of the new large curved screen monitors planned for installation at Castle Quay. We appreciate 'one size may not fit all' and will provide an update on our plans for Castle Quay shortly.

#### **Team Cherwell success**

#### ••• Community heroes in action!

bur community wardens, have won awards for their outstanding work in tackling antisocial behaviour in Banbury.

Working with the Thames Valley Police Neighbourhood Team, they were recognised for their efforts during an operation in Bretch Hill that targeted antisocial behaviour and criminal damage, leading to prosecutions.

We shared this fantastic achievement on our LinkedIn page<sup>7</sup>, where they received glowing praise from the Chief Inspector and a Thames Valley Police sergeant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>https://engage.cloud.microsoft/main/threads/eyJfdHlwZSI6IlRocmVhZCIsImlkIjoiMzEwMTQzNDg4ODYwMTYw MCJ9?trk copy link=V1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>https://www.linkedin.com/feed/update/urn:li:activity:7270420333231280129



#### ••• A warm welcome, every time.

Our reception team truly embodies friendliness, making every morning greeting and evening farewell a delight for staff and visitors.

It's wonderful to see that our customers experience this same exceptional service. Just look at this Google review:

"The lady at reception has the friendliest smile and is very helpful. She answered my questions and went out of her way to sort out the problem I had."



#### **Depot digest**

#### ••• 12 Christmas recycling tips

With more waste generated in December compared to other months, it is worthwhile to remind ourselves what we should be recycling and why it's so important. The 12 tips for Christmas recycling<sup>8</sup> are here to help us all ensure we continue to recycle well as a district during the festive season.

<sup>8</sup>https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/info/10/rubbish-and-recycling/1029/christmas-collection-dates/2

# 1. Food waste 2. Foil 3. Cans 4. Glass bottles/jars 5. Plastic chocolate/bisculit tubs 6. Christmas trees 7. Wrapping paper 7. Christmas cards and envelopes 12. Waste Wizard 9. Cardboard packaging 10. Broken Christmas fairy lights 11. Batteries 12. Waste Wizard

#### It's looking event(ful)

#### ••• Dance for a cause!

You are invited to a **FREE** Zumba class at Grimsbury Community Hall on Wednesday, 18 December, from 6:30pm to 7:15pm.

Get ready to bust some moves, join in the fun, and take part in a raffle—all while supporting a great cause! Donations for Katherine House Hospice will be welcomed. For more information and contact details head to Viva Engage<sup>9</sup>.

Don't miss out—see you there!

#### ••• Staff briefing

Our next staff briefing will take place on Wednesday, 8 January, at the usual 10am on Teams. You should now have your calendar invite. Please ensure you have forwarded it to new starters in your team.

••• Office move

9 weeks to go!10

<sup>9</sup>https://engage.cloud.microsoft/main/threads/eyJfdHlwZSI6IIRocmVhZCIsImlkIjoiMzEwNDM4MzQwOTg4MTA4 OCJ9?trk copy link=V1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>https://intranet.cherwell.gov.uk/homepage/181/office-relocation

## **Appendix 9: Town and Parish Meeting Notes**

#### **Online LP Parish Council Briefing**

#### 4 February 2025, 6pm - 8pm

#### Present:

Cllr Mark Gerold - Adderbury

Cllr Diane Bratt - Adderbury

Cllr Chris Lane - Bletchingdon

Cllr Duncan Ledger - Bletchingdon

Clerk, Theresa Goss (Bloxham, Adderbury

& Milcombe)

Cllr Caroline Elmitt, Bodicote

Cllr Alan Tennant - Caversfield

Cllr Roger Shipway - Caversfield

Cllr Suzanne Wilson-Higgins – Gosford and

Water Eaton

Cllr Clive Stayt – Gosford and Water Eaton

Cllr Nigel Simpson – Gosford and Water

Eaton

Cllr Liz Sparrow - Hook Norton

Cllr David Slingo - Horton-cum-Studley

Cllr Helen Macbeth – Kirtlington

Cllr Briony Enser - Kirtlington

Cllr Simon Turner - Launton

Cllr Stephen Warrington - Swalciffe

Clerk, Stephen Bowen - Bourton

Cllr Sue Upton - Bourton

Cllr Sandi Turner - - Barford St John and

Barford St Michael

Cllr Susan Davis – Weston-on-the-Green

Cllr Diane Bohm – Weston-on-the-Green

**District Councillors:** Cllr Jean Conway, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Development Management, Cllr Chris Brant, Cropredy, Sibfords & Wroxton Ward

#### Officers:

- Introduction and welcome CC introduced herself and welcomed everyone to the Local Plan briefing session. CC introduced Cllr Jean Conway, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Development Management.
- 2. **Housekeeping –** all attendees to be on mute, raise your virtual hands for any questions. The briefing is not being recorded but the slides will be circulated afterwards.
- 3. **Presentation** CC slide 1 30; HJ 31 onwards. Slide 39 at 7pm Cllr Jean Conway left the briefing.

#### 4. Questions/comments from attendees

• Cllr Diane Bratt (Adderbury): If only Bletchingdon has been allocated a site does this mean every other Category A Parish is allocating their own sites?

CC –For those parishes with and preparing a Neighbourhood Plan it is a Government requirement that the Local Plan sets out a housing requirement in the new Local Plan. It would be up to the Neighbourhood Plan to set out where those homes go.

• Cllr Diane Bratt (Adderbury): Why only 30% affordable homes in large towns when these are where a lot of affordable homes are needed?

CC – There is only enough viability funding for 30% affordable housing. Have tested up to 50% but not viable.

Cllr Roger Shipway (Caversfield): Caversfield does not have good transport links.
 Referenced the hierarchy spreadsheet that gives points that don't bear any relationship to the categories that the villages have been put into.

CC – Category A settlements look at services and facilities. Category B is about the connectivity to those services and facilities. Caversfield is close to Bicester and has active travel routes.

- Cllr Roger Shipway (Caversfield): it is 1.5km to the nearest shop. You state 800m.
- Discussion between Cllr Shipway and CC on open countryside assessment. CC advised Cllr Shipway to submit a rep.
- Cllr Chris Lane (Bletchingdon): (sent a question in advance) whether the plan would be found sound because of the changes to the NPPF or need to start again. Led to believe you haven't got a 5YHLS and therefore will need to reconsider.

CC – The new 5YHLS calculation was taken to Executive last night with the Annual Monitoring Report, and the current position is 2.3 years. This was calculated using the new standard method figure of 1118 houses per annum. We have to use the stand housing figure until a new Local Plan is in place. Going through new figures it is our conclusion that the annual requirement in the Local Plan should be 911 which is within 20% of the 1118 figure. On this basis we are allowed to proceed with the Local Plan. Legal advice says that we can continue through the process because of the transitional arrangements. One of the tests of soundness is that we need a 5YHLS from the date of adoption and we have made our calculations for the Local Plan on that basis. The difference is in the interim we have to use the main standard housing method of 1118 which gives only a 2.3 year supply, so until the plan is adopted there may be issues with speculative development coming forward.

Cllr Alan Tennant (Caversfield): Concern raised re transport and traffic impacts.
Getting to the town centre – 2 miles away, most people have to drive. Any further
Category B housing would add to the traffic problem, then the employment and North
West Bicester housing will bring further transport and traffic. The place will become
gridlocked and I do not think that is reflected in the Local Plan. The Local Plan does
not include Puy du Fou and that will add about 2.5k cars in the Summer which is not
adequately reflected in the Local Plan.

CC – Transport modelling is carried out to support the plan as part of the evidence base.

MGD – understand concerns of congestion. Cannot put all of the transport evidence in the plan itself. There are transport policies and schemes we are proposing and there are also Bicester, Banbury and Heyford topic papers so that when Oxfordshire County Council models traffic, we include information on development that has been completed within the period of the plan to 2042 plus what is already happening, then consider applications that have been approved but not completed. Advised Cllr Tennant to liaise with the DM Planning process.

 Cllr David Slingo (Horton-cum-Studley): thank you for your presentation. Has the grey belt resulted in any change in the Local Plan. CC – no because the changes were bought in before Christmas (grey belt in new NPPF) and this plan will be covered under the old (2023) NPPF. It may be that grey belt will be relevant for planning applications. We are still waiting for Government advice on the definition of the grey belt.

• Cllr Sue Upton (Bourton): where there is a need for rural development and windfall sites are mentioned, and in the past rural exception sites have been received, whether in the new plan they will be looked at more favourably.

CC – there is a policy in the plan on how rural exception sites are considered.

Cllr Stephen Warrington (Swalcliffe): thank you for the presentation. Seeking
clarification on the settlement hierarchy. In the 2015 adopted plan there was a table
that showed the Category A, B and C, and a column 'name of development'. In the
topic paper 'type of development' is not mentioned and the Local Plan does not make
any reference to the categories.

CC – the policy is at the beginning of the plan Policy SP1 shows settlements listed and the types of development. (HJ commented page 24).

• Cllr Roger Shipway (Caversfield) we like the green areas you are putting round villages.

MGD – acknowledged as strategic gaps. Please say so in a rep.

CC – in terms of your rep say what you do not like but also what you support.

CC – I encourage you to make your reps on behalf of your parish or town councils. The last exhibition on Saturday is at Lock 29. Before you make a rep if you have any questions or queries, please contact us.

19:40 - thank you and look forward to seeing your reps on the 25<sup>th</sup> which is a hard deadline. Appreciate your submission on time.

Meeting Close

## **Appendix 10: Cherwell Parish Bulletin Emails**

From: Parishes

Sent: 16 December 2024 17:45

To: DL CDC Members; DL CDC CLT

**Subject:** Cherwell Parish Bulletin\_16 December 2024

Attachments: 09\_12DW\_Wild Ideas.pdf

**Importance:** High

**Dear Councillors and CLT Members** 

Please see below the December edition of the Parish Bulletin, which will shortly be sent to all Cherwell parishes.

The next issue is scheduled for 20 January 2025.

Best regards

Law & Governance Cherwell District Council

Cherwell District Council, Bodicote House, Bodicote, Banbury OX15 4AA W: www.cherwell-dc.gov.uk F: www.facebook.com/cherwelldistrictcouncil T: @Cherwellcouncil

#### To: All Cherwell Town Councils, Parish Councils and Parish Meetings - 16 December 2024

**Dear Colleagues** 

Please find below the latest update on issues that will be of interest to you or your residents.

Here's a list of the items in this month's bulletin:

- Message from Gordon Stewart, CDC Chief Executive
- Community Food Grant
- REPF Community Growing Spaces
- 12 Days Winter Wild
- CDC Council & Committee Meetings

I urge you to forward this update to all your councillors and encourage them to forward to any group or individual who might benefit from the information. We know how much correspondence Parish Clerks receive so we try to keep this bulletin brief and relevant. We always welcome your feedback on the format and content of Parish Bulletin.

The next scheduled Parish Bulletin will be on 20 January 2025.

Best regards

Law & Governance Cherwell District Council

Cherwell District Council, Bodicote House, Bodicote, Banbury OX15 4AA
W: www.cherwell-dc.gov.uk F: www.facebook.com/cherwelldistrictcouncil T: @Cherwellcouncil



### Message from Gordon Stewart, CDC Chief Executive

#### A Christmas message and a year of reflection

As we approach the festive season, I wanted to take a moment to reflect on a remarkable year for Cherwell District Council and the vital role we've played together in supporting our communities.

This year marked my first as Chief Executive, and what a year it's been!

The floods and storms have tested our resilience on several occasions, especially in recent days and weeks. These events saw us witness firsthand the strength of our communities. However, the complex landscape of responsibilities across different partner organisations can be confusing and frustrating. We remain committed to working with you and all our parish councils to ensure you have the information you need to be prepared.

We also celebrated a significant milestone of 50 years as a district council on 1 April. It was a moment to honour our progress over the decades and reaffirm our commitment to building a better future for Cherwell.

Looking ahead, we are on the cusp of our move to Castle Quay in February 2025, which will mark a new chapter for the council, offering a modern, vibrant space better suited to serve our residents and foster collaboration. This relocation represents our commitment to innovation and efficiency and ties into the transformation programme we've embarked upon.

This year, we have been developing our new vision to be "a modern council inspiring and enabling positive, lasting change". This will guide us in shaping a Cherwell that meets the

needs of residents and empowers our people to help us deliver real benefits for all of our communities and parishes. This, in turn, has shaped our transformation programme, which has been a significant focus for us this year. This is about ensuring we remain financially sustainable as a council in the future while reimagining how we deliver services. This is not just about making savings; it's about creating a council that's equipped to thrive in the future.

As the year draws to a close, we are also running essential consultations that will help shape the district's future. These include the residents' satisfaction survey, the 2025/26 budget and local plan consultations. Your engagement and support in these discussions will be invaluable as we ensure that every voice is heard. Please signpost people to <a href="mailto:cherwell.citizenspace.com">cherwell.citizenspace.com</a> to take part.

Finally, I want to thank you for being our partner throughout 2024. Your dedication to your communities is truly inspiring, and it's been a privilege to work alongside you. As we celebrate the holiday season, let's look forward to the opportunities and successes the new year will bring.

On behalf of everyone at Cherwell District Council, I wish you a wonderful Christmas and New Year.

#### **Community Food Grant**

Cherwell District Council has launched its latest round of Community Food Grants, available for not-for-profit groups to provide food or develop community food activities involving cooking, growing or nutrition/food education.

The 2024/25 round of funding opened on December 2<sup>nd</sup>. Applications will close on January 17<sup>th</sup> for projects starting at the end of February/beginning of March; further rounds may be available if funding hasn't all been allocated.

Community groups can apply for a grant of up to £2,000 to use as capital or revenue to support in the running of food related projects which directly link to the Cherwell Food Action Plan. Applicants don't have to be currently offering community food provision, storage or activities to apply, you can use the funds to create an additional food related service. You can find further information and an application form on our website: <a href="https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/info/118/communities/1143/community-food-grant">https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/info/118/communities/1143/community-food-grant</a> For further information please contact Libby Knox <a href="https://www.cherwell-dc.gov.uk">https://www.cherwell-dc.gov.uk</a> or <a href="https://www.cherwell-dc.gov.uk">Healthyplaceshaping@cherwell-dc.gov.uk</a>

#### **Community Growing Spaces**

A Reminder that applications are still open for Community Growing Spaces. CDC secured some funding from the Rural England Prosperity Fund to support the set up (or possibly, improvement or expansion) of growing spaces based in rural/village locations across The district. Community gardens can provide a wealth of benefits to residents and spending time outside in nature can significantly improve a person's wellbeing. Community growing spaces are also a valuable resource where individuals can grow their own food and learn new skills. Proposals need to be submitted by January. Please get in touch with <a href="mailto:Healthyplaceshaping@cherwell-dc.gov.uk">Healthyplaceshaping@cherwell-dc.gov.uk</a> if you would like to discuss a proposal or require more information.

#### 12 Days Winter Wild

This Christmas, step outside the usual festivities and embrace a bit of winter magic with 12 Days Wild! Get ready to spark wonder in each day of your break by connecting with nature, discovering hidden winter gems and breathing new life into the colder months.

12 Days Wild is the Wildlife Trusts' festive nature challenge, encouraging you to do one wild thing a day from the 25th December to the 5th January. In those quiet days between Christmas and New Year, winter wildlife is just waiting to be explored! Your wild acts could be little things to help nature - like recycling your Christmas tree or feeding the birds – or ways to connect to the natural world, like taking in the calm of stargazing.

Daily wild inspirations - each morning, you'll receive creative activity ideas to make your day wilder.

Wildlife wonders - in every email, you'll meet one of winter's incredible creatures and uncover surprising facts

Community and connection – join and share with a lively group of nature enthusiasts in the Wild Days Facebook community

Go here if you want to get involved in 12 Days Wild - <a href="https://www.wildlifetrusts.org/12dayswild">https://www.wildlifetrusts.org/12dayswild</a>

For more information about seasonal activities and connecting with nature, go here - <a href="https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/connect-with-nature">https://www.cherwell.gov.uk/connect-with-nature</a>

#### CDC Council and Committee Meetings

Meetings currently scheduled for the coming month are:

- Full Council at 6.30pm on Monday 16 December
- Executive Meeting at 6.30pm on Monday 6 January
- Accounts, Audit & Risk Committee at 6.30pm on Wednesday 15 January
- Planning Committee at 4.00pm on Thursday 16 January
- Budget Planning Committee at 6.30pm on Tuesday 21 January
- Overview & Scrutiny Committee at 6.30pm on Thursday 28 January

To view a CDC council meeting– go to the <u>Browse Meetings Page</u> of our website, select the relevant committee and the meeting date. Click on "View the webcast" on the agenda webpage.

#### Staying Up To Date

Get the latest news direct to your inbox Sign up for Cherwell Link

This is a briefing emailed to all Cherwell Parishes



This e-mail (including any attachments) may be confidential and may contain legally privileged information. You should not disclose its contents to any other person. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately.

Whilst the Council has taken every reasonable precaution to minimise the risk of computer software viruses, it cannot accept liability for any damage which you may sustain as a result of such viruses. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening the e-mail(and/or any attachments).

Unless expressly stated otherwise, the contents of this e-mail represent only the views of the sender and does not impose any legal obligation upon the Council or commit the Council to any course of action..

## **Appendix 11: Summary of Representations**

Reg 19 Consultation	on Representations
Rep ID Number	Name
LPR-D-001	Robin Furneaux
LPR-D-002	Maurice White
LPR-D-003	Richard Allen
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf
LPR-D-005	Caroline Sloan
LPR-D-006	Kerry Wilce
LPR-D-007	Matt Gaffan
LPR-D-008	Vanessa Restreposchild
LPR-D-009	Anumod Gurjal
LPR-D-010	Kirk Nunn and Susan Ilsen
LPR-D-011	Pauline Wyman
LPR-D-012	Karen Walton
LPR-D-013	Jamie Jones
LPR-D-014	Trish Bartley
LPR-D-015	James Daulton
LPR-D-016	Wendy Gordon
LPR-D-017	Stuart Adams
LPR-D-018	Gayle Adams
LPR-D-019	Inchmere Designs
LPR-D-020	Steve Kilsby
LPR-D-021	Debora Homewood
LPR-D-022	Nicky Bowden
LPR-D-023	Jasper van Thor
LPR-D-024	Christine Heath
LPR-D-025	Rachael Blakey
LPR-D-026	R Goodacre
LPR-D-027	Peter Hewis
LPR-D-028	Elizabeth Barker
LPR-D-029	Valerie Day
LPR-D-030	Pauline Smith
LPR-D-031	David Reynolds
LPR-D-032	Aman and Dr Asif Malik
LPR-D-033	Duncan Cooper
LPR-D-034	Ann Young
LPR-D-035	Nature Space UK
LPR-D-036	Ian Shirvell
LPR-D-037	Roger Shipway
LPR-D-038	John Wainwright
LPR-D-039	Carden Group
LPR-D-040	Nicholas Mawer
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley
LPR-D-042	Pam Rochford
LPR-D-043	Patricia Clissold
LPR-D-044	Sheila Wallington
	onora wattington

LPR-D-045	David Pheasey
LPR-D-046	Stephen Tobin
LPR-D-047	Andrew Parsons
LPR-D-048	Pauline Reeves
LPR-D-049	Janet Robertson
LPR-D-050	Hayfield Homes
LPR-D-051	Elaine Barnes
LPR-D-052	Banbury CAG
LPR-D-053	Nigel Holt
LPR-D-054	Chadwick Town Planning ob Mr Richard Stroud,
	Landowner
LPR-D-055	Peter and Donita Read
LPR-D-056	Joanne Spencer
LPR-D-057	Bluestone Planning ob Mr and Mrs T Hockaday
LPR-D-058	Stephen and Lynda Read
LPR-D-059	David Spencer
LPR-D-060	Steve Hodgson
LPR-D-061	Neil Forbes
LPR-D-062	Alan Tennant
LPR-D-063	Paul Simmons
LPR-D-064	Abbeymill Homes
LPR-D-065	Canal & River Trust
LPR-D-066	Glenys Knighton
LPR-D-067	Diana Joslin
LPR-D-068	GreenWay Oxon
LPR-D-069	DIO ob Ministry of Defence
LPR-D-003	Nicholas Jones
LPR-D-071	George Moncrieff
LPR-D-071	Elaine Cox
LPR-D-072 LPR-D-073	North Oxfordshire Socialist Alliance
LPR-D-074	David Robertson
LPR-D-074 LPR-D-075	Diana Pick
LPR-D-075 LPR-D-076	Peter Kyte
LPR-D-076 LPR-D-077	John Edwards
LPR-D-078 LPR-D-079	Emma Rhodes Thames Water
LPR-D-079 LPR-D-080	Flo Van Diemen van Thor
LPR-D-081	J Lane
LPR-D-082	Woodland Trust
LPR-D-083	Banbury Town Council
LPR-D-084	Mervyn Benford
LPR-D-085	Michele Mapp
LPR-D-086	English Rural Housing Association
LPR-D-087	Martin Lay
LPR-D-088	Cherwell Swifts Conservation Project
LPR-D-089	Deddington Parish Council
LPR-D-090	Roger Mallows
LPR-D-091	Mulberry Land

LPR-D-092	Savills ob Thomas White Oxford Ltd
LPR-D-093	Kidlington Parish Council
LPR-D-094	PJPlanning ob Graven Hill Village Dev Company
LPR-D-095	Drayton Parish Council
LPR-D-096	Adrian White
LPR-D-097	Land & Partners
LPR-D-098	Sally Rowe
LPR-D-099	Kitty Campion
LPR-D-100	Allan & Lisa Phipps
LPR-D-101	Sally Ross
LPR-D-102	Anglian Water
LPR-D-103	Bourtons Parish Council
LPR-D-104	Madeline Gilpin
LPR-D-105	Nexus ob Mac Mic Strategic Land and Graham Payne
LPR-D-106	Campaign to Protect Old Woodstock
LPR-D-107	Oxford & Country ob Cambrian Holdings Ltd
LPR-D-108	Buckinghamshire Council
LPR-D-109	Vanessa Johnson
LPR-D-110	Woodstock Town Council
LPR-D-111	Langford Village Community Association
LPR-D-112	Bladon Parish Council
LPR-D-113	Caulcott Area Residents' Association
LPR-D-114	Upper Heyford Parish Council
LPR-D-115	Daphne Hampson
LPR-D-116	David and Marketa Levinger
LPR-D-117	Joanna Foster
LPR-D-118	Antonio Montaruli
LPR-D-119	Neil and Pauline Wainman
LPR-D-120	Kirsten and Thomas Hall
LPR-D-121	Adrian Langdale
LPR-D-122	Denise McDonough
LPR-D-123	Richard and Gabriele Johnson
LPR-D-123 LPR-D-124	Eddie Smith
LPR-D-124 LPR-D-125	Lewis Smith
LPR-D-125 LPR-D-126	Mid Cherwell Neighbourhood Plan Forum
LPR-D-126 LPR-D-127	Felicity Peacock
LPR-D-127 LPR-D-128	·
	Barry Woodcock
LPR-D-129	Heather Lawson
LPR-D-130	Judy Niner
LPR-D-131	Fiona Teddy
LPR-D-132	Kelly Holden-White
LPR-D-133	lan Hudspeth
LPR-D-134	Walsingham Planning ob Catesby Estates- Wykham Park Farm
LPR-D-135	Caversfield Parish Council
LPR-D-136	Savills ob Lone Star Land Ltd
LPR-D-137	Nexus Planning ob St Phillips

LPR-D-138	Home Builders Federation
LPR-D-139	Ali James
LPR-D-140	Jennifer Jones
LPR-D-141	Charterhouse ob landowner
LPR-D-142	Newmark ob Merton College
LPR-D-143	Patricia Redpath
LPR-D-144	Diane Montrose
LPR-D-145	David Lock Associates ob Catesby Estates
LPR-D-146	Dominic Woodfield
LPR-D-147	Lindsey Inness
LPR-D-148	Fiona Thomas
LPR-D-149	John Woodcock
LPR-D-150	Ian Jelfs
LPR-D-151	Pye Homes ob J A Pye (Oxford) and Cancer Research UK
LPR-D-152	Godwin Developments
LPR-D-153	Walsingham Planning ob R2 Developments
LPR-D-154	Robert Langton
LPR-D-155	Pembury Webb
LPR-D-156	Adrienne Evans
LPR-D-157	The Islip Wildlife Conservation Group
LPR-D-158	Mark McDonough
LPR-D-159	Matthew and Lucy Langton
LPR-D-160	Keep Hanwell Village Rural
LPR-D-161	Islip Parish Council
LPR-D-162	Mike Stewart
LPR-D-163	Oliver Tindall
LPR-D-164	Framptons ob Facon Family
LPR-D-165	Turley ob Vistry Strategic
LPR-D-166	Pauline Brown
LPR-D-167	Simon Collins
LPR-D-168	Edward
LPR-D-169	David Williams
LPR-D-170	Oliver Dare
LPR-D-171	Sophia
LPR-D-172	Banbury Civic Society
LPR-D-173	Rosalind Clack
LPR-D-174	Marcus Payne
LPR-D-175	Sue Cross
LPR-D-176	Edward Batchelar
LPR-D-177	Sally Whiting
LPR-D-178	No Representation
LPR-D-179	Sallahu
LPR-D-180	Jane Kilsby
LPR-D-181	James Hill
LPR-D-182	Jane Clifford
LPR-D-183	No Representation
LPR-D-184	Harwell Swifts

LPR-D-185	Sibford Ferris Parish Council
LPR-D-186	Banbury Civic Society
LPR-D-187	Rachel Rowntree
LPR-D-188	Alistair Reed
LPR-D-189	Sarah Motley
LPR-D-190	Savills ob Trinity College
LPR-D-191	Sport England
LPR-D-192	Swifts Local Network Swifts & Planning Group
LPR-D-193	Tor and Co ob Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim
	Estate
LPR-D-194	Richard Hedderly
LPR-D-195	Cotswolds National Landscape Board
LPR-D-196	Igor Niladri Dyson
LPR-D-197	James and Kate Hamilton
LPR-D-198	South Oxfordshire District Council
LPR-D-199	Vale of White Horse District Council
LPR-D-200	National Highways
LPR-D-201	Middleton Stoney Parish Council
LPR-D-202	Bloxham Parish Council
LPR-D-203	Pegasus Group ob Barwood Land
LPR-D-204	Paradigm Housing Group
LPR-D-205	Chris Lane Chris Lane
LPR-D-206	Oxford Preservation Trust
LPR-D-207	Stantec ob Central Land Holdings - Shenington
LPR-D-208	Stantec ob Central Land Holdings - Shutford
LPR-D-209	Ambrosden Parish Council
LPR-D-210	Pegasus Group ob Dorchester and New College Oxford
LPR-D-211	Gladman Developments
LPR-D-212	Lichfields ob PR6b landowners grp
LPR-D-213	JPPC ob Michael Peagram Family and Trust
LPR-D-214	Turley ob Catesby Estates
LPR-D-215	Cerda Planning ob Kler Group
LPR-D-216	O C Planning ob CL Fox and A Reynard
LPR-D-217	Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council
LPR-D-218	NHS Property Services
LPR-D-219	Wendlebury Parish Council
LPR-D-220	ID Planning ob Cromsdale Estates
LPR-D-221	Harris Lamb ob Hargreaves Res Dev ob Pandora Trading
LPR-D-222	DP9 ob Value Retail
LPR-D-223	Savills ob Christ Church
LPR-D-224	Nexus Planning ob Catesby Estates
LPR-D-225	Boyer ob Harrow Estates
LPR-D-226	David Wilson Homes South Midlands
LPR-D-227	Framptons ob Hallam Land
LPR-D-228	Alexander Bessent
LPR-D-229	Planning Prospects ob Richborough

LDD D 000	Drown 9 Co oh Mr Callina
LPR-D-230	Brown & Co ob Mr Collins
LPR-D-231	Brown & Co ob Mr Orchard
LPR-D-232	Brown & Co ob Mr Colin and Mr Gordon White
LPR-D-233	Advanced Oxford
LPR-D-234	JPPC
LPR-D-235	Easington Sports Football Club
LPR-D-236	Define Planning ob William Davis Homes
LPR-D-237	Stantec ob A2 Dominion
LPR-D-238	BBOWT
LPR-D-239	Banbury Civic Society
LPR-D-240	Fritwell Parish Council
LPR-D-241	Thames Valley Policy - Designing Out Crime
LPR-D-242	Bluestone Planning ob Oxford Dioceses Finance
LPR-D-243	Adams Hendry ob East West Rail
LPR-D-244	Stantec ob Bicester Sports Association
LPR-D-245	Pam Rochford
LPR-D-246	Somerton Parish Council
LPR-D-247	Turnberry ob Exeter College
LPR-D-248	Armstrong Rigg ob Manor Oak Homes
LPR-D-249	Natural England
LPR-D-250	Terra Strategic
LPR-D-251	Oxford City Council
LPR-D-252	Savills ob Oxford University and College Growth Group
LPR-D-253	Pegasus Group ob Greystoke CB
LPR-D-254	Arc Planning ob Neptune Land Promotion Ltd
LPR-D-255	Marrons ob Ashberry Strategic Land
LPR-D-256	Community First Oxfordshire
LPR-D-257	CPRE Oxfordshire
LPR-D-258	Savills ob Richborough
LPR-D-259	Planning Issues ob Churchill Living & McCarthy Stone
LPR-D-260	Bucknell Parish Council
LPR-D-261	Jane Ashe
LPR-D-262	Carter Jonas ob Obsidian Strategic - Cropredy
LPR-D-263	Carter Jonas ob Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden
LPR-D-264	James and Alicia Breffit
LPR-D-265	Edgars ob Bicester Motion Ltd
LPR-D-266	Smith Jenkins ob Ainscough Strategic Land
LPR-D-267	Tor and Co ob The Blenheim Estate
LPR-D-268	Croudace Homes
LPR-D-269	Turley ob Ainscough Strategic
LPR-D-270	No Representation
LPR-D-271	Kirtlington Parish Council
LPR-D-271	NHS BOB-ICB
LPR-D-273	Weston on the Green Parish Council
Li N-D-Z/J	
1 DD D 274	Luistorio England
LPR-D-274 LPR-D-275	Historic England Thakeham Homes - Southam Road, Banbury

LPR-D-276	Morgan Elliot Planning ob landowner
LPR-D-277	Lisa Smith
LPR-D-278	Lone Start ob Shouler Family and KSW ltd
LPR-D-276 LPR-D-279	Bodicote Parish Council
LPR-D-280	Ridge and Partners ob OUFC
LPR-D-281	Chesterton Parish Council
LPR-D-282	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning ob Harper Crew
	Bloombridge
LPR-D-283	WSP ob Bloor Homes
LPR-D-284	Patrick Woodrow
LPR-D-285	Bidwells ob University College, Oxford
LPR-D-286	Stantec ob Gallagher Estates
LPR-D-287	Thakeham Homes - South Bicester
LPR-D-288	Lichfields ob Taylor Wimpey
LPR-D-289	Bidwells ob Church Commissioners
LPR-D-290	West Oxfordshire District Council
LPR-D-291	Brian Welters
LPR-D-292	Launton Parish Council
LPR-D-293	Lichfields ob Oxford Aviation Services Ltd
LPR-D-294	Kidlington Cricket Club
LPR-D-295	Framptons ob Mr Matthew Watson (landowner)
LPR-D-296	Savills ob Puy Du Fou
LPR-D-297	Cala Homes
LPR-D-298	Oxalis Planning ob Oxfordshire Rail Freight
LPR-D-299	Savills ob The Broughton Estate
LPR-D-300	Savills ob Bellway
LPR-D-301	Framptons ob Tri7 and The Barker Family Trust
LPR-D-302	Oxfordshire County Council
LPR-D-303	Walsingham ob Nicholas King Homes - Cropredy
LPR-D-304	Walsingham ob Nicholas King Homes - Yarnton
LPR-D-305	Walsingham Planning ob Harper Crewe Bloombridge
	Traceg. ag of traceport of the property of the prope
LPR-D-306	No Representation
LPR-D-307	Caroline Johnstone and Gavin Rawson
LPR-D-308	Walsingham Planning ob Mr Richard Tuthill
LPR-D-309	Framptons ob Tritax Big Box Development
LPR-D-310	Bidwells ob Oxfordshire University Development
LPR-D-311	Roebuck Ltd and Planning ob King and Warr
LPR-D-312	Environment Agency
LPR-D-313	Sally Ann-Woodrow
LPR-D-314	Sian Smith ob Chris and Sue Lane
LPR-D-315	Turley ob Rainier Developments Ltd
LPR-D-316	Quod ob Albion Land
LPR-D-317	Framptons ob Tritax Big Box - Symmetry Park, Bicester
	- Sample of Mark Dig Don Symmon y Lung Diodotol
LPR-D-318	Framptons ob Tritax Big Box - East of M40 J9
LPR-D-319	Framptons ob Tritax Big Box - Ardley

LPR-D-320	Savills ob Hallam Land
LPR-D-321	David Lock Associates ob Hallam - Bicester
LPR-D-322	David Lock Associates ob Hallam - Ambrosden
LPR-D-323	Great Western Railway
LPR-D-324	Elizabeth Poskitt
LPR-D-325	Jane Burrett
LPR-D-326	Sharon Parnes
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller
LPR-D-328	Claydon with Clattercote Parish Council
LPR-D-329	Fringford Parish Council
LPR-D-330	Tony Hartwell
LPR-D-331	Diane Hall
LPR-D-332	Alan Plumb
LPR-D-333	Joan Holland
LPR-D-334	Margaret Sanderson
LPR-D-335	Jeanette Jenkins
LPR-D-336	David Baldwin
LPR-D-337	Sam Champ
LPR-D-338	Kidlington Development Watch
LPR-D-339	Elmsbrook Residents
LPR-D-340	No Representation
LPR-D-341	Greencore Homes
LPR-D-342	Dorothy Howarth
LPR-D-343	West Northamptonshire Council
LPR-D-344	Hanwell Parish Council
LPR-D-345	Andrew Batchelor
LPR-D-346	Quod ob Oxford University Developments
LPR-D-347	Zoe Johnson
LPR-D-348	Cherry Brougham
LPR-D-349	Environment Agency
LPR-D-350	Campaign to Protect Old Woodstock

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-001	Robin Furneaux			Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate				Objects as it does not cover the effects of wind damage from severe storms			
LPR-D-002	Maurice White			Local Plan	Change Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Υ	Υ	Υ	Concerned over loss of green space for recreation in Kidlington	Greater mention of recreational opportunities and greater protection for existing facilities	N	
LPR-D-002	Maurice White			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space	Υ	Υ	Υ	Designation of Stratfield Brake Nature Reserve is a small limited area	Extend Local Green Space designation in the Kidlington area	N	
LPR-D-003	Richard Allen			Bicester Transport Modelling and Forecasting Report December 2024	Paragraph 4.3.3		N		Cherwell Local Plan 2042 draft is unsound as it does not recognise the need to substantially improve the road infrastructure around North West Bicester. Notes that there is no mention in the plan to improve/upgrade the B4100/Baynards Green and Junction 10 of the M40- only in the Bicester Forecasting and Modelling Report which is woefully inadequate. Considers that the traffic conditions will be worsened by the 17 proposed new developments are approved and completed. References the traffic conditions for this area in the Bicester Forecasting and Modelling Report. There needs to be recognition that money needs to be allocated to fundamentally improve the road infrastructure in this area and all proposals should be halted until this is completed			
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf			Local Plan	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy				The plan appears to be concerned only with implementing existing permissions and not with allocating further development. This should remain the position, as until a settlement has a population of about 25,000 it will be unsustainable in terms of transport.			
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf			Local Plan	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	N	N		The plan is an opportunity to require the heritage feasibility studies that were recommended by the Structure Plan Panel. The Plan should account for and promote modes of travel that would make the site of international heritage importance more accessible. It should encourage the realisation of heritage importance that would include employment opportunities.		Y	After 30 years working to see the heritage potential of the former Cold War air base reflect the importance of learning from the Cold War I do not have the confidence that the council officers, owners or Historic England can adequately convey this to the inspector.
LPR-D-004				Local Plan	Heyford Area Strategy	N			The heritage value of the site exceeds its 'role' in the Cold War - it is the best preserved of all the Cold War sites			
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf			Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N	N		The Plan should acknowledge the international significance and heritage potential of Heyford Park			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf				Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy			c	The property should be the protection of the heritage value. The development in this location would not be sustainable, but possible ess unsustainable with improved public transport.			
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf			Local Plan	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy			c	The national and international heritage potential of the site would only be realised with improvements to public transport, including reliable services from Oxford, Banbury & Lower Heyford stations.			
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf				Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	N I	N	c h ii	The division of the site into three areas has failed to contain development to the south of Camp Road and contributed to loss of neritage value. A holistic approach is needed to recognise that the international importance applies to the site and not to buildings. It is unclear how the historic environment could be enhanced unless this refers to improved access.			
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf				Theme 1: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change and Ensuring Sustainable Development	N I	N	iii s lii rr t e t	Climate change is the greatest long-term challenge facing society' is naccurate, the challenge should be described as urgent. The plan should reduce the demand for heat by limiting new building to imited numbers of two bedroomed terraces. The strategy for meeting housing needs should rely on reducing under-occupation through sub-divisions, supporting conversions, and building almost exclusively from timber products. All new housing must be limited to that which meets affordable housing/housing for older people, where existing housing stock is shown to be deficient, and special cases, e.g., plots for self-build.			
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf				Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	N	N	t i	The Plan fails the test of objectively assessed requirements by failing to base policies on the rates of under-occupancy of existing housing in the district. This policy should be explicit about efficient use of existing housing stock, and refer to CSD 5 Embodied Carbon.	The Plan should set out the presumption in favour of sustainable development in a way that effectively creates a presumption against and requiring a special case to be made out for new housebuilding. i.e. to meet needs that could not reasonably be met in any other way.  The Plan should include policies supporting residential sub-divisions, including through custom-splitting and requiring a special case to be made out for house extensions	Y	To explore ways of supporting the sub-division of existing under-occupied housing stock
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf				Policy CSD 4: Improving Energy and Carbon Performance in Existing Buildings	N I	N		Does not appear to relate to housing although the greatest mprovements would be residential sub-divisions			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-004	Daniel Scharf			Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	N N		33sq m per person is compatible with 1.5 degrees of warming. Any larger dwellings/house extensions should require special justification. This policy should insist on timber products and lime mortars.			
LPR-D-005	Caroline Sloan			Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Supports LP on revitalising urban centres. However it would be good to see proposals for leaving villages untouched and in their "natural state" rather than being flooded with unwanted developments. The size of the village does not matter and development should not be directed to larger villages or they will lose their village identity. New development damages biodiversity.	-	-	-
LPR-D-006	Kerry Wilce			Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40	N		The proposed New Employment Sites do not contain any assessment or mitigation for the additional traffic and impact of waste water and effluent disposal from these sites. The site is inappropriate due to flood impacts on Wendlebury.	Policies need to be revised to mitigate any impact	-	-
LPR-D-006	Kerry Wilce			Local Plan	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	N		The proposed New Employment Sites do not contain any assessment or mitigation for the additional traffic and impact of waste water and effluent disposal from these sites. The site is inappropriate due to flood impacts on Wendlebury.	Policies need to be revised to mitigate any impact	-	-
LPR-D-006	Kerry Wilce			Local Plan	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	N		The proposed New Employment Sites do not contain any assessment or mitigation for the additional traffic and impact of waste water and effluent disposal from these sites. The site is inappropriate due to flood impacts on Wendlebury.	Policies need to be revised to mitigate any impact	-	-
LPR-D-006	Kerry Wilce			Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N		Wendlebury should not be re-categorised from Cat C to Cat B village as it does not have good transport, services and facilities	Wendlebury should revert to its previous categorisation	-	-
LPR-D-007	Matt Gaffan			Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management			Policy needs to be zero tolerance of flood risks; they must be fully eliminated including properly maintaining our drainage systems and expanding capacity to manage the 100 year + climate change scenario. Banbury's non-porous clay substrate means soakaways would be insufficient.			
LPR-D-007	Matt Gaffan			Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Policy should be to build new homes where demand is highest in the region as dictated by the highest house prices- allocating housing in the poorest districts will entrench social inequity. S106 monies to the County Council are not being spent in Banbury and are being spent in Oxford.			
LPR-D-007	Matt Gaffan			Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements			Request transparency on passenger numbers for the taxpayer subsidised bus services in Oxfordshire. Alternative transport for rural community. Disagree with 15 mins mobility as it reduces freedom of movement and could increase social inequality			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-007	Matt Gaffan			Local Plan	Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide			Request transparency on passenger numbers for the taxpayer subsidised bus services in Oxfordshire. Alternative transport for rural community. Disagree with 15 mins mobility as it reduces freedom of movement, and could increase social inequality.			
LPR-D-007	Matt Gaffan			Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)			Object as the area is prone to flooding and having a link road would increase risk of rat run/traffic past homes and primary school			
LPR-D-008	Vanessa Restreposchil			Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Objecting the Woodstock site due to lack of traffic infrastructure, services (education and healthcare), loss of character, and overpopulation			
LPR-D-009	Anumod Gurjal			Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Objecting the Woodstock site. Applications for development on this site/nearby land were dismissed by an Inspector at appeal. Little undeveloped land is left between Woodstock and Oxford.			
LPR-D-010	Kirk Nunn and Susan Ilsen			Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Objecting the Woodstock site due to lack of parking infrastructure, and services (accessible healthcare facilities, education)			
LPR-D-011	Pauline Wyman			Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Thames Water can't cope with additional sewerage nor additional water			
LPR-D-012	Karen Walton			Local Plan	Housing Requirement			The proposed number of houses is unnecessary. The density of housing on proposed sites is unlikely to be achieved.			
LPR-D-012	Karen Walton			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town			Concerned about the strategic gap. The strategic gap should prevent	Suggest clear and unambiguous definitions that the 'strategic gap' between Bucknell and any development must represent a gap between the village and any of proposed development		
LPR-D-012	Karen Walton			Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Concerned about the strategic gap. Strategic gaps should prevent all development, including managed green spaces/ playing fields, and gaps should require noise and light pollution buffers.	Suggest clear and unambiguous definitions that the 'strategic gap' between Bucknell and any development must represent a gap between the village and any of proposed development		
LPR-D-013	Jamie Jones			Local Plan	Others- General			Concerned on the overall development			
LPR-D-014	Trish Bartley			Local Plan	Others- General			Without planning knowledge, the process is inaccessible and not easy to contribute to.			
LPR-D-014	Trish Bartley			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Continue to prioritise walking and cycling in all new developments as well as good community links and services, using Elmsbrook as a good example of community building.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-014	Trish Bartley			Local Plan	Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester			The Plan should improve the ring road on the west of Bicester-support for realignment of Howes Lane and the bridge under the railway.			
LPR-D-014	Trish Bartley			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town			Bottom line of economic development should be balanced with genuine carbon-neutral development.			
LPR-D-014	Trish Bartley			Puy du Fou	lowii			Generally support, if it would not increase traffic on road links			
LPR-D-015	James Daulton			proposal Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			LP lacks mention of Bicester's garden town principles: insufficient focus on sustainable/active travel, community-centric design, carbon reduction targets, renewable energy integration, and biodiversity enhancement. Air quality in Bicester is sub-standard, this needs to be addressed in LP.	Suggest reassessing the Bicester garden town and environmental standard		
LPR-D-015	James Daulton			Local Plan	Transport Modelling	N N	N	Table 3.1. Modelling is very conservative and does not account for approved developments (e.g., Tritax, Great Wolf). Given this, an increase of 143 PCU seems unlikely.	Sound transport plan needed to increase capacity junction 9 an A41/A34	Y	
LPR-D-015	James Daulton			Local Plan	Others- General			Plan contradicts key themes of Sustainable Development (excessive reliance on road transport, inadequate water management) and Healthy & Well-Designed Communities (fails to address congestion and noise and air pollution, will worsen road traffic)			
LPR-D-015	James Daulton			Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area			Most new allocated sites will rely on road traffic. Failure to address traffic bottlenecks (e.g., Junction 9). No provisions for new rail or cycleways/other active travel.			
LPR-D-015	James Daulton			Local Plan	Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area			Proposed perimeter road north of Wendlebury would isolate village and prevent access to countryside for walking etc. Wendlebury will experience more rat-running and noise/air pollution. PM2.5 particulates already dangerously high. The Air Quality Action Plan has no agreed actions.			
LPR-D-015	James Daulton			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area			Flooding impacts on Wendlebury			
LPR-D-015	James Daulton			Air Quality Action Plan	Strategy	N N	N	Air Quality Action Plan, Table 3.1.2. and Table 5.1. The action plan only focuses on NOx and not PM2.5 and PM10, which are more dangerous. No actions are agreed. There is no assessment of the impact of HGVs and LGVs from construction of allocated employment sites. In Bicester, PM2.5 levels are higher than the WHO's safety threshold.	Genuine assessment of current traffic issues and congestion hotspots. Forecast the impact of current/planned developments. Make a plan to manage impact. Concentrate investment in congestion hotspots and consider investing more in active travel.	Y	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-015	James Daulton			Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Wendlebury lacks the services and public transport to be considered Category A.			Happy to expand on points if required
LPR-D-016	Wendy Gordon			Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Objects more housing development in Hook Norton, due to flood risk, building on agricultural land, the erosion of the village community, and the high price of housing. Suggests more bungalows should be built for older people to downsize into.			
LPR-D-017	Stuart Adams			Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40			Object the development due to traffic, rat run, noise, air and light pollution, flooding			
LPR-D-017	Stuart Adams			Local Plan	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton			Object the development due to traffic, rat run, noise, air and light pollution, flooding			
LPR-D-017	Stuart Adams			Local Plan	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton			Object the development due to traffic, rat run, noise, air and light pollution, flooding			
LPR-D-018	Gayle Adams			Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40			Object the development due to traffic, rat run, noise and light pollution, flooding, and landscape impact			
LPR-D-018	Gayle Adams			Local Plan	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton			Object the development due to traffic, rat run, noise and light pollution, flooding, and landscape impact			
LPR-D-018	Gayle Adams			Local Plan	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton			Object the development due to traffic, rat run, noise and light pollution, flooding, and landscape impact			
LPR-D-019	Mark Goodall	Inchmere Designs		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside			Welcome the policy especially the retention of a large mixed use Business area- economic benefits of business in this area which benefits from good transport links. Green walk way along the banks of the river could be achieved without taking land from light industrial business			
LPR-D-020	Steve Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density			Support this policy. Review Panels should be convened early in the process.			
LPR-D-020	Steve Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy LEC 9:			There is no mention of enhancing Banbury itself as a tourist destination.			
LPR-D-020	Steve Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy LEC 10 Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses			Seeking to resist the loss of local shops in villages and rural areas is very welcome.			
LPR-D-020	Steve Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy LEC 10 Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses			It would be sensible to limit the footprint of the retail part of the town centre, in order to guide incoming businesses to take units in the town centre area			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Compiles with Dic	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-020	Steve Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			Support 30% affordable housing target, the expected 35% minimum social housing, and the overall policy			
LPR-D-020	Steve Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type			Agrees with identified housing tenure mix set out in supporting information. Please ensure public safety issues are promoted in flatted developments to prevent anti-social behaviour.			
LPR-D-020	Steve Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment			Object the wording "clear public benefit to outweigh" on the policy Supports requirement for heritage statements, suggests Local Plan could mandate Parishes to maintain a Heritage Asset Register			
LPR-D-020	Steve Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas			Support this policy			
LPR-D-020	Steve Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			No specific mention of flats above shops, surely this should be encouraged?			
LPR-D-021	Debora Homewood			Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Suggest to extend one field south of the Adderbury to Bloxham road			
LPR-D-022	Nicky Bowder	١		Puy du Fou proposal				LP needs to take into account of this proposal			
LPR-D-022	Nicky Bowder			Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Concern about the strategic gap between Bucknell and NW Bicester - no indication of what it is actually means, sports fields could cause light pollution.			
LPR-D-022	Nicky Bowder	1		Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town			Insufficient infrastructure causes drainage/flooding and traffic problems. Bus service has ceased.			
LPR-D-022	Nicky Bowder	ı		Local Plan	Housing Requirement	$\prod$		Housing numbers in this area (i.e., Bicester) unnecessary.			
LPR-D-023	Jasper van Thor			Local Plan		N	N N	The allocated site has the same outline as planning application 21/04275/OUT, potentially pointing to undue developer influence on site allocations.	An external investigation is needed into transparency in the plan-making process	Y	I would be happy to be part of the oral examination, in order to find out if my strong concerns are being addressed
LPR-D-023	Jasper van Thor			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester	N N	N N	Number of homes is far too many. Impact on the air, light, noise pollution, and traffic. Assumption that half of journeys will be made without cars is unrealistic. Comment on the pollution levels around Bucknell, referencing air pollution from Ardley incinerator, impact of further development, and health impacts of particulate matter.		Y	I would be happy to be part of the oral examination, in order to find out if my strong concerns are being addressed

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-023	Jasper van Thor			Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	N N	N	Object the omission of Bucknell and NW Bicester		′	I would be happy to be part of the oral examination, in order to find out if my strong concerns are being addressed
LPR-D-023	Jasper van Thor			Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N N	N	'Strategic Gap' between Bicester and Bucknell is not well defined in the plan		′	I would be happy to be part of the oral examination, in order to find out if my strong concerns are being addressed
LPR-D-023	Jasper van Thor			Local Plan	Evidence based documents	N N	N	No clear methodology was used for the assessment of health outcomes and resulting health inequality. Air and environmental pollution not included.		(	I would be happy to be part of the oral examination, in order to find out if my strong concerns are being addressed
LPR-D-024	Christine Heath			Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Regarding housing to meet identified need: housing mix in Bloxham should be 2/3 bedroom properties. Mentions the flooding in Bloxham. Objects 75 homes allocation due to traffic, no adequate services, flooding.			
LPR-D-025	Rachael Blakey			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town			Objection to the number of houses proposed to be built due to flooding, poor infrastructure, traffic, impacts on Bucknell			
LPR-D-025	Rachael Blakey			Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Comments on the definition of strategic gap - needs to be clearly stipulated to be a green space without noise/light pollution			
LPR-D-026	R Goodacre			Local Plan	Policy BIC 5: Bicester Opportunity Areas			Objects to the lack of proposed parking for Market Square. This would reduce custom for traders. Potholes and road resurfacing should be the financial priority.			
LPR-D-026	R Goodacre			Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area			Paragraphs 5.29 5.30 5.31 Comments on the small number of sports field and land			
LPR-D-026	R Goodacre			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Para 5.11 mentions the role of Bicester Motion in the area's economic growth, but central government support is required to be internationally competitive.	С		
LPR-D-026	R Goodacre			Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			Comments on what defines affordable housing			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Complies with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-026	R Goodacre			Local Plan	Policy BIC 6: Former RAF Bicester			Opposes conservation at ex-RAF Bicester if this entails noise and air pollution from old cars.			
LPR-D-027	Peter Hewis			Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy			Comments on direct road route from Yarnton to Kidlington along Sandy Lane, and implications if the level crossing were to close			
LPR-D-027	Peter Hewis			Local Plan	Policy KID 3: Delivery of Transport Schemes within the Kidlington Area			Comments on direct road route from Yarnton to Kidlington along Sandy Lane, and implications if the level crossing were to close			
LPR-D-027	Peter Hewis			Oxford United FC Stadium proposal				LP needs to take the OUFC stadium proposal into account			
LPR-D-028	Elizabeth Barker			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Objection to further development in the area around Bicester due to insufficient water, health and education infrastructure, the impact of increased traffic, and the loss of green space. Cumulative impact of proposed/approved developments of Great Wolf and Puy du Fou and allocated sites should be considered.			
LPR-D-029	Valerie Day			Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area			Comments on the no upgrade to existing road - traffic etc			
LPR-D-029	Valerie Day			Puy du Fou proposal				Comments on the no upgrade to existing road - traffic etc			
LPR-D-030	Pauline Smith			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town			Objection. Cumulative impacts of development on traffic including Great Wolf and Puy du Fou should be accounted for.			
LPR-D-031	David Reynolds			Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy			Solar panels on warehouse rooftops would be an efficient use of land. Warehouse sheds should be limited and technology and manufacturing promoted, to limit HGVs and maximise employment opportunities.  Horton Hospitals should be expanded, maternity ward reinstated, secondary schools expanded and college should provide wider range of courses to provide for need generated by allocated housing development.  Relocating the bus station is not practical unless units on the Tramway are demolished.  Planned road links to the M40 haven't been implemented, creating congestion.  Housing close to the town centre is supported, but parking is essential unless bus services are sufficiently improved.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-031	David Reynolds			Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside			Canalside development should account for health and safety issues, but have been successful elsewhere.			
LPR-D-031	David Reynolds			Local Plan	Policy BAN 3: Development in the Vicinity of Banbury Railway Station			Relocating the bus station is not practical unless units on the Tramway are demolished.			
LPR-D-031	David Reynolds			Local Plan	Policy BAN 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area			Support for implementing a footpath linking railway and bus stations.			
LPR-D-031	David Reynolds			Local Plan	Policy BAN 5: Horton Hospital Site			Support for expanding hospital, particularly reinstating maternity ward.			
LPR-D-032	Aman and Asif Malik			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects the allocation due to loss of sunlight, privacy and traffic			
LPR-D-033	Duncan Cooper			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects the allocation due to traffic and highway safety. Suggest the Station Road site would be more appropriate and could be considered in-fill.			
LPR-D-034	Ann Young			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects the allocation due to traffic and issue of water pressure			
LPR-D-035	Claire Northrop	NatureSpace UK		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			Suggested change of policy's phrasing, so development damaging certain sites/habitats/species would only be permitted if impacts can be fully mitigated or compensated for. Suggest drawing attention to District Licencing Scheme in policy's supporting text, and proposed definition for glossary. See rep for full paragraph			
LPR-D-036	lan Shirvell			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West			Object the housing allocation			
LPR-D-037	Roger Shipway			Local Plan	Bicester Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Concerned with calculation errors, the inclusion of Broadband, and assessment of the points, principally objecting the new category for Caversfield. See reps for the full assessment.			
LPR-D-038	John Wainwright			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Suggests that Land Behind The Moors is designated as LGS, due to landscape, amenity, and ecological value, and contribution to agriculture.			
LPR-D-039	Martyn Twigg	Carden Group		ENA 2024	Evidence based documents	N		Recommending that the calculation of employment is extended to include the year 2020 to 2021. See reps for full reasoning			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga	Document	Part of Document	Legally	Con	Reasons	Changes Sought	Part hear	Reason(s) for participation
Number			nisation represented			nd Illy compliant	nplies with DTC	Reasons		Participation in hearing(s)	
LPR-D-039	Martyn Twigg	Carden Group		Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N		Mainly objecting all of the new and retained employment allocation, due to concerns on deliverability of retained employment, lack of evidence basis, and overall insufficient allocation land of land. See reps for full reasoning.	Increase the employment req. Assessed the proposed omission site. Remove allocation at Graven Hill.		
LPR-D-039	Martyn Twigg	Carden Group		Local Plan	Policy BAN E1: Land at Higham Way	N		Majority of site already in active employment.			
LPR-D-039	Martyn Twigg	Carden Group		Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	N		Too far from Bicester, undermines sustainable development principles.			
LPR-D-039	Martyn Twigg	Carden Group		Local Plan	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	N		Too far from Bicester, undermines sustainable development principles.			
LPR-D-039	Martyn Twigg	Carden Group		Local Plan	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	N		Location is inappropriate due to siting adjacent to a Category C village. Site constraints will limit deliverability.			
LPR-D-039	Martyn Twigg	Carden Group		Local Plan	Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	N		Site was assessed in Employment Land Review (2022) and considered unsuitable for development. Proposed south-east link road complicates deliverability of site.			
LPR-D-039	Martyn Twigg	Carden Group		Local Plan	Omission Site	N		Submitted a site for employment at Land Off Bicester Road, Bicester. See reps for full proposal			
LPR-D-040	Nicholas Mawer			Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Object the calculation and assessment of the points. See reps for the full assessment. Mainly object the new category for Caversfield.  Support Cllr Shipway (LPR-D-037) reps			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density			Suggest to enforce more			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy LEC 7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land			Support this policy			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy LEC 8: Rural Diversification			Support this policy			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Object this policy due to Oxford's unmet need.			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			Question on the social housing requirement			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing			Suggests housing to accommodate downsizing for older people to be included within this policy.			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy COM 15: Active Travel – Walking and Cycling			Suggest to enforce more			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation	Document	Part of Document	Legally	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
			represented			ly compliant	blies with Dic	Reasons		Participation in hearing(s)	
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Suggest to ensure category A villages do not go beyond the numbers indicated, that greenfield sites are protected, and that developments within villages meet village needs. Concern with implementation of policy and loss of village identity.			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Objects 75 homes for Hook Norton as it is not sustainable			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management			Support this policy			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity			Support this policy. Width of pavements is important.			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide			Support this policy			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure			Support this policy. Recommends that it prevents further development in Hook Norton until water treatment infrastructure is upgraded.			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			Support this policy			
LPR-D-041	Rob Colley			Local Plan	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality			Support this policy. Would support amendments that prevent residential gardens from burning garden waste.			
LPR-D-042	Pam Rochford			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Object to development in Bicester, due to traffic impacts; air pollution impacts; insufficient education, medical, and amenity infrastructure; loss of green space and agricultural land.			
LPR-D-043	Patricia Clissold			Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	Y	J Y	Need a specific map of what pathways the Council have designated green lanes or part of wider ecological networks. Connectivity is not identified. Blue infrastructure has no protection and improperly mapped. Green infrastructure should lack light pollution. Lack of mapping does not comply with NPPF Section 15.192 and Section 15.187	Please provide a map which specifically shows connections between green spaces and also show which may be suitable for human walkers. Lighting should be prohibited in these areas.	N	
LPR-D-043	Patricia Clissold			Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	Y	1 Y	Proposed relief road to south of Graven Hill could isolate Local Wildlife Sites in Graven Hill. Wildlife crossings are required, and corridors to BBOWT Nature Reserves are necessary. Does the duty to cooperate not include consultation with Wildlife Trusts?	Bicester Area should be connected to Otmoor through wildlife corridors to BBOWT sites like Upper Ray Meadows. Map should show corridors and watercourse connectivity.	N	
LPR-D-043	Patricia Clissold			Local Plan	Policies Map	Y N	I Y	No footpaths (for humans) or green connections (for wildlife) are explicitly shown on the map		N	
LPR-D-044	Sheila Wallington			Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Objects to more development in Caversfield/Bicester area, due to loss of agricultural land, impact on countryside, and lack of infrastructure in Bicester.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-044 LPR-D-045	Sheila Wallington David Pheasey			Local Plan Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40			Objects to more development in Caversfield/Bicester area, due to loss of agricultural land, impact on countryside, and lack of infrastructure in Bicester.  Concern on the entrance from the old Roman road (Akeman St) from Chesterton towards Kirtlington. The road lacks a footpath and it			
LPR-D-045	David Pheasey Stephen Tobin			Local Plan Local Plan	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			would be difficult to create. Suggestion of an amended design and access to increase safety for pedestrians. Concept maps included. Suggest access from Springwell Hill rather than from Station Road, due to highway safety.			
LPR-D-047	Andrew Parsons			Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Noise and light pollution mitigation should be implemented where development is prohibited and in strategic gaps. The strategic gap is ill defined - need clear definition which prevents any development, including sports provision or parks.			
LPR-D-047	Andrew Parsons			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Objection to the housing numbers- concern over the legality of allocating 1,500 additional dwellings.  Access to Bucknell Road and Bainton Road should be prevented.			
LPR-D-048	Pauline Reeves			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objection to the site. Prefer the site south of Station Road. Further consultation with village residents is needed.			
LPR-D-049	Janet Robertson			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objection to the Springwell site due to safety and parking issues, and the potential loss of the footpath crossing the proposed site.  There was insufficient public consultation.  The site proposed at Station Road would be preferred.			
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Y N		Objecting the change of category of Finmere to Category C.  Questioning the evidence to justify Settlement Hierarchy.  Policy is not consistent with NPPF para. 83.	Change Finmere to Category A	N	
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan		YN	Υ	Questioning the evidence to justify exceeding the Building Regulations with respect to energy efficiency standards, and compliance with national policy. Questioning the viability issues at NW Bicester raised in the Dec. 2024 Sustainability Appraisal Report. Concern that cumulative impact of policies would make residential development within Cherwell unviable.	Delete the policy	N	
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	Y N		Questioning the evidence to justify the policy. Concern that it would not comply with national policy. Questioning the viability issues on NW Bicester (SA) raised in the Dec. 2024 Sustainability Appraisal Report.	Delete the policy	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Y N		Questioning the viability of implementing the policy and the evidence to justify the policy. The policy is not considered consistent with national policy.	Amendments sought, to remove certain features enhancing biodiversity, remove minimum expected ratios of habitat boxes per dwellings, and to remove requirements to manage and monitor biodiversity features (see reps for full	N	
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity	Y N	Y	Not considered to be consistent with national policy, due to Cherwell's status as a predominantly rural area. See reps for full paragraph	Amendments sought, including removing reference to a sustainable transport hierarchy, and identifying Cherwell's status as a predominantly rural district. (see reps for full paragraph)	N	
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density		Υ	The requirement for a Design Review Panel is not justified, and the policy is too vague about when a Design Review Panel would be needed.	Remove reference to Design Review Panel	N	
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy LEC 7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	Y N	Y	Requiring developments too meet all criteria to build on BMV land is unreasonable, and the criteria are not supported by evidence. The policy would apply higher expectations for windfall sites than was taken for allocating sites. Demonstrating insufficient lower grade land in other suitable locations is unduly onerous. Requirements for an Agricultural Land Classification Report would impact delivery of	Need to amend so policy would consist of giving regard to whether BMV land could be avoided (see reps for full paragraph)	N	
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Y N	Y	Cherwell's housing requirement, Oxford's unmet need, and a 5% buffer exceed the housing numbers used in the plan. LP don't meet the 80% transitional arrangement	Need to amend to reflect the housing requirement based on the SM dated December 2024 and a 5% buffer (see reps for full paragraph)	N	
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size /	Y N	Υ	Not considered to be consistent with national policy and not justified. The housing mix is not supported by evidence.	Housing mix should be supported by up-to-date SHMA	N	
LPR-D-050		Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards			Questioning the evidence to justify the policy's requirements for outdoor amenity space to consider orientation.	Need to amend to remove requirement to account for orientation of amenity space to the sun (see reps for full paragraph)	N	
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	Y N		Not considered to be consistent with national policy, which does not distinguish between self-build and custom housebuilding. Clarity on length of marketing period should be provided. See reps for full paragraph	Need to amend to remove distinction between self-build and custom-build and reference to Council's Monitoring Report; amend to include 12 month marketing period, subject to specific site delivery timescales; amend to remove requirement for evidence that there is not likely to be market interest in the plot (see reps for full paragraph)	N	
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Y N	Υ	Requirements to prepare Design Codes and masterplans has an unreasonable effect on viability and deliverability of small-scale housing.	Remove reference to major sites	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-050	Katie Christou	Hayfield Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	YN		Requirements for open space exceed the Fields in Trust standards. Requirements for an on-site NEAP for developments of 50 or over exceed Fields in Trust benchmark, which could impact viability.	A separate open space standard should be set out for informal play spaces, and quantum should be reduced to Fields in Trust standard. Threshold for on-site NEAP requirements should be increased to 500 homes.	N	
LPR-D-051	Elaine Barnes			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Objection to the additional numbers in NW Bicester as there is inadequate infrastructure and impact on local identity.			
LPR-D-052	Banbury CAG			Local Plan	Vision and Objectives	Υ		Supports the three themes. Labelling Climate Change as Theme One is entirely responsible, and must be the lead if progress is to be made on Themes Two and Three.			
LPR-D-052	Banbury CAG			Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure			Supports this policy			
LPR-D-052	Banbury CAG			Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			Supports this policy			
LPR-D-052	Banbury CAG			Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure			Supports this policy			
LPR-D-052	Banbury CAG			Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy			Support of the vision to create new attractive public space for the town and linear park along the Canalside. Additional housing increase demand on transport, educational, and health services, all requiring investment. There is currently insufficient provision of outdoor sports facilities for young people, which will require investment to meet the needs of a growing population. More prescriptive, specific and enforceable policies are required.			
LPR-D-052	Banbury CAG			Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside			Supports opening up Canalside for a sensitive development that could supply significant housing in a degraded area, encouraging regeneration and limiting extra demand for travel.			
LPR-D-052	Banbury CAG			Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity			Supports this policy			
LPR-D-053	Nigel Holt			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objection to the site, due to unsuitable road access. Prefer the site south of Station Road, due to potential to provide car parking for Coop, the potential to provide a footpath, and the wider and straighter road access.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-054	Duncan Chadwick	Chadwick Town Planning	Mr Richard Stroud, Landowner	Local Plan	Housing numbers	Y	N		Housing requirement can't provide sufficient supply and mix of sites, due to issues with housing completion and previous failures to ensure a five-year housing land supply. Further evidence included			Represent a landowner who has made current and previous detailed representations to the review that go to the heart of the soundness of the Plan.
LPR-D-054	Duncan Chadwick	Chadwick Town Planning	Mr Richard Stroud, Landowner	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Y	N		Support for focusing most new development at Bicester, Banbury, and Kidlington, but the plan doesn't realise the full potential for future sustainable growth in Banbury.			Represent a landowner who has made current and previous detailed representations to the review that go to the heart of the soundness of the Plan.
LPR-D-054	Duncan Chadwick	Chadwick Town Planning	Mr Richard Stroud, Landowner	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Y	N		Not justified, with particular objections to the gap between Banbury and Broughton. Prefer criteria based policy			Represent a landowner who has made current and previous detailed representations to the review that go to the heart of the soundness of the Plan.
LPR-D-054	Duncan Chadwick	Chadwick Town Planning	Mr Richard Stroud, Landowner	Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	Υ	N		There are just two housing sites allocated at Banbury. Canalside is allocated but subject to numerous freehold interests and tenancy leases. This means delivery in Banbury would be slow, and lack variety and flexibility. A range and mix of housing sites of different sizes in and surrounding Banbury would be supported.			Represent a landowner who has made current and previous detailed representations to the review that go to the heart of the soundness of the Plan.
LPR-D-054	Duncan Chadwick	Chadwick Town Planning	Mr Richard Stroud, Landowner	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Y	N		Overreliance on larger scale developments, which have longer time frames for permissions and delivery, do not help in delivery of housing.			Represent a landowner who has made current and previous detailed representations to the review that go to the heart of the soundness of the Plan.
LPR-D-054	Duncan Chadwick	Chadwick Town Planning		Sustainability Appraisal		Υ	N		Paras 5.2.96, 5.4.10, 5.4.11, and 9.9.1 - Objection to evaluation of omission site south of Broughton Rd and west of Friswell Rd.			Represent a landowner who has made current and previous detailed representations to the review that go to the heart of the soundness of the Plan.
LPR-D-054	Duncan Chadwick	Chadwick Town Planning	Mr Richard Stroud, Landowner	Local Plan	Omission Site	Y	N		Proposed allocation of land to the south of Broughton Rd and West of Friswell Rd, Banbury. Further evidence in the reps on the benefits of the site, including lack of statutory environmental designations, existing footpaths, low agricultural grade land and lack of Flood Zone constraints.			Represent a landowner who has made current and previous detailed representations to the review that go to the heart of the soundness of the Plan.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-055	Peter and Donita Read			Puy du Fou				Object the proposal due to impact to traffic, noise and light pollution.			
LPR-D-056	Joanne Spencer			proposal Puy du Fou proposal				Object the proposal due to impact to traffic, the failure of the master plan to authentically engage with British history, the vague or negligible positive impact son the local economy, impact on residential amenity of nearby villages, changes to rights of way, loss of farmland and ecological features, and lack of community engagement. Object the Great Wolf proposal. Commented on inadequate infrastructure provision for Bicester Village.			
LPR-D-056	Joanne Spencer				Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Object more development in Bicester due to town centre, ecological and landscape impacts. Employment allocations are objected to on the basis of traffic impact, pollution, environmental damage and the lack of meaningful, skilled, and high-income employment.			
LPR-D-056	Joanne Spencer				Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Object the allocation due to infrastructure, traffic, services.			
LPR-D-057	Jeremy Flawn	Bluestone Planning	Mr and Mrs T Hockaday	Sustainability Appraisal	Evidence based documents	N N	Υ	At Reg. 18, individual sites were not assessed in favour of assessing 'packages of sites'. There is no evidence of systematic assessment against SA objectives for each of the sites (eg no tabulated findings / RAG analysis etc). Insufficient alternatives were considered, with little clarity on why certain sites were considered unreasonable. More reasoning included in the reps	A comparative assessment of all options for allocation sites should have been undertaken through the SA process	Y	to allow the Examiner the opportunity to consider the issues and question the objector
LPR-D-057	Jeremy Flawn	Bluestone Planning	Mr and Mrs T Hockaday	Consultation Statement	Evidence based documents	N	Y		Ensure that the Regulation 18 consultation responses database is fully updated to include all representations received, in full, unless they are intended to be withheld for specific reasons.	Y	to allow the Examiner the opportunity to consider the issues and question the objector
LPR-D-057	Jeremy Flawn	Bluestone Planning	Mr and Mrs T Hockaday	Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)	N N	Υ	The site is not justified through the SA process- there is no evidence that reasonable alternatives have been considered. Flood issues are not addressed as there is insufficient blue/SuDS infrastructure. Landscape buffer to the east is likely to be minimal. Landscape, material, and flooding impacts could arise at the Grade II listed building Wykham Farmhouse.	Policy BAN H2, paragraphs 4.50-4.55 and the plan of that site at Appendix 6 should be deleted from the Local Plan. If retained, amendments should be made, including the requirement for surface water attenuation features and a significant landscape buffer. See rep for full suggestion.	Y	to allow the Examiner the opportunity to consider the issues and question the objector
LPR-D-058	Stephen and Lynda Read			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Object to the additional numbers in NW Bicester due to inadequate infrastructure and service provision, traffic impacts, and the ecological impacts. The plan fails to consider the cumulative impact of development occurring around Bicester and current applications, e.g.,			
LPR-D-059	David Spencer			Puy du Fou proposal				Object the proposal due to impact to traffic, the failure of the master plan to authentically engage with British history, the vague or negligible positive impact son the local economy, impact on residential amenity of nearby villages, changes to rights of way, loss of farmland and ecological features, and lack of community engagement. Object the Great Wolf proposal. Commented on inadequate infrastructure provision for Bicester Village.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-059	David Spencer			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Object more development in Bicester due to lack of infrastructure, traffic, services. Objection to extension to Bicester Village.			
LPR-D-059	David Spencer			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Object to the additional numbers in NW Bicester due to inadequate infrastructure and service provision, traffic impacts, and the ecological impacts. The plan fails to consider the cumulative impact of development occurring around Bicester and current applications, e.g.,			
LPR-D-060	Steve Hodgson			Banbury Transport Topic Paper December 2024	Evidence based documents			Proposed CLPR 2042 doesn't have regularly access to town centre via bus services, for the areas adjacent to the A361. A361 already at the afternoon peak.			
LPR-D-060	Steve Hodgson			Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)			The site doesn't have good access to town centre (bus services for some parts are only hourly), road cannot cope with more traffic	Implement a new town bus service to supplement the S488		
LPR-D-060	Steve Hodgson			Local Plan	Policy BAN 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area			Question on the spine road between A361 and A4620			
LPR-D-061	Neil Forbes			Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Objection to classification of Wardington as Cat. B as the bus service has experienced threats of closure	Policy need to be flexible to accommodate such changes and allow reclassification of villages as appropriate		
LPR-D-062	Alan Tennant			Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Objection to the change of categorisation of Caversfield as there was no consultation opportunity. Caversfield lacks public transport to Bicester, and lacks public services. Caversfield experiences problems with traffic congestion that need to be considered in the light of allocated sites, proposed development and large applications in the	appropriate.		
LPR-D-062	Alan Tennant			Local Plan				Supports the plan's ambitious housing, employment, and retail developments, and policies protecting the environment and heritage, and establishing Bicester as a Garden Town.			
LPR-D-062	Alan Tennant			Local Plan	Policy COM 13:			Broad support for policy.			
LPR-D-063	Paul Simmons			Local Plan	Settlement Gaps Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objection to the allocation due to highways and visual impact			
LPR-D-064	Tim Northey	Abbeymill Homes		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Y	N Y	The spatial strategy as drafted does not recognise that severe housing affordability issues exist in the rural areas, with affordable housing needs often greater in percentage terms than in the urban areas. Clustering most housing allocations in larger urban extensions will lead to lag times associated with frontloading infrastructure.	A strategy is required that is more balanced and which allows for greater rural housing growth to enable the housing needs of the rural communities to be met, and support existing and potentially new service delivery	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Soling with Dic	Re Complies with DTC	asons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-064	Tim Northey	Abbeymill Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	YN	I Y	alloo that prov	e plan envisages that 565 homes will be delivered through ocations in Neighbourhood Plans, although there is no guarantee at such plans will come forward. Allocating large urban extensions ovides few opportunities for SME developers. Not compliant with PE Para 73	Identify at least 10% of its proposed housing supply as sites of no more than 1 hectare.	Y	To explore and discuss the issue
LPR-D-064	Tim Northey	Abbeymill Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	YN	I Y	Y The conf		Delete the policy	N	
LPR-D-064	Tim Northey	Abbeymill Homes		Local Plan	Settlement Hierarchy			the opp supi	portunities for villages to grow and thrive and	provision can grow.	N	
LPR-D-064	Tim Northey	Abbeymill Homes		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy	YN	I Y	83 c	e rural areas strategy does not conform to provisions of Paragraph of the NPPF in identifying opportunities for villages to grow and ive and support local services	A greater proportion of growth for villages, including specific allocations for a wider range of rural settlements.	N	
LPR-D-065	Jane Hennell	Canal & River Trust		Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure				ive and Support local Scrivices	Suggestion to improve the text for clarity, by adding a bullet point requiring applications to demonstrate how existing off-site GBI will be affected, protected and enhanced. Full paragraph	No	
LPR-D-066	Glenys Knighton			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			opp site	jects the allocation due to traffic and parking concerns, and limited portunities for public footpaths. Preference for the Station Road e due to potential for improved parking and pedestrian and cycle cess routes.	is in the rens		
LPR-D-067	Diana Joslin			Puy du Fou				_	jects the proposal due to traffic, noise and light pollution. Bicester ks infrastructure such as shops for essentials.			
LPR-D-067	Diana Joslin			proposal Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town			Infra	rastructure (medical, educational, convenience retail) is needed for w inhabitants, otherwise car journeys will increase.			
LPR-D-068	David Young	GreenWay Oxon		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			sites to b why the	jects PR6b to be retained, suggest densification of other allocated es to maintain overall housing numbers. Suggests PR6b will be slow build out. Included Reg18 reps which contains the reasoning of y the site needs to be removed, including the ecological value of e site as it currently stands, the fact that the site is a carbon sink, d the importance of the golf course for physical and mental health.			
LPR-D-069	Chris Waldron	Defence Infrastructure Organisation	Ministry of Defence	Local Plan	Planwide			capa On T list o		Include a policy within the plan to safeguard defence assets, namely RAF Weston on the Green, RAF Bardford St John and RAF Croughton.		
LPR-D-069	Chris Waldron	Defence Infrastructure Organisation	Ministry of Defence	Local Plan	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy			rene		Add Military Aviation and Defence Interests to the issues under the Policy		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-069	Chris Waldron	Defence Infrastructure Organisation	Ministry of Defence	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			Support but highlights that some forms of environmental improvement or enhancement may not be compatible with aviation safety.	Enviromental improvements and enhancements including off-site provision of BNG should be assessed against statutory safeguarding zones.		
LPR-D-069	Chris Waldron	Defence Infrastructure Organisation	Ministry of Defence	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain			Support but highlights that some forms of environmental improvement or enhancement may not be compatible with aviation safety.	Enviromental improvements and enhancements including off-site provision of BNG should be assessed against statutory safeguarding zones.		
LPR-D-069	Chris Waldron	Defence Infrastructure Organisation	Ministry of Defence	Local Plan	Appendix 9: Airport Safeguarded Area			Notes statutory technical safeguarding zones for RAF Barford St John and RAF Croughton are included in Appendix 9 but requests that RAF Weston on the Green height development consultation zones and Bird strike safeguarding zones are added.  Notes that MoD safeguarding requirements for RAF Bicester have been withdrawn.	Add RAF Weston on the Green height development consultation zones and Birdstrike safeguarding zones. Remove safeguarding zone from RAF Bicester.		
LPR-D-070	Nicholas Jones			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Objects to development in Bicester, due to concern over consequences to transport and infrastructure, impacts on the sewage system, the pollution caused by Ardley incinerator (if used for electricity), and green space and wildlife. Use of productive agricultural land for greenspace does not safeguard land for agriculture, as parks/sports pitches could be created on this land with concomitant noise and light pollution. Potential heritage impacts on listed buildings in Bucknell.			
LPR-D-071	George Moncrieff			Puy du Fou proposal				Objects to Puy de Fou proposal due to the impacts on road, sewage, and water infrastructure; noise and light pollution; traffic; flooding; cumulative impacts due to other proposed and allocated development.			
LPR-D-071	George Moncrieff			Local Plan	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	N	Υ	Concern over the impacts of increased development on infrastructure, noise & light pollution, flooding and traffic	Infrastructure should be created before development commences, to mitigate any impacts. Roads need to be upgraded. Noise and light pollution and flooding should be mitigated.	Υ	To see that the interests of the local community are considered
LPR-D-072	Elaine Cox			Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	N		Concerned over the impact on both Lower Heyford and Caulcott and Upper Heyford. The Rousham Conservation Area is not mentioned. Villages categorised as 'rural areas' undermines their individual characteristics, and local needs, identify and heritage need to be considered. Requiring 100 houses in mid-Cherwell would go against stated aim of protecting the character and identity of Cherwell's			
LPR-D-072	Elaine Cox			Local Plan	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	N		Notes that green infrastructure opportunities in Para. 7.9 (e.g., a River Cherwell Waterway Park) are not all compatible with conserving biodiversity, preserving village identity or climate mitigation. Cherwell Valley at Lower and Upper Heyford is a Conservation Target Area.			
LPR-D-073	Derek Evans	North Oxfordshire Socialist Alliance		Local Plan	Vision and Objectives			Supports the three themes, and the priority given to climate adaptation as theme number 1.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-073	Derek Evans	North Oxfordshire Socialist Alliance		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			Rejects the term 'affordable housing' - it's a smokescreen to avoid providing homes for social rent. Social housing is more affordable and has secure tenancies. Includes reasoning and evidence to increase number of social, council-owned housing.			
LPR-D-073	Derek Evans	North Oxfordshire Socialist Alliance		Local Plan	Vision			Supports vision statement describing increased opportunities for active travel and improved public transport.			
LPR-D-073	Derek Evans	North Oxfordshire Socialist Alliance		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Supports active travel improvements and reductions in congestion, pollution, and cross-town car traffic.			
LPR-D-073	Derek Evans	North Oxfordshire Socialist Alliance		Local Plan	Policy BAN 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area			Supports paragraph 4.15 on improving connectivity and delivering infrastructure improvements. Proposes that CDC campaigns for reduction of bus fares, and that CDC investigates 'On Demand' bus provision.			
LPR-D-074	David Robertson			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	N Y	N	Request for a longer consultation period and increased engagement with residents			
LPR-D-074	David Robertson			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	N Y	N	Objects to Site HELAA510 - Land West of Springwell Hill and North Sand Furlong, due to limited pedestrian/cyclist access. Promote HELAA333 instead, citing the multiple points of pedestrian access, space for significant active travel infrastructure, and space for parking	. Y		If required to elaborate on submission made
LPR-D-075	Diana Pick			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Request for a longer consultation period and increased engagement with residents			
LPR-D-075	Diana Pick			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects to Springwell Hill site due to highways safety, parking issues, and pedestrian access. Proposes alternative at Station Road, due to the multiple egress points and containing development within the village boundary.			
LPR-D-076	Peter Kyte			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects to the proposal due to increased traffic and impact to wildlife			
LPR-D-077	John Edwards			Local Plan	Others- General			The Plan is sensible and measured			
LPR-D-078	Emma Rhodes				Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects to Springwell Hill site, due to overdevelopment, a failure to consider alternative sites, impacts on biodiversity, unsuitable access, encroachment on Kirtlington, limited community benefits, visual harm, failure to connect to existing street patterns, harm to Bletchingdon Park, lack of public consultation, pressure on Thames Water capacity, and potential conflict of interests.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-078	Emma Rhodes			Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change			Questions evidence of climate change, suggests flooding is rather due to mismanagement of watercourses			
LPR-D-078	Emma Rhodes  Emma Rhodes			Local Plan  Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential Policy CSD 6:			Objects to the policy due to the economic implications  Objects to the policy due to impacts to wildlife, agriculture,			
LFR-D-078				Local Flair	Renewable Energy			landscape, and questions the financial benefits and energy generation			
LPR-D-078	Emma Rhodes			Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management			Objects the reference to climate change as flooding is more likely to occur from lack of dredging and overdevelopment			
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Omission Site	N		Land at Grimsbury Reservoir, Banbury, OX16 3JJ should be allocated for employment development. It is sustainably located, and technical solutions to flood risk could be achieved.	The site should be allocated for employment/residential development.	Υ	To discuss detail of omission sites
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Omission Site	N		Former lagoon at Banbury Sewage Treatment Works, Thorpe Mead, OX16 4RZ should be allocated for employment development.	The site should be allocated for employment/residential development.	Υ	To discuss detail of omission sites
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Omission Site	N		Land at Buckingham Road, Bicester, OX26 5HA should be allocated for residential development. The existing balancing pond could be made smaller. The site is within Bicester's built-up limits. Objection to site's designation as a District Wildlife site.	The site should be allocated for residential development.	Υ	To discuss detail of omission sites
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Omission Site	N		Thames Water Kidlington Depot should be removed from the Green Belt and allocated for employment development. It is previously developed land.	The site should be removed from the Green Belt and allocated for employment development.	Υ	To discuss detail of omission sites
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy BAN E1: Land at Higham Way	N		Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand:  - What drainage requirements are required on and off site  - Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development  - Water supply requirements on and off site  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so that we can better understand location, start date, and phasing of development.  We recommend developers attach the information we provide to their planning applications so that the Council and the wider public are assured water and waste matters for the development are being addressed.  See rep. for a table showing Network Waste RAG assessment for each	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)	N		Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand:  - What drainage requirements are required on and off site  - Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development  - Water supply requirements on and off site  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so that we can better understand location, start date, and phasing of development.  We recommend developers attach the information we provide to their planning applications so that the Council and the wider public are assured water and waste matters for the development are being addressed.  See rep. for a table showing Network Waste RAG assessment for each allocation.	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	N		Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand:  - What drainage requirements are required on and off site  - Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development  - Water supply requirements on and off site  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so that we can better understand location, start date, and phasing of development.  We recommend developers attach the information we provide to their planning applications so that the Council and the wider public are assured water and waste matters for the development are being addressed.  See rep. for a table showing Network Waste RAG assessment for each allocation.	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside	N		Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand:  - What drainage requirements are required on and off site  - Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development  - Water supply requirements on and off site  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so that we can better understand location, start date, and phasing of development.  We recommend developers attach the information we provide to their planning applications so that the Council and the wider public are assured water and waste matters for the development are being addressed.  See rep. for a table showing Network Waste RAG assessment for each allocation.	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road	N		be beneficial to understand:  - What drainage requirements are required on and off site  - Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development  - Water supply requirements on and off site  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40	N		<ul> <li>What drainage requirements are required on and off site</li> <li>Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development</li> <li>Water supply requirements on and off site</li> <li>The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so</li> </ul>	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	N		be beneficial to understand:  - What drainage requirements are required on and off site  - Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development  - Water supply requirements on and off site  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water			Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	1	N	t   t t c   t c	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand: What drainage requirements are required on and off site Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development Water supply requirements on and off site The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so that we can better understand location, start date, and phasing of development. We recommend developers attach the information we provide to their planning applications so that the Council and the wider public are assured water and waste matters for the development are being addressed. See rep. for a table showing Network Waste RAG assessment for each allocation.	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water			Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	1	N	t t t t t	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand:  What drainage requirements are required on and off site.  Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development.  Water supply requirements on and off site.  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so that we can better understand location, start date, and phasing of development.  We recommend developers attach the information we provide to their planning applications so that the Council and the wider public are assured water and waste matters for the development are being addressed.  See rep. for a table showing Network Waste RAG assessment for each allocation.	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water			Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	1	N	t   t t c   t c	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand:  What drainage requirements are required on and off site  Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development  Water supply requirements on and off site  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so that we can better understand location, start date, and phasing of development.  We recommend developers attach the information we provide to their planning applications so that the Council and the wider public are assured water and waste matters for the development are being addressed.  See rep. for a table showing Network Waste RAG assessment for each allocation.	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester	N		Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand:  - What drainage requirements are required on and off site  - Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development  - Water supply requirements on and off site  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so that we can better understand location, start date, and phasing of development.  We recommend developers attach the information we provide to their planning applications so that the Council and the wider public are assured water and waste matters for the development are being addressed.  See rep. for a table showing Network Waste RAG assessment for each allocation.	Include reference to specific concerns regarding waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy COM 12: The Oxford Green Belt	N	1	Thames Water Kidlington Depot should be removed from the Green Belt and allocated for employment development.	The site should be removed from the Green Belt and allocated for employment development.	Υ	To discuss detail of Green Belt removal
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	N	1	Object to retained operational land at Bretch Hill Reservoir as existing green space.	Land should be removed from the green space designation	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	N	1	Object to retained operational land at Buckingham Road, Bicester as a District Wildlife Site.	Land should be removed from the green space designation	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy CSD 17: Pollution and Noise	N		Supports in principle, but needs to be clearer regarding potential odour impacts from sewage works in relation to development proposals nearby. The Local plan should assess impact of any development within the vicinity of existing sewage works in line with the Agent of Change principle in para.200 of the NPPF. Where development is proposed within 800m of a sewage treatment works or 15m of a sewage pumping station, the developer or local authority should liaise with TW to consider if an odour impact assessment is required.	Text similar to the following should be incorporated into Policy CSD17: "When considering sensitive development, such as residential uses, close to the Sewage Treatment Works, a technical assessment should be undertaken by the developer or by the Council. The technical assessment should be undertaken in consultation with Thames Water. The technical assessment should confirm that either: (a) there is no adverse amenity impact on future occupiers of the proposed development or; (b) the development can be conditioned and mitigated to ensure that any potential for adverse amenity impact is avoided."	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Y	Y	Support reference to sewer flooding. It is important to recognise that water/sewage infrastructure may be required in flood risk areas. Policy should reference that flooding can occur away from the flood plain due to development where off site sewerage infrastructure isn't in place ahead of development.	F. 11.12 W. 11.12.11		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)		N	1		With regard to surface water drainage, Thames Water request that the following paragraph should be included in Policy wording or supporting text: "It is the responsibility of a developer to make proper provision for surface water drainage to ground, water courses or surface water sewer. It must not be allowed to drain to the foul sewer, as this is the major contributor to sewer flooding."	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure		N		Support for the mains water consumption target of 110 litres/head/day. They understand that the water efficiency standards of 110 litres per person per day is only applied through the building regulations where there is a planning condition requiring this standard (as set out at paragraph 2.8 of Part G2 of the Building Regulations). As the Thames Water area is defined as water stressed it is considered that such a condition should be attached as standard to all planning approvals for new residential development in order to help ensure that the standard is effectively delivered through the building regulations. Supports Policy DM7 in referring to planning conditions, but clarification should be provided to state the 'Fittings Approach' is favoured over the 'Calculation method'.  Generally support the reference to water/wastewater infrastructure. The new Local Plan should seek to ensure that there is adequate water and wastewater infrastructure to serve all new developments. It is important not to underestimate the time upgrades take. Developers should engage with TW at earliest opportunity. TW are finalising design for upgrade to existing Oxford Sewage Treatment Works.	Proposes text changes relating to Water Efficiency in line with Part G of Building Regulations, Water supply /Waste Infrastructure and engagement with Thames Water at early in the stage of preparation of planning proposals.	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Compiles with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock	· N	N	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand:  - What drainage requirements are required on and off site  - Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development  - Water supply requirements on and off site  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so that we can better understand location, start date, and phasing of development.  We recommend developers attach the information we provide to their planning applications so that the Council and the wider public are assured water and waste matters for the development are being addressed.  See rep. for a table showing Network Waste RAG assessment for each allocation.	"The Local Planning Authority will seek to ensure that there is adequate water and wastewater infrastructure to serve all new developments. Developers are encouraged to contact the water/waste water company as early as possible to discuss their development proposals and intended delivery programme to assist with identifying any potential water and wastewater network reinforcement requirements. Where there is a capacity constraint the Local Planning Authority will, where appropriate, apply phasing conditions to any approval to ensure that any necessary infrastructure Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 –Proposed Submission Representation Form upgrades are delivered ahead of the occupation of the relevant phase of development."	N	
LPR-D-079	David Wilson	Thames Water		Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon		N	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand:  - What drainage requirements are required on and off site  - Clarity on anticipated loading/flow from the development  - Water supply requirements on and off site  The Council and Developers should work alongside Thames Water so that we can better understand location, start date, and phasing of development.  We recommend developers attach the information we provide to their planning applications so that the Council and the wider public are assured water and waste matters for the development are being addressed.  See rep. for a table showing Network Waste RAG assessment for each allocation.	waste water/water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine whether a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy informing what infrastructure is required, where, when and how it will be delivered is required.	N	
LPR-D-080	Flo Van Diemen van Thor			Local Plan	Others- General			States that their comments at Reg. 18 weren't taken into account during preparation of Reg. 19. Includes comments from Reg. 18.			
LPR-D-080	Flo Van Diemen van Thor			Local Plan	Policies Map			Disagrees with strategic gap placement and the boundary line around Hawkwell, 21/04275/OUT. Questions the overlap of strategic gap and strategic housing allocation. Suggests colour of NW Bicester obfuscates scale and density of proposed development.			
LPR-D-080	Flo Van Diemen van Thor			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			The vision is too reliant on leisure and retail for employment, and unambitious in attracting diverse employment opportunities.  Concerns regarding congestion.			
LPR-D-080	Flo Van Diemen van Thor			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Objects, as specific infrastructure requirements are not included			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-081	J Lane			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Objects due to impacts of traffic, lack of infrastructure including sewage processing and healthcare, pollution, and the focus on low paid retail employment out of the town centre. Concern over crime rates, littering, and footpaths. Requests more elderly apartment blocks, solar panels to be prioritised on rooves rather than agricultural land, and better active travel routes between Graven Hill and Bicester/other facilities. Criticises lack of employment in the ecotown.	Suggests solar panels on factory roofs rather than on farm land		
LPR-D-082	Bridget Fox	Woodland Trust		Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40	Y	Y	Objects to the inclusion of areas of ancient woodland within development sites or any site allocation that adversely affects ancient woodland and other priority wooded habitats.  The site includes approx. 0.4 ha of unnamed ancient semi-natural woodland at SP 55256 19561. Point 15 sets the requirement to 15. Retain and protect ancient woodland. Development should be set back from the ancient woodland (with a buffer of at least 15m to avoid root damage) and use new planting to enhance connectivity with nearby copses and tree belts.  In addition, the site includes a strip of unnamed wood, approx. 2.3 ha (SP 55185 20237) priority habitat deciduous woodland. Priority habitats have protection in the NPPF.	Request the protection for ancient woodland by specifying a minimum 15m buffer in point 15 though would prefer to see a precautionary 50m buffer. To better protect the priority habitat deciduous woodland on site, we recommend adding wording to point 17 as follows: 17. Existing priority habitat woodland, and boundary and internal hedgerow trees, should be enhanced and strengthened, including the introduction of hedgerow trees.  This would better comply with NPPF para 192 b) on protection of priority habitats.	N	N/A
LPR-D-082	Bridget Fox	Woodland Trust		Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester	N N	Y	Not legally and procedurally compliant. Not justified and not consistent with National Policy. Is positively prepared and is effective. Objects to the inclusion of areas of ancient woodland within development sites or any site allocation adversely affecting ancient woodland/other priority wooded habitats.  The site includes approx. 0.5 ha of ancient semi-natural woodland at Grunthill Copse (SP 55642 24860). Unless this irreplaceable habitat is suitably protected from loss or harm, the policy cannot in our view be legal, justified or sound. Point 25 sets requirement to "Retain and protect Grunthill Copse and utilise new planting to enhance connectivity with nearby copses and tree belts." This wording is insufficiently specific, clear and robust to provide the necessary protection.  The site includes 0.06ha of traditional orchard, a priority habitat at Lovelynch House (SP 55442 23174) and several small areas of priority deciduous woodland. Priority habitats have protection in the NPPF.	Amend point 25 to read:  "25. Retain and protect the ancient woodland at Grunthill Copse  Development should be set back from the ancient woodland (with a buffer of at least 15m to avoid root damage) and utilise new planting to enhance connectivity with nearby copses and tree belts."  Add new point 26 to read:  "26. Enhance and strengthen the traditional orchard at Lovelynch House, including the introduction of additional fruit trees, and the provision of appropriate buffers"  Renumber subsequent policy points accordingly. This will better conform with NPPF para 192b) which protects priority habitats and 193c) which protects ancient woodland.	N	N/A

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-082	Bridget Fox	Woodland Trust		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	YN	Y	Support the clear protection given to ancient woodland in point ii) "will not be permitted unless". The text better reflects NPPF Dec 2024 para 193c) Not consistent with National Policy- needs explicit reference to ancient & veteran trees. Need to add reference to buffers in relation to ancient woodland.	For compliance LP needs to include an explicit reference to ancient & veteran trees alongside ancient woodland.  Recommend adding suitable wording on the requirement for buffers e.g. "All development proposals adjacent to ancient woodland will be required to incorporate suitable buffers; development proposals close to ancient or veteran trees will be required to designate suitable root protection areas."  The Woodland Trust recommends a precautionary 50m buffer to protect ancient	N	N/A
LPR-D-082	Bridget Fox	Woodland Trust		Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	YY	Y	Support policy proposing a minimum of 20% BNG for strategic sites and in the core nature recovery areas. Ambitious target increases chances that the average net gain of at least 10% will be delivered across the Plan area, given the possibility that some sites may not be able to deliver BNG or that initiatives to deliver BNG may fall short in practice.	N/A	N	N/A
LPR-D-082	Bridget Fox	Woodland Trust		Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	YY	Υ	Support the policy, in particular point iv. This policy is in line with the NPPF para 136.	N/A	N	N/A
LPR-D-082	Bridget Fox	Woodland Trust		Local Plan	Policy LEC 9: Tourism	Y Y	Y	Support the policy, including locating tourism developments within town or service centres, reusing existing buildings, and that developments in other locations should deliver nature conservation benefits and complement rural character. In line with the NPPF para	N/A	N	N/A
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy			Support the overall strategy, with concerns about BAN H3	Requests that specific sites should be identified for safeguarding BUFC and an additional secondary school		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy BAN 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area			Strongly objects to the omission of a junction of the M40 north of Banbury as it is necessary to reduce traffic congestion and reduce air pollution.  Supports other aspects of this policy.	Secondary School		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy BAN 4: Green and Blue Infrastructure in the			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Banbury Area Policy BAN 5: Horton Hospital Site			Supports the policy	A further statement within the policy stating that no part of the site will be disposed of to fund/facilitate medical development and that the site should be retained for future hospital needs		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy BAN 6: Banbury Opportunity Areas			Supports the policy	Believes the identification of an acceptable alternative site for the bus station should be a prerequisite to development being approved		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy BAN E1: Land at Higham Way			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street			Considers the site suitable for residential development but not able to accommodate 170 dwellings due to the site's constraints			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside			Supports the policy	Consider CDC should take the lead in redevelopment of the site by providing a masterplan for the site		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Landscape Policy COM 11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Supports the policy, and the specific maps			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 15: Active Travel – Walking and Cycling			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 18: Creating Healthy Communities			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 19: Hot Food Takeaways			Supports the caveats controlling the siting and operation in the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services			Supports the policy	Suggests efforts should be made to advance the timing of infrastructure provision		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 21: Meeting Education Needs			Supports the policy	Suggests a site should be identified for an additional secondary school in Banbury which should be protected against alternative development		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 22: Public Services and			Supports the policy	development		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Utilities Policy COM 23: Local Services and Community			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Facilities Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation			Welcomes the intention to consult Town and Parish councils to ensure proposals meet local needs			
LPR-D-083	Robert  Duxbury  Robert	Banbury Town Council Banbury Town		Local Plan Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Cumports the policy	Requests discussion re. potential of designating council-owned sites		
LPK-D-083	Duxbury	Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 28: Listed Buildings			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 30: The Oxford Canal			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 31: Residential Canal Moorings			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards			Supports the policy, and the need for internal and external space standards.			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 7: Sub- Division of Dwellings and Homes in Multiple Occupation			Supports the policy			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies wit	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
						iant	מ טוכ			<u> </u>	
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain			Supports the policy, and welcomes the wide applicability of the policy on new development.			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 13: Conservation Target Areas			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure			Supports the policy, and welcomes policy assistance in improving the Canal/River corridor.			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality			Supports the policy.	Recommends the narrative explains the strategies proposed for dealing with low air quality areas in Banbury		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 17: Pollution and Noise			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 18: Light Pollution			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 19: Soils, Contaminated Land and Stability			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 21: Waste Collection and Recycling			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity			Supports the policy, welcomes standard for provision of charging points in all new developments			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Improvements Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 24: Freight			Supports the policy.	Would welcome a site identified for provision of overnight lorry parking or policy to encourage such provision		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with D	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
						t	TC				
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density			Supports the densities	Suggests the policy should recognise that some brownfield sites are suited to commercial/mixed use over residential		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non- residential			Supports the policy and welcomes the reduction in applicable floorspace			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	infrastructure Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment			Supports Canalside and Higham Way sites			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Needs Policy LEC 10 Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses			Supports the policy	Would welcome steps to ensure local centres in new developments are provided and at the right stage of development		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 11: Primary Shopping Areas			Supports the policy	Stage of development		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 12: Outdoor Markets			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 13: Shopfronts and signage			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites			Supports the policy	Recommends the policy should promote redevelopment of existing commercial sites for modern commercial buildings at a mixture of sizes, with sites for this being defined in the plan		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites			Strongly objects to the policy as there is too much encouragement given to such development and is in conflict with COM13	Speculative development for commercial uses should be prohibited		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 4: Ancillary Uses on existing or allocated Employment Sites			Supports the policy			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 5: Community Employment Plans			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 9: Tourism			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Supports Banbury's designation as a Main Town and Nethercote as a Category C village	To better protect the priority habitat deciduous woodland on site, we recommend adding		
LPR-D-083	Robert Duxbury	Banbury Town Council		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Supports the District and Banbury Spatial Strategies	Would support addition of a comment recognising need for renewal of existing Banbury employment areas.		
LPR-D-084	Mervyn Benford			Local Plan	Others- General				Requests more bungalow provision in new development, for older people, to release homes to potential buyers		
LPR-D-085	Michele Mapp			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Objects to the development of theme parks and further expansion Bicester Village, due to impacts on traffic and green space	There is a need for affordable housing, meaningful employment, and preservation of wildlife.		
LPR-D-086	Henrietta Cole	English Rural Housing Association		Local Plan	Policy RUR 2: Rural Exception Sites			Generally supports but needs some amendment, to ensure flexibility in the sources that could be used for evidence of housing need.  Supports principle of second paragraph.  Supports bullet points i) and ii), due to importance of market housing for viability.  Objects bullet point iii), due to the need for housing.  Objects bullet point iv), due to concerns on deliverability.  Objects bullet point v), as community support shouldn't be a policy barrier for delivering rural housing where a need is evidenced.  Suggests bullet point vi) is overly prescriptive in requiring assessment of scale, due to lack of basis in national policy.  See reps for full paragraph. Submitted an evidence report  "Homelessness in the countryside: a hidden crisis"	Amend the policy, to introduce flexibility for sources that could be used to assess housing need.  Delete bullet points iii, iv, v, and vi. See reps for full suggestions.		
LPR-D-087	Martin Lay			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Objects to the Plan due to impacts to traffic, and road conditions.			
LPR-D-088	Chris Mason	Cherwell Swifts Conservation Project		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	YN	Υ	Welcomes the reference to nest bricks. No mention of swift bricks in the policy, which it should be as NPPF specifically refers to swifts	Add the following: "Swift bricks are a universal nest brick for small hole-nesting bird species and should be installed in new developments including extensions in accordance with best practice guidance such as RS42021 or CIEEM"	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-089	Helen Oldfield	Deddington Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	`	(	S	Support the requirement of 35% affordable homes in the rural areas	Suggests that at least 30% of the 70% affordable rented homes should be social rent, that in villages like Deddington where few qualify to go on the Housing register that the number of first homes/shared ownership should be raised to 50% and that the plan should explicitly state that people with a strong local connection and key workers should be prioritised for affordable accommodation in said locality		
LPR-D-089		Deddington Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy	Υ	1	r	Support the 565 homes for the rural areas, which should not be raised. Notes the 90 homes for Deddington matches the neighbourhood plan.			
LPR-D-089	Helen Oldfield	Deddington Parish Council		Local Plan	Others- General	Υ	1		Overall considers the Plan to be sound and justified.			
LPR-D-090	Roger Mallows	Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N I	N L		Concerns over 'within built-up limits' phrasing, which requires clearly defined building limits	Suggests a clearly defined and dated current building limits development plan for every village within the Plan	N	
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Omission Site	N	N		Promotes land at Crouch Farm, Bloxham Road, Banbury, which could accommodate 260 dwellings and be delivered with 5 years.			Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Omission Site	N	N	T V	Promotes land off Overthorpe Road for employment and/or Transport Hub. Although falling within the administrative boundary of West Northants, the site could meet the demands of Banbury as a settlement.			Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land			Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	N	N	ri s	Support significant growth to Banbury, however this strategy is high risk given that all housing growth to Banbury is in the form of larger scale SUE sites or regeneration sites, and there is heavy reliance on hese for delivery. Only two sites are new allocations.			Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)	N	N		Agrees that phase 2 should be an allocation, but the fact that phase 1 will take numerous years to complete, before phase 2 can commence, means it is a very long-term strategy	Smaller and more immediately deliverable sites are required such as land to the west at Bloxham Road, to see continued and sustained housing delivery in the authority.  Recommends key diagram is updated so that BAN H2 doesn't appear to be 'floating'	Y	Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	N	N		Questions if the site will come forward in line with the Council's trajectory		Y	Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside	N	N		Question whether the site is deliverable, having been previously included in the 2031 Plan but not yet delivered. Suggests limited housing mix can be provided, and affordability could be constrained.		Y	Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road	N	N		Question whether the site is deliverable, having been previously included in the 2031 Plan but not fully delivered. Suggests limited housing mix can be provided, and affordability could be constrained.		Y	Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Z	N		The council have failed to provide a robust trajectory showing how and when sites will be delivered, and are unable to demonstrate a 5 year housing land supply. Also believes the housing supply as set out is flawed. Questions the limited number of allocations, and the high proportion on housing expected to come from windfall sites.	Additional allocation of carefully chosen sites is recommended.	Y	Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land			Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Z	N		Objects to Banbury - Bloxham strategic gap, as it will not allow the Council sufficient flexibility to consider additional sites for development. References appeal decision at Ells Lane, and the Cherwell Landscape Sensitivity Assessment to evidence the limited landscape impact of development in this strategic gap.		Υ	Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land			Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	Z	Z		Delivery against this policy for some allocated sites is likely to be limited given expected viability concerns. Agree with provision of 30% affordable housing but this should ensure flexibility.	The mix should be regularly reviewed to ensure needs are met.	Y	Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land			Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type	Z	N		Canalside and other regeneration sites will provide an overdominance of 1 and 2 bed properties, against HENA 2022 evidence		Y	Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land			Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing	Z	Z		Query whether this policy will actually see the delivery of the number of specialist housing required, as the threshold of 400 dwellings is too high, and there is no indication of what proportion of housing would be required to be specialist.	There should be a stronger emphasis and flexibility within this policy to ensure that smaller and standalone sites will be supported to deliver specialist housing	Y	Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land			Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	N	N	N	Supports a specific policy on self and custom build housing in the Plan	Request that the policy remains sufficiently flexible to better enable the delivery of this housing type	Y	Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Policy CSD 24: Freight	N	N		Comment on the shortfall of lorry parking facilities in line with DFT and NPPF guidance, further promoting land off Overthorpe Road			Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N	Z		The amount of land allocated for employment is unambitious.  Delivery of Canalside will be a challenge and there are limited, unconstrained locations immediately within Banbury and Cherwell, in close proximity to the M40, to accommodate growth needs.			Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Ζ	Z		Support, as they endorse employment development on unallocated sites. Given the lack of new allocations to settlements, the Council can expect that numerous employment related planning applications will have to be determined against policy LEC3. The Plain fails to capitalise on the M40, and sites identified are not considered 'strategic'			Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N	Z		Supports the inclusion of Banbury and Bicester as Main Towns, but question the low level of growth proposed for Banbury			Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.
LPR-D-091	Emily Bishop	Mulberry Land		HELAA		N	N		Land at Bloxham Road incorrectly listed as 'potentially contaminated land, all wooded habitats' and 'relatively isolated'. There would not be significant coalescence between Banbury and Bloxham.			Believes the Plan is unsound, would like to explain in further detail. Believes numerous promoters and developers will have come to the same conclusions and therefore we wish to make our position overtly clear in front of an Inspector.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-092	Robert Linnell	Savills	Thomas White Oxford Ltd		Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy		N		The wording set out in the LP2042 needs to be amended to remove any doubt. For example the Plan refers to policies being "retained" but the glossary only refers to the phrase "saved policies" - the Plan must refer to the polices being "saved". More details in rep	Seeks wording changes from 'retained' to 'saved'.		
LPR-D-092	Robert Linnell	Savills	Thomas White Oxford Ltd	Local Plan	Appendix 1		Ν		The wording set out in the LP2042 needs to be amended to remove any doubt. For example the Plan refers to policies being "retained" but the glossary only refers to the phrase "saved policies" - the Plan must refer to the polices being "saved". More details in rep	Seeks wording changes from 'retained' to 'saved'. Request 'accompanying Saved Allocations Document' referred to in Appendix 1, or if it does not exist for the reference to be amended		
LPR-D-093		Kidlington Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 19: Hot Food Takeaways	Υ	N		Objects as the policy allows proposals within the village centre/established shopping centres within walking distance of schools and playgrounds	Policy COM 19: Point iii should be amended to read:  'The proposal is not located within a five-minute walk of a school or playground.' Delete 'unless within an established local shopping centre' from the policy.		Kidlington is a significant population centre, they wish to ensure the plan recognises that and includes policies to improve public health
LPR-D-093	Lesley McLean	Kidlington Parish Council			Policy COM 25: Local Green Space	Υ	N		Objects to the rejection of Bury Moor Fields, Land West of the footpath from mill-end to St Mary's Church and Stratfield brake playing fields as Local Green Spaces	Designation of the three areas as Local Green Spaces		Kidlington is a significant population centre and they wish to ensure that the plan recognises that and includes policies to help it retain its character and provide enhanced access to open
LPR-D-093	Lesley McLean	Kidlington Parish Council			Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Υ	N		The policy proposed does not reflect evidence based strategy and the key target is not measurable	CSD1 is reworded with CDC commissioning and maintaining a research based strategy for solar energy production and when considering applications CDC will prioritise installation of photovoltaics on new/existing properties and that solar farm applications should not adversely impact the environment or remove arable land		Kidlington is a significant population centre and they wish to ensure the plan recognises that and includes policies to help mitigate climate change and support the Parish Council's net zero strategies
LPR-D-093		Kidlington Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Υ	N		East/West connectivity is poor and the plan does not address this issue			Kidlington is a significant population centre and they wish to ensure the plan recognises that and includes policies to secure community environments which make active travel attractive
LPR-D-093		Kidlington Parish Council			Policy KID 3: Delivery of Transport Schemes within the Kidlington Area	Υ	N		The policy provides no action plan to ensure active travel delivery is appropriate or acceptable; transport schemes are based on the existing road network, which are unable to accommodate additional sustainable routes; commuting data is outdated; lack of consultation with LCWIP; and developer contributions should be identified and ringfenced for the local road network. Suggests changes to policy wording	CDC and OCC should work with the parish to establish suitable transport schemes for the area, infrastructure improvements are required to bus services, walking and cycling routes and a mobility hub should be duplicated at the Parkway station		Kidlington is a significant population centre and they wish to ensure the plan recognises that and includes policies to secure community environments which make active travel attractive

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-093	Lesley McLean	Kidlington Parish Council			Policy KID 4: Kidlington Area Strategy - Green and Blue Infrastructure	Y	N		The 'green ring' proposal for Kidlington is not included in the plan despite CDC responding positively in the Options consultation	Include support for the 'green ring' proposal for Kidlington and designate Local Green Spaces to support this. Suggest specific bullet point and paragraph addition to the current policy, see rep for full	Y	Kidlington is a significant population centre, they wish to ensure the plan recognises that and includes policies to help it retain its distinct character and provide enhanced access to open spaces to benefit the community
LPR-D-093	Lesley McLean	Kidlington Parish Council			Policy KID 5: Development within and adjoining Kidlington Village Centre	Υ	N		The plan makes no commitment to update and maintain the Kidlington masterplan and there is no SPD covering local issues such as village centre development and transport infrastructure, and issues common to Kidlington and surrounding parishes resulting from the Partial Review Plan population increase in the area.	Add to the policy a commitment to update the Kidlington masterplan relating to village centre redevelopment and infrastructure needs shared with the adjoining parishes and attach to the plan as a SPD	Y	Kidlington is a significant population centre, they wish to ensure the plan recognises that and includes policies to enhance the village centre and address problems arising from new developments in Kidlington and adjoining parishes
LPR-D-093		Kidlington Parish Council			Policy KID 5: Development within and adjoining Kidlington Village Centre	Υ	N		Would like to see more emphasis on the development of the west side of the Oxford Road, North of the redeveloped Exeter Close	Revise 6.93 (iv) as follows: iv. The village centre boundary should be extended to include the West side of Oxford Road, to include mixed-use developments and traffic calming measures, in order to create more of a High Street character	Y	Kidlington is a significant population centre and they wish to ensure the plan recognises that and includes policies to help deliver appropriate development within and adjoining the village centre
LPR-D-094	John Jowitt	PJ Planning	Village Development Company		Policy COM 15: Active Travel – Walking and Cycling				As per COM 15 v. it is presumed that this requirement does not apply to existing allocations carried over from the previous local plan, and finds the requirement vague		N	
LPR-D-094	John Jowitt	PJ Planning	Graven Hill Village Development Company	Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards	Υ	N		As per the Residential Space standards, M4(3) standards will increase costs, and will give the buildings a limited market, reducing price and availability	Suggests that the requirement for M4(3) buildings relate only to affordable housing and accommodation for the elderly, not market housing	N	
LPR-D-094	John Jowitt	PJ Planning	Graven Hill Village Development Company	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Υ	N		'All development proposals will be expected to incorporate features to enhance biodiversity" will not always be possible or appropriate		N	
LPR-D-095	George Reynolds	Drayton Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape				Supports the policy	Wishes landscaping is protected, including areas close to Drayton against wind and solar farms		
LPR-D-095	George Reynolds	Drayton Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps				Supports the policy	Would welcome tightening of the wording so it is watertight in defending the settlement gaps against any development		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-095	George Reynolds	Drayton Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas				Supports the policy			
LPR-D-095	George Reynolds	Drayton Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy				Supports Drayton's classification as a category C village			
LPR-D-095	George Reynolds	Drayton Parish Council		Local Plan	Appendix 4				Supports the maps showing the gap areas where development will not be allowed			
LPR-D-096	Adrian White	Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy	N	N	N	Objects as there needs to be a requirement to provide infrastructure and increased capacity prior to development. Additional surveys of natural assets should be carried out to inform development, and proactive policies are needed	New policies to be developed through better surveys	N	
LPR-D-096	Adrian White			Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy	Ν	N		Questions village categorisation and the associated conservation area studies and protection			
LPR-D-096	Adrian White			Local Plan	Policy RUR 5: Community-led housing development	N	N	N	Requests clarity on the benefits of community-led developments			
LPR-D-097	Alex Dalton	Land & Partners Limited		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Υ	N		Objects to downgrading of Sibford Ferris and Sibford Gower to Category B as it restricts delivery of sustainable development and the new hierarchy artificially separates the villages without acknowledging their connectivity	Requests the recategorization to Category A to reflect the wider range of facilities available across the two functionally interlinked settlements	Υ	The evidence leading to the downgrading of the settlement hierarchy requires discussion at the hearings
LPR-D-097	Alex Dalton	Land & Partners Limited		HELAA		Υ	N		The HELAA assessment of site 321 as suitable for development is supported. However, the statement that there is no further potential for development is not justified	Request removal of the sentence: "It is considered that there is no further potential for development beyond that already approved due to settlement pattern sensitivities" and suggests alternative wording	Y	To outline the site's planning history and also in relation to the settlement hierarchy objection
LPR-D-098	Sally Rowe			Local Plan	Others- General				Infrastructure needs to be considered prior to planning new houses, theme parks and warehouses			
LPR-D-099	Kitty Campion			Local Plan	Others- General				Objects to the Plan due to the focus on shopping, logistic companies, warehousing, and housing estates, without regard for better infrastructure, services and an independent high street first			
LPR-D-100	Allan & Lisa Phipps			Local Plan	Appendix 1	Υ	N		Within Appendix 1 Retained Policies List, there is inconsistency across TR14 and Policy Ban 3 which it has been replaced with. Policy Ban 3 is Development in the Vicinity of Banbury Railway Station and there is no Policy Ban relating to Banbury Inner Relief Road and Hennef Way	Either TR13 needs to be amended to be retained or a new Policy BAN 7: Banbury Inner Relief Road and Hennef Way should be created	N	
LPR-D-100	Allan & Lisa Phipps			Local Plan	Appendix 4	Υ	N		Query regarding urban boundaries for Banbury, and preservation and recognition of landscape features	Inclusion of: ridge and furrow in the characteristics; the A361 as defining the eastern edge of urban Banbury, and development should not extend past here; and clarity on strategic gaps mapping	N	
LPR-D-100	Allan & Lisa Phipps			Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside	Υ	N		There is no reference to the overlap of land allocations nor how conflict should be resolved, for example a local green site located in this site area		N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-100	Allan & Lisa Phipps			Local Plan	Policy COM 11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations	YN	I Y	In policies COM 11 and COM 26 - 29 different landscape studies are referred to, which may cause confusion	Each policy should either refer to the same study or both studies, or clarify in which context each one is relevant	N	
LPR-D-100	Allan & Lisa Phipps			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space	N	I	Disagree with the LGS designation for Banbury Lane, Nethercote.  Details in rep along with LGS application form	Addition of designated site Banbury Lane, Nethercote		Do not feel it necessary to participate, but will do if required
LPR-D-100	Allan & Lisa Phipps			Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Υ	Υ	At least 20% BNG should be sought in development on windfall/unallocated sites to ensure a plan led approach	Rewording to include a requirement of at least 20% BNG in development on windfall/unallocated sites	N	- Cogunicu
LPR-D-100	Allan & Lisa Phipps			Local Plan	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality	Y N	I Y	Query wording of 'air quality neutral' and 'seek to improve air quality'; which is it, neutral or improved?	All development proposals should be designed to improve air quality	N	
LPR-D-100	Allan & Lisa Phipps			Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Υ	Y	LEC 3 should make reference to Policy LEC 1 on requiring a range of sized units	To include that employment development on unallocated sites will require compliance to Policy LEC 1. Also, include that local need for new employment development on unallocated sites will require evidence	N	
LPR-D-101	Sally Ross			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Objects to the policy due to focus on increasing shopping, logistic companies/warehouses, rather than improving existing services, and the impacts to traffic, flooding, etc. There will be no separation between the development and Bucknell, which will suffer as a result			
LPR-D-102	Darl Sweetland	Anglian Water		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Y		Supports the policy			
LPR-D-102	Darl Sweetland	Anglian Water		Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change			Would have commented on the soundness of this policy, related to the target of 110 litres PCC for new housing, but a matter for the statutory water company			
LPR-D-102	Darl Sweetland	Anglian Water		Local Plan	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-102	Darl Sweetland	Anglian Water		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Υ		Supports the policy			
LPR-D-103	Stephen Bowen	Bourtons Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	YY	Υ	Supports the policy, particularly the Gap between Little Bourton and Great Bourton.	No changes, but the adoption of the plan so the benefits of the policy can be implemented	N	
LPR-D-103	Stephen Bowen	Bourtons Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Y	I Y	Objects to the minimum density of 35 dwellings/hectare in rural areas, due to visual impact and incongruity of this density with historic rural settings.	The policy to be revised to discourage reliance on minimum densities that are inappropriate in rural settings	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-103	Stephen Bowen	Bourtons Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 6: Supporting a Thriving and Resilient Farming Sector	YY	Y	Supports the policy	Make stronger statements regarding the need to protect grade 1-3 prime agricultural land	N	
LPR-D-103	Stephen Bowen	Bourtons Parish Council		Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper		YN	Y	There are inaccuracies in the settlement hierarchy topic paper including inconsistent naming of the village and incorrect identification of key facilities meaning the village scores lower than suggested in the topic paper. Objects to the statement that Great Bourton has good connectivity to Banbury, due to lack of regular bus services or footpath. Objects categorisation of Cropredy and Great Bourton.	Reclassification of Great Bourton, not as a Category B village	N	
LPR-D-104	Madeline Gilpin			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Concerns over traffic, overdevelopment, road deterioration, flooding and water concerns, to smaller towns like Bucknell, in the context of approved or pending developments.			
LPR-D-104	Madeline Gilpin			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town			Objects, due to coalescence between Bucknell and Bicester, lack of infrastructure, traffic impacts			
LPR-D-105	Daniel Gresswell- Nunn	Nexus Planning	Mac Mic Strategic Land and Graham	Local Plan		N N	N	Promotes land at Bicester Hotel, Chesterton for 450 homes. Notes the site's availability and sustainable location		Y	Several complex concerns are raised, which would benefit from oral discussion during the Examination in Public
LPR-D-105	Daniel Gresswell- Nunn	Nexus Planning	Mac Mic Strategic Land and Graham Payne	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	N	The policy is not positively prepared or effective in regard to its approach to several core themes, specifically: beginning the plan period too early; including the contribution to Oxford's unmet need when calculating the Housing Requirement; the significant need for affordable housing; and the need to support economic growth. More details in rep.	Amend the Plan Period to begin 2024/25; revise the Housing Requirement upwards and link it to CDC's own needs, not Oxford City's; and identify further housing allocations.	Υ	Several complex concerns are raised, which would benefit from oral discussion during the Examination in Public
LPR-D-105	Daniel Gresswell- Nunn	Nexus Planning	Mac Mic Strategic Land and Graham Payne	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Notes that to support economic growth opportunities in the Bicester	Identify further sustainably located housing allocations.		
LPR-D-105	Daniel Gresswell- Nunn	Nexus Planning	Mac Mic Strategic Land and Graham	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N		Fails to meet District's housing needs.		Y	Several complex concerns are raised, which would benefit from oral discussion during the Examination in Public
LPR-D-105	Daniel Gresswell- Nunn	Nexus Planning	Mac Mic Strategic Land and Graham Payne	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N	N	The proposed Housing Requirement set out in Policy COM1 is not sufficient to meet the District's objectively assessed housing needs, affordable housing needs, or economic growth ambitions. CDC's existing commitment to meet Oxford's unmet needs is being used to increase the Housing Requirement to a level that would not otherwise satisfy the test. More details in rep	Adopt a more ambitious approach toward meeting the District's identified development needs. Seek to allocate a greater quantity of housing at the District's sustainable settlements, and an effective Review Policy should be included	Y	Several complex concerns are raised, which would benefit from oral discussion during the Examination in Public

REP ID	Name	Organisation	Person/orga	Document	Part of Document	Le	Ū	Reasons	Changes Sought	Pa he	Reason(s) for participation
Number			nisation			Sound Legally	l S	Reasons		Participation hearing(s)	paradon(e, rer paradopanen
			represented			\[ \]	힐			cip;	
			represented			compliant	l Sa			atic (s)	
						[호]	with			) <u> </u>	
						ant	ם ח				
						``	디즈				
LDD D 406					D II 1/10 1/14 0 1/1	<del>                                     </del>					
LPR-D-106	Andrew Rein	Campaign to		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South-	N			Delete the policy. Recommend that Land North of		
ı		Protect Old			East of Woodstock			to Woodstock from West Oxfordshire LP, the traffic and parking	the Moors could perform the same function.		
1		Woodstock						impacts, and the lack of GPs, infrastructure, schools, and sewage	Requests a viewing of the site boundary at Park		
									View during the Examination Site Visit.		
								identify the amount of housing growth at allocations in Woodstock.			
								Residents of Woodstock have not received sufficient consultation,			
								and are not represented by any CDC members. A similar site was			
								rejected from the Cherwell Draft Local Plan Review by the Inspector.			
								Site constraints could lead to poorly-designed developments. Issues			
								with deliverability due to the monopoly Blenheim estate has on			
								allocations. Questions whether duty to cooperate has been met.			
								, ,			
LPR-D-106	Andrew Rein	Campaign to		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester			Suggests that due to uncertainty about the need for Oxfordshire			
LI K D 100	/ marew item	Protect Old		Locarrian	Area Strategy			districts to meet Oxford City's unmet housing need, following PINS'			
		Woodstock			Area Strategy			letter, allocating KID H1 is inappropriate.			
		Woodstock						ietter, anocating Kid HT is mappropriate.			
LPR-D-106	Andrew Rein	Campaign to		Local Plan	Kidlington Area			The strategy is relevant to Kidlington itself, and not to KID H1, e.g.,			
		Protect Old			Strategy			regarding claims that it is well-connected.			
		Woodstock									
LPR-D-106	Andrew Rein	Campaign to		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			The references to Kidlington are not relevant for KID H1.			
		Protect Old									
		Woodstock									
LPR-D-107	Mike	=		Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes land in Caversfield (Land north of Rau Court and Land south	Include an additional allocation within the		
	Robinson	Planning	Holdings					of Springfield Road) for residential development of c.100 homes.	Bicester Policies, identifying land for 100 new		
			Limited			$\sqcup \!\!\!\! \perp$			residential dwelling.		
LPR-D-107	Mike	Oxford & Country		Local Plan		Y N	Υ	The council face a shortfall in its housing land supply and need to	Include an additional allocation within the	N	
	Robinson	Planning	Holdings		Area Strategy			identify more sites	Bicester Policies, and amend HELAA in relation to		
	1		Limited			$\vdash$	_		site HELAA108		
LPR-D-107	Mike	Oxford & Country		Local Plan	Policy SP 1:			Welcome Caversfield as category B, allowing for infill and minor			
	Robinson	Planning	Holdings		Settlement Hierarchy			development			
			Limited			$\vdash$	_				
LPR-D-107	Mike	Oxford & Country		HELAA				Objects in relation to HELAA108, which should be considered suitable	Amend HELAA in relation to site HELAA108		
	Robinson	Planning	Holdings					for some development			
			Limited			$\vdash \vdash$	_				
LPR-D-108	David	Buckinghamshire		Local Plan	General- Other			Bucks Council would like CDC to join them in support for the	Request support for the East West Rail -		
	Broadley	Council						proposed East West Rail Aylesbury Link, due to economic benefits	Aylesbury Link. Policy for East West Rail?		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-108	David Broadley	Buckinghamshire Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area			Concerns about the impact on the A41 Corridor Bicester to and from Aylesbury which is mainly a single carriageway and the A4421 as the Bicester Transport Topic Paper highlights the current & future increases in traffic levels including HGV's on Bicester's road network including along the A41. Concerns including between Bicester and villages of Kingswood and Waddesdon. The A4421 directs traffic to and from Buckingham & beyond.	Buckinghamshire Council want a more strategic technical study of the impacts of development on the A41 corridor which will also inform the required mitigations, improvements, dualling, widening, junction capacity, bus service improvements on the A41 east of Bicester, cohesive networks including footpaths & cycle routes. Buckinghamshire Council want consideration of cumulative impacts including that of speculative development proposals e.g. Strategic Rail Freight Interchange at Ardley & Puy Du Fou Theme Park		
LPR-D-108	David Broadley	Buckinghamshire Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area			Concerns re other new sites re the 17ha of employment at Graven Hill and their impact on the A41 corridor including increased traffic levels and HGVs from Bicester to Aylesbury. This corridor has limited capacity and needs improving. The current road condition between Bicester and Waddesdon is poor and used by HGVs and construction traffic. Previous concerns raised by Buckinghamshire Council to the CDC Reg 18 Local Plan in 2023 still stand.	Buckinghamshire Council want a more strategic technical study of the impacts of development on the A41 corridor which will also inform the required mitigations, improvements, dualling, widening, junction capacity, bus service improvements on the A41 east of Bicester, cohesive networks including footpaths & cycle routes.		
LPR-D-108	David Broadley	Buckinghamshire Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area			Local Plan review does not appear to consider how bus service improvements could operate on the A41 east of Bicester towards Aylesbury.	Need for an A41 Corridor Technical Study that considers the cumulative impact of planned and speculative proposals e.g. Strategic Freight Interchange at Ardley and Puy Du Fou Theme Park. Buckinghamshire Council wants consideration of how bus service improvement measures could operate on the A41 east of Bicester towards Aylesbury. Buckinghamshire Council wish to work with CDC and OCC on sustainable transport options and cohesive networks including footpaths & cycle routes.		
LPR-D-108	David Broadley	Buckinghamshire Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park			Notes removal of housing allocation at Symmetry Park but notes allocation of Policy E5 adjacent to Symmetry Park 6ha by 2042. Concerns re other new sites re the 17ha of employment at Graven Hill and their impact on the A41 corridor including increased traffic levels and HGVs from Bicester to Aylesbury. This corridor has limited capacity and needs improving. The current road condition between Bicester and Waddesdon is poor and used by HGVs and construction traffic. Previous concerns by Bucks Council as raised in reps to CDC Reg 18 Local Plan in 2023 still stand.	Buckinghamshire Council want a more strategic technical study of the impacts of development on the A41 corridor which will also inform the required mitigations, improvements, dualling, widening, junction capacity, bus service improvements on the A41 east of Bicester, cohesive networks including footpaths & cycle routes.		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DIC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-108	David Broadley	Buckinghamshire Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements			and their impact on the A41 corridor including increased traffic levels	Buckinghamshire Council want a more strategic technical study of the impacts of development on the A41 corridor which will also inform the required mitigations, improvements, dualling, widening, junction capacity, bus service improvements on the A41 east of Bicester, cohesive networks including footpaths & cycle routes.		
LPR-D-108	David Broadley	Buckinghamshire Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 24: Freight			and HGVs from Bicester to Aylesbury. This corridor has limited capacity and needs improving. The current road condition between Bicester and Waddesdon is poor and used by HGVs and construction	Buckinghamshire Council would welcome discussions with CDC on how HGV traffic attributable to construction activity in CDC can be safely managed. Buckinghamshire Council want a more strategic technical study of the impacts of development on the A41 corridor which will also inform the required mitigations, improvements, dualling, widening, junction capacity, bus service improvements on the A41 east of Bicester, cohesive networks including footpaths & cycle routes.		
LPR-D-108	David Broadley	Buckinghamshire Council		Duty To Cooperate				Buckinghamshire Council may need to discuss DTC to accommodate part of Buckinghamshire Housing need but they are not yet advanced			
LPR-D-108	David Broadley	Buckinghamshire Council		Infrastructure Delivery Plan				in their assessments.  Concerns re other new sites re the 17ha of employment at Graven Hill and their impact on the A41 corridor including increased traffic levels including HGV's from Bicester towards Aylesbury has limited capacity and needs improving. The current road condition between Bicester and Waddesdon is poor and used by HGVs and construction traffic. Previous concerns raised by Buckinghamshire Council to the CDC Reg 18 Local Plan in 2023 still stand.			
LPR-D-109	Vanessa Johnson			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Objects to the expansion of North West Bicester to 7,500 houses, due to lack of road infrastructure, close distance to Bucknell, and impacts to wildlife, drainage systems and flooding			
LPR-D-110	Karen Howe	Woodstock Town Council		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock	N N	I N	Objects the allocation due to unsustainable and inappropriate location, proximity to the Green Belt, and impact on the green buffer and setting of the Blenheim Palace. Objects due to lack of water treatment infrastructure, schools, and healthcare facilities. Mention the Reps from LP Partial Review in 2023 from West Oxfordshire DC, Thames Water, BBOT, Historic England, Planning Inspector, ICOMOS. Appended the LP Partial Review reps, resident poll in 2016, and WTC response to 22/01715/OUT.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Rea Rearticipation in hearing(s)	on(s) for participation
LPR-D-111	Carole Hetherington	Langford Village Community Association		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Y N	Y	Para 5.11 - Objects paragraph 5.11, notes it is unreasonable to lose Bicester's market town identity and heritage.	Suggests amending 5.11 to promote the development of a museum showcasing Bicester's history, and adding references to creating a 'dynamic and vibrant market town economy'.	N	
LPR-D-111	Carole Hetherington	Langford Village Community Association		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Y N	Y	The town centre needs a range of local, independent shops, with reduced realistic rents and rates to begin to thrive. The policy deprives Bicester of major national retailers. Suggests all buildings have solar panels and have attractive design.	Suggest amending the policy to "Support the role of the town by encouraging major retailers to Bicester to compliment and support the town centre retail offer" Suggest inserting phrasing: "Deliver new high-quality attractive development helping to achieve climate change objectives, insisting on the fitting of solar panels"	N	
LPR-D-111	Carole Hetherington	Langford Village Community Association		Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	Y N	Y	The Bicester Strategy document refers to Langford Meadows and Bicester fields both being the same place, which was renamed Langford Park last year.	Suggest amending the wording to ensure names are correctly used.	N	
LPR-D-112	Karen Howe	Bladon Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock	N N	N	Object the allocation due to unsustainable and inappropriate location. Destroy the green buffer and setting of the Blenheim Palace. Mention the Reps from LP Partial Review in 2023 from West Oxfordshire DC, Thames, BBOT, Historic England, Planning Inspector, ICOMOS. Appended the LP Partial Review reps and nearby planning			
LPR-D-113	David Irvine	Caulcott Area Residents' Association		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Welcomes the strategic gap between Caulcott and Heyford Park but notes concern that the definition should be stronger to make it more defensible. Objects B4030 as a boundary role as it suggests that Caulcott is only south of the B4030 when it is not. Suggests a new defensible boundary is drawn at the current southern edge of	Amend the policy to make it defensible		
LPR-D-113	David Irvine	Caulcott Area Residents' Association		Local Plan	Policy CSD 18: Light Pollution			Notes concern about the development at Heyford Park causing light pollution and would like to see CSD 18 imposed with more rigour			
LPR-D-113	David Irvine	Caulcott Area Residents' Association		Local Plan	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)			Suggest to include measures for preventing flooding in Calcott			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-113	David Irvine	Caulcott Area Residents' Association		Local Plan	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy			Concerned that inadequate measures are in place to prevent further development at Heyford Park. Notes further concern that given the constraints on Heyford Park, it will not achieve the housing numbers suggested on the developable land without either an over-dense style of development or exerting too much pressure to develop outside of the proposed development area. Suggests rewording of the policy to include mention to existing boundaries of the site. Notes concern that inadequate measures are in place to prevent further development at Heyford Park increasing flood risks at Caulcott. Requests all future development at Heyford Park should use permeable driveways. No mention improving B4030	Amend the policy		
LPR-D-114	Paul Weaver	Upper Heyford Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Question on the changing category of Upper Heyford - from C to B and the evidence behind it. Concern on the transportation services			
LPR-D-115	Daphne			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local			Promotes Land Behind the Moors, Kidlington as Local Green Space			
LPR-D-116	Hampson David and Marketa			Local Plan	Green Space Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Promote Land Behind the Moors, Kidlington as Local Green Space			
LPR-D-117	Levinger Joanna Foster			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local			Promotes Land Behind the Moors, Kidlington as Local Green Space			
LPR-D-118	Antonio Montaruli			Local Plan	Green Space Others- General			Does not oppose the plan, but expresses concerns over the increasing number of cars on the A34	Suggests a bus route on the A34 from Bicester to Kidlington		
LPR-D-119	Neil and Pauline Wainman			Local Plan	Others- General			Reference made to detailed representation at Reg18 consultation	Klumgton		
LPR-D-119	Neil and Pauline Wainman			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			The Bicester Garden Town aspiration has not been defined and there are no clear indications as to how this could be achieved. Suggests a substantial area of green space should be provided in Bicester to include a sizeable public park. Believes Bicester cannot become a garden town unless traffic congestion is resolved.			
LPR-D-119	Neil and Pauline Wainman			Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			The gap between North West Bicester and Bucknell should prevent coalescence. It should be clearly defined to ensure it consists of woodland and wild countryside, and not managed green areas or playing fields. The green buffer area should be excluded when calculating the area of green space included in the new development. The main access to North West Biester should not be through Bucknell			
LPR-D-119	Neil and Pauline			Puy du Fou proposal		$\Box$		Objects to Puy du Fou due to environmental and traffic impacts			
LPR-D-120	Wainman Kirsten and Thomas Hall			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Promote Land Behind the Moors, Kidlington as Local Green Space			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-121	Adrian Langdale			Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Objects, as insufficient consideration has been given to where redevelopment should be focused and has ignored prime brown field areas for development such as Graven Hill and Upper Heyford	Proposes sharing the increase in housing development more evenly and equitably across the Cherwell region and not simply to propose a vast development in one area of Bicester which has no infrastructure to be able to cope with the vast proposed development and which will involve the wholesale destruction of farm land and wildlife		
LPR-D-121	Adrian Langdale			Local Plan	North West Bicester			Objects to development at NW Bicester, concreting over valuable farmland and wildlife in the process and suggests the present plan does not offer a more appropriate boundary. Raises issues of traffic and poor quality road infrastructure. No rationale or basis has been provided for why it is believed that a 1500 extra properties could fit on the North-West Bicester site			
LPR-D-121	Adrian Langdale			Local Plan	Housing Requirement			Plan fails to take any consideration of the development that has already occurred in and around Bicester/ other developments which have now gained planning permission/in process of being developed. Finds an overcalculation in housing and should be recalculated using the ONS formula, highlighting the impacts to Bicester. Fails to consider South Easter			
LPR-D-121	Adrian Langdale			Local Plan	Strategic Gaps			Has failed to properly define strategic gaps, namely for Bucknell, and allows developers to use this 'gap' as part of development at NW Bicester to provide for their 40% green area requirement	In order to be a proper Green buffer this proposed area should be considerably larger in width (i.e. providing wider separation between the boundaries of Bicester and the boundaries of the historic village of Bucknell). it needs to be consistent and uniformed in shape covering the whole village, and should extend up to the houses of the village to prevent any future development / in infill development occurring in the fields north of the proposed green buffer. The new Local Plan needs to be robust in protecting the concepts of 40% of any development being green space. For the strategic gap to require the plantation of trees to form a wild wood/forest, and buttresses of earth within these woodlands which will help cut out pollution from the development of North-West Bicester and offset damages		
LPR-D-121	Adrian Langdale			Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density			Plan to give proper consideration and re-evaluation of the many Brownfield sites within the Cherwell area, which has currently been ignored			

REP ID Number	Name	_	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-121	Adrian Langdale			Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area			The Draft local plan fails to deal on the North-West Bicester plan with the present proposals for burial lands	Such provision needs to be only within the boundaries of Bicester and not within the parish boundaries of Bucknell and needs to be robustly re-aligned in the future local plan		
LPR-D-121	Adrian Langdale			Local Plan	General- Other			the present wording of the Local Plan needs to be urgently addressed to ensure proper compliance with key aspects of the plan and Cherwell District Council's planning policies, particularly for affordable housing needs, carbon zero development, and green corridors	Wording around the provision of affordable housing needs to be re-drafted to remove the provision allowing it to be ignored if a project is not sufficiently profitable for the developer if the requirements were to be met. Likewise, rewording to ensure that developers strictly comply with the requirement of true carbon zero housing and development, and the green corridor around the Bicester-Bucknell road. Re-mapping is also required, particularly for Hawkwell / NW Bicester		
LPR-D-122	Denise McDonough			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Promotes Land Behind the Moors, Kidlington as Local Green Space			
LPR-D-123	Richard and Gabriele			Puy du Fou proposal	dreen space			Objects to Puy du Fou due to environmental and traffic impacts			
LPR-D-123	Johnson Richard and Gabriele Johnson			Local Plan	Others- General			Object to the Plan, for reasons including inadequate infrastructure and services, and overdevelopment			
LPR-D-123	Richard and Gabriele Johnson			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Query aspirations to become a Garden Town			
LPR-D-123	Richard and Gabriele Johnson			Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			The strategic gap between Bucknell and proposed development in NW Bicester needs improving and the nature of the buffer should be clearly defined			
LPR-D-124	Eddie Smith			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Objects to Plan, with concerns over road infrastructure, wildlife, drainage systems, flooding, and the expansion of NW Bicester Eco			
LPR-D-125	Lewis Smith			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Concerns over the lack of infrastructure, flooding, sewage systems and wildlife, and how these will worsen with increased development			
LPR-D-125	Lewis Smith			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco-			Objects to the increased housing allocated at North West Bicester			
LPR-D-126	Martin Lipson	Mid-Cherwell Neighbourhood Plan Forum		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Y	,	Support this policy and pass the NPPF test. There are some suggestion on the wording	See reps for full suggestion		
LPR-D-126	Martin Lipson	Mid-Cherwell Neighbourhood Plan Forum		Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure			Support this policy			
LPR-D-126	Martin Lipson	Mid-Cherwell Neighbourhood Plan Forum		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Generally in support of the rural areas strategy but questions the 100 homes allocated in MNCP, suggest to be changed to 71 as per the Neighbourhood Plan Consultation. Concern the soundness of HELAA.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-126	Martin Lipson	Mid-Cherwell Neighbourhood Plan Forum		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	,		Some of the criteria in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper are wrong. No consideration on water/electricity/sewage. For more detail see representations from Middleton Stoney and Upper Heyford Parish Councils.			
LPR-D-126	Martin Lipson	Mid-Cherwell Neighbourhood Plan Forum		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Generally supporting the strategy			
LPR-D-127	Felicity Peacock			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Promotes Land Behind the Moors, Kidlington as Local Green Space			
LPR-D-128	Barry Woodcock			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Concerns over housing development on this site and the impact this will have on the village and wildlife	Recommends alternative site South of Duchy Fields and Station Road/B4027		
LPR-D-129	Heather Lawson			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Objects to Plan, for reasons including flooding risk, water supply and sewage treatment, increases in traffic, lack of facilities, and pollution			
LPR-D-130	Judy Niner			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects to the proposed site, HELAA510, due to traffic issues and safety concerns	Allocation of HELAA333 instead	N	
LPR-D-131	Fiona Teddy			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects to the proposed site due to impacts on traffic and the associated safety risks, wildlife, and countryside views	Recommends alternative site Station Road		
LPR-D-132	Kelly Holden- White			Local Plan	Others- General			Objects due to increased warehouse development, overdevelopment of homes and an underdevelopment of supporting infrastructure, transparency of road/transport improvements and funding, unequal access to healthcare, and lack of affordable housing			
LPR-D-133	lan Hudspeth			Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Finds 6.104 incorrect, 40-50 units are still to be built. Suggests the site be considered from a Woodstock/WODC view rather than a Shipton/CDC view, and clause 6 should specifically relate to primary healthcare provision in Woodstock. There appears to be no DtC with WODC		N	
LPR-D-134	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Catesby Estates- Wykham Park Farm	Local Plan	Housing numbers	Y	Y Y	The proposed housing supply for Banbury is supported		Y	Client's site is a draft allocation and therefore participation is essential to discuss detailed matters associated with the site and its
LPR-D-134	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Catesby Estates- Wykham Park Farm	Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	Y	Y Y	The points under the 'Banbury Area Strategy' should identify and recognise the importance and social and economic benefits of delivering the proposed allocations at the town in the short to medium term		Y	Client's site is a draft allocation and therefore participation is essential to discuss detailed matters associated with the site and its

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-134	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Catesby Estates- Wykham Park Farm	Local Plan	Policy BAN 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	Υ	Y	d	The requirements for transport infrastructure at Banbury must be developed and coordinated through early engagement with Catesby Estates and Oxfordshire County Council		Y	Client's site is a draft allocation and therefore participation is essential to discuss detailed matters associated with the site and its
LPR-D-134	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Catesby Estates- Wykham Park Farm	Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)	Y	Y	Y S	Supports this policy		Y	Client's site is a draft allocation and therefore participation is essential to discuss detailed matters associated with the site and its
LPR-D-134	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Catesby Estates- Wykham Park Farm	Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)	Υ	Y	q	Policy BAN H2 is a logical and sustainable extension to Banbury, but query the removal of an area of the site previously proposed as open space/green space. The Concept Plan lacks sufficient detail.	Request for the council to note that it can accommodate 625 dwellings rather than 600. Also to reinstate the removed area of land at BAN H2. Request that all references to the Concept Plan are labelled clearly 'for illustrative purposes only'.  Amend phrasing to state: 'areas of archaeological interest will be assessed, to ensure no adverse impacts'.	Y	Client's site is a draft allocation and therefore participation is essential to discuss detailed matters associated with the site and its delivery
LPR-D-134	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Catesby Estates- Wykham Park Farm	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	Y	Y	Y S	Supports this policy and the 30% affordable requirement		Y	Client's site is a draft allocation and therefore participation is essential to discuss detailed matters associated with the site and its
LPR-D-134	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Catesby Estates- Wykham Park Farm	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	Υ	Y	fo t	Supports this policy, subject to the retention the clause that allows for the release of the site for market housing if, following marketing, the plots have not sold and there is not likely to be interest in the plots		Y	Client's site is a draft allocation and therefore participation is essential to discuss detailed matters associated with the site and its
LPR-D-134	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Catesby Estates- Wykham Park Farm	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Υ	Y	Y S	Supports this policy		Y	delivery Client's site is a draft allocation and therefore participation is essential to discuss detailed matters associated with the site and its
LPR-D-134	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Catesby Estates- Wykham Park Farm	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Υ	Y	ΥT	The overarching spatial strategy is supported		Υ	delivery Client's site is a draft allocation and therefore participation is essential to discuss detailed matters associated with the site and its
LPR-D-135	Jane Olds	Caversfield Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			S	Support the policy, as it protects the character of the villages.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-135	Jane Olds	Caversfield Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Objects the change in the Caversfield's hierarchy. Questions the large MoD park in the centre of the village to be included in the village infrastructure and amenities, note that it is not publicly accessible. Questions the other criteria in the topic paper, including limited bus provision, distance to school, and distance to shops.			
LPR-D-136	Rebecca Bacon	Savills	Lone Star Land Ltd	Local Plan	Plan Period			Considered unsound as it is inconsistent with national policy and is not forward looking. Notes that standard method requires the most recent data to be used as starting point for calculating growth.			
LPR-D-136	Rebecca Bacon	Savills	Lone Star Land Ltd	Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	N		Additional site allocations can be made on the edge of Banbury to boost housing numbers, and promotes Land North of Broughton Road, Banbury for up to 58 dwellings		Υ	
LPR-D-136	Rebecca	Savills	Lone Star	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes Land North of Broughton Road, Banbury, for up to 58		Υ	
LPR-D-136	Rebecca Bacon	Savills	Land Ltd Lone Star Land Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N		dwellings.  Query the housing need numbers, as there is a clear step up in the housing need in the district that the Submission Document has not engaged properly with, due to issues with the plan period and the inclusion of Oxford City's unmet need. Concerns that the Plan's housing requirements ignore the expected economic growth and the Ox-Cam Arc.		Y	
LPR-D-136	Rebecca	Savills	Lone Star	Local Plan	Policy COM 13:	N		Objects to the policy as it does not seem based on evidence, and it	Requests the deletion of the policy	Υ	
LPR-D-136	Rebecca Bacon	Savills	Land Ltd Lone Star Land Ltd	Local Plan	Settlement Gaps Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain			repeats the principles laid out COM 10 and COM 11 Supports the policy, but does not consider it justified to seek at least 20% biodiversity net gain from the strategic allocations. Notes that there is no assessment of this requirement's impact on viability	Delete: "At least 20% biodiversity net gain will be sought in the Nature Recovery Network Core and Recovery zones, and the strategic allocations in this Plan."	N	
LPR-D-136	Rebecca Bacon	Savills	Lone Star Land Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	N		Supports the principle, but it should be achieved through a national approach. Locally set requirements should be consistent with the December 2023 Written Ministerial Statement. Future Homes Standard will likely be introduced before the Plan's adoption.	Building regulations is the appropriate mechanism for delivering carbon reduction emissions. Also allowances for individual developments to make a financial viability case when achieving the requirements of this policy would render a proposal unviable. Ongoing monitoring to ensure that standards are achievable.	N	
LPR-D-136	Rebecca Bacon	Savills	Lone Star Land Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	N		Objects to locally set standards- this should be achieved through a national approach, and concerns on the impacts on deliverability and for SME builders		N	
LPR-D-136	Rebecca Bacon	Savills	Lone Star Land Ltd	Local Plan	Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency			The policy is insufficient as it does not recognise that there will be an immediate shortfall in housing upon adoption so none of the	Recommend the inclusion of a commitment in Policy IMP 1 that the Council will submit a new plan within three years of adopting the Local Plan 2042		
LPR-D-136	Rebecca Bacon	Savills	Lone Star Land Ltd	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N		•	Recommend identification of Banbury, including the site North of Broughton Road, as a focus for additional development, alongside Bicester		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-136	Rebecca Bacon	Savills	Lone Star Land Ltd	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			Queries Oxford City's unmet housing need being included with Cherwell's own, which on its own only meets 64% of the minimum 80%.	Should the plan be examined successfully against the NPPF 2023, an almost immediate review of the Plan will be necessary		
LPR-D-136	Rebecca Bacon	Savills	Lone Star Land Ltd	Duty to Cooperate				Concerned that CDC has not yet published any statements of common ground (SoCG) with neighbouring areas	SoCG required particularly with Oxford City Council, and evidence for CDC's decision to remove their support for HENA		
LPR-D-137	Joanne Jones	Nexus Planning	St Phillips	Local Plan	Housing numbers	N N		Objects as housing numbers include Oxford's unmet need in figures, and without does not satisfy the transitional arrangements, and due to the 2020 start of the Plan period.	Policy COM1 should make it clear that the +4,400 homes attributed to Oxford City's unmet need is in addition to meeting the needs of Cherwell District	Υ	Representations have raised several concerns in relation to complicated and detailed matters, which would benefit from further oral discussion at the Examination in Public
LPR-D-137	Joanne Jones	Nexus Planning	St Phillips	Local Plan	Omission Site	N N		Promotes Land South of Banbury Road, Finmere for residential-led development of approximately 50 homes, following council's HELAA objection to site		Υ	Representations have raised several concerns in relation to complicated and detailed matters, which would benefit from further oral discussion at the Examination in Public
LPR-D-137	Joanne Jones	Nexus Planning	St Phillips	Local Plan	Plan Period	N N		Objects to 2020 Plan start period, as it provides an 'artificial' boost to supply	The proposed plan period in Policy COM1 should be amended to reflect the 18-year period 2024/25 to 2041/42	Υ	Representations have raised several concerns in relation to complicated and detailed matters, which would benefit from further oral discussion at the Examination in Public
LPR-D-137	Joanne Jones	Nexus Planning	St Phillips		Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N		The proposed Housing Requirement is not capable of meeting the actual housing needs of the District, and therefore the proposed Spatial Strategy is insufficient to meet the housing needs. Notes concern with ability to meet housing need in rural areas and support rural services. Suggests a higher number of allocations to meet affordable housing needs and support economic growth in the Oxford-Cambridge area.	Housing Requirement must be uplifted, and the Spatial Strategy must seek to apportion a modest level of growth to the Council's more sustainable villages in the rural areas. Consider a higher growth scenario, and consider the proposed site Land South of Banbury Road, Finmere. Requests that Finmere is listed as Category B village within this policy.	Υ	Representations have raised several concerns in relation to complicated and detailed matters, which would benefit from further oral discussion at the Examination in Public
LPR-D-137	Joanne Jones	Nexus Planning	St Phillips		Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Objects to Finmere as a 'Category C' village, due to Finmere's good connectivity, the exclusion of Finmere Services from the assessment, and queries with other criteria.	Update assessment of Finmere accordingly and list the settlement as a Category B Village	Υ	Representations have raised several concerns in relation to complicated and detailed matters, which would benefit from further oral discussion at the Examination in Public

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant		Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-137	Joanne Jones	Nexus Planning	St Phillips	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N	N N	С	he Plan must include a specific and robust 'Review Policy', which ommits the Council to commence the preparation of a new plan mmediately following the adoption of this Plan	Suggests adding a 'Review Policy', committing to start preparing a new Plan with a year of adopting the proposed Plan.	Υ	Representations have raised several concerns in relation to complicated and detailed matters, which would benefit from further oral discussion at the Examination in Public
LPR-D-137	Joanne Jones	Nexus Planning	St Phillips	Sustainability Appraisal		N N	N N		upports the appraisal's suggestion that the council could consider a igher growth scenario to support economic growth		Υ	Representations have raised several concerns in relation to complicated and detailed matters, which would benefit from further oral discussion at the Examination in Public
LPR-D-138	Mark	Home Builders		Local Plan	Appendix 2			R	lequest a table setting out the expected trajectory for each site	Provision of an additional table with expectations		
LPR-D-138	Behrendt Mark Behrendt	Federation Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Housing Requirement				No evidence that at least 10% of housing needs can be delivered on ites of less than 1 hectare. Ref para 70 of NPPF. Important for SMEs.	for each site  Evidence needed that at least 10% of housing needs can be delivered on sites less than 1 hectare		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Plan Period	N	V	n a B H	Object to the 2020 start date. Not consistent with national policy and to justification. The LP period should start in 2024 in which year the assessment was calculated. Reference Inspectors' concerns re West Berkshire Local Plan and North Norfolk Local Plan. Refer to Post Bearing Note re North Norfolk stating that plan period should be seen 2024 to 2040.	Plan period should start in 2024.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	r	N	T ju n tl	he policy is unsound as not consistent with national policy & is not ustified. Refers to plan period not being sound. Refers to Oxford City need of 629 dpa which will need to be met across the plan period,	Plan period should be amended to start from 2024/2025 and needs to take into account Oxford City's annual requirement = 1,077 dpa.  Consideration of Government position on growth in Oxfordshire, need to recognise & support economic growth. Needs to explain what evidence has been used instead of the HENA		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	1	V	C n h 2 C Ju		Consideration of the standard method, have regard to level of growth that current Government consider necessary to address housing crisis in Oxfordshire, consider other evidence e.g. economic growth etc. Make clear what evidence has been prepared to consider the level of housing growth that will support economic growth in the County and in Oxford.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	ľ	N	u 2 b tl	Velcome the additional 17% buffer above what is required. Consider inmet needs in the adopted Oxford City Plan should be delivered by 1031 as originally agreed. This would require at least 1,339 dpa setween 2024 & 2031 which means there is a shortfall in delivery on the 1st five yrs of the plan post adoption of 2500 homes. Shortfall exists even if Oxford's unmet needs are extended to 2036	The unmet need in Oxford City Plan should be delivered by 2031. Council need to deliver at least 1,339 dpa between 2024 & 2031		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N		Policy is unsound as not consistent with national policy & unjustified. In some cases the gaps extend beyond the point at which the settlement's character may be affected. The settlement gaps proposed at Banbury extend into West Northants as shown in Figure 2.1 (pg. 14) of the Landscape Evidence Green Gaps Assessment. CDC cannot designate land as a settlement gap within a local plan that is outside of its boundary.	Policy COM13 and Appendix 4 needs to be amended. Policy needs to be specific and targeted. Policy cannot include land within West Northamptonshire.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	N		Support use of variable, geography-based requirement. Policy is unsound, not justified. LPVA evidence does not support 30 to 35%	Amended to reduced affordable housing requirement on brownfield development in urban		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	N		affordable housing on brownfield land.  Refers to other HBF reps re achieving BNG and Net Zero carbon homes. References upcoming Building Safety Levy regarding cladding. Expected to be implemented late in 2025, costs expected to be £2000 to £3000 per plot on sites of 10 or more.	Further work is needed to justify the costs used. Viability Assessment needs to also take account of the Building Safety Levy.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards	N		Recognise for some homes to be built to M4(3) standards, but considers the 10% policy requirement unjustified. This is an optional technical standard & the PPG requires it be justified on the basis of need and impact of viability on affordable housing.			
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	N		Object to the Policy, unsound & the requirement is unjustified. No justification for 20% BNG. Ref paragraph 74-006-20240214 of the PPG. The Council need to realise BNG is site specific, the level of BNG results in increased costs. No detailed evidence in the Council's LPVA assess how the cost per dwelling for BNG was arrived at. 20% BNG would significantly increase costs, especially if delivered off site and if there is insufficient capacity locally to meet demand.	Policy to be deleted. If the Policy is not deleted the evidence needs to be clear and robust including in the LPVA and the BNG requirement should include flexibility in the LP in relation to net gain above statutory minimum. Policy needs to be amended to state that where it is impacting viability & deliverability of a development any BNG requirement will be reduced to the 10% statutory minimum.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	N		economies of scale and should be removed from the LP. Questions if carbon reduction and energy efficiency targets should be included within the Plan. Broadly in line with the Future Homes Standard.  Agree in principle need to reduce carbon emissions, they believe that	Remove the policy. Provide clarity in the final para of the policy re SAP. see para 20 of rep. The Council need to undertake detailed consideration of the potential impact of this policy on when development would commence and the no of homes that would be delivered each year. Cross reference with their comments to the LPVA re costing net zero and methodology and costing of Policy CSD2.		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	N		Object to the Policy. The Planning & Energy Act 2008 does not state that LPA's can set specific standards re embodied carbon in new buildings. It is not included in the technical standards in the PPG. Requirement to undertake a whole life carbon assessment is compromised by the lack of data across building material as to their embodied carbon. Question robustness of whole life carbon assessment. Should be left to national level to avoid different approaches and standards. Housing building industry working with Future Homes Hub to develop a roadmap to reducing embodied carbon. This will impact on the deliverability of development with a disproportionate impact on SME developers.	To delete the policy and leave to the housebuilding industry and Future Homes Hub to develop a roadmap to reduce embodied carbon.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon			It is not clear in the LPVA whether the cost of meeting the proposed embodied carbon requirement has been included in the cost of the development.	The cost of meeting Policy CSD5 and proposed embodied carbon requirement needs to be made clear in the LPVA.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure			4th para of Policy CSD9. The capacity of water & waste is not a land use planning matter for consideration of an application. Water companies are subject to statutory duties under S37 & 94 of the Water Industry Act 1991 (WIA 1991). Ref Section 106 of the WIA Act. Also Ref Supreme Court in 2009 Barratt vs Welsh Water (2009) UKSC 13, Para 23 of the court decision. The water company has a statutory duty to provide & maintain adequate infrastructure and potable water supplies. It is for the Council and water company to determine that there will / must be sufficient water resources including waste water capacity to serve development as part of the LP and the relevant water companies Water Resource Management Plan. It is not for the DM process. If there is insufficient waste water available to address the needs of development then the plan is not deliverable over the plan period.	Delete the 4th Para of Policy CSD9		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency			Request an additional policy - If the Local Plan is submitted prior to the 12th March 2025 and examined under the NPPF 2023, there needs to be a policy in the Local Plan to commit the Council to an immediate review. e.g. refer to the Policy 1 in the Bedford Local Plan 2030 see Appendix 1 of the HBF Ref 19 Rep.	If Local Plan submitted for Examination before the 12th March 2025, there needs to be a policy in the Local Plan that commits to an immediate review		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	N		reliance on neighbourhood plans and only 1 site being allocated. No certainty re neighbourhood plans coming forward. Of 8 areas identified only 5 have made NDPs	More rural sites need to be allocated in the Local Plan.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Concern that CDC have chosen not to include a policy in spatial strategy in Cherwell as a whole and that CDC have chosen to focus on specific area based strategies.	Recommend a spatial strategy policy is included in the LP that is for the area as a whole.		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Collibration And Collibration	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			meet some of the housing needs of Oxford City. States that only 64%	That the CDC Local Plan should apply the policies of the 2024 NPPF and should identify additional land to meet the CDC development needs and some of Oxford City's development needs.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			Para 6 of HBF Rep. Ref to Government telling PINS that any pauses to undertake additional work should usually take no more than 6 months overallPragmatism should not be used to address fundamental issues with soundness"	If there is any risk of delay in the examination, the Council must not submit the local plan and if it does not meet the needs of the district in full as required by NPPF 2024 and the standard method for housing which it includes.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Duty to Cooperate				The Council have not yet published any Statements of Common Ground (SOCG). Cherwell's decision to deliver 4,400 homes across the whole LP period is inconsistent with previous agreements & the partial review of the CDCLP adopted in 2020. It is not clear what was discussed with Oxford prior to the publication of the plan.	A SOCG with Oxford City Council will be necessary. The Duty to Cooperate Statement needs to make clear what was discussed with Oxford City Council prior to the publication of the plan.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Duty to Cooperate				The Council need to set out the evidence and the process behind the decision to remove their support for the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment that was jointly commissioned with Oxford City Council. They ref para 4.8 of the DTC Statement. HBF state/ claim that prior to Oxford City Local Plan Examination Hearings that CDC decided they no longer supported the HENA.	Cherwell need to sufficiently evidence their decision to remove their support for the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment (HENA). Cherwell need to demonstrate that they have constructively co-operated, actively and on an ongoing basis with Oxford City Council and other Oxfordshire LPA's.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan Viability Assessment				It is not clear in the Local Plan Viability Assessment (LPVA) how the costs were arrived at to achieve the Policy CSD2, how much offsetting may be required & the likely costs of this. The LPVA may underestimate the cost of achieving net zero. The LPVA uses 3% uplift for increased costs from 2021 Building Regs = 31% target for reduction in carbon emissions compared to the 2031 Building Regs. The LPVA then a further £90 per sqm to meet the requirements of Policy CSD2 = a 6.7% increase to build costs for new houses and a 4.5% increase for flatted development.	The LPVA needs to contain details and be clearer about how their costs were arrived at. LPVA should include assessment of how much offsetting may be required & the likely cost of this.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan Viability Assessment		$\dagger \dagger$		States that there is insufficiently detailed evidence in the Council's LPVA on how the cost per dwelling for BNG was arrived at in relation	LPVA needs to demonstrate how the BNG cost was calculated.		
LPR-D-138	Mark Behrendt	Home Builders Federation		Local Plan Viability Assessment				to Policy CSD 12. States that LPVA does not support 30 to 35% on brownfield land. Para 5.5 of LPVA. References upcoming Building Safety Levy for cladding. Expected to come in late in 2025, costs expected to be £2000 to £3000 per plot on sites of 10 or more.	LPVA evidence for Affordable Housing needs to make clear about costs for BNG and zero carbon homes. The LPVA needs to account of the Building Safety Levy and its associated costs including for cladding		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-139	Ali James			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects to the proposed site due to traffic and associated safety concerns, impacts to wildlife, coalescence with Kirtlington, and pressure on services. Criticises lack of public consultation.	Recommends alternative site Duchy Fields/Station Road		
LPR-D-140	Jennifer Jones			Local Plan	Policy COM 17: Health Facilities			Too much trust in the OUHFT, which has already fallen short in core services			
LPR-D-140	Jennifer Jones			Local Plan	Policy BAN 5: Horton Hospital Site			There appears to be no protection from speculative housing development plans which could encourage the OUHFT to dispose of parts of the Horton General Hospital site.			
LPR-D-141	Oliver Taylor	Charterhouse Property Group		Local Plan	Policy CSD 13: Conservation Target Areas	Υ	N		Suggests amendment to add the phrase: "Enabling development that provides significant benefits for biodiversity restoration will be considered"		
LPR-D-142	Jai Sidhu	Newmark Planning and Development	Merton College	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes land at Pear Tree Services for employment uses	C.S.C.FURIOTI WILL DE CONSIDERE		
LPR-D-142	Jai Sidhu	Newmark Planning and Development	Merton College	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes land west of the A44/A34 Junction for renewable energy uses and employment (if the 140-144 link road is progressed)			
LPR-D-142	Jai Sidhu	Newmark Planning and Development	Merton College	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes land off Bicester Road, Kidlington to be released from Green Belt and developed to meet housing needs			
LPR-D-142	Jai Sidhu	Newmark Planning and Development	Merton College	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs			Notes that the Consultation Draft proposes the allocation of 14.7 hectares of additional employment land to support the expansion of Begbroke Science Park. No objection to this, and support the deliverable objectives of economic growth within Cherwell.			
LPR-D-142	Jai Sidhu	Newmark Planning and	Merton College	Local Plan	Others- General			Suggests an increase of development density at PR6B, in line with CSD25 target			
LPR-D-142	Jai Sidhu	Development Newmark Planning and Development	Merton College	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements		N	The Consultation Draft does not meet the NPPF transitional arrangements as housing land supply is below target when housing for Oxford's unmet need is not included.	Examine under the Revised NPPF and prepare a new Local Plan		
LPR-D-143	Patricia Redpath	DEVELOPMENT		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Objects to allocation, cites concerns from Inspector's examination of the CDC Partial Review Plan, and the Inspector's acknowledgement of site constraints from the examination of the West Oxfordshire Local			
LPR-D-144	Diane Montrose			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects to the proposed site due to impact on well-being from loss of green space/nature, house values, parking issues, and sense of community. Comments on distance from village amenities	Recommends alternative site opposite the Co-op		
LPR-D-145	Pete Chambers	David Lock Associates Limited	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Υ	Y	Supports the retention of saved policy Bicester 13, but notes site capacity is circa 250 units due to LGS and LWS allocations			Controls land at Gavray Drive which remains a strategically important allocation of housing and part of Cherwell's housing land supply. Attendance will allow participation to ensure delivery of the allocation

REP ID Number	Name	_	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-145	Pete Chambers	David Lock Associates Limited	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	Y	N Y	Gavray Drive allocation includes a LWS, CTA, and proposed LGS, however the emphasis on re-naturalisation in BIC 4 is not fully aligned with the existing saved policy under BIC 13	Suggests amendment to policy wording in BIC 4, to reference saved policy and remove reference to re-naturalisation	Y	Controls land at Gavray Drive which remains a strategically important allocation of housing and part of Cherwell's housing land supply. Attendance will allow participation to ensure delivery of the allocation
LPR-D-146	Dominic			Local Plan	Others- General			Supports the retention of Bicester 13			
LPR-D-146	Woodfield  Dominic  Woodfield			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Welcomes the designation of Gavray Drive Meadows as a LGS, but suggests rewording of the policy and the land management objectives to minimise tensions between different designations (e.g., LWS and LGS). Suggests the most eastern part of Gavray Meadows LWS should be protected from all forms of development and is an important piece of Green Infrastructure.			
LPR-D-147	Lindsey Inness			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects to further development due to impact on countryside and wildlife.			
LPR-D-148	Fiona Thomas			Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy			Supports the idea of a green ring around the village			
LPR-D-149	John Woodcock			Local Plan	Policy CSD 13: Conservation Target Areas			Requests for the boundaries of designated conservation areas to be reviewed and in some cases extended. Suggests collaboration with Wild Oxfordshire.			
LPR-D-150	Ian Jelfs			Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services			Requests increased infrastructure, to meet needs stemming from allocated housing.			
LPR-D-150	lan Jelfs			Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management			Raises concerns over flooding due to increased development, requests that new developments must factor in mitigation.			
LPR-D-150	Ian Jelfs			Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			Recommends increase in affordable housing, and ensuring affordability is defined to ensure affordability for all.			
LPR-D-150	lan Jelfs			Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs			Criticises the employment associated with warehouses. Requests quality, well-paid jobs.			
LPR-D-150	lan Jelfs			Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy			Sufficient employment is needed to support the allocated housing development.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-151	Mark Utting	Pye Homes	J A Pye (Oxford) and Cancer Research UK	Local Plan	Vision and Objectives			Broadly support, but suggest greater emphasis on delivering a wide choice of homes, encouraging proportionate growth in most sustainable settlements, and delivering new affordable homes			
LPR-D-151	Mark Utting	Pye Homes	J A Pye (Oxford) and Cancer Research UK	Local Plan	Housing numbers			The Plan falls short of legal housing requirement under new NPPF	Housing numbers should be increased to 1118 dwellings per annum		
LPR-D-151	Mark Utting	Pye Homes	J A Pye (Oxford) and Cancer Research UK	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes Land at Banbury Road, Adderbury for residential development of at least 75 homes			
LPR-D-151	Mark Utting	Pye Homes	J A Pye (Oxford) and Cancer Research UK	Local Plan	Theme 1: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change and Ensuring Sustainable			Support the aspirational approach, but policies should be amended to provide flexibility in meeting specified targets	Amend the wording of policies to provide greater flexibility, see details in rep		
LPR-D-151	Mark Utting	Pye Homes	J A Pye (Oxford) and Cancer Research UK	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Supports, but suggests additional sites should be allocated in Category A villages			
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes Land South of the A41 as employment allocation of up to 56,000 square metres of industrial floorspace. Suggests either use as industrial & logistics warehouses, or research and development. More detail in rep.			
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin		Local Plan	Others- General			Includes rep for reg 18 and ELNA and vision document for their			
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Developments Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N		promoted site Objects due to failure to deliver Objectively Assessed Need (OAN) in relation to employment land needs over the plan period	Promotes Land South of the A41 for employment purposes to meet needs.	Υ	See submitted rep
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Policy LEC 2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	N		Queries paragraph 3.156. Suggests allowing for flexibility in the policy so that an employment land review would be triggered immediately if an allocated employment site is no longer coming forward	Suggests identifying 'reserve sites' that can be brought forward to supplement a deficiency in supply	Υ	See submitted rep
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Y		Supports Policy, and promotes Land South of the A41		N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N	N	a d	nconsistency in strategic gaps 'key characteristics' between Bicester and Ambrosden given the proposed allocations (BIC E5). Suggest development on promoted Land South of the A41 would not unduly cause coalescence.	Either the site is removed as a proposed allocation to strengthen the weight of the proposed gap or the boundary of the strategic gap is amended to take account BIC E5, as well as their proposed Land South of the A41	Υ	See submitted rep
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	N	N	A B B	n support, however additional employment sites should be allocated. Additionally, tables in BIC 1 and LEC 1 do not correlate, as the table in BIC 1 does not include all retained employment allocations for Bicester. Concern over the quantity of land controlled by Tritax Big Box Developers, and potential impacts on deliverability.		Υ	See submitted rep
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area		N	ir	Concerns over deliverability of the South East Perimeter Road infrastructure within the plan period, due to lack of clarity on when costing exercises were undertaken	Funding mechanisms need to be explored to establish deliverability and timescales	Υ	See submitted rep
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester	N	N	tl	Concerns over deliverability of the South East Perimeter Road within he plan period, due to lack of clarity on when costing exercises were undertaken	Funding mechanisms need to be explored to establish deliverability and timescales	Υ	See submitted rep
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	٨	N		Concerns over deliverability, as the site promoters suggested use of and for residential development		Y	See submitted rep
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	N	J	e	Concerns over deliverability of the proposed allocation for employment purposes due to reliance on provision of the SEPR and previous dismissal in SA		Y	See submitted rep
LPR-D-152	Keir Price	Godwin Developments		Local Plan	Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Y	′	S	supports the proposed allocation. Suggests the council should look to promote more sites along the A41		Υ	See submitted rep
LPR-D-153	Tom Edmunds	Walsingham Planning	R2 Development s	Local Plan	Housing numbers	Y	N V		Comments that previous 2015 and 2020 Plans have failed to deliver ufficient homes, and Reg 19 restricts development further		Υ	My client reserves the right to take part in the hearing sessions for the Local Plan review examination should they wish to do so in due
LPR-D-153	Tom Edmunds	Walsingham Planning	R2 Development s	Local Plan	Omission Site	Y	N N		Promotes Land to the west of Fringford, which was previously refused or 9 dwellings		Υ	My client reserves the right to take part in the hearing sessions for the Local Plan review examination should they wish to do so in due

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-153	Tom Edmunds	Walsingham Planning	R2 Development s	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy		N		Objects to reclassification of Fringford from a Category A village to Category C, due to lack of justification		Υ	My client reserves the right to take part in the hearing sessions for the Local Plan review examination should they wish to do so in due
LPR-D-154	Robert Langton			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon				Proposes alternate site at Station Road opposite the COOP. Refers to document titled: "Proposed Housing Development in Bletchingdon - A Better Option"			
LPR-D-155	Mervyn Dobson	Pembury Webb Ltd		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N	N		Objects to policy as it fails to specify sufficient houses to accommodate local needs and fails to meet transitional arrangements, due to inclusion of housing for Oxford's unmet need when calculating housing land supply. Queries the contingency figure. Note contingency could be met in larger rural settlements More details in rep	Rewrite the policy to separate housing for Oxford City, and create a separate part of the policy on land supply indicating a proper contingency arrangement. Redraft the housing tables. Rep contains suggested phrasing of policy.	Υ	Does not meet transitional provisions, housing needs, lack of adequate contingency arrangements, and assessment of sustainability over the Plan period
LPR-D-155	Mervyn Dobson	Pembury Webb Ltd		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Υ	N		Ignores reference to effects of increased electric vehicles on CO2 emission reductions, and justification for an urban based strategy needs to be amended to allow a better spread of development	Placing car movements at the bottom of a list of priorities is not right and should be properly examined, and suggests amendment to wording of policy introduction	Υ	Does not meet transitional provisions, housing needs, lack of adequate contingency arrangements, and assessment of sustainability over the Plan period
LPR-D-155	Mervyn Dobson	Pembury Webb Ltd		Local Plan	Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide	Υ	N		Ignores reference to effects of increased electric vehicles on CO2 emission reductions, and justification for an urban based strategy needs to be amended to allow a better spread of development	Suggests amending the policy as it discriminates against motorists, e.g., by removing bullet point iii (limiting motor vehicle trips)	Υ	Does not meet transitional provisions, housing needs, lack of adequate contingency arrangements, and assessment of sustainability over the Plan period
LPR-D-155	Mervyn Dobson	Pembury Webb Ltd		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy	N	N		primarily Category A villages- suggest around 1000 dwellings will be needed in rural areas. Object to sentence stating that overall housing	Suggests amending bullet points 2 of both the Overall Spatial Strategy and the Rural Areas Strategy to reduce restrictions on rural development. See rep for full details.	Υ	Does not meet transitional provisions, housing needs, lack of adequate contingency arrangements, and assessment of sustainability over the Plan period
LPR-D-155	Mervyn Dobson	Pembury Webb Ltd		Local Plan	Omission Site				Promotes Land to the north of Wimborn Close at Deddington	Suggests amending bullet points 2 of both the Overall Spatial Strategy and the Rural Areas Strategy to reduce restrictions on rural development. See rep for full details.	Υ	Does not meet transitional provisions, housing needs, lack of adequate contingency arrangements, and assessment of sustainability over the Plan period

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-155	Mervyn Dobson	Pembury Webb Ltd		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy		Y	Opposes the unnecessary restriction on development outside the boundaries of larger villages. Suggests adding presumption in favour of sustainable development.	Suggests amending policy to add flexibility, and changes to wording re. Main Towns, Local Service Centres, and Category A Villages. See rep for full details.	Υ	To argue the inclusion of housing needs from Oxford City, lack of adequate contingency arrangements, and assessment of sustainability over the Plan
LPR-D-156	Adrienne			Puy du Fou				Objects to theme park development due to traffic and overcrowding			
LPR-D-157	Evans Emily Cohen	The Islip Wildlife and Conservation Group		proposal Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	N		Object the wording "Development will only be permitted in areas of flood risk when there are no reasonably available sites in areas of lower flood risk and the benefits outweigh the risks of flooding", as they consider benefits would not outweigh risks of flooding.  Object the lack of detail re. ways in which natural river flows and flood plains could be restored.			
LPR-D-157	Emily Cohen	The Islip Wildlife and Conservation Group		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Concern regarding impact on air pollution and highways safety in Islip due to proposed developments. Disputes the Plan's stance that the development would cluster homes/work/services and reduce car journeys due to lack of evidence.			
LPR-D-157	Emily Cohen	The Islip Wildlife and Conservation Group		Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure			Notes that from the evidence presented, there doesn't appear to be sufficient capacity in the existing waste water treatment works to process waste water from the proposed 20,042 homes.			
LPR-D-157	Emily Cohen	The Islip Wildlife and Conservation Group		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			All approved sites should have mandatory habitat creation: planting trees and hedgerows, creating ponds etc and where possible conserving existing trees, hedgerows, areas of wetland etc	Amend the wording as per the reps		
LPR-D-157	Emily Cohen	The Islip Wildlife and Conservation Group		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	N		Objects as development cannot protect/enhance protected sites at the same time as developing them. Objects the lack of reference to scale due to concerns minimal enhancements will be delivered. Re the policy stating rare habitats, SSSIs, and Nature Reserves will be weighed against public gain, queries who will make the decision and using what criteria.			
LPR-D-158	Mark McDonough			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Requests land behind the Moors to be designated as Local Green Space, due to positive contribution to community wellbeing, and importance as a wildlife habitat.			
LPR-D-159	Matthew and Lucy Langton			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Object to the proposed development and proposes an alternative site south of Station Road, raising concerns including highway safety and drainage, and noting the insufficiency of public consultation			

REP ID Number	Name	_	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-160	Tom Sadler	Keep Hanwell Village Rural		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Y	N	•		Suggested clarification of coalescence - see rep for specific phrasing.		
LPR-D-160	Tom Sadler	Keep Hanwell Village Rural		Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the	1 Y	N	Υ	Supports this policy, due to protection of village identity.			
LPR-D-160	Tom Sadler	Keep Hanwell Village Rural		Local Plan	Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas	1 Y	N ,		Generally support this policy, and welcome recognition that views into or out of a Conservation Area should be protected			
LPR-D-160	Tom Sadler	Keep Hanwell Village Rural		Local Plan	Policy COM 29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	1 Y	N		Generally support this policy. However the policy should also promote the historic interest, character or setting of those assets recognised to be of significance by the Local Planning Authority (not just those on the Historic England Register)	Suggested amendment to wording to include reference to locally listed assets - see rep for full phrasing.		
LPR-D-160	Tom Sadler	Keep Hanwell Village Rural		Cherwell Landscape Sensitivity Assessment Sept		1 Y	N ,		Objects the assessment of land between Banbury and Hanwell as having a low-moderate sensitivity. Requests that this evidence is given lower weighting. Cites contradictions with Cherwell Green Gaps Study, and HELAA 2018.			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy				Seek clarification on the impact to Islip and the implementation of the policy			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the				Support, particularly in context of East-West Rail			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations				Support, particularly in context of East-West Rail			
LPR-D-161	Emma	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13:				Supports the policy and it objectives. Requests that strategic gaps are		·	
LPR-D-161	Kearney Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Settlement Gaps Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places				identified between Islip and Bicester. Support the requirement for development to respond to local character and history			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 16: Public Rights of Way				Strongly support the policy			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing				Support the policy but request clarification re the implementation of the policy for rural exception sites			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services			Support the policy but request assessment of cumulative traffic impacts; consideration/protection/enhancement of local infrastructure capacity; and improvement of public transport connectivity			
LPR-D-161 LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council  Islip Parish Council		Local Plan Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas			Support the policy  Supports the policy. Welcomes the emphasis on protecting views within, into and out of Conservation Areas; the recognition of green spaces and historic street patterns; the requirements regarding scale, massing and design of developments; and the protection of features that contribute positively to Conservation Area character.			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change			Support the policy			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			Generally support			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services			Generally support			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area			Question on the East West Rail development and its implication for Islip and infrastructure coordination. See reps for full queries.			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management			Generally support. Seek assurance that the sequential test is rigorously applied, and consideration is given to cumulative flood risk impacts, surface water drainage, and climate change. Request specific consideration of: existing drainage infrastructure's capacity, the impact of East-West Rail infrastructure, the need for investment in prevention/mitigation, and maintenance requirements for existing flood management system.  Notes concerns over Islip's existing drainage issues.  Strongly support requirements on development to prevent increases in flooding off-site, to include Emergency Flood Plans, to provide appropriate freeboard, and to consider multiple sources of floodwater.			

REP ID Number	Name	_	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Compiles with Dic	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)			Generally support. Seek assurance that the sequential test is rigorously applied, and consideration is given to cumulative flood risk impacts, surface water drainage, and climate change. Request specific consideration of: existing drainage infrastructure's capacity, the impact of East-West Rail infrastructure, the need for investment in prevention/mitigation, and maintenance requirements for existing flood management system.  Notes concerns over Islip's existing drainage issues.  Strongly support requirements on development to prevent increases in flooding off-site, to include Emergency Flood Plans, to provide appropriate freeboard, and to consider multiple sources of floodwater.			
LPR-D-161	Emma Kearney	Islip Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Support the policy to protect the identity and character of villages through a clear settlement hierarchy.			
LPR-D-162	Mike Stewart			Local Plan	Policy COM 23: Local Services and Community Facilities	Y N	1 Y	Regarding paragraph 3.366, there ought to be strengthened protection against loss of amenities	Supports protection against change of use for pubs without a "robust marketing exercise" and suggests adding "and a genuine and sustained effort to trade as an appropriate and realistic business". Also detrimental alterations made to premises should not be used in determination of viability		
LPR-D-162	Mike Stewart			Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy	Y N	J Y	Regarding paragraph 8.3, rural expansion should always benefit the local community	Suggests rethinking of the location of new development, due to transport issues, associated impact on affordability, and impacts on village identities		
LPR-D-163	Oliver Tindall			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Y N	J	Objects to the proposed site due to impact on conservation area, access road, lack of public transport, and increased pressure on local services. Notes lack of visible engagement with local community, contradicting RUR 5.8.26.		N	
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promoting land at Painters Farm, Bloxham (known in HELAA as Land at Tadmarton Road, HELAA094), for residential development. Attach a concept plan.		Υ	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	J	It is not clear whether the transitional arrangements allow for a proportion of the Local Housing need to be meeting unmet needs of another area. This figure is below the 2022 HENA, which should still be used - no justification for its withdrawal. Base date of Plan should be moved forward. No SoCG with Oxford City which is necessary.	An early review should be included as a commitment within the Plan.	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Housing numbers			It is not clear whether the transitional arrangements allow for a proportion of the Local Housing need to be meeting unmet needs of another area. This figure is below the 2022 HENA, which should still be used - no justification for its withdrawal.		Υ	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Plan Period			Base date of Plan should be moved forward		Υ	To expand upon points made

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons		Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			It is not clear whether the transitional arrangements allow for a proportion of the Local Housing need to be meeting unmet needs of another area.	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	N N	N	Omission site would support this policy. Site could include a viewing platform overlooking Bloxham.	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy COM 15: Active Travel – Walking and Cycling	N N	N	Omission site would support this policy. A new footpath could be created to encourage access onto Hobb Hill. Pedestrian and cycle access with Courtington Lane and wider village for elderly walkers and users of mobility scooters.	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy COM 18: Creating Healthy Communities	N N	N	Omission site would support this policy. Provision of bungalows for elderly residents.	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy COM 21: Meeting Education Needs	N N	N	Omission site would support this policy. Land could be reserved for the future expansion of the adjacent Bloxham Church of England Primary School.	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	N N	N	Omission site would support this policy	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality	N N	N	Omission site would support this policy	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Barnes Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity	N N	N	Omission site would support this policy	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	N N	N	Omission site would support this policy. Development could achieve a density of 35 dwellings per hectare.	Y Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	N N	N	Handing responsibility to Neighbourhood Plans creates uncertainty. Further sites should be allocated.	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy		N	Development should be directed to Bloxham.	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N N	N	Bloxham is a sustainable settlement and classed as a Category A settlement and development should be directed to this location.	Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	HELAA December 2024		N N	N	HELAA504 is scored green and is considered to have development potential but the summary states that the site is not considered suitable for development. Clarity is required. Consider site would make a useful contribution.	Y	To expand upon points made

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	HELAA December 2024		N N	N	Disputes assessment of HELAA094 as unsuitable for development, on the basis of the acceptability of building on greenfield sites, the proximity to Bloxham's built-up limits, the potential impact on the Public Right of Way, the implications of the stie's location, and the implications of site development for primary school expansion.		Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper December 2024 / Housing Village Categorisation Update 2014 / Village analysis		N N	N	Deddington should score 7, not 9 for pubs. Bloxham should score 1 for Secondary Schools. Scoring for ultrafast vs superfast broadband is unclear. Other specific scoring points with food stores and primary schools raised. This indicates the evidence is flawed		Y	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-164	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Facon Family	Parish profiles 2021		N N	N	Bloxham is a sustainable settlement and be classed as a Category A settlement and development should be directed to this location.		Υ	To expand upon points made
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Sustainability Appraisal	Evidence based documents	N N		A higher level of housing provision would be required to support the baseline level of job growth forecast in the ENA. The reasons for rejection of the omission site at Blackthorn Hill are not based on any sound technical evidence. Disputes the scoring of alternative spatial strategies. More detail in rep	Council must allocate additional homes at Bicester to ensure that the preferred spatial strategy supports the Plan Vision and Objectives. Promotes Land at Blackthorn Hill		
LPR-D-165	Donna	Turley	Vistry	Duty to Cooperate				Question whether the DtC has been met due to lack of meetings and			
LPR-D-165	Brearley Donna Brearley	Turley	Strategic Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	documents Housing numbers			following Oxford City's failure to meet its DtC  The Plan falls below the 80% threshold for housing need under the new NPPF when excluding Oxford City's unmet need, and this proposed approach is less positive than Reg 18. There is a lack of evidence regarding Standard Method calculations and it fails to meet Cherwell's need. Puts forward different population scenarios. More			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			The Plan falls below the 80% threshold for housing need under the new NPPF when excluding Oxford City's unmet need. Housing numbers must increase if CDC are to proceed with the transitional arrangements			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Omission Site			Objects to CDC landscape concerns and the omission of the site at Blackthorn Hill at South East Bicester; a vision document, critique to proposed housing requirement, and landscape and ecology evidence was submitted.			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Supports the policy, but consider additional allocations are needed at Bicester, and suggest there is overreliance on a single strategic site.  Promotes Land at Blackthorn Hill			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area			Welcomes the clarification of policy wording regarding development providing financial contributions in the IDP, but further clarification required as to whether contributions are for all infrastructure projects listed or only those relevant to the development site. Notes that full policy requirements should be accounted for in viability			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Does not consider CDC to have meet the housing requirement as it includes Oxford City's unmet need, further detail and supporting evidence in rep	If relying on transitionary arrangements, updated evidence needs to be produced to reassess housing need, provision of unmet need should be treated as separate to local need, and additional sites should be considered		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places			Broadly supports. Notes repetition of other policies. Timescales for workstreams should be factored into the Council's housing trajectory	Repetition of other policies should be addressed for clarity		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			Supports the Policy. Welcomes the policy's flexibility in affordable housing targets depending on site-specific circumstances. Request further clarification on what constitutes "sufficient benefit" and "exceptional circumstances". Consider the proposed Plan and its policies viable and deliverable	Clarification on what constitutes "sufficient benefit" and clear definitions of "exceptional circumstances"		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation			Supports the Policy, but clarification is needed on the option to enhance existing provision and if this is secured via S106, and where new facilities are required these should be set out in site allocation policies	Clarification on enhancing existing provision and S106 contributions, as well as to set out new facilities required in the site allocation policies		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type			Notes error between 'Housing Mix' title and 'Housing Size/Type' policy title. Support phrasing that emphasises provision of housing size/type to meet current and future requirements, to be considered against latest available evidence.	Change wording error		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing			Finds the Policy overly restrictive. Suggests specific allocations for specialist housing.	Suggests amending wording of Policy to allow for flexibility, see rep for details		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards			Supports the Policy			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing			Support policy. Suggest wording should allow for greater flexibility in providing 5% self-build plots due to potential viability issues, and marketing times should be clearly defined	Suggests amending wording to allow for greater flexibility and clearly define 'appropriate time' for marketing		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change			In broad support, but expresses concerns with Criterion iv			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			Supports the Policy due to its flexibility, but notes repetition between CSD12 and CSD14	CSD12 and CSD14 should be merged into one policy		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain			Supports the Policy, but it does not fully align with national legislation with regard to the 20% BNG requirement for strategic allocations and this could impact housing numbers	Suggests including additional information in the policy to confirm the strategy for off-site BNG and whether there is any flexibility for providing less than 20% BNG on the relevant sites		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Compiles with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 13: Conservation Target Areas			Supports intent of policy. Consider it lacking in explicit mention of how contributions within CTAs will count toward a development's BNG percentage. Consider use of planning conditions/obligations to secure biodiversity enhancements as pragmatic.	Suggests clarification and clearer guidelines		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services			Supports policy's aim. Clarification on the form and scope of Natural Capital assessments is needed	The policy should avoid duplicating EIA requirements, rather, compliment them, and should define "environmental net gain" and clarify how it differs from biodiversity net gain		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure			Supports the Policy and flexibility within it, but notes that reference to biodiversity net gains is confusing	Suggests amending the wording to remove references to BNG, see rep for details		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential			Concerns with policy, as it introduces new processes and targets that go substantially beyond Building Regulations and are not in conformity with the Written Ministerial Statement (WMS). Concerns that offsetting costs are not included in viability assessment, and concerns with requirements to monitor energy performance. More details in rep	Suggests amending the Policy to be similar to draft Policy NZC 2 of the Bristol City Council Local Plan.		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 21: Waste Collection and Recycling			Supports the Policy but there should be more flexibility in wording	Suggests amending the wording to include 'where possible and applicable', see rep		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity			Supports the use of transport hierarchy. The Policy should continue to recognise the importance of Main Towns in supporting sustainable development			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density			Supports the need to make effective & efficient use of land. The Policy should clarify that the housing densities is a minimum 'average', and notes that the proposed allocations are not sufficient to meet housing needs			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non- residential			Supports that the Policy only includes regulated energy, finds the energy efficiency benchmarks and renewable energy targets very challenging, but does recognise the flexibility and commitment for highly sustainable buildings. Concerns with requirements to monitor and report energy performance	Suggests amending Policy CSD 3 (iv) wording, see rep for full		
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 4: Improving Energy and Carbon Performance in Existing Buildings			Broadly supports the Policy, as it is sufficiently flexible to recognise challenges with refurbishment.			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon			Supports the Policy, but consider the targets challenging and also consider there to be insufficient detail to undertake a WLCA for outline planning applications	Replace the embodied carbon target with the 2025 residential target of 800kgCO2e/m2, and suggests wording amendments and paragraph addition to allow for benchmark data to be used when estimating embodied carbon emissions. See		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic		Policy LEC 2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites				Supports the Policy and promotes Land at Blackthorn Hill			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic		Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy				Supports Bicester as a 'Main Town' as an important strategic component, and promote Land at Blackthorn Hill as a residential allocation			
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N I	N	N	Supports the Spatial Strategy, but the Plan period should be amended to match the housing need period and timetable reconsidered		N	Site should be included as a proposed residential allocation as the emerging Plan does not provide enough for housing supply
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic		Plan Period	N I	N		Requests that the Plan Period be amended to match the housing need period and timetable reconsidered		N	Site should be included as a proposed residential allocation as the emerging Plan does not provide enough for housing supply
LPR-D-165	Donna Brearley	Turley	Vistry Strategic	Local Plan Viability Assessment					Queries how costs have been calculated and what they include, particularly re. CSD2. States that policy fails to consider potential costs of CSD5	Requests that the Viability study be updated to clearly state the exact cost assumptions and carbon offsetting costs used in the analysis		
LPR-D-166	Pauline Brown								No comments given			
LPR-D-167	Simon Collins				Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N I	N		Disagrees with Wardington in Category B as it is not geographically close to Banbury and does not have good transport links. Queries the interpretation of the policy and the potential amount of housing that could be developed in Wardington.	Suggests increased space to comment, and for village categories to be based on increased knowledge	Υ	Is a layman and a Cherwell villager and does not think these hearings will take place with that kind of
LPR-D-167	Simon Collins			Local Plan	Others- General	N I	N		Plan and consultation process is not user-friendly. Questions efficacy of public consultation.		Υ	Is a layman and a Cherwell villager and does not think these hearings will take place with that kind of
LPR-D-168	Edward				Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Y	N		No specific mention of areas being improved for the development north of Southam Road. Requires improved and safe routes into town from Hanwell fields	Include plans to establish a new walking, wheeling, cycling route from eastern Hanwell fields to the town centre, suggesting options to improve existing footpaths from Griffith Road cutthrough, introducing a pedestrian crossing on Southern Road, and improving the Oxford Canal walkway.	N	
LPR-D-169	David Williams	Non applicable				$\neg$			No comments given			
LPR-D-170	Oliver Dare			Local Plan	Policies Map	Y	N		Much of the Plan is sound and reasonable, but concerns over land between Woodstock and Oxford Airport due to insufficient infrastructure	The area should not be earmarked for development in the Plan	Υ	To represent their views and those of other concerned residents

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-171	Sophia	Aspirations Trust	Sophia	Local Plan	Policy BAN 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	YY	Y	Work is needed on Queensway to mitigate traffic	Recognition of work on Queensway to mitigate traffic	N	
LPR-D-172	Robert Kinchin-Smith	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment	Y N		Requests that non-designated assets are included.  It would be helpful if the Policy or its supporting text explain that proposals that do not deliver a building's optimum viable use will not normally be allowed. (reference to PPG and NPPF)	Request including non-designated assets in the policy, and addressing the matter of optimum viable use. See rep for full suggested phrasing.	Υ	our Reg18 rep have not been heard or addressed
LPR-D-172	Kinchin-Smith	·		Local Plan	Conservation Areas			Refer to Reg18. Strongly object to text "or is wholly beyond repair and is not capable of beneficial use", due to potential unintended consequences and contradiction with NPPF.	Suggest removal of "or is wholly beyond repair and is not capable of beneficial use" - see rep for full suggested phrasing.	Υ	our Reg18 rep have not been heard or addressed
LPR-D-172	Kinchin-Smith	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy COM 28: Listed Buildings	Y N		Object as too many "or", and "curtilage undefined". Policy too weak.	Suggest removing reference to curtilage. See rep for full suggested wording.	Υ	our Reg18 rep have not been heard or addressed
LPR-D-173	Rosalind Clack							No comments given			
LPR-D-174	Marcus Payne		Marcus Payne					No comments given			
LPR-D-175	Sue Cross							No comments given			
LPR-D-176	Edward Batcheler		Edward Batchelar (myself)	Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Y	Y	Supports policy. The Plan is compliant and sound when meeting the development and housing needs of the district. It is well justified, particularly in line with the Cherwell Greenbelt study (Jan 2023). The footpath between Springwell Hill to Duchy Fields would be welcomed.			
LPR-D-177	Sally Whiting			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	YY	Y	Supports the development in this location as it is not in the conservation area and is not in the green belt	N/A	N	N/A
LPR-D-179	Sallahu			Local Plan	Others- General	Y N	N	The need for expansion has taken over the consideration of what is already in place or good infrastructure plans. Insufficient plans to support youth development.		N	
LPR-D-180	Jane Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density		Υ	No comments given	Suggests revision of section 3.340 and also that any further applications for hot food outlets should be refused as a clear policy	N	
LPR-D-180	Jane Kilsby			Local Plan	Policy COM 19: Hot Food Takeaways	Y N	Y	Suggests revision of section 3.340 in line with the residential proposals for the town centre, such as Calthorpe Street.	Suggests revision of section 3.340 and also that any further applications for hot food outlets should be refused as a clear policy	N	
LPR-D-181	James Hill	N/A	N/A	Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	N N	N	Objects to the policy, due to potential development on the land north of Station Road and the impacts it will have on property values and outlook	N/A	N	
LPR-D-182	Jane Clifford					世	士	No comments given			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-184	Jeremy Pride	Harwell Swifts, a swift conservation group		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	YN	I Y	Welcomes reference to integrated nesting bricks. Suggest to mention swift bricks as well, as per NPPF	Add this: "Swift bricks are a universal nest brick for small bird species and should be installed in new developments including extensions in accordance with best practice guidance such as RS 42021 or CIEFM."	N	
LPR-D-185	Andrew Meyler	Sibford Ferris Parish Council	Sibford Ferris Parish Council	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy	YY	Y	Sibford Ferris Parish Council (SFPC) supports the RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy as outlined in this iteration of the 2042 Local Plan.		N	
LPR-D-185	Andrew Meyler	Sibford Ferris Parish Council	Sibford Ferris Parish Council	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	ΥΥ	Y	Sibford Ferris Parish Council (SFPC) supports the SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy as outlined in this iteration of the 2042 Local Plan.		N	
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy BAN 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	N N	I	South to East Link Road needs to be developed. Include map indicating proposed link road.  Contradiction with BAN 6, which would imply closure of the bus station and suggests no replacement sites, whilst BAN 2 suggests the current conditions are substandard.	The draft plan is inadequate, and thus non-compliant, without the inclusion of an additional road link connecting the M40 at junction 11 via Ermont Way and Chalker Way and a new section, running south east of the Bankside housing development to join the Oxford Road (A4260) in the vicinity of the Bodicote Flyover.	N	
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy BAN 6: Banbury Opportunity Areas	N N	I	Contradiction with BAN 2, which suggests the current conditions are substandard, whilst BAN 6 would imply closure of the bus station and suggests no replacement sites.		N	
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy BAN 4: Green and Blue Infrastructure in the Banbury Area			Welcomes the development of the country park to the north of the town. Welcomes recognition of Dame Sylvia Crowe. However concerned on the integrating issues of land ownership, access, funding and management. Suggest naming the country park	Include the 'Dame Sylvia Crowe Country Park' as an additional strategic project within policy BAN 4	N	
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street			Concern on the losses of public car parking and economic impacts	The text requires amendment to reflect a more appropriate balance between the LPA and communities aspirations	N	
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside	N N	I	The proposals fail to address the flood problems. A more comprehensive solution is required.		N	
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	N N	I	Objects due to failure to address the flood problems in Canalside, at The Mill and at Banbury FC		N	
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan		N N		2015 Saved Policy 12: if the football club is relocated, how will the site released be protected for any subsequent development?		N	
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Objectives	N N	I	Considers that SO7, 8, and 9 conflict with allocations BAN H3 and BAN M/U2 due to loss of town centre car parking, and the economic implications of this.		N	
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road			Concern on the losses of public car parking and economic impacts	The text requires amendment to reflect a more appropriate balance between the LPA and communities aspirations	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons Omplies with DTC	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Generally supports the policy and its principle to prevent coalescence. Notes apparent lack of 'criteria v' in text. Queries whether the seven criteria are strong enough to resist speculative applications. Queries the settlement gap into West Northamptonshire.	2.	N	
LPR-D-186	Thomas Forde	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Concern about lack of physical and social infrastructure to accommodate further housing growth. Notes that active travel schemes aren't appropriate for all (e.g., elderly). Notes current issue with through traffic in Banbury. States that the Plan should prioritise and identify schemes which strengthen the transport infrastructure.		N	
LPR-D-187	Rachel Rowntree			Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Y	N Y	The town is not big enough for development and there is insufficient infrastructure		N	
LPR-D-187	Rachel Rowntree			Local Plan	Policy CSD 18: Light Pollution	Y	N Y	Requests to mitigate light pollution from new houses and proposed fast roads	Suggests planting trees to help with light pollution and maintain habitat	N	
LPR-D-188	Alistair Reed			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Y	V Y		d Consider a more suitable and HELAA-supported alternative. Suggests Station Road site due to safer and viable access, improved infrastructure	N	
LPR-D-189	Sarah Motley			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	1 Y	N Y	Objects to the proposed allocation on the grounds that it is not soun as set out in NPPF paragraph 35, due to: encroachment into countryside, lack of safe pedestrian access, flood risk, access constraints, lack of infrastructure response, the unsustainability of the location, the lack of clear delivery mechanisms and inconsistenc with National Policy re harm to landscape and heritage.	alternative. Suggests Station Road site due to safer and viable access, improved infrastructure benefits, community support, and future growth	N	
LPR-D-190	James Yeoman	Savills	Trinity College, Oxford	Local Plan	Omission Site	1	N	Promotes "West of Warwick Road" as a housing site. Mention that it is suitable for housing in HELAA (HELAA386).		Y	
LPR-D-190	James Yeoman	Savills	Trinity College, Oxford	Local Plan	Plan Period	١	N N	Object the period - should not look back 5-6 years from the projected adoption, should continue until 2045	To extend the plan period to 2045	Y	
LPR-D-190	James Yeoman	Savills	Trinity College, Oxford	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	1	N	The plan fails to acknowledge the insufficient housing land supply (AMR 2024) of 2.3 year. Insufficient evidence that housing allocated will support county's economic growth expectations, given context of Ox-Cam Arc.	Should propose a minimum LHN under the new standard method	Y	
LPR-D-190	James Yeoman	Savills	Trinity College, Oxford	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	ľ	N	Object the policy as it is already covered by Policy COM 10 - no need to repeat. Mention that Policy ESD15 on the draft LP 2011-2031 Part 1 was found unsound - similar to this policy		Y	
LPR-D-190	James Yeoman	Savills	Trinity College, Oxford	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	1	N N	Object Banbury's strategy - should be seen equal with Bicester		Y	
LPR-D-190	James Yeoman	Savills	Trinity College, Oxford	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	1	N N	The draft local plan does not meet the transitional arrangement - housing numbers include housing for the unmet needs of Oxford City and housing for Cherwell only constitutes 63.3% of the standard method requirement	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Υ	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-190	James Yeoman	Savills	Trinity College, Oxford	Duty to Cooperate		N	N	Concern due to lack of any SoCGs as part of the consultation, and the removal of HENA		Υ	
LPR-D-191	Victoria Aston	Sport England	OXIOIU	Local Plan	Appendix 7	N		Sport England objects to the inclusion of the draft Local Nature Recovery Strategy within appendix 7 as it is draft and not yet adopted. It is also not possible to interpret the plan as it is too small	Remove the draft LNRS plan from the appendix as it is too difficult to interpret and it has not been adopted.	Υ	It is anticipated that this element will not be discussed at the hearings
LPR-D-191	Victoria Aston	Sport England		Local Plan	Paragraph 4.29			Support paragraph 4.29 and the saving of Policy Banbury 12.  Reference is made to the retention of land for the relocation of Banbury 12 through saving 2015 adopted policy Banbury 12. We support the identification of a site for relocating Banbury United Football Club		Υ	If Banbury Football Club is discussed at hearings. Sport England wishes to attend
LPR-D-191	Victoria Aston	Sport England		Local Plan	Paragraph 4.28			The Council's Playing Pitch Strategy includes a number of recommendations for Bicester. This includes ensuring that if Bicester Rugby Club cannot continue to be accommodated at Whitelands Farm then an alternative site is allocated within the plan. Additional changing provision, for example, is required at Whitelands to support the local rugby club			
LPR-D-191	Victoria Aston	Sport England		Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy			The relocation of Banbury United Football Club - if amendments are proposed to the existing site allocation these should be shared with Sport England		Υ	If Banbury Football Club is discussed at hearings. Sport England wishes to attend
LPR-D-191	Victoria Aston	Sport England		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Y		recommendations for Bicester. This includes ensuring that if Bicester Rugby Club cannot continue to be accommodated at Whitelands Farm then an alternative site is allocated within the plan. Additional changing provision, for example, is required at Whitelands to support	2) Show the infrastructure allocations that are included in the evidence base for Bicester (see Playing Pitch Strategy/Built facilities strategy) as allocations within the Local Plan. Ensure Whitelands Farm Sports Ground, Bicester and provision for Rugby is identified in the CDC IDP.	Yes	To support the Council and the Inspector with the development of the policy.
LPR-D-191	Victoria Aston	Sport England		Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Y		At Reg 18 Sport England recommended that the council include reference to Sport England Active Design documents within Policy COM14. Sport England has recently added more resources on Active Design and how it fits with the National Model design code on our website. Other Oxfordshire authorities have also added reference to Active Design to their policies. For info contact Sport England / review their website.	Update policy COM14 to reference Sport England's Active Design document. https://www.sportengland.org/guidance-and-support/facilities-and-planning/design-and-costguidance/active-design	N	Do not consider that there is a need for a hearing on this particular representation

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	compiles with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-191	Victoria Aston	Sport England		Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space		N	Policy COM25 and the associated map in the Local Plan Appendices for the nature reserve proposed to the South and West of Stratfield Brake Sports Ground - Sport England does not object to the Council identifying local nature reserves within the Local Plan. However, there is an issue with this allocation. Firstly, there is no mention here or elsewhere in the Plan of the intention of Oxford United to move their stadium to land at The Triangle, this is a relocation from their stadium site in Oxford City Council's area (Kassam stadium). It Is not clear why this isn't covered in the plan but identifying the area adjacent as a nature reserve is likely to prejudice the opportunity to resolve this issue that is a county wide issue. Stratfield Brake playing fields to the north of the nature reserve are an important site for community sport. At Reg 18, Sport England commented that Stratfield Brake sports ground is getting constrained, and therefore it would appropriate to start to consider other sites for a sport hub. Long term Stratfield Brake could be developed for housing providing a new site with more land and a capacity to extend in Kidlington was operational first. But no new site has been identified to support growth of this important community sports hub so it will need to remain in place. If Oxford United is intending to occupy the Triangle site, it will likely also wish to use the community sports field at Stratfield Brake for training and there is no room for this to happen without displacing community sport (see Playing Pitch Strategy for information on the wide number of users at this sports ground). Stratfield Brake is also expected to be the location of a new artificial pitch (see Playing Pitch Strategy) and the presence of a nature reserve could mean lighting is not permitted.	Place a buffer between the proposed nature reserve and Stratfield Brake. Identify the location of the artificial pitch with sports lighting that is a requirement included within the Playing Pitch Strategy. The PPS explains that car parking on the Stratfield Brake community sports ground is insufficient and areas identified in the LP for the nature reserve at the entrance to the community sports ground are used for parking. If an accessible nature reserve is proposed, a large area of parking should be proposed alongside it to facilitate travel to the community sports ground and the nature reserve. Identify in the plan where the new Oxford United Stadium will be located. If it is not to be located on the Triangle site, an alternative location in Cherwell or Oxford City may be required. The owner of the Kassam intends to evict Oxford United, it is important that the local authorities work together to find a new site. If not addressed, opportunity to shape proposals regarding community use of Stratfield Brake will be missed.	Yes	To support the Inspector and the Council with improving the Local Plan wording and balance competing interest.
LPR-D-191	Victoria Aston	Sport England		Local Plan	Policy CSD 18: Light Pollution	N		Objects to the policy & supporting text. Policy text fails to recognise the important benefits to people from sports lighting e.g. health, wellbeing, safety. In a predominantly rural district (where there are few large indoor sports facilities), need to highlight the importance of lighting outdoor sports facilities & facilitating the lighting of safe outdoor routes for running during the winter to ensure that women (and men) can continue to take part in sport and physical activities during the winter months, to benefit resident's health and well being. Highway safety is mentioned but what is not made clear in the policy is the importance of ensuring that there are safe active travel routes.	Additional policy text to highlight the functions and benefits to residents' health, wellbeing and safety as a result of sports lighting. Highway safety is mentioned but needs to make clear in the policy about the importance of ensuring that there are safe active travel routes. Lighting can help with feeling safer on the streets and encourage activity. It is important that instead of focusing on light pollution, the benefits to active travel, sport and physical activity are also highlighted in the policy so that a balanced view on the assessment of planning applications for lighting can be made.	Y	To support the Council and the Inspector with the development of the policy.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-191	Victoria Aston	Sport England			Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	r	N	ic	As set out at Reg 18 Sport England expected there to be a sports hub dentified within the Heyford Strategy Map. The Playing Pitch Strategy which forms part of the evidence base for the Local Plan.	Identify any new facilities required on the policies map and include appropriate references within the policy that reflects the information in the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Sports Facilities Strategy in relation to HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy.		
LPR-D-191	Victoria Aston	Sport England		, 0	Evidence based documents			tl rc rc n iff V	Sport England would like to see more of the recommendations from the Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Facilities Strategy specifically referenced in the Local Plan. If there is opportunity to expand references / make further allocations to support the recommendations. The Council's Playing Pitch Strategy includes a number of recommendations for Bicester. This includes ensuring that if Bicester Rugby Club cannot continue to be accommodated at Whitelands Farm then an alternative site is allocated within the plan. Additional changing provision, for example, is required at Whitelands to support the local rugby club.	Add more of the recommendations from the Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Facilities Strategy and if possible expand and make further allocations		
LPR-D-192	Michael Priaulx	Network: Swifts &	Swifts Local Network: Swifts & Planning Group		Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Y	N Y	n is p	Support the policy but suggest to put swift bricks to align with NPPF. The plan doesn't reference best-practice guidance on numbers/locations. The scope of developments to include swift bricks is currently unclear- please reference extensions. Please highlight the protection of existing annual nest sites as these are not given value by DEFRA's BNG metric.	Highlight the protection of existing annual nest sites in buildings, as these are not given value by the DEFRA Biodiversity Net Gain metric. Suggests amendments to add requirements for swift bricks or nest cups for house martins in developments including extensions, and to protect existing nest sites.	Y	To provide more info
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate		Evidence based documents	N N	N N	N			Y	Due to the nature of the issues raised, particularly OTP2, considers it necessary to participate
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate		Evidence based documents			jo le P a N C p C	Finds this inadequate. Questions assumptions on working styles and obs growth, as well as its sole focus on Bicester and Banbury and esser focus on Kidlington.  Procedural concerns that it is an update rather than a standalone assessment, and that it was not available to view at the Executive Meeting (5th December)  Concerns with use of Experian model for forecasting, and with time period for forecasting including the COVID-19 pandemic.  Concerns with lack of evidence about duty to cooperate resemployment land and Oxford City.			
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Omission Site				Proposes opportunity at Oxford Technology Park Phase 2 and Science North, following Phase 1 success			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	N			Y	Due to the nature of the issues raised, particularly OTP2, considers it necessary to participate
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Policy COM 12: The Oxford Green Belt	N N	N	Promotes OTP2, whose economic potential merits release from the Green Belt as per previous evidence in SA, the Cherwell Employment Land Review, and very special circumstance decisions on OTP1. Further details in rep	Modification of the Plan to release site from Green Belt	Y	Due to the nature of the issues raised, particularly OTP2, considers it necessary to participate
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	N N	N	Further ambitious strategic growth required with new employment allocations. Emphasises Kidlington's connectivity and proximity to settlements and key local employment centres, evidences the Sustainability Appraisal.	Promotes a specific allocation for employment land at OTP2 and requests early sight of such draft policy	Y	Due to the nature of the issues raised, particularly OTP2, considers it necessary to participate
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock	N N	N			Y	Due to the nature of the issues raised, particularly OTP2, considers it necessary to participate
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N N	N	Finds procedural errors with the evidence bases that LEC 1 was based upon, including the SA and ENA. Focus should also be on Kidlington not just Bicester. There is no acknowledgement of type or location of employment land opportunities to be prioritised, and assumptions underestimate level of employment land required and fail to acknowledge rental levels	A complete new ENA assessment should be undertaken, to ensure the Sustainability Appraisal and decisions on housing numbers are well-informed	Υ	Due to the nature of the issues raised, particularly OTP2, considers it necessary to participate
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Y	Υ			Y	Due to the nature of the issues raised, particularly OTP2, considers it necessary to participate
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Supports strategy but it should be more ambitious. Questions lack of provision for specific high-value sectors and lack of housing/employment allocations around Kidlington	Suggests addition of text box detailed in rep to make specific allowance for the ambitions of specialist high-value sectors		
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Bloombridge LLP and The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Theme 2			Within paragraph 8 of key policy themes, suggests removing "local" to closer align with paragraph 3.72 of the Autumn Budget 2024	Removal of "local" from "Maintaining and Developing a Sustainable Local Economy."		
LPR-D-193	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor& Co		Sustainability Appraisal		N N	N	Supports the SA findings in presenting a clear economic case for allocation of OTP2 for employment land, however this is not reflected in the Spatial Strategy nor draft Policies. It is regrettable that the SA rules out a Green Belt review.		Υ	Due to the nature of the issues raised, particularly OTP2, considers it necessary to participate

REP ID Number	Name	_	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-194	Richard Hedderly				Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	YN	I N	The Plan is legally compliant but should include some critical developments	Suggests additions including: balanced weighting for Brownfield Development between Banbury and Bicester, creation of J10a at Twyford to support traffic, and robust infrastructure improvements/developments	N	
LPR-D-195	John Mills	Landscape	Cotswolds National Landscape Board		Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	YY	Υ	Support the way in which the Cotswold National Landscape is addressed in Policy COM 10, including the explicit reference to the CNL Management Plan	Minor modification to reflect para 189 of NPPF. See reps for the suggestion. Change Cotswold AONB Management Plan to Cotswolds National Landscape Management Plan	N	
LPR-D-195	John Mills	Landscape	Cotswolds National Landscape Board		Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	N Y	Υ	Policy CSD 12 (Biodiversity Net Gain) is not legally compliant in relation to Section 85 of the Countryside and Rights of Way (CROW) Act 2000. 20% BNG requirement should be applied for the whole of the Cotswold National Landscape area within Cherwell District	Extend the 20% BNG requirement to the whole of the Cotswold National Landscape.	N	
LPR-D-195	John Mills	Cotswolds National Landscape	Cotswolds National Landscape Board		Policy RUR 2: Rural Exception Sites	ΥΥ	Υ	Support the policy	Recommend 100% affordable housing requirement within the Cotswold National Landscape. 75% can be permitted in exceptional circumstances	N	
LPR-D-196	IGOR NILADRI DYSON		I I I		Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	N		No mention is made of the impact on infrastructure and environment of developments like OUFC's proposed stadium at The Triangle		N	
LPR-D-197	James and Kate Hamilton				Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			In full support of land behind The Moors as LGS			
LPR-D-197	James and Kate Hamilton				Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy			Concerns over OUFC stadium impact on Kidlington plans, query why it is published before the stadium application is determined			
LPR-D-198	Emma Baker	South Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Housing numbers	Υ		Use of standard method, rather than former HENA, is sound. The inclusion of unmet need within the housing requirement is also considered to be sound and aligns with our approach.			
LPR-D-198	Emma Baker	South Oxfordshire District Council		Duty to Cooperate	Others- General			Duty to Cooperate Statement is accurate and will collaborate on a SoCG if sought.			
LPR-D-198	Emma Baker	South Oxfordshire District Council		Habitats Regulation Assessment	Others- General			Welcome working together on this document and would wish to continue to assess our collective impact on Oxford Meadows SAC.			
LPR-D-198	Emma Baker	South Oxfordshire District Council			Others- General			Welcome working together to mitigate the impacts of capacity at Oxford Sewage Treatment Works.			
LPR-D-198	Emma Baker	South Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Note that the Plan proposes to include an additional 4,000 homes and encourage a stepped approach to be considered.			
LPR-D-198	Emma Baker	South Oxfordshire District Council			Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Y		Large amount of need identified but supply remains outstanding.  Consider supply and related policies sound, but more to be done on securing long term economic growth around Kidlington/Knowledge spine.			

REP ID	Name	_		Document	Part of Document	Legally	Sou	Reasons	Changes Sought	Par	Reason(s) for participation
Number			nisation			ally	ind   1			Participation hearing(s)	
			represented			com	0			atic (s)	
						mplia		:		n in	
						ᇍ	2			•	
							(				
LPR-D-198	Emma Baker	South Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	١	/	Consider approach sound and the amendment to reflect already			
		District Council						committed unmet need is the right one.			
LDD D 400	5 5 1	C 11 O C 111			C 1: 1C1 1		,				
LPR-D-198	Emma Baker	South Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Y	<sup>′</sup>	Response to Green Belt is sound as the Plan no longer proposes			
		District Council						removing land			
LPR-D-198	Emma Baker	South Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Vision and objectives	Y	/	Considers the vision and strategic objectives, and the three themes,			
		District Council						are sound			
LPR-D-198	Emma Baker	South Oxfordshire		Sustainability				We note that the SA1 accompanying the Local Plan includes some			
		District Council		Appraisal				unsupported assumptions about future Oxford unmet housing need			
								(para 5.2.25), but the plan takes no action related to these assumptions.			
LPR-D-199	Emma Baker	Vale of White		Local Plan	Housing numbers	Y	/	Use of standard method, rather than former HENA, is sound. The			
		Horse District						inclusion of unmet need within the housing requirement is also			
		Council						considered to be sound and aligns with our approach.			
LPR-D-199	Emma Baker	Vale of White						Duty to Cooperate Statement is accurate and will collaborate on a			
		Horse District						SoCG if sought.			
LPR-D-199	Emma Baker	Council Vale of White			Others- General			Welcome working together to mitigate the impacts of capacity at			
LPK-D-199	Lillilla Bakei	Horse District			Others- General			Oxford Sewage Treatment Works.			
		Council						Oxford Sewage Treatment Works.			
LPR-D-199	Emma Baker	Vale of White		Habitats	Others- General			Welcome working together on this document and would wish to			
		Horse District		Regulation				continue to assess our collective impact on Oxford Meadows SAC.			
	<u> </u>	Council		Assessment							
LPR-D-199	Emma Baker	Vale of White		Local Plan	Policy COM 1:			Note that the Plan proposes to include an additional 4,000 homes			
		Horse District Council			District Wide Housing			and encourage a stepped approach to be considered.			
		Council			Distribution						
LPR-D-199	Emma Baker	Vale of White		Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting	Y	/	Large amount of need identified but supply remains outstanding.			
		Horse District			Business and			Consider supply and related policies sound, but more to be done on			
		Council			Employment Needs			securing long term economic growth around Kidlington/Knowledge			
LDD D 100	Emma Bakar	Valo of White		Local Dian	Cnatial Ctratage		,	spine.			
LPR-D-199	Emma Baker	Vale of White Horse District		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy		'	Consider approach sound and the amend to reflect already committed unmet need is the right one.			
		Council						committee diffice need is the right one.			
LPR-D-199	Emma Baker	Vale of White		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Y	/	Response to Green Belt is sound as the Plan no longer proposes			
		Horse District			]			removing land			
		Council									
LPR-D-199	Emma Baker	Vale of White		Local Plan	Vision and objectives		1	Considers the vision and strategic objectives are sound			
		Horse District									
LPR-D-199	Emma Baker	Council Vale of White		Sustainability				We note that the SA1 accompanying the Local Plan includes some			
	Banci	Horse District		Appraisal				unsupported assumptions about future Oxford unmet housing need			
		Council						(para 5.2.25), but the plan takes no action related to these			
								assumptions.			
LPR-D-200	Patrick Blake	National Highways		Duty to Cooperate	Others- General			NH continue to review the evidence. It will be essential to agree on a			
			<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>			statement of common ground.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-200	Patrick Blake	National Highways		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.140			Welcome the clarification in the paragraph on large scale developments being supported by a 'worst case' impact scenario to test the effectiveness of the 'decide and provide approach'.			
LPR-D-200	Patrick Blake	National Highways		Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40			The largest of the employment allocations and the most likely to impact the Strategic Road Network (SRN). Welcomes the policies safeguarding of land for M40 J9 capacity improvements.			
LPR-D-200	Patrick Blake	National Highways		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity			Supports the aims of this policy and would encourage the movement hierarchy to be adopted for all development.	Encourage the movement hierarchy to be adopted for all development.		
LPR-D-200	Patrick Blake	National Highways		Local Plan	Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide			Support measures to reduce travel demand, particularly through design led measures at new developments.			
LPR-D-200	Patrick Blake	National Highways		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Notes the majority of new development is focused at Bicester and that the strategy indicates it should "be the focus for additional development" due to its strategic location on the Oxford-Cambridge corridor.	request that any additional development in Bicester, not allocated in the Local Plan, impacting on M40 J9 or J10 will be required to submit a full assessment of traffic impact which shall include all allocated Local Plan growth.		
LPR-D-200	Patrick Blake	National Highways		Transport Modelling				Notes the engagement carried with NE on traffic modelling evidence supporting the Plan.  Provides a detailed list of queries and observations on the modelling evidence.  Notes engagement will continue to resolve outstanding queries, an updated technical note review will be provided on the Strategic Transport Assessment and associated modelling. Proposes the preparation of a Statement of Common Ground following their review of the transport model data.			
LPR-D-200	Patrick Blake	National Highways		Infrastructure Delivery Plan				Note a number of schemes which would potentially impact the SRN including additional capacity at M40 J9. Although at early stage and not relied upon to demonstrate soundness of the LP, NH would like to be kept engaged on progress of projects including on-slip improvements to the A34 at Pear Tree and expansion of Bicester P&R.	NE does not have plans for improvement capacity at M40 J9. If transport modelling identifies the need for this improvement, funding and timescales for delivery will ne to be demonstrated.		
LPR-D-201	Sarah Kearney	Middleton Stoney Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Support the policy and Appendix 4 and considers it consistent with the emerging Mid Cherwell NP but would wish to see them strengthened to prevent development in those areas.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-201	Sarah Kearney	Middleton Stoney Parish Council			Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements			\ f	Query on mitigating the transport impacts of development on existing villages. Support the broad hierarchy set out in CSD 22, but note that for some groups, private car is the only realistic means of transport. Wentioned the lack of bus transport services in Middleton Stoney, mpact of major roads, objection on Great Wolf and Puy du Fou			
LPR-D-201	Sarah Kearney	Middleton Stoney Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing				Should more clearly reflect the need to mitigate transport impacts of nousing development.			
LPR-D-201	Sarah Kearney	Middleton Stoney Parish Council		Local Plan	Strategy Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and			F	Would welcome a clear commitment in the Plan to involve local Parish Councils as closest to local communities in the negotiation of S LO6 agreements consequent to new development.			
LPR-D-201	Sarah Kearney	Middleton Stoney Parish Council		Local Plan	Services Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy			ة ا	Support the policy in not allocating more development than already approved. Note lack of traffic mitigation from existing development.  Welcome proposed commuter cycle route to Bicester and would encourage a link to Middleton Stoney.	Would welcome linking proposed cycle route to Middleton Stoney.		
LPR-D-201	Sarah Kearney	Middleton Stoney Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			( (	Object the reclassification of Middleton Stoney as a Category B village from Category C), due to lack of services and transport links, and potential closure of existing bus services. Disputes mention of Post Office and Oxford-Bicester bus.			
LPR-D-202	Theresa Goss	Bloxham Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			9	Object Bloxham as Cat A due to full capacity on some services.  Submitted a RAG suggesting the points for the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper			
LPR-D-203	Keith Fenwick	Pegasus Group	Barwood Land	Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents			N (	Queries why no Statements of Common Ground have been published to accompany the Interim DtC Statement, which is required to demonstrate that CDC have complied with the DtC. More details in	Statements of Common Ground to be published in order to fulfil the DtC requirements	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-203	Keith Fenwick	Pegasus Group	Barwood Land	Local Plan	Omission Site				Attaches map showing land at Islip and mentions suitability of Islip for developing previously developed land.	Statements of Common Ground to be published in order to fulfil the DtC requirements	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-203	Keith Fenwick	Pegasus Group	Barwood Land	Local Plan	Plan Period	Y	N	S	2020 plan period start is inappropriate and misunderstands the standard method, nor is it consistent with national policy. Also questions timescales for examination	Modify to start in 2024 and consider ending in 2045	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the plan
LPR-D-203	Keith Fenwick	Pegasus Group	Barwood Land		Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Y I	N	N I	t is not positively prepared as it proposes a ower housing figure than in the adopted Local Plan, going against the Council's own evidence such as the SA. It doesn't account for economic growth. It also uses the incorrect plan period	As a minimum, the updated standard method figure of 1,118 dpa should be used with a plan period commencing from 2024, and the housing land supply should also be adjusted to 2024. There should only be one housing supply table to avoid duplication.	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the plan
LPR-D-203	Keith Fenwick	Pegasus Group	Barwood Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N I	N	t c c s f t	Objects, as the draft Plan does not provide a map/figure that presents the comprehensive cumulative extent of the proposed strategic gaps; queries the replication of the gaps as assessed in the Green Gaps Study, without refining their extent; notes the lack of national policy provision for reducing coalescence outside of Green Belts; and given the lack of evidence of discussion with West Northamptonshire, it fails to meet the DtC	Draft Policy COM13 and Appendix 4 should be deleted	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the plan

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-203	Keith Fenwick	Pegasus Group	Barwood Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	Y N	N	AMR affordable housing figures falling below target. Objects to the policy which increases the percentage of affordable housing required when the Council are proposing a lower overall housing requirement than in the adopted LP. Promotes land at Islip.	The Policy in the draft Reg 18 should be retained	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the plan
LPR-D-203	Keith Fenwick	Pegasus Group	Barwood Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 19: Soils, Contaminated Land and Stability	Y N	N	Concerns regarding reference to viability, as there may be circumstances where contaminated land being brought into use may be of benefit, however as is the drafted the policy excludes the exercise of any judgement	Policy CSD 19 should be amended by removal of the criteria relating to 'viability'	N	
LPR-D-203	Keith Fenwick	Pegasus Group	Barwood Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	YN	N	The plan and associated SA ignore the benefits of sustainably located previously developed land, contrary to the Plan's stated Vision and Objectives. It does not allow sufficient flexibility for rural previously developed land sites to come forward	Does not object to Policy, however the principles of the policy should be applied to other policies within the Plan to be consistent with national policy	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the plan
LPR-D-203	Keith Fenwick	Pegasus Group	Barwood Land	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	YN	N	Comments there is no policy that sets out the overall strategy for scale and distribution of development; the Plan should make explicit those policies which are strategic policies; it fails to recognise the opportunities on previously developed land; the SA (December 2024) fails to give appropriate weight to Islip brownfield opportunity; and the level of growth should be more aspirational; if speculative developments are a concern, further strategic allocations in rural areas would help	A policy should be included which clearly articulates the spatial strategy, not just for the main settlements but also Rural Areas, and the role of Previously Developed Land within it. The Plan should make explicit which policies are strategic policies in accordance with the NPPF paragraph 22	Y	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the plan
LPR-D-203	Keith Fenwick	Pegasus Group	Barwood Land	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	Y N	N	Plan fails to meet transitional arrangements by misapplying the standard method and relying on the unmet needs of Oxford City, and is insufficient in meeting local needs, boosting housing supply, or taking into consideration economic growth	A more ambitious housing requirement should be included in the Plan. Given the changes to NPPF, Standard Method, etc, if this plan were to proceed, a policy should be included which ensures that an immediate review takes place	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the plan
LPR-D-204	David Foster	Paradigm Housing Group		Local Plan	Plan Period	Y N	N	Question on appropriateness of the plan period starting in 2020		N	
LPR-D-204		Paradigm Housing Group		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Y N	N	Question on the inclusion of Oxford's Unmet Need due to different period from this draft LP, and the appropriateness of including Oxford's unmet need when justifying transitional arrangements.  Questions appropriateness of plan period.	The application of numbers and justification arising from the 2023 and 2024 Standard Methods need to be much clearer and logically presented.	N	
LPR-D-204	David Foster	Paradigm Housing Group		Local Plan		YN	N	Broadly welcome policy, particularly emphasis on homes for social rent. Notes lack information on the detail about requirements or demand for First Homes, comments that First Homes are ineffective and unpopular, but states there is high demand in Cherwell for shared ownership homes.	Suggest clearly stating any requirement for First Homes, if applicable. If not, suggests removing requirement for the provision of First Homes as per 2024 NPPF, with a clear policy statement explaining why, and clear transitional arrangements to facilitate removal of First Homes and replacement with a tenure such as shared ownership. More info in the reps	N	
LPR-D-204	David Foster	Paradigm Housing Group		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	Y N	N	Question whether transitional arrangements are met, as Oxford's unmet need is included in the calculation	The application of numbers and justification arising from the 2023 and 2024 Standard Methods need to be much clearer and logically presented.	N	

REP ID Number			Person/orga nisation represented	Document		Legally compliant		Reasons		Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-204	David Foster	Paradigm Housing Group		Duty to Cooperate		Υ	N	Question on the "Interim Duty to Cooperate Statement" to demonstrate how Cherwell DC and Oxford City Council have cooperated to agree the housing need for their respective areas following the publication of the December 2024 NPPF. Notes that no details are provided on the conversations with Oxford City. Notes that there is no consideration that Oxford City's new Draft Local Plan is unlikely to meet the transitional arrangements.	A clearer explanation and evidence base needs to be provided to demonstrate how CDC and Oxford have cooperated	N	
LPR-D-205	Chris Lane				Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Z	Y	Fails to have consulted or informed locals. Objects to proposed site and proposed Land south of Station Road as an alternative. States that the advantage of Springwell Hill site is that it is not in the green belt, and lists numerous disadvantages, including access, highway safety, flooding, distance from amenities, coalescence with Kirtlington, and wildlife impacts. States that the disadvantage of the Station Road site is that is green/grey belt, and lists advantages, including highway access, proximity to village centre and amenities, topography, potential for parking area to Co-op, pedestrian access, and opportunities for tree belt and solar panel system.		Y	Would be happy to discuss points further and clarify as required
LPR-D-206	Charlie Jacobs	Oxford Preservation Trust		Local Plan	Appendix 1			Request more detail and guidance within the Partial Review Policies to ensure any permitted development does not harm Oxford's wider historic green setting			
LPR-D-206	Charlie Jacobs	Oxford Preservation Trust		Local Plan	Appendix 1			Recommend an independent policy explicitly focused on the significance of longer distance views from Oxford to the surrounding green setting and visa versa, to ensure sensitive development of strategic sites in Oxford's green setting.			
LPR-D-206	Charlie Jacobs	Oxford Preservation Trust			Policy COM 12: The Oxford Green Belt			Support this policy and gap between Oxford and Kidlington			
LPR-D-206	Charlie Jacobs	Oxford Preservation Trust			Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy			Support the importance which Policy CSD 6 places on productive agricultural land, the openness of the Green Belt and the historic environment. Designating land for renewable energy production requires a strategic district wide approach. Support identification of potentially suitable areas for wind energy development. Recommends extending approach to identify land suitable for Solar Photovoltaic development.			
LPR-D-206	Charlie Jacobs	Oxford Preservation Trust		Duty to Cooperate				Interim Duty to Cooperate Statement provides evidence of extensive consultation. Cooperation between neighbouring authorities is critical for a strategic approach.			
LPR-D-207	Gareth Wilson		Central Land Holdings	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes site available at Sugarswell Lane, Shevington, to create an area for sustainable brownfield growth		Υ	In order to further convey the comments made
LPR-D-208	Gareth Wilson		Central Land Holdings		Evidence based documents			Disputes the scoring for site HELAA523. Disputes the implications of and potential for contamination of land. Disputes the classification as unsuitable for development, and notes that Shutford has some services, and small schemes could support these services. Considers the site achievable and deliverable within the first five years of the plan period	Disputes scoring and promotes HELAA523	Y	In order to further convey the comments made

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-208	Gareth Wilson	Stantec	Central Land Holdings	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes site north of Epwell Road, Shutford (HELAA523) for c.40 dwellings and submits a Vision document and Technical Notes		Υ	In order to further convey the comments made
LPR-D-208	Gareth Wilson	Stantec	Central Land Holdings		Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			There needs to be an immediate review of housing policies following adoption of the Plan, and has concerns regarding the ability of the Council to provide the required level of affordable housing.	The need for an immediate review and bringing forward more smaller sites such as the promoted Shutford site	Υ	In order to further convey the comments made
LPR-D-208	Gareth Wilson	Stantec	Central Land Holdings		Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Small housing developments should be supported in Category C villages	The need for an immediate review and for smaller housing developments to be supported in Category C villages	Υ	In order to further convey the comments made
LPR-D-209	Jane Olds	Ambrosden Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Suggest defining the wording of "minor and major" in Settlement Hierarchy			
LPR-D-209	Jane Olds	Ambrosden Parish Council		Local Plan	Others- General			Overall support the Plan. Suggest defining "Strategic development".			
LPR-D-209	Jane Olds	Ambrosden Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Welcome the proposal of strategic gaps.			
LPR-D-209	Jane Olds	Ambrosden Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy			Aside from allocated employment sites, consider that Ambroseden should only accommodate infill development, conversions and minor development within the built up limits of the settlement.			
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents	N N	N N	Queries why Statements of Common Ground have not been produced to accompany the Reg 19 Plan, and therefore concludes that the DtC has not been met. Concern with lack of transparency re. meetings with Oxford City about housing need.	Provide Statements of Common Ground to satisfy the DtC	Υ	Issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Living and		Evidence based documents	Y	N Y	Queries the scenario testing set out within the Bicester Forecasting and Modelling Report. Concern with lack of modelling of future development sites, including SFRI, and only two mitigation packages are included within the scenario tests, excluding mitigation measures considered essential in the IDP, contrary to the NPPF. The majority of local junctions in the assessment will be over 100% capacity.	The Bicester Forecasting and Modelling Report (December 2024) and LP should align more closely with national policy and guidance, considering a greater range of mitigation measures, future scenarios and cumulative impacts with mitigation not being severe	Υ	
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes development at Heyford Park, and submits 5 appendices along with representations, including an Economic Overview and Housing Need document		Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Appendix 2	Y	N N	Paragraph 3.201 is out of date as the district does not have a good pipeline of housing supply and cannot demonstrate a 5 year housing supply; questions lack of separate housing trajectory for Oxford's unmet need to 2031; and questions deliverability of sites as per the AMR	Further detailed information is required so that the trajectory can be tested at examination. The rate of delivery on each site needs to be considered	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Paragraph 7.2	Y	N N	Objects as there are significant differences in the approach to Heyford Park between Reg 18 and Reg 19, and it no longer proposes further development and fails to acknowledge opportunities for growth. Suggests policy redrafting	There is scope for scope for significant further sustainable development at Heyford Park; the vision should be for at least 30 years; should include a policy which facilities future growth at Heyford Park beyond that which is already committed; and propose amending wording of paragraph, details in rep	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Paragraph 7.3 to 7.15	Y N	N	Needs to consider brownfield sites and longer-term strategic sites, like at Heyford Park. Objects to continual reliance on Policy Villages 5	Suggest redrafting of Policy HEY 1 and revision of Policy Villages 5, full details in rep	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester	Y N	Υ	There are more appropriate sites, such as Heyford Park (Policy HEY 1); concerns over lack of rail and road improvements, insufficient sustainable transport provisions, and a likely increase in car dependency, which undermine the plan's alignment with national sustainability policies	Policy BIC H1, has not proven to offer a 'vision-led' area for growth. Suggests reviewing the current housing allocation at NW Bicester and balancing this with areas that could offer greater sustainable transport infrastructure, such as Heyford Park; and providing alternative sustainable infrastructure	N	
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Y N	N	Not positively prepared as it proposes a lower housing figure than in adopted LP, despite the SA considering the case for higher growth. Also does not consider economic growth. Plan Period and Housing Land Supply should both be adjusted to 2024	Redraft to set out the housing requirement and supply over the plan period 2024-2045; Local Plan requires 1,118 dwellings as a minimum to be delivered to meet Cherwell's housing need between 2024 and 2045; The figure for the housing supply needs to be amended to exclude completions in the period 2020 – 2024; and there should only be one table for the housing supply to avoid duplication	Y	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Y N	N	Inclusion of Figure 5 which reproduces an abstract from 'Appendix B User Guide' of the LCA 2024 is unnecessary and misleading; and Evidence Base documents that use the CPRE 2007 Tranquillity Map to make judgements and formulate policy COM 10 regarding tranquillity and its influence on landscape character including the Landscape Character Assessment and Green Gaps Study are flawed; inconsistencies between the Oxfordshire Wildlife and Landscape Study, and The Landscape Character Assessment For Cherwell District Final report by LUC.	The proposed wording of COM 10 paragraph 3.280 should omit the Figure 5 and include a specific reference to Appendix B of the LCA, and suggests amending wording of paragraph 3.269 and 3.280, see rep for details	Y	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Y N		Duplicates protection within the Heyford Park Flying Field; some judgement appears arbitrary and lacks transparency, ignoring existing built form and established commercial uses within the Flying Field; some land included does not contribute to separation of settlements; notes evidence base uses CPRE 2007 Tranquillity Map which is considered outdated. Suggests the removal of the former airfield and Flying Field given the built form and commercial uses as confirmed by the 2022 planning approval; suggest land in conservation areas should be excluded to avoid duplication	Remove the former airfield and Flying Field, 'approved major planning application' site from the Policy	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	Y N	N	As per the AMR at paragraph 4.37, affordable housing completions are below target, and with a lower housing requirement now proposed this will not support affordable housing. Promotes further residential and employment development at Heyford Park	Objects to a policy which increases the percentage of affordable housing required when the Council are proposing a lower overall housing requirement. The Policy in the draft Reg 18 should be retained	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment	N N	N	The policy objectives are broadly supported. Policy is excessively detailed, including guidance that is too specific, and Policy COM 26, 27, 28 and COM 29 should all be replaced with a single policy	Policies COM 26, 27, 28 and 29 should be deleted and replaced with a single heritage policy, suggested new Policy in rep	N	
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas	N N	N	The policy objectives are broadly supported. Policy is excessively detailed, including guidance that is too specific, and Policy COM 26, 27, 28 and COM 29 should all be replaced with a single policy	Policies COM 26, 27, 28 and 29 should be deleted and replaced with a single heritage policy, suggested new Policy in rep	N	
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy COM 28: Listed Buildings	N N	N	The policy objectives are broadly supported. Policy is excessively detailed, including guidance that is too specific, and Policy COM 26, 27, 28 and COM 29 should all be replaced with a single policy	Policies COM 26, 27, 28 and 29 should be deleted and replaced with a single heritage policy, suggested new Policy in rep	N	
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy COM 29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	N N	N	The policy objectives are broadly supported. Policy is excessively detailed, including guidance that is too specific, and Policy COM 26, 27, 28 and COM 29 should all be replaced with a single policy	Policies COM 26, 27, 28 and 29 should be deleted and replaced with a single heritage policy, suggested new Policy in rep	N	
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy CSD 10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows	Y N	N	Policy is generally acceptable except for the first bullet point which is hopeful/unrealistic. As written the policy can only be met if the statutory agencies engage with a development at pre-application	Wording that requires developers to demonstrate they have or have tried to engage might be more realistic	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Υ	N	Appropriate wording is used and correctly differentiates in terms of impacts. However, there is not a clear distinction between sites considered to be of importance at differing scales. There is poor terminology and definitions and some areas need clarification, such as ancient and veteran trees. The policy currently gives equal protection to designated sites as priority species and habitats, unlike the NPPF. Clarification needed re requirements for integrated bat or bird provision. Mitigation Hierarchy and BNG should not be in the policy, rather covered by CSD 12, and likewise with the section on long-term management. Need for definition of Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan, and Ecological Enhancement Plan. It is unclear how community ownership is related to biodiversity protection and enhancement.	Policy should make clearer separate guidance for designated sites and guidance for priority habitats and species as per the NPPF; requires definition of Ancient and Veteran trees that relates to the NPPF; clarify incorporation of wildlife features into development; reference to BNG mitigation hierarchy and the delivery of offsite compensation for BNG should be in CSD12; reference to long term management overlaps with CSD12 and requires clarification; and queries relevance of Community ownership in policy	Y	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness and implementation of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	YN	N	Objects. Regarding the increase to 20% in the minimum provision of Biodiversity Net Gain, raises concerns on several issues including lack of clarity on what the policy requires, lack of supporting evidence to justify the figure, and impacts on viability. Ideally, the council should support the creation of higher quality habitats or the restoration of degraded designated sites and priority habitats in addition to the mandatory 10% level, rather than a fixed 20%. Policy wording also requires clarification re. offsite provision.	In line with government guidance, suggests a 10%+ policy to provide provide greater flexibility for applicants and the Council in delivering quality nature recovery objectives in addition to the statutory 10%.	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	YN	N	Queries viability and deliverability. The WMS of 13th December 2023 states that setting local standards increases complexity, reduces economies of scale and adds to the cost of building new homes. Also SPDs should not add unnecessarily to the financial burdens on development		N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	1 Y	N I	p	Supports the principles of the policy. Comments the principles of the policy are not followed through in Reg 19 as the Plan ignores the argest brownfield opportunity in the District, Heyford Park	No objections to the policy as such, but consider that the principles of the policy should be applied to be consistent with national policy	Y	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	1 Y	N	þ	Notes that embodied carbon is not addressed by Building Regulations or other national incentives on building design or construction, so the policy is not consistent with national policy. Questions data available and whether it has been taken into account in the viability assessment	The policy is not justified and should be deleted	N	
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy	Y		N E	vidence base needs to be updated to better inform the Plan	Until this evidential exercise has been refreshed, requests that reference to the existing Study is removed from paragraphs 3.58 and 3.59.	N	
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	1 Y	N I	b a e	The draft Plan contains no Vision or additional role for Heyford Park beyond existing commitments, and the strategy no longer acknowledges the continuing development at Heyford Park to fully establish the new settlement, as a sustainable location and prownfield land	The Local Plan review should provide for the continuing development of the site to strengthen the long-term sustainability of the new community. It is essential that a policy framework is in place to encourage the efficient use of brownfield land consistent with other policy objectives to reflect the Government's policy preference in this regard		The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy LEC 10 Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses	1 Y	N I	S	The wording of LEC10 makes no reference to Heyford Park as a Local Service Centre, inconsistent with the strategy statement para 3.1 and 7.2, and fails to recognise the role and contribution of Heyford Park	Policy should be redrafted; the designation of Heyford Park as a Local Service Centre should be re-instated in Policy LEC 10 and clearly set out in the Plan, and the Policies Map; and the supporting text should highlight that Heyford Park also has a role in supporting the	Y	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	1 Y	N I	i r	Objects to the limited development in Heyford Park, which is no no notice in the settlement hierarchy. Queries why esidential development beyond Heyford Park's built-up limits would not be sustainable.	Policy SP 1 should be amended to recognise the role of Heyford Park and also the future potential of the community. Changes should be made in line with changes to Policy HEY 1 Heyford Park Strategy		The issues raised are fundamental to the strategy and soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	1 Υ	N I	t F	The Plan period should be 2024-2045, finds no clear articulation of the plan's strategy and questions the change in strategy for Heyford Park - it fails to acknowledge future expansion particularly on prownfield land. The plan fails to acknowledge the lag between permission and delivery for large strategic allocations	A policy should be included which clearly articulates the spatial strategy for the main settlements, further growth should be included at Heyford Park, and the Plan should make explicit which policies are strategic policies in accordance with the NPPF		The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group	Dorchester Living and New College Oxford	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	1 Y	N	t c ii	Objects as it only meets 63% of the new Standard Method for Cherwell District; it takes advantage of the transition arrangements by misapplying the standard method and relying on the unmet needs of Oxford City to 2031; 2020 Plan start period and 2042 end is nappropriate; questions lack of DtC evidence with Oxford City; and it does not consider economic growth across Oxfordshire	Amend Plan period from 2024 - 2045; a more ambitious housing requirement should be included; and a policy should be included which ensures an immediate review, details in rep	Υ	The issues raised are fundamental to the soundness of the Plan

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-210	Sarah Hamilton- Foyn	Pegasus Group		Sustainability Appraisal		Υ	N		Council does not meet transitional arrangements. The SA emphasises effective cooperation between neighbouring authorities, however it incorrectly assumes a small risk associated with accommodating Oxford City's unmet need. Questions inconsistencies and failure to consider more sites at Heyford Park. Notes the SA's comments in supporting economic growth.	The SA is flawed as it does not consider any reasonable options for growth at Heyford over and above existing commitments	Υ	The issues raised affect the soundness of the Plan
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents	N	Ν		Lack of DtC evidence to demonstrate engagement, and absence of attempts to seek engagement with Oxford City to help resolve the issue of Oxford's additional unmet housing need. This issue needs to be addressed now. Appendix A for additional detail		Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Affordable and Specialist Housing Need Assessment	Evidence based documents	N	N		Calculations of gross affordable housing need is reasonable, however it does not account for stock losses; estimates that only 46.7% of affordable housing need would be met, and even under the higher standard method, only 57.3% would be met.  Considers estimates of specialist housing for older people to be an underestimate.  Appendix A and B for additional detail.	Recommends that the council should maximise on standard method	Y	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Evidence based documents	N	N		Emerging 2024 data suggests employment land demand may rise further to 250–300 ha for 2021–2042. See Appendix B for additional detail.		Y	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Local Plan	Omission Site	N	N	N	Promotes Land at Dymocks Farm, Bicester for c.900 dwellings		Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Local Plan	Omission Site	N	N	N	Promotes Land off Station Road, Hook Norton for c.55 dwellings		Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Local Plan	Omission Site	N r	N I	N	Promotes Land off Tadmarton Road, Bloxham for c.60 dwellings		Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Local Plan	Plan Period	N I	N I	Ζ	Objects to Plan period, as it is inconsistent with national policy	Should begin in 2024/2025, when the affordability ratio was calculated	Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	N N	N I		Should acknowledge Caversfield as a suburb that plays a critical role in the town		Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	N I		Ambitious housing growth would support the regions economic growth. This can be supplemented by agreed contributions toward unmet housing beyond 2031.		Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N I	N I	:	The proposed housing requirement will not support the delivery of an adequate provision of housing or consider additional unmet needs; expresses concerns over overreliance on strategic sites in the green belt; and notes there is no robust justification for the proposed windfall allowance		Y	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N N	1 N	:	Policy will hinder sustainable development from coming forward; Settlement Gaps are not currently shown on the submitted Policies Map; some gaps include areas where there is a limited risk that development would impact the character of the settlement, e.g., Bicester-Stratton Audley	Modify policy to make clear that the Settlement Gaps do not prevent otherwise sustainable development from coming forward; and the Policies Map must be amended to show these designations	Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	N r	N I		Policy should exclude affordable housing from the requirement, and clarify that provision is subject to demand being identified by reference to a Self and Customer Build Register. Reference should be made to a 12-month timeframe for the plots to be made available and marketed	Policy should exclude affordable housing from the requirement, and clarify that provision is subject to demand being identified by reference to a Self and Customer Build Register. Reference should be made to a 12-month timeframe for the plots to be made available and marketed	Y	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	N	N I	ŀ	Targets are broadly in line with what will be achieved as part of the Future Homes Standard and as such questions whether it is necessary to include these	Policy should be deleted	Y	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	N	N I	ŀ	Improvements in technical building standards should be delivered through building regulations to avoid different approaches and standard being set in different areas	Policy should be deleted	Y	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	N N	N I	1	A housebuilder, or any other applicant for development, is not legally responsible to assess the capacity or otherwise of the water company to provide water supply and wastewater connections. It is for the Council and water company to determine as part of the preparation of the LPR and through a Resource Management Plan	Suggests the fourth and sixth paragraphs of CSD9 should be deleted	Y	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	N	N I		Should the plan proceed in its current form, a review policy with consequences is essential to address a substantial housing deficit	Suggest wording for policy in rep	Y	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited			Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	N r	N I	1	It is not effective to rely on neighbourhood plans to deliver a considerable proportion of the new housing supply identified in the LPR, and the council should allocate suitable sites now within all the identified rural settlements	Promotes sites in both Bloxham (Land off Tadmarton Road) and Hook Norton (Land off Station Road)	Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised

REP ID Number		Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented			npliant	with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Local Plan	Policy RUR 3: New Dwellings in the Countryside	N N		It is a restrictive policy that runs counter to the positive policy framework established in the adopted Development Plan	Reinstate the criteria-based approach of Policy Villages 2 in place of draft Policy RUR3, or as an addition to Policy RUR1. The Plan should allocate all sites required in neighbourhood areas and maintain a positive policy provision	Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Policy SP1 should be amended so that Caversfield is correctly recognised as part of the built-up area of Bicester within the 'Main Towns' settlement category	Caverfield should be recognised within the 'Main Towns' settlement hierarchy, and the area strategy for Bicester should also be rectified so that the suburb is acknowledged to perform a critical function as part of the strategy for the town	Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N N		The Plan does not contain a policy setting out the overarching spatial strategy for the authority as a whole	Considers it necessary to include a principal spatial strategy policy to bind specific area based spatial strategies together	Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N		Objects to timescales for the Submission of the LPR for Examination, and expresses concerns that transitional arrangements are only met by factoring in Oxford City's unmet need		Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised
LPR-D-211	Andrew Collis	Gladman Developments Limited		Sustainability Appraisal		N N		There is little explanation as to why any 'additional' unmet need in addition to that agreed in 2016 cannot be identified at present and thereby distributed, and limited explanation as to why the arguments for adopting a higher housing requirement figure are not supported		Υ	Comments concern critical elements to a legally compliant and sound Local Plan and the Examination will require detailed consideration and evidence that reflects the issues raised

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with Dic	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-212	Michael Lowndes	Lichfields	PR6b Landowner Group		Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	N	J	Supports the retention of PR6b as a strategic housing site, however key aspects are outdated: 670 dwellings represents a likely underutilisation of this strategic housing site; the 50% affordable housing requirement should be subject to viability; the requirement for an 80/20% split between social rented and intermediate forms of affordable homes (PR2) should be adjusted in line with COM 2; of intermediate housing, 30% should be University-led affordable housing; and PR6b (21) requirement to replace the golf course should be removed, submits golf needs assessment.  Appendix contains report on PR6b site.	PR6b should be reviewed and suggests amendments to make the 670 housing requirement a minimum, to make 50% affordable housing subject to viability; to change the required split between social rented and intermediate forms of affordable homes; and to remove requirement to replace golf course - see rep for full amendments  Amend KID1 to make 5,022 homes a minimum	Y	Considers this to be necessary due the strategic importance of the effective and optimal delivery of PR6b to meet housing need
LPR-D-213	James Kirkham	JPPC	Michael Peagram Family and Charitable		Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation			LAP provision and greenspace requirements can be met, but notes there is no requirement for new formal sports provision or allotments - this contrasts with RUR H1, so policy clarification is required	Clarification of policies to determine which provisions are required, both on site and off site		
LPR-D-213	James Kirkham	JPPC	Trust Michael Peagram Family and Charitable Trust	Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Supports the allocation. The allocation site needs to be clarified in supporting text, as the supporting text at paragraph 8.9 states that the site covers two agricultural fields, but it only encompasses the southern part of a single field closest to the settlement. Queries how the council concluded allocation of 44 dwellings, and suggest flexibility on this. Encloses additional site assessments, including a Transport & Access Appraisal	Suggests greater flexibility in dwelling numbers, and wording changes to bullet point 8 on tree and hedgerow planting		
LPR-D-213	James Kirkham	JPPC	Michael Peagram Family and Charitable Trust		Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			There is opportunity to either provide a replacement high quality native hedgerow or for the tree belt to the west of Springwell Hill to be extended to the north, as per the LUC assessment.  Consider that the key opportunities for the site identified in the LUC Site Landscape Assessment can be accommodated within the development	Suggest that either the wording of the policy be amended to allow for planting/landscaping to occur outside of the extents of the current allocated site area, or for the extents of the allocated land be extended to include the area of land to the north of the access adjacent to Springwell Hill.  Suggests removing reference to retaining tree and hedgerow planting, and instead say strengthen planting where possible		
LPR-D-213	James Kirkham	JPPC	Michael Peagram Family and Charitable Trust	Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			The views identified in the CAA are not publicly accessible and have altered since this was undertaken and queries requirement of a conservation plan	Clarify the requirement for a conservation plan with any application		
LPR-D-213	James Kirkham	JPPC	Michael Peagram Family and Charitable		Evidence based documents			Agree with assessment that the risk of archaeological remains of significance on the allocated Springwell Hill are site is low			
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents	N N	J N	Queries lack of evidence and Statements of Common Ground published			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant		Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Omission Site	N N	I N	r	Promotes Land north of The Bourne, Hook Norton (HELAA139) for esidential development of up to 71 dwellings. Submits promotion locuments			
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	I N	N C r t		There needs to be a minimum increase of 895 dwellings per year additionally, and additional sites should be identified		
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	N N	I N		he proposed Plan and the policies contained within it are viable and leliverable	Further clarification is needed on what constitutes "sufficient benefit" and "exceptional circumstances"		
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing	N N	I N	V	The policy imposes specific requirements on large developments, which could be restrictive if viability challenges or other site constraints arise	Provide flexibility		
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	N N	I N		Requires clarity	Suggests that 'appropriate time' for marketing should be clearly stated to provide greater certainty		
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan		N N	I N		he policy is well-intentioned and aligned with sustainability goals but equires refining	Suggests refining its language and specifying implementation details		
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan		N N	I N		he policy does not fully align with national legislation and may affect he viability of new development proposals	The policy should be deleted, and closely related policies CSD 12 'Biodiversity Net Gain' and CSD 14 'Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services' should be consolidated to avoid repetition		
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy CSD 14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	N N	I N	c	Does not support the mandatory requirement for a natural apital assessment for major development proposals at the application stage as it is repetitive with EIAs	Natural capital considerations should primarily occur at the plan-making stage		
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	N N	I N	a	Commends the ambitious requirements. Welcomes the option for applicants to submit detailed justification where full compliance is not easible or viable.			
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan		N N	I		Development in Category A villages supports opportunities for liternative travel modes	Expand policy		
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan		N N	I N		Consider that a higher quantum of homes should be directed to the Category A villages, including Hook Norton			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy		N	Broadly supports. Classification for Hook Norton is consistent with previous Regulation 18 representations, which identified Hook Norton as a 'Category A Village Notes that the policy restricts unallocated development beyond the built-up limits of the village. Suggests that the strategy would be more effective if growth in main settlements, which can be slower due to infrastructure requirements, was supported by small and medium sites in other areas, e.g., Category A villages.  Notes that Cat A villages vary in provision of services, and Hook Norton has one of the higher scores with this category.	Policy should allow flexibility considerations at the DM stage to enable sustainable development, and suggests policy rewording to allow for development outside of the built up limits, depending on planning balance.		
LPR-D-214	Karen Barnes	Turley	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N N	N	No objection to focusing development at most sustainable locations/main settlements. The spatial strategy does not acknowledge the important role that rural areas play in delivering sustainable growth		Υ	The site should be included as a proposed residential allocation and the emerging Plan does not provide enough sites to provide sufficient housing supply
LPR-D-215	Michael	Cerda Planning	Kler Group	Local Plan	Omission Site	N N	N	Promotes Land at Milestone Farm, Broughton Road, Banbury			
LPR-D-215	Robson Michael Robson	Cerda Planning	Limited Kler Group Limited	Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	N N	N	Additional land is required for a balanced supply. Currently it does not align with NPPF and does not meet Cherwell's actual housing needs, in addition to 5-year housing land supply also dropping to 2.3			
LPR-D-215	Michael Robson	Cerda Planning	Kler Group Limited	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	N	Additional allocations are needed to meet projected needs.			
LPR-D-216	Mike Robinson	Oxford & Country Planning	Mr Charlie Lane Fox and Dr Adrian Reynard	HELAA	Evidence based documents	Y N	Υ	Disagrees with assessment of site HELAA531 and promotes the site	HELAA should be amended	Υ	To provide the Local Plan Inspector with additional details of the proposals and further justification as to why the land should be allocated for high value employment uses
LPR-D-216	Mike Robinson	Oxford & Country Planning	Mr Charlie Lane Fox and Dr Adrian Reynard	Local Plan	Omission Site	Y N	Y	Promotes Land south of B4030, Bicester for a business park for high growth, high-tech companies and a linked research academy associated with the motorsports industry		Υ	To provide the Local Plan Inspector with additional details of the proposals and further justification as to why the land should be allocated for high value employment uses

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-216	Mike Robinson	Oxford & Country Planning	Mr Charlie Lane Fox and Dr Adrian Reynard	Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	YN	I Y	Welcomes the flexibility afforded for appropriate employment development on unallocated sites across the district		Y	To provide the Local Plan Inspector with additional details of the proposals and further justification as to why the land should be allocated for high value employment uses
LPR-D-217	Sarah Kearney	Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain			Notes challenges in assessing BNG policies, such as data collection, long -term accountability, and balancing with development. Notes the importance of biodiversity and the pressures faced. Suggests stronger integration of scientific expertise in policy implementation.			
LPR-D-217	Sarah Kearney	Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity			Mention the need for investment in local transport infrastructure			
LPR-D-217	Sarah Kearney	Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy			Does not endorse large-scale solar farms encroaching on Green Belt land and local communities			
LPR-D-217	Sarah Kearney			Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management			Support the policy	Suggest additional support for housing and community retrofit measures		
LPR-D-217	Sarah Kearney			Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Questions this allocation, noting the site's proximity to Woodstock and how the infrastructure/services can cope with the development.			
LPR-D-217	Sarah Kearney			Sustainability Appraisal				Questions Shipton Quarry Eco Village, and notes need for development to remain proportionate.			
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)			Support Policy requirement for financial contributions to primary health care infrastructure		N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Reason(s) for participation  Participation in  hearing(s)
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside			Support Policy requirement for financial contributions to primary health care infrastructure		N
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Support Policy requirement for financial contributions to primary health care infrastructure		N
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Local Plan	Policy COM 17: Health Facilities			Support Policy. Further encourage early engagement with ICB BOB to determine details of facilities, especially for larger/strategic sites.		N
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Local Plan	Policy COM 18: Creating Healthy Communities			Support Policy		N
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services			Support Policy COM 20 & Para 3.342 and text and carry on future engagement with the ICB and wider NHS stakeholders. Expectation that development proposals will make provision to meet the cost of healthcare infrastructure.		N
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and			Cross reference to the comments on the LPVA, the need for a specific amount to be stated in the LPVA for healthcare mitigation		N
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Local Plan	Services Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Support Policy requirement for financial contributions to primary health care infrastructure		N
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Support Policy requirement for financial contributions to primary health care infrastructure		N
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Infrastructure Delivery Plan				IDP Chapter 4 - Health and Wellbeing and the Draft Infrastructure Schedule.  IDP has limited information regarding healthcare requirements, especially for site allocations. Recommend CDC and developers engage with the BOB ICB through all of the plan stages, ensure on site health care demands are property assessed and that health mitigations align with NHS requirements and the BOB ICB's approach to securing health care provision.		N Y

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-218	Hyacynth Cabiles	NHS Property Services		Local Plan Viability Assessment				Omission re specification of an amount for healthcare mitigation in the LPVA. LPVA Chapter 4 - Appraisal Assumptions - ref to the S106 contributions of £14,000 per dwelling, is sufficient but concern that there is no specific mention of the healthcare mitigation in the viability assessment, healthcare will compete with other planning obligations or could be ignored completely resulting in an unsustainable development. Healthcare facilities are currently experiencing significant strain and if the growth strategy went ahead would exacerbate the situation. Needed to support the effective implementation of Draft Policy COM20 in situations where viability demonstrates a site is unable to deliver all infrastructure requirements.	Request a separate cost input specified for healthcare, in accordance with the ICB's estate strategy and the proposed development's location and size. Welcome further engagement with CDC to determine a reasonable cost assumption for future viability assessments.	N	
LPR-D-219	Sarah Kearney	Wendlebury Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality			Concern about the level of air and noise pollution in Wendlebury.	Suggest implementation of low-emission vehicle zones, higher investment towards public transport and green infrastructure, and more air quality monitoring		
LPR-D-219	Sarah Kearney	Wendlebury Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity			Considers that current proposal fails to address traffic concerns or promote alternative transport solutions. Concern with bottlenecks at J9 from A34/A41 and M40 creating a rat run through Wendlebury. Object the proposed SE Perimeter Road			
LPR-D-219	Sarah Kearney	Wendlebury Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Concern with bottlenecks at J9 from A34/A41 and M40 creating a rat run through Wendlebury. The allocated employment land will exacerbate the issue.			
LPR-D-219	Sarah Kearney	Wendlebury Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area			Object the proposed SE perimeter road, due to impact on Wendlebury re permeability for active travel and impact on rural character	Implement alternative solutions, including rail and expanded cycleways; upgrade existing infrastructure to alleviate congestion at J9; limit air and noise pollution impacts; promote active travel and protect rural character of Wendlebury		
LPR-D-219	Sarah Kearney	Wendlebury Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management			Concern about the flooding in Wendlebury. Mention how the new development can strain this even more			
LPR-D-219	Sarah Kearney	Wendlebury Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure			Concern about the water utility infrastructure in Wendlebury.  Mention how the new development can strain this even more (employment development near J9)			
LPR-D-219	Sarah Kearney	Wendlebury Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	,		Object Wendlebury categorisation from C to B, due to lack of infrastructure and limited bus routes			
LPR-D-220	Rachael Martin	ID Planning	Cromsdale Estates	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes Land at Fulwell Road, Finmere, Buckingham, (HELAA415) as a residential allocation	the villages to support the Settlement Hierarchy, SP1, and policies RUR1 to 5		
LPR-D-220	Rachael Martin	ID Planning	Cromsdale Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Given the lack of five year supply, the rural allocation and windfall allowance should be increased to support housing in rural areas to meet housing need and benefit local communities	Increase rural allocation and windfall allowance		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	compiles with Dic		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-220	Rachael Martin	ID Planning	Cromsdale Estates	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			The lack of development boundaries, particularly for Local Services Centres and Villages A, B and C will result in an ineffective policy, open to different interpretations and confusion; disagrees with Finmere as a Category C Village (references the potential for the pub to open in the future, and the proximity to Coop); and questions the lack of evidence and methodology	The type of development for each category should be clearly defined in Policy SP1; Finmere should be listed as a Category A village; and further details of methodology to inform the hierarchy are required		
LPR-D-220	Rachael Martin	ID Planning	Cromsdale Estates	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Supports the objectives to provide development in rural settlements and considers it positively prepared, however is it not sound as it is not effective or consistent with national policy	Bullet point three of the Rural Areas box should be amended to remove reference to 'our' to reflect the sustainable links to urban areas and villages outside the local authority boundary reflecting the DtC		
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb		Affordable and Specialist Housing Need Assessment	Evidence based documents	Y	1 Y	The significant need for affordable and specialist housing identified means that greater consideration should be given to a wider range of sites to help meet this need		Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora	Local Plan	Omission Site	Y	I Y	Promotes Land to the west of the A423 and north of the Sanctuary housing site as a housing allocation for around 130 dwellings		Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Trading Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Sustainability Appraisal	Paragraph 2.2.6 to 2.2.8	Y	1 Y	Disputes 2.2.6 of the SA's assessment of the to risk associated with Oxford City's unmet need continuing beyond 2031 as small. States that 2.2.8 was likely published before the December 2024 High Court decision stating that Cherwell's housing requirement should be regarded as an aggregate figure combining the allocations to meet Oxford's unmet housing needs as well as Cherwell's own housing needs		Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.1	Y	1 Y	Objects to reference to some "limited additional growth" which fails to recognise the growth potential and sustainable location of Banbury to accommodate additional housing		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Trading Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.200	Y	J N	The council only meets transitional arrangements by including Oxford City's unmet need, and excluding this CDC meet under 64%. Also questions if the proposed figure of 4,400 dwellings to help meet Oxford's unmet need is sufficient, correct and justified		Y	To address any issues by third parties

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.201	Y	N		Notes inconsistencies with Government data published in relation to the proposed Standard Method housing figures and appendix 2; it states that the council has land supply for another 12,525 homes, yet over half are retained or replaced sites in the adopted LP that did not have any planning permission at the end of March 2024, raising uncertainty on deliverability; it is unclear whether a 10% non-delivery contingency has been included in figures for sites coming forward		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.206	Y	N		Should be updated to reflect the current position following the publication of the December 2024 NPPF as well as Cherwell's new housing requirement	Update paragraph	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.207	Y	N		Should be updated to reflect the current position following the publication of the December 2024 NPPF as well as Cherwell's new housing requirement	Update paragraph	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.223	Y	N		Agrees there is a need, but there has not been a significant increase in this type of working from home compared to office-working from home which does not require specially designed units		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.245	Y	N		Data is from 2020/2021 so more recent data on completions is needed and the number of people on the self-build register each year to justify the policy. Objects to the blanket requirement for 5% serviced residential plots on all housing developments of 100 or more dwellings and also the requirement of obligatory marketing of plots		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	_	Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper	Paragraph 4.1	Y	N	Y	Supports the identification of Banbury as a main town	Banbury should be identified for a greater level of housing development	Y	To address any issues by third parties

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Paragraph 4.1	Y	N		Supports the principles of the policy, however the reference to nearly 6,500 homes being built by 2042 is limiting and Banbury has greater capacity	The vision should refer to the number of homes as a minimum or an 'at least' number, reflecting the town's ability satisfactorily to accommodate additional growth, especially on its northern edge	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Paragraph 4.2	Υ	N		Objects to the policy statement that the strategy is to provide for 'some limited' additional growth as well as delivering committed development	More than 'limited' growth is needed, and the strategy should recognise the wider potential and role that Banbury can offer in delivering sustainable development	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Paragraph 4.3 to 4.5	Υ	N		There is no reference in these paragraphs to the new proposed allocations at Banbury, but notes that Banbury has delivered more of its allocated sites than Bicester which is testament to its sustainable location and the demand for housing		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Sustainability Appraisal	Paragraph 5.2.50 - 5.2.55	Υ	N		Disagrees that growth should be focused at Bicester over-and-above Banbury, and there is likely to remain an issue with timely housing delivery at Bicester		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	_	Sustainability Appraisal	Paragraph 5.4.28 to 5.4.30	Υ	N		Welcomes reference to comprehensive planning of the entire northern sector of the Banbury urban edge, but this is an approach that should be considered as part of the LPR and not identified as a longer-term consideration		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	Y	N		The strategic site allocations only lists two new site allocations, and promotes land to the west of Southam Road as an allocation		Y	To address any issues by third parties

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy BAN 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	Y	N Y	f	Providing further housing to the north of the town, close to existing acilities and these employment areas will reduce the pressure to create direct transport links and promote sustainable development		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy BAN 4: Green and Blue Infrastructure in the Banbury Area	Y	N Y	r t	There is an opportunity to focus on the importance of more peripheral blue and green areas, and suggests delivery to the north of the town connecting the area around Hanwell Brook with the adjacent playing fields on Dukes Meadow Drive		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Y 1	N r	t C F a t	Concerns about how the requirement for 20,042 new homes has been calculated, and it is unclear what additional housing is provided to help deliver further social and affordable housing as a contingency. Concern with proportion of housing expected form windfall sites. Finds the housing supply figure overly inflated, and questions how and where dwellings are likely to be delivered. Queries the asterix in the housing supply table. Queries the figure of 1,500 dwellings at North-West Bicester	Promotes further allocation in Banbury.	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape		N Y	t c c a	The NPPF only seeks to protect and enhance "valued" landscapes, but he policy takes a more 'blanket' approach; wording should be more positive; should recognise that the Council's landscape character assessment is likely to be updated over the plan period; objects the requirement for all major development proposals to be accompanied by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment; and the Cotswolds AONB Management plan should be examined as part of his Local Plan		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy COM 11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations	Y 1	N Y	a	Objects as it duplicates Policy COM 10, failing to recognise the blanket approach taken to the identification of local landscape designations and opportunities for development	Policy should be deleted	Y	To address any issues by third parties

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Y N		Do not object to the principle or detailed criteria per se. Questions effectiveness in its current format and including a list of 19 specific requirements, and it lacks flexibility. Objects to the need to prepare masterplans and Design Codes for major developments 'in collaboration with the Council and local stakeholders, due to impact on deliverability.	Policy should include the words 'where possible' or 'where appropriate' in respect of the 19 criteria listed	Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy COM 18: Creating Healthy Communities	YN		Principle is supported, but the policy is too prescriptive re. the Vision Document.	Consideration should be given to the requirement for a Health Impact Assessment to be submitted for all major developments	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	Y N		Do not object, on the basis that viability testing is done in line with paragraph 3.215 of the supporting text.  Cherwell needs to ensure that any affordable housing completions on sites serving Oxford City's needs are not counted towards meeting Cherwell's needs.		Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Y N		The policy should make clear that infrastructure requirements, in addition to being necessary, should also be fair, reasonable and directly related to the new development and must pass the CIL test		Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Y N		Point (ii) should refer to making the most effective and efficient use of land and buildings		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Y N		Unnecessary policy as it is already covered by the Wildlife and Countryside Act. Unnecessary to refer to the need to secure management and monitoring of biodiversity features for at least 30 years as covered by BNG	Policy should be deleted	Υ	To address any issues by third parties

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob. Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	1 1	Y	Unnecessary policy as it is already covered by the Wildlife and Countryside Act and viability testing has not been done	Policy should be deleted	Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy CSD 14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	Y N	Y	Finds the policy poorly worded and lacking clarity and is not supported by the NPPF. The requirement for a natural capital assessment is considered unnecessary in the form of separate and additional reports to be submitted	The policy should make clear that such information can be included within a supporting planning statement	Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality	Y N	Y	The first sentence of the policy, which requires development to be designed to be "air quality neutral" is not consistent with the rest of the policy as worded	The first sentence should be deleted	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy CSD 17: Pollution and Noise	Y N	Y	respect of risks to public health or safety, the environment general	Does not consider it would be effective in setting out a clear approach to the various considerations as listed	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy CSD 18: Light Pollution	Y N	Y	Objects, as the need for development to minimise any impact or harm resulting from light pollution is part of preceding policy CSD17 which specifically refers to light pollution	The policy should be deleted	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	YN	Y	Policy goes beyond the requirements of national planning policy, and as written the policy will not be effective when considering viability and costs. Questions Council's capacity to assess technical report submissions. Objects monitoring requirements as onerous, costly, and no consequences if monitoring neglected. Object to policy statement against connection to gas grid/fossil fuels.		Υ	To address any issues by third parties

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading		Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Υ	N		Objects to the policy part that expects all strategic developments to provide direct bus access, as this cannot be enforced	The policy should make clear that any expectations will only arise in circumstances where direct bus access is available and achievable	Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading		Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide	Υ	N	Υ	Objects as there is no statutory policy basis for it	It is overly detailed and should make clear that for decision-making purposes development will only be refused on highway grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts would be severe	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	Υ	N		Objects, due to costs and the additional burden placed on developers to provide reports on embodied carbon and concerns relating to the requirement for whole life carbon assessments.	The extent to which viability would need to be impacted before such assessments are no longer required needs to be clarified	Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy	Υ	N		The policy should acknowledge the importance of renewable and low carbon energy provisions and the need to arrive at a balanced planning judgement given the weight attached to meeting the challenge of climate change		Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading		Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Υ	N		In support, but the final sentence of the first paragraph should refer to 'built' development		Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading		Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	Υ	N		Objects, as the need to consider SuDs from the earliest stage lacks clarity of requirements, and reference to SuDS being required to maximise biodiversity and amenity value should be revised	Rewording for effectiveness of policy	Υ	To address any issues by third parties

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	Y	N	Y	Welcomes the policy	The Council's commitment should go further to confirm that AMRs will be produced promptly following the close of a monitoring period, and contingency plans should be set out and alternative sites should be identified	Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Υ	N		Support the general approach and identification of Bicester and Banbury as main towns.  Reference to 'where appropriate' is unnecessary and implies restraint rather than ambition to enhance services and facilities. Under 'type of development' the reference to the built-up limits of the settlement is unclear and open to interpretation. Objects to the approach of only permitting development beyond the built-up limits of the settlement on allocated sites	Changes to wording and definition of 'built-up limits' of development	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	_	Sustainability Appraisal	Section 5.3	Υ	N		Disagree with conclusions that site HELAA038 is unsuitable for development, due to changes to landscape sensitivity since and recognition of site benefits	Assessment should be reconsidered	Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Strategic Objective SO11	Y	N		Supports the intention, but it should refer to meeting the housing needs of different groups in the community in terms of size, type and tenure of housing, including affordable housing		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Strategic Objective SO12	Y	N		The objective should recognise the role that the provision of new and easily accessible public open space plays in fostering healthy behaviours		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Strategic Objective SO13	Υ	N		This objective should stress the role of the towns of Banbury and Bicester as a focus for development		Υ	To address any issues by third parties

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Strategic Objective SO15	YN	Υ	Reference to 'sufficient' suggests that the Local Plan is aiming for a minimum requirement rather than ample supply of accessible well maintained good quality services facilities and infrastructure	The word sufficient should be deleted, and SO15 should refer to aspirations as well as needs	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Strategic Objective SO7	YN	Y	Welcomes objective, but it should be underpinned through the provision of an appropriate scale of housing development to meet the need arising from economic development and growth		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading	Local Plan	Strategic Objective SO9	Y N		Welcomes objective, however it should specifically refer to Banbury and Bicester as the focus for growth to avoid confusion on how it should be applied		Υ	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb	Hargreaves Residential Development s ob Pandora Trading		Vision	Y N	Y	Supports the vision, but the 4th bullet point should be strengthened to refer to a range and choice of housing to meet local needs as per the NPPF		Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-221	Jolande Bowater	Harris Lamb		Site Landscape Assessments		Y N		It fails to assess all potential sites, including Land west of Southam Road and landscape sensitivity for this site has since reduced	Site Landscape Assessments document should assess land west of Southern Road	Y	To address any issues by third parties
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policies Map			Page 313, land north of Pringle Drive and east of Oxford Road is designated as Existing Green Space. It should be noted that there is an extant planning permission for part of this land to be developed as a car and cycle parking hub			
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Strongly support. Need to ensure that adequate strategic infrastructure is delievred alongside new development			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area			Need for all development to contribute towards transport improvement schemes is welcomed, but note there are existing constraints which need to be mitigated, e.g. Chiltern mainline from London to Bicester Village.	Would welcome additional details of the Developer Contributions Planning Guidance and a potential Community Infrastructure Levy charging schedule. Request further detail on how Transport Schemes would be funded. Recommend map showing proposed infrastructure works.		
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area			Essential that any schemes carefully designed to ensure deliverability where their ownership is required.			
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policy COM 15: Active Travel – Walking and Cycling			Broadly supportive. Query how the policy links with other policies in the Plan. Suggest policy amends	Part iv remove 'where appropriate'. In part vi, change danger to improving safety conditions		
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services			Welcome concept of developers working together, but question practicalities, seek clarity as to how this would operate. New development needs to be justified in terms of cumulative transport impacts.			
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change			Strongly supports principle. Wish to see the policy amended to identify the specific locations in part i, part iii to place greater emphasis on active travel and to refer to net zero. Noted that sufficient power capacity in the district will be key to delivering this.			
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policy CSD 18: Light Pollution			Welcome principle of policy. Clarify that this policy refers to private land and not to the detriment of the safety of guests. Note that OCC require lighting to adoptable standards which differs from this policy.			
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity			Amendments to policy sought to strengthen policy, accord with NPPF and recognise that rapid charging is not always practicable	Add car sharing to criterion v, change rapid to appropriate in text below criteria and refer to OCC Parking Standards. Also wish to see exception for viability to be added to policy.		
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide			Supports principle. Seeks amendments to the policy to enable compliance and focus on the delivery of infrastructure. Request definition of 'significant number of trips'	Remove reduce road danger from criterion iii. Replace comply with 'have regard to' the latest Oxfordshire guidance in criterion iv.		
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited	Local Plan	Policy LEC 10 Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses			Supports and welcome the policy. Supports the 1500 msq threshold for out of centre retail development.		Υ	Value Retail is one
LPR-D-222	Sophie Lennon	DP9	Value Retail Management Limited		Policy LEC 9: Tourism			Support the policy. Would welcome recognition that improvements to the transport network, rather than highway mitigation will be sought		Υ	Value Retail is an important tourist attraction.
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Others- General			Local plan fails to mention Oxford Cambridge Growth Corridor	Update the Plan to recognise Growth Corridor		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Others- General			Local Plan fails to recognise devolution			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Duty to Cooperate	Others- General			Evidence of ongoing cooperation needs to be demonstrated.			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Paragraph	N	J	1.7. Fails to recognise importance of Kidlington in strategy, especially given importance of Oxford to Cambridge Growth Arc and East West	Amend strategy to recognise importance of Growth Corridor and East West Rail at Kidlington		
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.1	N	J	Fails to recognise importance of Kidlington in strategy, especially given importance of Oxford to Cambridge Growth Arc and East West	Amend strategy to recognise importance of Growth Corridor and East West Rail at Kidlington		
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Y	,	Supports general approach. Additional housing should be focused at Bicester.			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N	J	The figure should be based on the December 2024 figure of 1,118 homes. Does not support Government ambition to boost housing delivery nor does it take account of additional unmet need or Ox-Camprowth corridor.	Use the new standard method, as well as accounting for Oxford's unmet need		
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy COM 12: The Oxford Green Belt	N	J	Policy should refer to Grey Belt as per NPPF 2024			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	N	J	Policy unclear, requirements not appropriate for all developments	Seek clarification on what would constitute an exception under the term 'where possible'		
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate	N	I	Policy is wide ranging and much should be more appropriately dealt with through Buildings Regulations.	Policy should be more focussed to avoid duplication.		
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy CSD 10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC	N	J	This policy should be tightened to reflect that only some development close to or likely to have an impact on the Meadows should be caught. Currently it would require ALL development.	"Policy CSD 10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC All new development proposals that may have a direct or indirect impact on the Oxford Meadows SAC will be required to demonstrate that: "		
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	N	J	Queries the need for 20% BNG and whether this has been evidenced.	nemonstrate mai		
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	٨	J	Consider the policy is onerous, much of this is covered in Building Regulations and Future Homes Standard. Query viability to ensure deliverability.			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non- residential	N	J	Consider the policy is onerous, much of this is covered in Building Regulations and Future Homes Standard. Query viability to ensure deliverability.			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Y	,	Generally supports			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide	Y		Generally supports			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy	N		The approach does not allow for a balance as per the NPPF and requires an absolute test. The policy is inconsistent with the NPPF	"Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy The Council will support renewable and low-carbon energy provisions providing any adverse impacts are outweighed by the benefits of the proposal. Planning applications involving renewable energy development will therefore be supported provided that any adverse impacts, including cumulative impacts, are outweighed by the benefits of the proposal"		
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	N		Query whether this policy is necessary as NPPF covers this.	If retained, should accord with NPPF		
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	N		Supports acknowledgement that Kidlington is one of the District's most sustainable locations. Local Plan should review whether land should be released from the Green Belt. There will be much investment in infrastructure in this area arising from previous allocations and efficient use could be made of this to further support the Oxford Cambridge corridor.	Re-word the Vision to include wording similar to the below:  "In 2042 the Kidlington area will continue to be an attractive place to live, visit and invest:  It will seek to maximise the benefits from the investment in East – West Rail at Oxford Parkway Station and land surrounding.  It will seek to maximise opportunities arising from the Growth Commission for Oxford and the Oxford – Cambridge Growth Corridor in the Kidlington area"  Add to the text of Policy KID1 as below:  "Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy Our over-arching priority for this area is to secure the aligned delivery of housing and employment together with the infrastructure required to achieve sustainable development and to maximise the opportunities arising from the Oxford – Cambridge Growth Corridor and investment in East-West Rail"		
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N		Policy fails to reflect Oxford to Cambridge Growth Corridor. Policy should respond to economic drivers, especially around Oxford Parkway.			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Y		Support this for the provision of development on unallocated sites			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Policy LEC 5: Community	Y		Supports as an effective way to gain more local social value from development			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Employment Plans Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Y		Support. Note there is no difference between the type of development in main towns vs the Local Service Centres.			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Summary			Overarching themes are sound			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes Land at Water Eaton for housing and employment			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes Land at Caversfield			
LPR-D-223	Neville Surtees	Savills	Christ Church	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			Consider that the Council should have prepared the Plan under the 2024 NPPF. Whilst the housing number is only just above 80% of LHN, this includes Oxford's unmet need. Consider this approach at odds with government objectives.	Prepare new plan under Jan 2025 NPPF		
LPR-D-224	Daniel Gresswell- Nunn	Nexus Planning	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes Land North of A4095, Bicester for residential development of c.100 homes and attaches site allocation plan			
LPR-D-224	Daniel Gresswell- Nunn	Nexus Planning	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Housing Requirement			Notwithstanding the transitional arrangements, there remains a considerable housing need, which the Council should meet so far as practicable.			
LPR-D-224	Daniel Gresswell- Nunn	Nexus Planning	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Highlights case for exploring higher growth scenarios to support economic growth and housing to support this	Amend to include and account for an allocation for residential development at promoted Site, to assist in meeting the District's significant housing needs		
LPR-D-224	Daniel Gresswell- Nunn	Nexus Planning	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Council should seek to allocate additional sites to meet a greater proportion of the District's substantial identified housing needs, specifically affordable housing and given the potential to support significant economic growth, due to the Ox-Cam Arc	COM1 should be amended to represent a more ambitious approach to meeting the District's substantial housing needs, particularly in the context of the new standard method figure		
LPR-D-224	Daniel Gresswell- Nunn	Nexus Planning	Catesby Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			CDC faces a significant need for affordable housing specifically over the plan period, referring to the ASHNA, Viability assessment and SA for evidence			
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Y	I Y	Draft Policy BIC1 seeks to retain a number of existing site allocations, including Policy Bicester 12: SE Bicester, which is strongly supported		Υ	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Y	I Y	Consider how explicit wording can be incorporated into the emerging Local Plan to identify that policies such as this would not be applicable to sites where planning permission has already been secured, to ensure clarity regarding sources of future funding for transport projects	Amend wording of policy for clarity	Υ	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Ricester Area	Y	I Y	Consider how explicit wording can be incorporated into the emerging Local Plan to identify that policies such as this would not be applicable to sites where planning permission has already been secured, to ensure clarity regarding sources of future funding for G&BI projects	Amend wording of policy for clarity and future funding of green and blue infrastructure projects	Υ	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 18: Creating Healthy Communities	Y N	Υ	Considers the threshold too small and too onerous	Suggests that the threshold for 10 homes is removed and that Health Impact Assessments are only provided for new strategic sites, which do not already benefit from Full or Outline Planning Permission at the time of adoption	Y	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	YN	Y	A number of the saved allocations already benefit from planning permission, such as South East Bicester, and affordable housing mix and quantum is already established through the S106 Agreement. Any Reserved Matters applications submitted in the future may therefore, comply with the S106 Agreement but conflict with this draft Policy	Suggests the following be added: "Where a saved allocated site benefits from Full or Outline planning permission at the time of adoption of the Local Plan Review, the development should be built in accordance with the affordable housing provision secured through the S106 Agreement"	Υ	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Y N	Υ	Queries whether the policy provides certainty for what infrastructure is provided alongside development proposals	Requires greater clarity in how off-site infrastructure requirements will come forward alongside developments	Y	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 21: Meeting Education Needs	Y N	Υ	CDC should state that developments would not be expected to meet any additional or alternate expectations as set out within Policy COM21, at the time that a Reserved Matters application is subsequently made for the school development	Suggests addition to wording to exclude allocated sites already benefitting from planning permission from these requirements, see rep for full suggested phrasing	Υ	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	YN		CDC should state that developments would not be expected to meet any additional or alternate expectations as set out within Policy COM24, at the time that a Reserved Matters application is subsequently made	Suggests the following new wording to be added: "Where a saved allocated site benefits from Full or Outline planning permission at the time of adoption of the Local Plan Review, the development should be built in accordance with the infrastructure secured through the S106 Agreement"	Y	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing	YN	Y	Fully supports the policy focus in regard to extra care units within the overall housing mix, however saved allocated sites which already benefit from planning permission should not be required to provide Specialist Housing in addition to what they have permission for	Suggests the following be added: "Where a saved allocated site benefits from Full or Outline planning permission at the time of adoption of the Local Plan Review, the development should be built in accordance with the specialist housing provision secured through the S106 Agreement"	Y	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	YN	Y	Does not agree that all larger developments should be required to provide a proportion of new homes as self-build plots as this can cause problems during construction phase. Also notes self/custombuild homes are not recognised in the NPPF as a form of affordable housing	Recommends that flexibility is built into the policy to take account of site-by-site circumstances including elements such as viability. The policy wording should be altered to removed saved allocated sites which benefit from planning permission as there is no way to legally secure the self/custom build plots now	Y	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Y	N	 	Supports overall intention, but it is important that any such emerging planning policies recognises that such requirements would not apply to sites where planning permission has already been secured, in order to ensure full clarity when dealing with subsequent applications (e.g. Reserved Matters or Discharge of Conditions). Also supports the inclusion of references to viability and feasibility within the draft policy wording	Suggests amending wording, to make exceptions for allocated sites already benefitting from planning permission. See rep for full changes proposed	(	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Y	N		Concerns over new dwellings to provide integrated bird and bat provision at minimum of one per new dwelling. Supports the concept of community ownership of green spaces and habitat, but notes it cannot be required through policy	Suggests that the policy requires integrated bird and bat provision at a level appropriate for the development; suggests community ownership requirement to be removed	(	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan		Υ	N		Agrees that new developments should deliver a minimum 10% BNG, but 20% is likely to be challenging	Securing a minimum of 10% BNG from applicable planning applications is the most appropriate response and would not prevent developments from securing higher levels of BNG, and suggests deletion of clause requiring 20% BNG - see rep for full changes	(	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy CSD 18: Light Pollution	Y	N ,		Flexibility required to ensure the most appropriate lighting for the proposed uses can be brought forward, ensuring the development of safe communities	Flexibility of policy	(	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Υ	N	ŀ	Inclusion of policy is important, but notes that some targets may be difficult to achieve, and added building costs may affect viability, so the policy must be applied appropriately	Policy should make clear that where Outline consent has been granted on saved allocated sites, future Reserved Matters applications should adhere to the strategy set out in the Outline planning permission rather than meeting any new requirements. See rep for suggested phrasing.	<b>Y</b>	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity	Y	N		The requirement for strategic developments to provide car and electric vehicle community sharing clubs and mobility hubs in accessible locations is onerous and may have an implication on viability	The wording of the policy should be altered to include 'where possible'	<b>(</b>	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan		Υ	N		Inclusion of policy is important, but it is important that the policy is applied appropriately	Policy should make clear that where Outline consent has been granted on saved allocated sites, future Reserved Matters applications should adhere to the strategy set out in the Outline planning permission rather than meeting any new requirements. See rep for suggested	<b>Y</b>	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	Υ	N ,	i	The ability to undertake an accurate whole life carbon assessment is impinged by the lack of data across building materials as to their embodied carbon, and developers are unlikely to know all materials to be used as part of a construction project at the point of submission of an application	Reports are likely to be inaccurate and therefore suggests this policy is removed	ſ	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates	Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	Y	N		Supports policy; this requirement goes beyond the standards set out in Building Regulations, but the PPG allows for such a policy		Y	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates		Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Υ	N	Υ	In full support		Υ	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-225	Mandy Owen	Boyer	Harrow Estates		Policy LEC 2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	Υ	N		It is important that saved allocations which already benefit from planning permission can deliver their approved uses, rather than being bound by this draft Policy, and there should be more flexibility	Policy needs to provide flexibility to allow future Reserved Matters applications to comply with the Outline consent, even if in conflict with new policy, and suggests amending wording to provide this flexibility- see rep for changes in full	Y	In order to discuss the content of the representations submitted
LPR-D-226	Pritesh Shah	David Wilson South Midlands		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.229 to 3.230	Z	Z		Concerns that requirements for 10% of developments with above 100 dwellings to meet M4(3) standards. This does not meet the tests of soundness set out in paragraph 36 of the revised NPPF as it is not fully evidenced or justified	Proposes wording changes so this requirement would only apply in towns and rural service centres if site-specific constraints allow. See rep for full suggested changes	Υ	To explore the implications for M4(3) on the deliverability of land and housing design and development across the district
LPR-D-226	Pritesh Shah	David Wilson South Midlands			Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N	N		The approach is flawed as it draws together Cherwell's local needs and Oxford's unmet needs to meet the minimum requirements, going against High Court judgement, national policy, and would undermine the DtC	The Plan should seek to meet the full needs of the district (80% threshold at least) before considering the unmet needs of Oxford City, should be examined under the NPPF 2024, and should be subject to a further round of consultation	Y	To explore the potential issues with the approach being taken by the LPA where it has sought to undermine and avoid the need to meet its housing needs
LPR-D-226	Pritesh Shah	David Wilson South Midlands		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N	N		Scrutinises methodology and conclusions. Many areas in policy COM 13 already benefit from landscape protections; the policy fails to adequately demonstrate why additional restrictions are absolutely necessary beyond these protections; does not align with national policy, in not considering exemptions as Green Belt policy does; and is likely to restrict and prohibit growth in sustainable locations	Policy should be deleted or greater flexibility introduced, and should clearly define acceptable types of development within strategic gaps	Y	to explore the impact of this proposal on distribution of development
LPR-D-226		David Wilson South Midlands			Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	N	N		Supports the principle, but expresses concerns over the 20% BNG requirement for developments as it may compromise the ability to deliver sustainable and balanced communities, and flags a lack of supporting evidence and detailed viability testing. It also appears overly restrictive re the location of offsite mitigation	Proposes that the policy does not require more than the statutory 10% BNG, and clarifies offsite mitigation requirements- see rep for full wording suggested	Y	To articulate the viability considerations on sites and the cumulative impact of other policies on residential sites
LPR-D-226	Pritesh Shah	David Wilson South Midlands			Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	N	N		Supportive of the objective, however policy requirements set out in draft policy CSD 2 are highly ambitious and present significant challenges in both viability and feasibility, going against a 2023 WMS. Also expresses concerns over how monitoring of energy performance can be realistically achieved for large scale developments	Policy must be supported by robust evidence and viability testing to fully assess the cost implications for all development proposals. Policy should also be amended to allow for greater flexibility to ensure proportionate and practical implementation	Y	As a housebuilder with experience in this area, could be beneficial to ensure that any policy adopted by the council is holistic that encompasses all aspects of a building's fabric to reduce carbon

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-226	Pritesh Shah	David Wilson South Midlands		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy		N	Concerns that the approach is overly restrictive and fails to properly acknowledge the historic challenges to delivery, and fails to align with national policy and risks undermining the plan's effectiveness and suppressing housing supply.  Support the recognition that Category A villages provide essential local services and facilities	There is a need for greater flexibility in permitting development at village-edge sites in Category A villages	Y	To ensure that the Plan and its assumptions are robust
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons		Landscape Sensitivity	Evidence based documents			Having acknowledged the issue of separation between Banbury and Twyford the report does not, however, seek to prevent development			
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Omission Site	N		in this location Promotes Land east of Bankside Phase 2 for 260 dwellings, and attaches supporting documents including Location Plan, Illustrative Masterplan, and Technical Note			
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	Duty to Cooperate		N		Notes that Statements of Common Ground have not yet been published, particularly important for Oxford City Council to evidence distribution of 4400 homes over the plan period and the withdrawal of support from the HENA			
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Plan Period	N		Disagrees with plan period starting at 2020, highlighting concerns raised in other examinations	The Plan Period should start in 2024 the year in which the assessment was calculated		
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N	N	Without Oxford City's unmet needs it does not meet housing needs, and it is not clear in transitional arrangements whether policies should apply if a significant proportion of housing is to meet another area. Justification for the departure from the Oxfordshire HENA is also required; Oxford unmet need should be stepped with a higher requirement up to 2031	Council should seek to identify additional land to meet development needs in full as well as continuing to work with Oxford City to address unmet needs; Cherwell should apply the Oxfordshire HENA housing need, rather than simply the standard method for	Y	Because the issues raised are complex and merit participation at the Examination
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations			Designations provide an unwarranted level of additional constraint, for example around Banbury	AAUCIA		
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N		Considers the policy to be unsound, unjustified and not positively prepared. The site promoted lies within the Adderbury and Twyford gap, however the site will not impact on settlement distinction and refers to Landscape Review Technical Note for evidence of this (see	The plan on page 352 should be amended to remove the subject site (Banbury - Adderbury and Twyford)	Υ	Because the issues raised are complex and merit participation at the Examination
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	N		Self-build and custom build should only be required if there is clear evidence of market Need, otherwise it risks a significant number of dwellings not being constructed	Policy should be deleted unless evidence of need can be provided	Y	Because the issues raised are complex and merit participation at the Examination
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	N		Considers the 20% requirement to be unjustified and evidence represented by the council does not meet the high bar necessary to support a 20% biodiversity requirement	Policy should be amended, and considers the 10% statutory requirement to ensure that the impact of new development on biodiversity is addressed as well as delivering improvements for the District as a whole is sufficient. Suggested amendments to wording in rep	Υ	Because the issues raised are complex and merit participation at the Examination

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land		Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	N	N	The policy is unsound and inconsistent with national policy and the 2023 WMS particularly in terms of viability and deliverability, and there is already a national approach to reducing carbon emissions so this is not necessary	Policy should be deleted	Υ	Because the issues raised are complex and merit participation at the Examination
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	N	N	The policy is unsound as it is inconsistent with national policy. The Planning and Energy Act 2008 does not state that LPAs can set specific standards with regard to the embodied carbon in new buildings, nor is it included as one of the optional technical standards set out in PPG. Also expresses concerns that the requirement to undertake an accurate whole life carbon assessment is compromised	Policy should be deleted, and considers it best that such matters addressed at a national level	Υ	Because the issues raised are complex and merit participation at the Examination
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele Louise Steele	Framptons Framptons	Hallam Land Hallam Land		Transitional arrangements Transitional	N	N	An early review policy should be inserted by the Inspector to commence plan-making in the new plan-making system at the earliest opportunity  Objects to calculations and Plan cannot be examined under 2023 NPPF	7 7 7		
LPK-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallalli Lallu	Local Plan	arrangements			Objects to calculations and Plan Cannot be examined under 2023 NPPF			
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	HELAA				Disagrees with conclusions for site HELAA 511, noting that any development in Banbury is likely to be on a greenfield site outside the built-up limits, the Public Right of Way causes no issues, the site is not a significant loss of agriculture land, and there would be no coalescence with Adderbury			
LPR-D-227	Louise Steele	Framptons	Hallam Land	Green Gaps Study				Finds contradictions in the study and Cherwell Landscape Character Assessment for some "high quality landscapes", and relevant evidence does not seem to have been taken into consideration for this study			
LPR-D-228	Alexander Bessent			Local Plan	Others- General	Y N	N Y	The current Plan does not align fully with the NPPF particularly concerning sustainable development and infrastructure-led growth	Amend policy provisions to ensure full alignment with the latest NPPF requirements, particularly NPPF Paragraphs 149-151. Provide measurable goals to assess whether green spaces and infrastructure meet national policy standards during each phase of the development	N	
LPR-D-228	Alexander Bessent			Local Plan	Others- General	Y	N Y	The plan does not clearly outline when critical infrastructure will be delivered in relation to housing development, and expresses concerns over pressure on current infrastructure	The plan should include an enforceable policy requiring that critical infrastructure is completed before a specific percentage (e.g., 25%) of new homes are occupied or developed. This aligns with the Effective and Positively Prepared	N	
LPR-D-228	Alexander Bessent				Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	Y	N Y	While the plan acknowledges the need for sustainable development, it fails to ensure sufficient green infrastructure provisions north of Bicester. Given CDC's commitment to protecting biodiversity and enhancing landscape character, the proposals are insufficient to meet the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) framework objectives	Requests that the policy be amended to include clear benchmarks for green infrastructure implementation before housing	N	
LPR-D-229	Robert Barnes	Planning Prospects Ltd	Richborough	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes land west of Fringford Road and north of Aunt Ems Lane, Caversfield. Submits additional documents, including a proposed strategic gaps review which relates to COM 13 and its associated evidence base used			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-229	Robert Barnes	Planning Prospects Ltd	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Y	N Y	m	Objects to CDC planning for an outcome some 18.5% below the ninimum local housing need and the inclusion of Oxford's unmet need	Should plan for at least the minimum local housing needs identified under the standard method. It should also allow for addressing unmet need from Oxford, with an economic uplift to support renewed initiatives to boost the Oxford to Cambridge corridor, and with a further appropriate degree of flexibility. Promotes land west of Fringford Road and north of Aunt Ems Lane, Caversfield	Y	For this position to be fully understood it may require further explanation orally at the Examination, also providing the opportunity to answer questions the Inspector might have
LPR-D-229	Robert Barnes	Planning Prospects Ltd	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Y	N Y	ca cr al ac se la ig de		Reference to "preservation" of all landscapes should be removed and replaced with wording consistent with the NPPF i.e. "recognise the intrinsic character".  Criteria ii, iii, v and vi of the policy should each be amended to include a proviso of unacceptability so, respectively, "unacceptably inconsistent", "unacceptable disturbances", "Harm unacceptably", and "Reduce unacceptably"	Y	For this position to be fully understood it may require further explanation orally at the Examination, also providing the opportunity to answer questions the Inspector might have
LPR-D-229	Robert Barnes	Planning Prospects Ltd	Richborough		Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Y	N Y	b fo to su	peing promoted falls within the gaps. Raise doubts in terms of the	If the policy is retained criteria i, ii and iii of the policy should each be amended to include a provision of unacceptability so, respectively, "unacceptably diminished", "unacceptably compromise", and "an unacceptable loss". Criterion iv should be deleted. Consistency required with use of "strategic gaps" and "settlement gaps". If changes cannot be made, policy should be deleted	Y	For this position to be fully understood it may require further explanation orally at the Examination, also providing the opportunity to answer questions the Inspector might have
LPR-D-229	Robert Barnes	Planning Prospects Ltd	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment	Y	N Y	ta ha na or Fu	The final paragraph introduces a new test where development rausing harm will not be approved, "unless there are substantive angible public benefits that clearly and convincingly outweigh the narm". It goes on to observe that the balancing principles in national policy and guidance will be applied, but the quoted text is at odds with that national position. It is confusing and contradictory. Further, reference to "substantive tangible public benefits" and the equirement for those "clearly and convincingly" to outweigh harm are inappropriate	The final paragraph of the policy should be amended to read, "Proposals which would harm the significance of a designated or undesignated asset will be assessed using the balancing principles set out in national policy and guidance."	Y	For this position to be fully understood it may require further explanation orally at the Examination, also providing the opportunity to answer questions the Inspector might have

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-229	Robert Barnes	Planning Prospects Ltd	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas	YN	Y	The draft policy is expressed in absolute terms with its requirement that proposals must conserve or enhance relevant conservation areas. This does not allow for the balancing principles set out in national policy which allow the degree of any harm to be weighed against benefit	The opening text of the policy should be amended to read, "Proposals for development in a Conservation Area or affecting the setting of a Conservation Area should seek to conserve or enhance its special interest, character, appearance and setting, having regard to the balancing principles set out in national policy and guidance."	Y	For this position to be fully understood it may require further explanation orally at the Examination, also providing the opportunity to answer questions the Inspector might have
LPR-D-230	William Gasson	Brown & Co	Mr Mike Collins	Local Plan	Housing Requirement	Y N	N	Believes that CDC only complies with the Para 234 requirements by including Oxford's unmet need, and without this, the proposed plan can only demonstrate a supply of 63.5% of local housing need	The proposed Local Plan needs to consider and allocate additional residential sites to meet the Local Housing Need for the District, proposing Site directly off A41 to the south east of Bicester	N	N/A
LPR-D-230	William Gasson	Brown & Co	Mr Mike Collins	Local Plan	Omission Site			Proposes Site directly off A41 to the south east of Bicester for c.70 dwellings or a commercial led development scheme, and submits a site allocation plan		N	N/A
LPR-D-231	William Gasson	Brown & Co	Mr David Orchard	Local Plan	Housing Requirement	Y N	N	Believes that CDC only complies with the Para 234 requirements by including Oxford's unmet need, and without this, the proposed plan can only demonstrate a supply of 63.5% of local housing need	The proposed Local Plan needs to consider and allocate additional residential sites to meet the Local Housing Need for the District, proposing a site in Arncott	N	N/A
LPR-D-231	William	Brown & Co	Mr David Orchard	Local Plan	Omission Site			Proposes site in Arncott for c.300 dwellings, and submits a site allocation plan	SILE III ATTICOLL	N	N/A
LPR-D-232	Gasson William Gasson	Brown & Co	Mr Colin and Gordon White	Local Plan	Housing Requirement	Y N	N	Believes that CDC only complies with the Para 234 requirements by including Oxford's unmet need, and without this, the proposed plan can only demonstrate a supply of 63.5% of local housing need	The proposed Local Plan needs to consider and allocate additional residential sites to meet the Local Housing Need for the District, proposing two sites be considered in Blackthorn	N	N/A
LPR-D-232	William Gasson	Brown & Co	Mr Colin and Gordon White	Local Plan	Omission Site			Proposes two sites in Blackthorn, for 100 and 40 dwellings respectively, and submits a site allocation plan		N	N/A
LPR-D-233	Sarah Haywood	Advanced Oxford		Local Plan	Housing numbers	N N		The draft Local Plan should also consider the issue of the current shortfall in delivery that the Local Plan Review needs to respond to, given the land supply for Cherwell has fallen to 2.3 years		N	
LPR-D-233	Sarah	Advanced Oxford		Local Plan	Plan Period	N N		Reviews should be completed no later than 5 years from the adoption date of a plan. The start date should be 2024		N	
LPR-D-233	Haywood Sarah Haywood	Advanced Oxford		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N		Question on the deliverability of NW Bicester. Considers that there are insufficient deliverable sites to achieve 5 YHLS		N	
LPR-D-233	Sarah Haywood	Advanced Oxford		Local Plan		N N		Considers there are too many saved policies without explanation.	Needs to be deleted	N	
LPR-D-233	Sarah Haywood	Advanced Oxford		Local Plan		N N		There is a need for the draft Cherwell Local Plan 2042 to have a policy to secure an early review with consequences for the Local Planning Authority if not.		N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-233	Sarah Haywood	Advanced Oxford		Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N	N		Question on the consideration of economy in the draft LP. Including no consideration of ministerial statement, OxLEP Strategy, Strategic Economic Plan. Further info in the reps		N	
LPR-D-233	Sarah Haywood	Advanced Oxford		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N I	N		Questions whether future growth needs for new housing and additional economic growth have been fully considered for the next 15 years. There is a need for proper Green Belt review. no mention of the Oxford to Cambridge Growth Corridor		N	
LPR-D-233	Sarah Haywood	Advanced Oxford		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N I	N		Comments on the late publication of key evidence. Notes that the SA is incomplete as the economic evidence was completed after Executive		N	
LPR-D-233	Sarah Haywood	Advanced Oxford		Transport Evidence		N I	N		Considers the evidence based documents to be incomplete due to incomplete transport model		N	
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution				Notes concerns regarding the reliance on urban sites to deliver much of the housing requirement	Suggests a less restrictive and more flexible approach is taken on rural housing		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations			ŀ	Notes that the currently proposed designation covers much of the district and would ask that the justification for this is explored thoroughly through the Examination process			
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			•	It is unclear what status these settlement gaps will have and they cover extensive areas of land around the main settlements, which could inhibit development occurring in the most sustainable locations in the district	Policy should be deleted but if retained the status of the gaps should be clear and it should be explicit that development can come forward in these areas, subject to appropriate impact assessment		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places				This policy adds little to the NPPFs policies on good design	Policy should be removed from the Local Plan		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing				The application of this policy should relate to 'net' increase in dwellings (rather than gross) to encourage the redevelopment of existing sites. The change in threshold to '10 or more dwellings' alongside the requirement to provide 'social rent' housing, should be required by viability work			
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 23: Local Services and Community Facilities					The second part of the policy which sets out the criteria for assessing the loss of facilities should be amended to have 'or' in between the two criteria rather than 'and' as it would be virtually impossible to meet both criteria		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment				Largely appears to replicate national policy and adds little further to this so could be removed from the plan	The Council could provide a single register where non-designated heritage assets are identified to assist applicants in understanding what assets the Council deem qualify for this status		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas				Largely appears to replicate national policy and adds little further to this so could be removed from the plan	The Council could provide a single register where non-designated heritage assets are identified to assist applicants in understanding what assets the Council deem qualify for this status		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 28: Listed Buildings			Largely appears to replicate national policy and adds little further to this so could be removed from the plan	The Council could provide a single register where non-designated heritage assets are identified to assist applicants in understanding what assets the Council deem qualify for this status		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields			Largely appears to replicate national policy and adds little further to this so could be removed from the plan	The Council could provide a single register where non-designated heritage assets are identified to assist applicants in understanding what assets the Council deem qualify for this status		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards			Policy should be re-worded to make clear that the internal and external space standards will not be applied to the conversions of existing buildings, and it needs the caveat of 'unless special circumstances pertain' or similar wording	Rewording of policy		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing			The policy should be amended to allow for new self-build plots to be developed on the edge of the built-up limits of Category A and B settlements, and there should be greater choice in location			
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy COM 7: Sub- Division of Dwellings and Homes in Multiple			Policy should state planning permission will be granted where there is no demonstrable harm to the amenities or privacy of neighbours, and should also allow for reasonable alterations and extensions to allow such changes of use to be effected	policy should be reworded in a positive manner in accordance with the NPPF		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain			The policy requires all development, even where it is exempt from mandatory BNG, to be supported by a BNG Assessment and Ecological Enhancement Scheme, adding unnecessary costs and burden on small developers. The 20% requirement risks viability issues and there is a lack of clarity on this provision			
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy CSD 14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services			Policy appears to add a further information requirement which would add little to the process beyond the extensive ecological and other related information such as tree surveys already submitted with applications			
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality			It is unclear how requirements will be demonstrated, or indeed assessed by the LPA when an application is made	A more proportionate approach should be taken (such as a certain threshold of development impacting on a particular area identified with poor air quality) and provided for within the policy		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy CSD 17: Pollution and Noise			Policy does not seem to properly incorporate the agent of change principle or guidance			
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential			Policy should only relate to major development, and should exclude certain forms of building such as agricultural/equine buildings. The energy performance and efficiency of buildings is already controlled through the Building Regulations system. The policy would add significant extra costs and burden on small developers and self-builders			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi client Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density			Finds second line of policy unnecessary and could be read to introduce a sequential test to the use of green field sites which is not in accordance with the NPPF	The second line which reads 'Development should not utilise undeveloped land unnecessarily' should be removed		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non- residential			Policy should only relate to major development, and should exclude certain forms of building such as agricultural/equine buildings. The energy performance and efficiency of buildings is already controlled through the Building Regulations system. The policy would add significant extra costs and burden on small developers and self-builders			
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon			The policy would add significant extra costs and burden on small developers and self-builders			
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy LEC 11: Primary Shopping Areas			The policy as worded offers insufficient flexibility to allow the High Streets to thrive and adapt. The requirement to meet all three criteria within the policy (with the use of the word 'and') to support change of use away from Class E is overly restrictive; the third requirement is difficult to demonstrate in many instances and is overly restrictive; and Local neighbourhood is not defined in the Glossary and therefore difficult to interpret	The policy should be amended to support other uses so long as they preserve the vitality and vibrancy of the High Street and its function, and clarity is required		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy LEC 2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites			Finds the glossary terms misleading particularly for Class E uses, and the policy uses the term employment site but the glossary defines only employment land	Policy should include wording that actively encourages the redevelopment and intensification of employment, and glossary needs to be clear		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites			The policy does not make clear whether it is only addressing cases of new buildings, or where new jobs are created. It is unclear whether redevelopment for different employment uses is covered	Policy requires clarity		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy LEC 6: Supporting a Thriving and Resilient Farming Sector			Due to the poor wording and grammar, it is unclear whether the meaning is that the 20% gain applies only to the stewardship element. Also unclear why development proposals will be required to demonstrate how they will measurably support a significant reduction in net carbon emission relative to the likely emissions from existing buildings and operations, this approach appears inflexible	Correction of grammar and wording		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy LEC 7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land			The requirement for the applicant to pay for independent verification of the report on behalf of the Council is unmerited and should be covered by the application fees if inhouse expertise does not exist.  Also queries why the policy does not seem to protect BMV land from BNG proposals	A certain size threshold should be placed into this policy to ensure it is applied proportionately		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy LEC 8: Rural Diversification			The policy title refers to rural diversification but changes tack halfway through to refer to agricultural undertakings. Not all rural businesses are agricultural. It is unclear if all criteria have to be met to achieve compliance	In criteria i. reference is made to the viability of the holding. Not all rural businesses are holdings and so that should be replaced with "undertaking". Similarly in ii. "agricultural" is unnecessary. It could for instance be an equestrian undertaking, or ii. Should start with 'where applicable'		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC		Local Plan	Policy LEC 9: Tourism			Reusing existing buildings will often not be the most appropriate manner in which to pursue sustainable development. The policy should also recognise that small scale rural proposals for tourist development do not need to follow the town centre first approach as noted in the NPPF. Also, bullets of LEC9 are unclear as to whether all need to be met or only some, given that bullet two is not applicable to all proposals in rural areas			
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC			Policy RUR 3: New Dwellings in the Countryside			The current wording of "Dwellings beyond the built up limits of settlements" is too restrictive and conflicts with other policies in the Local Plan	The second paragraph of this policy should be reworded to state: "Isolated dwellings which are not essential for rural workers" to align with		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC			Policy RUR 4: Conversion of a Rural Building to a Dwelling			Objects to the requirement to consider employment re-use of an existing building first as it is out of line with the NPPF, and the Policy is also out of line with the Government's permitted development rights supporting the conversion of buildings to new dwellings	Requirement should be removed		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC			Policy RUR 6: Replacement Dwellings in the Countryside			The requirement for a replacement dwelling to be of a similar scale and within the same curtilage as the one it replaces is unduly inflexible and will often not allow the best environmental or other planning objectives to be achieved, and should rather be assessed on a case-by-case basis	The requirements for an existing dwelling to be statutorily unfit or substandard are not justified and should be removed. If required the policy should just support replacement dwellings in the open countryside subject to compliance with other plan policies.		
LPR-D-234	James Kirkham	JPPC			Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			The types of development supported should be extended to allow for the redevelopment of previously developed land outside the built-up limits of all settlements where the sites are well related and connected to the existing settlement, or would provide notable benefits. Questions the need to limit the size of sites at Category B villages to 1 hectare, as this may restrict Neighbourhood Plans allocating the best sites for residential development and lead to unnecessary BNG offsetting			
LPR-D-235	Peter Michael Barlow	Easington Sports FC			Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)			Objects as there to be no provision for sports pitches under this policy. Object due to provision of ESFC relocation		Υ	Considers the current layout of sports facilities to be ineffective
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes Land East of South Newington Road, Bloxham, for up to 130 dwellings. Encloses promotional material including a vision document and master plan			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes		Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Υ	N	!	Plan should not advance to examination against 2023 NPPF and housing requirement and supply position should be reconsidered; it should also reconsider economic growth. Responds to proposed sites, see rep for further details	Suggests site allocations and housing numbers should be increased, comments on current allocations and suggests removal of some, and promotes Land East of South Newington Road, Bloxham. As significant and fundamental modifications should not be proposed by Inspectors, instead recommends the withdrawal of Local Plan and revisiting against the 2024 NPPF	Y	fundamental concerns as to the soundness of the CLP, relating to the housing requirement, the spatial strategy and the housing supply in the forthcoming plan period
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes		Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type	Υ	N		The policy should be clearer in confirming that housing mixes should respond not only to the most up-to-date assessment of local housing needs, but also the characteristics of the site and locality, evidence of demand, viability and feasibility. There should also be more flexibility in considering viability and market demand	The policy should be clearer in confirming that housing mixes should respond not only to the most up-to-date assessment of local housing needs, but also the characteristics of the site and locality, evidence of demand, viability and feasibility	Y	fundamental concerns as to the soundness of the CLP, relating to the housing requirement, the spatial strategy and the housing supply in the forthcoming plan period
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes		Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	Υ	N		The 5% requirement is not justified based on proportionate evidence as required by NPPF paragraph 35b. Notes SCB registers do not capture the actual demand for SCB plots, and also questions location to meet demand	Suggests to identify specific sites for the delivery of SCB housing or, as an alternative, sets out policy requirements in relation to speculative proposals for SCB housing	Y	fundamental concerns as to the soundness of the CLP, relating to the housing requirement, the spatial strategy and the housing supply in the forthcoming plan period
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes		Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Y	N		The policies should be clear in stating that compliance with the latest national standards for sustainable design and construction is acceptable, and the suggestion that developments should achieve NCZ is contrary to the NPPF and the 2023 WMS published	The policy should be revised to require new developments to "achieve an energy efficiency in line with the latest standards set by the Government, whether that be Building Regulations or the Future Homes Standard (including any transitional arrangements)."	Y	fundamental concerns as to the soundness of the CLP, relating to the housing requirement, the spatial strategy and the housing supply in the forthcoming plan period
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes		Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain			i	is very difficult to identify each of those zones, and therefore is unclear what level of BNG should be achieved	Given the requirement for developments within the Nature Recovery Network Core and Recovery Zones to achieve a 20% BNG, the Policies Maps should be much clearer in defining that; perhaps as a separate plan	Y	fundamental concerns as to the soundness of the CLP, relating to the housing requirement, the spatial strategy and the housing supply in the forthcoming plan period
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes		Policy CSD 16: Air Quality	Υ	N		"all development proposals should be designed to be air quality neutral." is not a national requirement and is a matter that should be considered on a national scale	Policy should be removed		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes		Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Y I	N		The policies should be clear in stating that compliance with the latest national standards for sustainable design and construction is acceptable, and the suggestion that developments should achieve NCZ is contrary to the NPPF and the 2023 WMS published	The policy should be revised to require new developments to "achieve an energy efficiency in line with the latest standards set by the Government, whether that be Building Regulations or the Future Homes Standard (including any transitional arrangements)."	Y	fundamental concerns as to the soundness of the CLP, relating to the housing requirement, the spatial strategy and the housing supply in the forthcoming plan period
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	Y	N		The requirement for major development proposals to be supported by a RICS Whole Life Carbon Assessment and achieve an up-front embodied carbon value of 675kgCO2e/m2 is not a national requirement, and therefore is not appropriate. Meeting such a standard could fundamentally undermine the viability and deliverability of much-needed residential development	Suggests the requirements should be deleted	Y	fundamental concerns as to the soundness of the CLP, relating to the housing requirement, the spatial strategy and the housing supply in the forthcoming plan period
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes	Local Plan	and Most Versatile	l Y	N		Policy LEC7 goes beyond the NPPF's provisions, setting out criteria where the loss of BMV land will be supported / approved, which	The policy should refer to national policy tests in relation to the loss of BMV land		
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes		Agricultural Land Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	l Y	N	N	could undermine other national policy tests the overall allocation figure for the rural areas should be increased significantly above the 565 dwelling figure; CDC should not seek to identify sites through the Neighbourhood Planning process; promotes Land East of South Newington Road, Bloxham	The spatial strategy is unsustainable in its approach to rural areas, making suggestions of changes for Bloxham. Suggests withdrawal of Plan and for CDC to revisit its plan against the 2024 version of the NPPF	Y	fundamental concerns as to the soundness of the CLP, relating to the housing requirement, the spatial strategy and the housing supply in the forthcoming plan period
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Y	N		The settlement hierarchy and methodology are appropriate, however there are incorrect points for Bloxham, for example, it incorrectly states there is not a secondary school. Bloxham should be higher up as it provides a range of services	Bloxham to be corrected and labelled the most sustainable of the villages, and more homes should be put forward here. Withdrawal of Plan and for CDC to revisit its plan against the 2024 version of the NPPF	Y	fundamental concerns as to the soundness of the CLP, relating to the housing requirement, the spatial strategy and the housing supply in the forthcoming plan period.
LPR-D-236	Sam Perkins	Define Planning and Design	William Davis Homes	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Y	N	•	The supply of housing in the more sustainable Category A villages should be significantly increased. The spatial strategy seeks only to accommodate an additional 565 homes in the Rural Areas, with just 75 dwellings proposed to be delivered in Bloxham. The proposed figure is an arbitrary figure with little justification in the evidence base, and does not reflect (i) the sustainable credentials of the larger rural villages (ii) the role that the more sustainable villages can play in delivering housing, (iii) the important function that they have in supporting the day-to-day needs of residents of nearby, less sustainable villages and (iv) the inevitable and significant housing needs of those villages	Withdrawal of Plan and for CDC to revisit its plan against the 2024 version of the NPPF	Y	fundamental concerns as to the soundness of the CLP, relating to the housing requirement, the spatial strategy and the housing supply in the forthcoming plan period

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Y I	N		Strategic objectives for the Bicester area are broadly supported. Unclear whether the allocations proposed to be retained are saved or whether the policies will be replicated in the 2042 Plan. Supports 7500 at North West Bicester but objects to the delivery rates.  Based on current delivery, permissions and pending approvals is likely that 7,500 will be delivered, with the increased size, the allocation is likely to deliver more.	Make clear whether the existing allocations are proposed to be saved or replicated in full in the 2042 Plan. Review delivery rates for North West Bicester, could deliver the allocated 7500 homes almost entirely within the plan period.	N	
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Y	N	Υ	Object to the omission of the Strategic Link Road (SLR)	Include the safeguarding of the SLR.	N	
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area	Y	N	Υ	Object to the omission of the Strategic Link Road (SLR)	Include the safeguarding of the SLR.		
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester	Y	N		Support of the site allocation in principle but object to current wording.  With the increased site area there is scope to deliver more than 7,500 homes.  Support a comprehensive approach to delivery but the requirement to submit masterplans and design codes with each application is inappropriate and unrealistic, many of the parcels are already significantly progressed.  Disagree with assumed delivery rates, a higher number of homes can be achieved by 2042.  There is no supporting text requiring comprehensive collaboration across the land parcels to deliver transport requirements and no mention of the SLR.  Request clarification regarding the 40% green infrastructure provision. Supports the community facilities and education requirements.  Seeks clarity on the Community Management organisation, mechanism to secure it and responsibilities for its establishment.	design codes in the draft policy. Include requirement for collaboration between land parcels to deliver transport infrastructure. Add reference to the SLR. Make clear that the 40% Green Infrastructure is a total requirement across the whole allocation. Add clarity on the establishment and responsibilities to set up a Community	N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Y N	N )	 	Plan should be based on 1118 dpa with additional dwellings for Oxford Unmet Need. Unclear which sites/permission contribute to figures in Appendix 2. Support increased homes at North West Bicester but considers there is no reason why the allocation could not be delivered largely within the Plan period given existing permissions and outline consents.	North West Bicester could deliver the allocated 7500 homes almost entirely within the plan period and contribute to meeting 80% of the local housing need to progress under previous NPPF.	N	
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	YN	N N	1	30% Affordable housing supported in principle. Suggests mprovements to the wording and terms of the policy to allow flexibility taking into consideration circumstances where It may be necessary and appropriate to reduce the level of affordable housing delivery	Policy wording should allow for flexibility to take into account circumstances where it may be appropriate to reduce the level of affordable housing provision.  There should be no expectation that the 30% requirement is achieved without grant.	N	
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	YN	١		Self-build should not be prioritised above efficient delivery of nousing. Suggests improvements		N	
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Y N	N N	i	Support for CSD1 but notes that net zero by 2030 will be challenging and adopting government targets may be more realistic. Climate policies are overly technical for a public document and should be simplified to allow for public understanding.	Simplify overly technical Climate policies.	N	
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Y N	١		Objects to 20% requirement for strategic allocations. This would result in viability issues and risk delivery of new homes and delivery of net zero targets.  Welcomes flexibility to provide BNG off site.	Requirement should remain at 10%	N	
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	YY	′ \	Y -	The policy wording should include clarification as to how larger sites are expected to achieve the minimum densities when the overall allocation is developed by a number of developers, brought forward through separate planning applications	Clarification of the text		
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Policy LEC 10 Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses	Y	′ \		Supports the aspiration to see new local centres within allocated strategic sites.		N	
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Policy LEC 5: Community Employment Plans	YN	N Y	Y	Objects to current wording.	Seeks clarification as to how this policy would apply where sites are being brought forward by a number of developers.	N	
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Summary	YN	N Y	ŀ	Unclear what contributions and existing supply is assumed to be provided from North West Bicester. The likely supply is likely to exceed the supply quoted in Page 9 'Proposed Supply' table.		N	
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	A2 Dominion Development s Ltd		Vision	YY	′ \	Υ	Pleased to see the extension of the Plan period to 2042.		N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-237	Poppy O'Flanagan	Stantec	Development s Ltd	CDC Statement following publication of NPPF		Υ	N	The Plan does not meet the 80% local housing need requirement and would not benefit from transitional arrangements. Plan seeks to address Oxford's unmet needs equivalent to 200 dpa. Excluding Oxford's needs, the Plan would target just 711 dpa, equivalent to 63.5% of the standard method requirement. However, North West Bicester could deliver the allocated 7500 homes almost entirely within the plan period and contribute to meeting 80% of the local housing need to progress under previous NPPF.	North West Bicester could deliver the allocated 7500 homes almost entirely within the plan period and contribute to meeting 80% of the local housing need to progress under previous NPPF.	N	
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)		N	Object to the proposed site allocation. Policy considered to be unsound and not justified, alternative locations for development within Cherwell which would have significantly less impact on the natural environment. Concerned re proximity to the Northern Valleys Conservation Target Area (CTA), would bring major urban development closer to Sor Brook & above the valley slope down to it. High risk of hydrological impact on Sor Brook. Integrity of the Norther Valleys CTA and Sor Brook & their habitats & species must not be negatively impacted. The site is adjacent to a CTA and the existing and draft CTA (Policy CSD13) applies on this site allocation.	Site to not be taken forward. If the site is taken forward it is an essential requirement to include text relating to the Northern Valleys CTA and Sor Brook to ensure there is no negative impact on them in relation to hydrological, air quality, recreational, isolation of sites and light pollution.  As the site is adjacent to a CTA, then the existing and draft CTA policy - Policy CSD13 applies to this site allocation. The BAN H2 policy text needs amending to make it clear that the site is adjacent to a STA and to prevent any adverse impact on the CTA or otherwise any application would not be permitted and that significant measures must be provided to ensure "biodiversity enhancement of the target habitats and species of the Conservation Target Area."	Y	As consider parts of the Local Plan to be unsound.
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40		N	Considered to be unsound, not justified, not effective. Accept the need for some level of commercial development in some of these areas, consider the overall level of loss of greenfield sites to commercial development to the S and E of Bicester to be excessive and not justified Need greater clarity in the requirements for wildlife habitat provision including LNR including size.	The policy needs to make a specific ask in each of those policies for the creation of a wildlife-rich LNR and to specify the size. This was the approach taken in the Cherwell Local Plan — Partial Review Sites where for many of those sites a specific ask for nature reserves of a given size was made e.g. pg. 130 Policy PR8 part 8 and pg. 141 Policy PR9 part 5. the area of LNR is specified. With the total area of the two sites being about 60 ha then we consider that a minimum LNR area of 20 ha is required. This could be delivered as one large LNR of 20 ha shared between the sites, or as an individual LNR for each site, of about 15 ha for BIC E1 and about 5 ha for BIC E2. This should be specified in the policy wording in the same way as in the Partial Review site policy extracts.	Y	Some areas of the Local Plan are considered to be unsound

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	1	N	Considered to be unsound and not justified. Accept the need for some level of commercial development in some of these areas, consider the overall level of loss of green field sites to commercial development to the S and E of Bicester to be excessive and not justified, especially bearing in mind the level of commercial development that has already taken place in the area in recent years. There are alternative approaches that could be taken by including greater clarity in the requirements for wildlife habitat provision. We also do not consider them to be Effective, Clarity required on the size of the Local Nature Reserve.	The policy needs to make a specific ask in each of those policies for the creation of a wildlife-rich LNR and to specify the size. This was the approach taken in the Cherwell Local Plan — Partial Review Sites where for many of those sites a specific ask for nature reserves of a given size was made e.g. pg. 130 Policy PR8 part 8, pg. 141 Policy PR9 part5, the area of LNR is specified. With the total area of the two sites being about 60 ha then we consider that a minimum LNR area of 20 ha is required. This could be delivered as one large LNR of 20 ha shared between the sites, or as an individual LNR for each site, of about 15 ha for BIC E1 and about 5 ha for BIC E2. This should be specified in the policy wording in the same way as in the Partial Review site policy extracts.		Some areas of the Local Plan are considered to be unsound
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)			Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	1	N	Unsound and not justified. Impact on natural environment and there are alternatives with less impact Object to the policy / the proposed site allocation. Major impact on the ability of wildlife to move between rural areas on E to W of Bicester by creating a continuous urbanised area stretching from the M40 corridor to the northern edge of Caversfield. This area should be maintained as greenfield as ecological corridor, ecological isolation will have severe impacts on wildlife populations	To remove the proposed site allocation Y	,	Some areas if the Local Plan are considered to be unsound
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)			Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	11	N	Unsound and not justified. Alternative approaches. Is not effective in that it does not provide sufficient clarity on what is being provided and it does not provide clarity on the Local Nature Reserve and the scale of what is required. Accept the need for some level of commercial development in some of these areas, consider the overall level of loss of green field sites to commercial development to the S and E of Bicester to be excessive and not justified.	The policy needs to make a specific ask in each of those policies for the creation of a wildlife-rich LNR and to specify the size. This was the approach taken in the Cherwell Local Plan — Partial Review Sites where for many of those sites a specific ask for nature reserves of a given size was made e.g. pg. 130 Policy PR8 part 8, pg. 141 Policy PR9 part5, the area of LNR is specified. the area of LNR is specified. With the total area of the site being about 36 ha then we consider that a minimum LNR area of 15 ha is required. This should be specified in the policy wording in the same way as in the Partial Review site policy extracts.		Some areas of the Local Plan are considered to be unsound

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park			Object to the allocation. Policy deemed to be unsound, not justified and not effective. Does not provide clarity in the requirements for wildlife habitat provision. Concern by the continued eastwards expansion of Bicester towards the Upper Ray Conservation Target Area and the risk to the CTA. Note contents of the Ecological Assessment of Potential Site Allocations 2024, noting the assessment and recommendations. Recommendations have not been fully transposed into the BIC E5 policy. Level of loss of green field sites to commercial development to the S and E of Bicester to be excessive and not justified.	To remove the allocation, if the allocation is not removed - The recommendations of the Ecological Assessment need to be taken forward into the Policy BIC E5 and there is a clear need for specific policy wording to specify that a) the development design should both avoid built development on the on-site lowland meadow priority habitat, and buffer this priority habitat (as indicated in the above recommendations); b) that indirect impacts on the adjacent Local Wildlife Site and Conservation Target Area must be avoided; c) that offsite solutions for farmland birds must be identified; and d) unless the loss of what is good quality habitat on much of the site is compensated for on-site (e.g. for example through the creation of a large LNR – see below) then offsite solutions must be identified in any application. Policy texts - the requirement for the creation of a wildlife-rich LNR and to specify the size. Same approach as CDCLP Partial Review Sites. Min LNR area of 2ha is required.	Y	
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester	N		Policy considered to be unsound, not justified, alternative approaches could be taken by requiring inclusion of policy & spatial planning as set out within the NW Bicester Masterplan & NW Bicester SPD. Not consistent with national policy & doesn't comply with NPPF policy para 192(b). The policy is not clear as to whether it replaces or adds to the requirements within the NW Bicester Masterplan and the NW Bicester SPD. Multiple refs made to the key outcomes of SPD and MP, impact on wildlife & refs to biodiversity, BNG, Local Nature Reserve, off site mitigation, buffers, lighting, landscape strategy. Noted that some elements of MP & SPD are supported in the "Ecological Assessment of Potential Site Allocations" and the recommendations are noted. Part 13 of Policy BIC H1 makes no ref to wildlife, nor the country park nor the nature reserve and the only ref is in section 35 of the policy, section 25 lacks ambition and clarity	NW Bicester SPD & NW Bicester Masterplan should be retained in full for development that falls within the NW Bicester site allocation and these documents should be referenced in the Policy BIC H1. There is a need for additional mapping & need for additional policies for wildlife habitat creation. Policy BIC H1 needs to be amended to make it clear that all the requirements of the North West Bicester SPD, and the North West Bicester Masterplan must be incorporated within any applications within the existing site allocation area and any proposed extensions. If that is not taken forward despite our concerns raised here, then as a minimum the above requirements from the SPD and Masterplan, set out in our paragraphs a, b, and c (i – iv) must be set out in full within the policy wording of Policy BIC H1.	Y	As parts of the Local Plan are not sound

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			"Ecological Assessment of the Potential Site Allocations". The recommendations for the BIC H1 site allocation state: "Features of ecological value should be retained and strongly buffered with some areas of reduced public access. Mitigation plans to ensure pollution and recreational pressures on the adjacent SSSI and the LWS should be prepared. Off site compensation for farmland birds will be required. Biodiversity net gain for new areas coming forward could be achieved by managing substantial areas of green space specifically for biodiversity."	Refer to page 8 of the BBWOT rep Recommendations of study need to be added to the specific policy wording of BIC H1.		Some areas of the Local Plan are considered to be unsound
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	•	Y	BBOWT supports aims and contents of policies and considers them to be sound.		Y	As consider parts of the Local Plan to be unsound
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree			Local Plan	Policy CSD 10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC	`	Y	Support policy and consider it to be sound			
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree			Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	,	Y	Support policy and consider it to be sound. Welcome the amendments made to the policy.			
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree			Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain		N	Consider it not to be sound due to details in the wording, not effective in achieving its aims of development achieving genuine and significant BNG in Cherwell. BBOWT asks for a minimum net gain of 20% or more for each development in line with the recommendation of Oxfordshire's Biodiversity Advisory Group (see https://www.wildoxfordshire.org.uk/proposed-policies-for-op2050/. A policy of 25% biodiversity net gain was recently supported in the Examination of the Salt Cross Garden Village SPD in a nearby local authority. Other LAs that have adopted or are working towards a 20% or more BNG. Parag 3.82 states: "This Plan seeks to create a net gain legacy, in perpetuity".	a) Amend as follows: "At least 20% biodiversity net gain will be required in the Nature Recovery Network Core and Recovery zones, and the strategic allocations in this Plan." In our experience policies worded using the word "sought" appear to be less strong in their effectiveness than those worded with the word "required". b) Require 20% across the board for all BNG eligible applications, as opposed to only those that are in the "Nature Recovery Network Core and Recovery zones, and the strategic allocations in this Plan". BBOWT recommends that the BNG policy includes measures to ensure that all habitat retained, enhanced or created (whether it is on-site or off-site) for the purpose of achieving both no net loss, and net gain, is retained forever (e.g. in perpetuity - for at least 125 years). In perpetuity is widely considered to be at least 125 years in accordance with legislation which defines the 'in perpetuity' period (Perpetuities and Accumulations Act 2009)	Y	As consider parts of the Local Plan to be unsound

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy CSD 13: Conservation Target Areas	Y		Support policy and consider it to be sound			
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy CSD 14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services			Cautiously support the policy as along as it does not undermine biodiversity policies. Concerns about the natural capital approach and caution against simplistic measures as they can give an artificially low figure. More information needed on the natural capital approach and they would welcome the ability to feedback.	Consideration of the natural capital approach and more information required in relation to that.	Υ	As consider parts of the Local Plan to be unsound
LPR-D-238		BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	N			To add to the policy the phrase "high quality, wildlife-rich" was added into the following policy text: "All development will be required to demonstrate strategies to both protect and enhance existing GBI and to also incorporate new GBI in the design approach for each site." So that it would read as follows: "All development will be required to demonstrate strategies to both protect and enhance existing GBI and to also incorporate new high quality, wildlife-rich GBI in the design approach for each site." Also the Policy needs to make specific, measurable requirements of the amount of green space provided in and around new development, and the quality and wildlife richness of that green space. In addition, we recommend that specific measurable policies need to be set out that relate to increasing the opportunities for exercise in green open spaces and increasing the wildlife richness of those areas.	Y	As consider parts of the Local Plan to be unsound
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy CSD 18: Light Pollution	Y		Support the policy, welcome the reference to nature conservation and consider it to be sound.			
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Y		BBOWT supports the aims and content of the above policies and considers them to be sound			
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non- residential	Y		BBOWT supports the aims and content of the above policies and considers them to be sound			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy CSD 4: Improving Energy and Carbon Performance in Existing Buildings	Y		BBOWT supports the aims and content of the above policies and considers them to be sound			
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree			Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	Y		BBOWT supports the aims and content of the above policies and considers them to be sound			
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree			Local Plan	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy	N		Considers policy to be unsound, not positively prepared and not justified.	Policy needs to include content to ensure that 1) the roll-out of clean, renewable energy is not at the expense of biodiversity, in terms of both locations and mitigation, and 2)To ensure that renewable energy projects seek to maximise biodiversity outcomes. They consider this is essential, irrespective of biodiversity net gain, since in rural areas in particular renewable energy development can have a negative impact on many species both on-site and off-site and that it is imperative that every opportunity for biodiversity habitat provision is taken. This should be through creation of:  a)wildflower-rich meadows (including within the solar panel areas for solar farms), created with high wildflower content seed mixes or similar, and managed by grazing and removal of arisings from mowing, b)species-rich hedgerows managed for wildlife, c)wetland areas and other wildlife habitats such as species-rich margins.		
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree	BBOWT (Berkshire, Buckinghamshire & Oxfordshire Wildlife Trust)		Local Plan	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	Y		Support the policy and consider it to be sound.			
LPR-D-238	Neil Rowntree			Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	Y		Support policy and consider it to be sound			
LPR-D-239	Peter Monk	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy BAN 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area			This section should be recast and properly detailed / illustrated to permit realistic and 'to the point' comment by consultees.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DT	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-239	Peter Monk	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy COM 16: Public Rights of Way	1 Y	N Y		The plan should indicate what measures/provision/action will be taken to ensure PRoW remains safe secure and available			
LPR-D-239	Peter Monk	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type	1 Y	N Y		This Policy should not ignore the opportunity to provide residential accommodation close to employment and/ or social and shopping activities			
LPR-D-239	Peter Monk	Banbury Civic Society		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy				Concern about active travel scheme for elderly, impaired. Question how to strengthen the transport infrastructure			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce			Local Plan	Objectives				Support the strategic objectives, particularly SO4, 5, 10, 12 and 14			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Others- General			j	Object the employment development in Baynards Green due to the impact (environmental, noise pollution, wildlife) and lack of infrastructure and services			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the				Support the policy			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps				Support the policy			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 23: Local Services and Community			,	Support the policy			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Facilities Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas				Support the policy			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality			i	The consideration that all development proposals should be air quality neutral, would intrinsically seem incompatible with the increased vehicle traffic likely as a results of the Baynards Green proposed warehouse developments and Policy CSD 17 and 18			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)				Support the policy			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 10 Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses				Support the policy			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites				Support the policy. Support the criteria I and ii			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 5: Community Employment Plans				Generally support but question on the definition of "local" - consideration of cycle path link to Baynards Green from Bicester			
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy				Support the strategy. Mention that Fritwell have committed to 25 houses			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-240	Jonathan Boyce	Fritwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Support the policy and Fritwell's categorisation as a Category C Village			
LPR-D-241	Kevin Cox	Thames Valley Police- Designing Out Crime		Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	N	N	Policy COM 14 point xiii is not sound and is not achievable for planning applications. Physically impossible for all new development to achieve secured by design accreditation. Secured by Design does not have an accreditation scheme for every type of new development that may come through the planning office. In addition, there is not the staffing that would be required to ever manage this level of accreditation applications	Policy COM 14: part xiii is amended to state "Be designed from the outset to reduce opportunities for crime and antisocial behaviour. All development is expected to have regard to, and incorporate the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design, and achieve Secured by Design accreditation when required by the Thames Valley Police Designing Out Crime Officer;		
LPR-D-242	Jeremy Flawn	Bluestone Planning	The Oxford Diocesan Board of Finance	HELAA	Omission Site	Y	N Y	Land South of Milton Road comprises c.17.24 ha and could deliver 150-200 new homes in the next 5 years of the LP period.  Disagree with HELAA findings. The site lies in a sustainable location adjacent to the south east of the built-up area of Bloxham (Category A village) and is accessible via Milton Road. The site is in close proximity to several key services, commercial and community facilities located along Milton Road and Church Street.  The developed area surrounding the site restricts its physical and visual relationship with the open countryside.	New policy allocating Land South of Milton Road, Bloxham for c. 200 homes with a provision of housing with care for older people, affordable housing and supporting community facilities.	Y	To ensure that the Dioceses Board of Finance is adequately represented in relevant discussions about the issues raised in these representations.
LPR-D-242	Jeremy Flawn	Bluestone Planning	The Oxford Diocesan Board of Finance	Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock	Y	N Y	There are no exceptional circumstances that would justify the allocation of the site given the availability of other sites in Cherwell that could accommodate a large number of housing without the same level of harm to designated heritage assets of outstanding international historic significance.  Evidence does not demonstrate with certainty that the site is the most suitable out of all sites promoted and that the c 450 homes could likely be delivered within the next five year Plan period.	Delete Policy KID H1 and supporting text.	Υ	To ensure that the Dioceses Board of Finance is adequately represented in relevant discussions about the issues raised in these representations.
LPR-D-242	1	Bluestone Planning	The Oxford Diocesan Board of Finance	Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Y	N Y	Should have considered alternative sites and focus development on larger villages with services and facilities to help reduce the need to travel.  Need would be more appropriately met through a Neighbourhood Plan.  Fails to demonstrate reasonable alternatives were considered and that the assessments were based on proportionate evidence. Further details provided on Sustainability Appraisal, site constraints and previous development.	Delete Policy RUR H1 and supporting text.	Y	To ensure that the Dioceses Board of Finance is adequately represented in relevant discussions about the issues raised in these representations.
LPR-D-243	_	Adams Hendry Consulting	East West Railway Company	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			There is the potential for an interface between the land allocated for Graven Hill and land potentially required to support the delivery of the EWR Project.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Compiles with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-243	Pete Errington	Adams Hendry Consulting	East West Railway Company	Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area			The SEPR safeguarding is identified on the local plan policies map to the south of Bicester town and crosses both the existing railway line and land safeguarded for the delivery of the EWR Project. No further details of this SEPR proposals are provided in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or the Bicester Transport Topic Paper published in support of the local plan.	Suggest explore issue with Council		
LPR-D-243	Pete Errington	Adams Hendry Consulting	East West Railway Company	Local Plan	Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area			The SEPR safeguarding is identified on the local plan policies map to the south of Bicester town and crosses both the existing railway line and land safeguarded for the delivery of the EWR Project. No further details of this SEPR proposals are provided in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or the Bicester Transport Topic Paper published in support of the local plan.	Suggest explore issue with Council		
LPR-D-243	Pete Errington	Adams Hendry Consulting	East West Railway Company	Local Plan	Policy BIC 5: Bicester Opportunity Areas			Mention that the option of new crossing would not be provided due to the nature and extend of the landtake. Include an evidence (technical report) accompanying the consultation of EWR	Suggest explore issue with Council		
LPR-D-243	Pete Errington	Adams Hendry Consulting	East West Railway Company	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			The EWR Project potentially interfaces with a number of the Bicester Gaps; namely the Bicester – Launton, Bicester – Merton and Bicester – Wendlebury settlement gaps	it is considered that the settlement gap boundaries should be identified on the local plan policies map(s) rather than only being identified in Appendix 4 to the local plan		
LPR-D-243	Pete Errington	Adams Hendry Consulting	East West Railway Company	Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy			there are potential interfaces between parts of PR6a, 6b, 6c, 7a and land safeguarded for the EWR Project	Suggest explore issue with Council		
LPR-D-244	Susie Stephen	Stantec	Bicester Sports Association	Local Plan	Policies Map			BSA notes that the Chesterton Site is shown on the Bicester Area Map and main Policies Map as 'Existing Green Space'. BSA is concerned that this is inaccurate and creates a misleading starting point from which planning proposals for this site would be measured against.	The current relationship between the provisions of the Proposals Maps and the lack of an associated policy for Existing Green Spaces needs to be addressed		
LPR-D-244	Susie Stephen	Stantec	Bicester Sports Association	Local Plan	Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation			Supports this policy			
LPR-D-245	Pam Rochford		1.5555.5.5.5	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Roads are unmanageable for what is planned for Bicester			
LPR-D-246	Alison Smith	Somerton Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Concern on the reclassification of Upper Heyford from Category C to B due to a lack of amenities, services and infrastructure			
LPR-D-247	Tom Ashley	Turnberry Consulting Limited	Exeter College, Oxford	Local Plan	Omission Site	Y	1 Y	Fails to support Cherwell's economic potential. Lacks support for the growth and expansion of Oxfordshire science and technology innovation cluster at Cherwell. Freize Farm is in a sustainable location, accessible to Oxford City and Oxford University via sustainable modes of transport. Power and digital connectivity is available to support a Digital Innovation District on site cantered around High -Performance Computing for which there is an urgent national need. Further detail and reasons in supporting documents: Representations Statement and Employment Land Assessment.	Allocation of Land at Frieze Farm (c. 35.8 has) for the creation of a Digital Innovation District comprising office space, light industrial units and a mixed use centre. Proposed as a research campus centered around a High Performance Computing centre.	Y	Wish to explore if the Plan can be made sound through the suggested modifications.

REP ID Number	Name	_	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-247	Tom Ashley	Consulting Limited	Exeter College, Oxford	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.152	Y	J Y	i i	The 280 has of employment land required for the Plan's period under provides for employment need to 2042, particularly having regard to the digital/technology sector.  Considers the need is in excess of 447 has. Further detail and reasons in supporting documents: Representations Statement and Employment Land Assessment.	Results of Employment Land Assessment prepared by Marron Planning, an employment need in excess of 447 has, should be reflected in the Plan's policies and allocations. If reference to 280 ha is retained in paragraph 3.152, this should be identified as the absolute minimum to ensure that sufficient land is provided for R&D.	Y	Wish to explore if the Plan can be made sound through the suggested modifications.
LPR-D-247	Tom Ashley	Consulting Limited	Exeter College, Oxford	Local Plan	Policies Map	Y	J Y	1	Frieze Farm is in a uniquely suitable location to accommodate critical Al infrastructure and associated employment. The locational uniqueness comprises exceptional circumstances to remove the site from the Green Belt.	Policy PR6c should be included in the draft Plan with the Green Belt notation over the site removed and in any event the policy should be amended to include that 35.8 has of land will be reserved for the potential construction of a Digital Innovation District.	Y	Wish to explore if the Plan can be made sound through the suggested modifications.
LPR-D-247	Tom Ashley	Consulting Limited	Exeter College, Oxford	Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Y	N Y	1	Frieze Farm is in a uniquely suitable location to accommodate critical AI infrastructure and associated employment. The locational uniqueness comprises exceptional circumstances to remove the site from the Green Belt Policy PR6c should be included in the draft Plan with the Green Belt notation over the site removed and in any event the policy should be amended to include that 35.8 has of land will be reserved for the potential construction of a Digital Innovation District.	Amend Policy KID 1 to include 35.8 ha for employment, digital infrastructure and related uses within Policy PR6c Land at Frieze Farm.  Amend Policy KID 1 to support unallocated strategic employment sites which support the growth of established Kidlington science and innovation cluster.	Y	Wish to explore if the Plan can be made sound through the suggested modifications.
LPR-D-247	Tom Ashley	Consulting Limited	Exeter College, Oxford	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Y	N Y	i	Whilst there is some recognition of Kidlington's role in developing innovation districts link to Oxford University, the Plan fails to plan for further growth in R&D beyond that already permitted.	Amend Policy LEC1 to include Policy PR6C: land at Frieze Farm for Mixed Use B2, B8, and E9g) uses in 35.8 ha of land.	Y	Wish to explore if the Plan can be made sound through the suggested modifications.
LPR-D-247	Tom Ashley	Consulting Limited	Exeter College, Oxford	Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Y	N Y	1	Supports the inclusion of criteria based policy to deliver additional employment land. Suggest improvements to support science and technology clusters around north Oxford and Kidlington. The plan does not allocate sufficient employment land to meet identified needs and considers the policy to be unsound as it does not provide criteria for the release of unallocated Green Belt sites	Policy to include criteria for assessing 'very special circumstances' supporting the growth and expansion of Oxfordshire science and technology innovation cluster.	Y	Wish to explore if the Plan can be made sound through the suggested modifications.
LPR-D-247	Tom Ashley	Consulting Limited	Exeter College, Oxford	Local Plan	Strategic Objective SO7	Y	N Y	i	Strategic objectives make no reference to supporting Cherwell's role in the national economy, supporting establishes science and technology innovation clusters, supporting the Oxfordshire Knowledge Spine and the Oxford-Cambridge Arc.	Clear policy support for the strengthening and expansion of the established science and technology innovation cluster in Cherwell over the Plan period. As a minimum SO 7 should be amended to delete the following wording: 'including the visitor economy, agriculture and food production and ensure sufficient land is allocated to meet our identified need by'	Y	Wish to explore if the Plan can be made sound through the suggested modifications.
LPR-D-248	Geoff Armstrong		Manor Oak Homes	Local Plan	Omission Site			5	Promote Land North of Dukes Meadow Drive, Outline planning application (ref: 23/03366/OUT), for up to 117 dwellings. Encloses supporting documents, including a Landscape assessment and site development template			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-248	Geoff Armstrong	Armstrong Rigg Planning	Manor Oak Homes	Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	1 Y	N Y	The strategy lacks ambition and will restrict growth, and it incorrectly draws on the landscape character as a constraint to development	A need for additional housing allocations in Banbury required by at least 20%, and promotes Land at Dukes Meadow Drive	Υ	Objects to current spatial strategy as presented and are a key stakeholder
LPR-D-248	Geoff Armstrong	Armstrong Rigg Planning	Manor Oak Homes	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	1	N	Raises several issues including the housing requirement calculations, how delivery is spread over plan period, queries the backdating to 2020 and queries the inclusion of Oxford's unmet needs (noting that even with this the plan only just meets the transitional needs)	Considers that the plan period should start in 2024; the plan should include a stepped trajectory which frontloads delivery for Oxford City; it should identify additional land to meet development needs; review housing land supply; and consider whether the Plan is examined under the NPPF 2023 or NPPF 2024	Y	Concerns over housing target
LPR-D-248	Geoff Armstrong	Armstrong Rigg Planning	Manor Oak Homes	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	1 Y	N Y	Objects to the policy as it encroaches into West Northamptonshire, the various gaps overlap with each other, extends far beyond some of the villages, cross defensible barriers and wash over permitted and emerging developments. Notes particular concern with the Banbury-Hanwell gap	Suggests a simple criteria-led policy that requires the decision maker to take potential visual and physical coalescence into account and ensure sufficient mitigation and new permanent boundaries are included as part of relevant development to avoid harm	Υ	Have undertaken significant analysis of this, and Land at Dukes Meadow Drive falls within one of the gaps which will have implications on development
LPR-D-248	Geoff Armstrong	Armstrong Rigg Planning	Manor Oak Homes	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	1 Y	N Y	The spatial strategy only seeks to achieve "limited" growth at the district's largest and most sustainable settlement, Banbury, rather it is directed towards Bicester. Draws attention to the SA and the imbalanced growth that it promotes	Requires additional housing allocations in Banbury; recommends boosting new housing supply in Banbury by at least 20%; and Dukes Meadow Drive should be allocated	Y	Holds clear views on the inadequacy of the current spatial strategy and are a key stakeholder
LPR-D-248	Geoff Armstrong	Armstrong Rigg Planning	Manor Oak Homes	Green Gaps Study				Notes that land within the Site north of Dukes Meadow Drive is identified as benefiting from planning permission within the study, yet it is still covered by the Green Gap zone, and queries inclusion of land in the Hanwell gap			
LPR-D-248	Geoff Armstrong	Armstrong Rigg Planning	Manor Oak Homes	Site Landscape Assessments				Notes a few incorrect statements on landscape within the assessment and therefore disagree with the study in relation to Banbury L1, see rep for details.			
LPR-D-249	Laura Elphick	Natural England		Local Plan	Others- General			Welcome the removal of Ardley Railway Station as the proposal could have potentially resulted in significant direct loss of habitat at Ardley Cutting and Quarry SSSI.			
LPR-D-249	Laura Elphick	Natural England		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			Welcome the inclusion and reference to the LNRS and the requirement to provide appropriate links and corridors between new and existing ecological networks, including those identifies in the Council's G&BI Strategy. Suggest improvement.	Refer to the LNRS within site allocations policy wording.		
LPR-D-249	Laura Elphick	Natural England		Sustainability Appraisal				Expect sufficient evidence to be provided through SA and HRA to justify the site allocation process and to ensure sites of least environmental value are selected.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-249	Laura Elphick	Natural England		Habitats Regulation Assessment				Agree with the majority of the conclusion in the HRA but are still awaiting comments from NE technical specialist to better understand the Air Quality Impacts presented in the HRA.  Expect sufficient evidence to be provided through SA and HRA to justify the site allocation process and to ensure sites of least environmental value are selected.  Findings on the Begbroke HRA appear to contradict the conclusions of the Local Plan 2042 HRA.  Have concerns with the approach to ' in combination' taken across Oxfordshire.	Request the full air quality assessment that was used for to support the HRA findings to better understand how the conclusions were reached.		
LPR-D-250	Lucy Taylor	Terra Strategic		Local Plan	Omission Site	Y N	Υ	Promotes Land off Stocking Lane, Shennington and attach supporting		Υ	
LPR-D-250	Lucy Taylor	Terra Strategic	+	Local Plan	Plan Period	V N	V	documents Disagrees with plan period	Plan period should start 2024	v	
LPR-D-250	Lucy Taylor	Terra Strategic		Local Plan		Y N		Urges the Council to consider allocating additional sites to ensure	Suggests allocating additional sites and revising	v	
Er N D 230	Lucy rayion	rema strategie		Local Flair	District Wide Housing Distribution			they can maintain a suitable supply of housing in the latter years of the Local Plan, and the housing requirement figure should be revised to better align with the Standard Method figure	housing requirement figures	ľ	
LPR-D-250	Lucy Taylor	Terra Strategic		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Y N	Υ	Support policy, but not the reliance on Neighbourhood Plans to bring forward development		Υ	
LPR-D-250	Lucy Taylor	Terra Strategic		Local Plan			Υ	Supports a settlement hierarchy, but disagrees with Shenington as a Category C village	Shenington should be higher up in the hierarchy	Υ	
LPR-D-250	Lucy Taylor	Terra Strategic		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Y N	Y	Questions why there is no overarching spatial strategy for all of Cherwell	Recommends that a policy is included in the local plan clearly setting out the Council's spatial strategy for the area as whole	Υ	
LPR-D-251	Rachel Williams	Oxford City Council		Local Plan	Housing numbers			Disappointed that Cherwell has sought to use the old standard method under transitional arrangements which will vastly underestimate housing need to support economic growth and provide homes for workers	Strategy for the area as whole	Υ	
LPR-D-251	Rachel Williams	Oxford City Council		Local Plan	Housing numbers	N		Welcome inclusion of 4400 apportionment of Oxford's unmet needs to 2036 but question the delivery of this including not bringing forward partial review sites into this plan. Consider not bringing forward the adopted site allocations into this Plan is unsound owing to the lack of review of policies in Partial Review plan, query how plans fit together, reliance on sites not allocated in this plan/policy framework. it is not clear how Oxford's needs will be met as there is no breakdown of need, the two have been put together which will make monitoring difficult. it is not clear how Oxford's unmet needs from 2036 to 2042 will be met and extending our unmet need to 2042 to is a concern.		Y	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-251	Rachel Williams	Oxford City Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N		Reliance on 3 new strategic sites only is unsound as these will take time to deliver. Remove reference to Oxford City Local Plan as this had to be withdrawn. References to July 2024 NPPF consultation out of date. There is no annual need requirement and this should be included in the policy. This should clarify which element of the need is to cover Oxford's unmet need and that this should be met by 2036. Tables need clarification.		Y	
LPR-D-251	Rachel Williams	Oxford City Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			There is no clarity whether all elements of this policy should be excluded from the Partial Review sites. The requirement of 60 units of extra care should be removed from the policy.	The requirement for 60 units of extra care housing should be removed from the policy	Y	
LPR-D-251	Rachel Williams	Oxford City Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type			There is no clarity whether all elements of this policy should be excluded from the Partial Review sites.		Y	
LPR-D-251	Rachel Williams	Oxford City Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing			There is no clarity whether all elements of this policy should be excluded from the Partial Review sites. This includes a requirement for extra care housing which is also in Policy COM2 which is confusing. Also the policy refers to C3 where it should refer to C2.		Y	
LPR-D-251	Rachel Williams	Oxford City Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing			It is unclear whether this policy applies to sites linked to the 2031 Plan as this will have implications for the effectiveness of the policy.	Clarify intention and recommend all sites be incorporated.	Y	
LPR-D-252	John Gale	Savills	Oxford University and College Growth Group	Duty to Cooperate	Sulla Housing			No statements of common ground with neighbouring authorities to demonstrate compliance with the statutory duty to co-operate. In relation to this matter, it is not clear why CDC removed its support for the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment that was jointly commissioned with Oxford City Council.			
LPR-D-252	John Gale	Savills	Oxford University and College Growth Group	Local Plan	Others- General			Mention that the LP Review timetable does not meet the PPG. This Draft LP review is more than 5 yrs old from the LP 2015. Question on not including Oxford-Cambridge Growth Corridor			
LPR-D-252	John Gale	Savills		Local Plan	Plan Period			Object looking back 4-6 years from the projected adoption date	it is more appropriate for the plan period to extend to 2045		
LPR-D-252	John Gale	Savills		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			Does not meet transitional arrangement. Subtracting the capacity of the sites allocated to address the unmet needs of Oxford City results in a level of provision with the draft plan that is less than 80% of the local housing need.			
LPR-D-253 LPR-D-253	Phillip Smith Phillip Smith	Pegasus Group Pegasus Group	Greystoke CB	Duty to Cooperate Omission Site	Evidence based documents			Mention DTC with West Northamptonshire - no evidence that the matter of strategic gaps has been discussed  Appended Land at Jnc 11 M40 Banbury for employment site - Appeal			
	· ·······p oillicit	- 500000 5100p	J. Systoke OB					Ref: APP/C3105/W/24/3352512			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-253	Phillip Smith	Pegasus Group	Greystoke CB	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N N		Question on the proposed strategic gaps not shown in draft Policies Map. National policy makes no provision for the maintenance of separation of settlements and the prevention of coalescence outside of Green Belts. Mention Inspectors' Report for Bracknell Local Plan for removal of similar policy. Questions the inclusion of land in West Northamptonshire			
LPR-D-253	Phillip Smith	Pegasus Group	Greystoke CB	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Y N		Objects to the policy. It makes no reference to the Oxford Industrial Strategy. Mention Economic Needs Assessment evidence and signpost table and data about the employment need (should be 441 ha). Not enough employment allocations in Banbury, considering the new homes. Question on the need for logistics at Banbury (B8)	The quantum of employment land identified at draft Policy LEC1 should be increased to realise the growth ambitions of the government in respect of the Oxford-Cambridge Growth Corridor and the needs of the logistics sector in the area		
LPR-D-253	Phillip Smith	Pegasus Group	Greystoke CB	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs			ENA 2024 update did not refresh the Banbury area nor consider market intelligence as required by PPG			
LPR-D-254	Arron Twamley	Arc Planning Associates	Neptune Land Promotion	Local Plan	Omission Site			Promoting The Old Piggeries, Bloxham Road, Milcombe for housing site (pending determination 24/03399/OUT)		Υ	To set out further reasons
LPR-D-254	Arron Twamley	Arc Planning Associates	Neptune Land Promotion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Y N		meet the needs of rural areas. When averaged out across the 80 villages in the district this only amounts to seven new homes per settlement	The draft plan needs to demonstrate compliance with Paragraph 73 of the NPPF in identifying at least 10% of its proposed housing supply being sites of no more than 1 hectare in size to ensure opportunities for SME housebuilders.	Y	To set out further reasons
LPR-D-254	Arron Twamley	Arc Planning Associates	Neptune Land Promotion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Y N			A greater proportion of growth needs allocating to the rural areas	Y	To set out further reasons
LPR-D-254	Arron Twamley	Arc Planning Associates	Neptune Land Promotion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Object to the downgrading of Milcombe from a Category A village to a Category B village.	The settlement hierarchy needs to be reassessed. Villages (both small and large) should be considered for 'clustering' whereby settlements are not treated separately but considered collectively in small groups or clusters based on the services and facilities they are able to cumulatively offer	Y	To set out further reasons
LPR-D-254	Arron Twamley	Arc Planning Associates	Neptune Land Promotion Ltd	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Y N		Object to the proposed spatial strategy. Consider the proposed spatial strategy fails to disperse houses adequately to all parts of the district, particularly in rural areas where there is a greater housing need, as well as a greater need to tackle affordability	Suggest allocating a higher proportion of the district's housing needs to the villages	Y	To set out further reasons

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-255	Ben Ward	Marrons	Ashberry Strategic Land	Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents	N I	N		There has been no robust or effective cooperation in either understanding or meeting Oxford's unmet housing need over the Plan period		Υ	Ashberry Strategic Land has land interests within the District and given the scope and fundamental nature of its objections, we consider participation in the oral part of the examination is necessary to properly present our
LPR-D-255	Ben Ward	Marrons	Ashberry Strategic Land	Local Plan	Housing numbers	N	N		There is insufficient evidence to indicate that the Plan will establish a five-year housing land supply upon adoption		Υ	Ashberry Strategic Land has land interests within the District and given the scope and fundamental nature of its objections, we consider participation in the oral part of the examination is necessary to properly present our
LPR-D-255	Ben Ward	Marrons	Ashberry Strategic Land	Local Plan	Omission Site	N I	N	N	Promoting Land West of Sibford Rd, Hook Norton for housing site.		Y	Ashberry Strategic Land has land interests within the District and given the scope and fundamental nature of its objections, we consider participation in the oral part of the examination is necessary to properly present our
LPR-D-255	Ben Ward	Marrons	Ashberry Strategic Land	Local Plan	Plan Period	Ζ	N		The LHN should be calculated for from the base year of the Plan and only changed if there are reasonable grounds.	bring forward the base year of the Plan and revisit the associated evidence base	Y	Ashberry Strategic Land has land interests within the District and given the scope and fundamental nature of its objections, we consider participation in the oral part of the examination is necessary to properly present our arguments
LPR-D-255	Ben Ward	Marrons	Ashberry Strategic Land		Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N	N		The Plan's housing requirement represents a significant reduction in the overall level of housing compared to both previous and current assessments of housing need.		Y	Ashberry Strategic Land has land interests within the District and given the scope and fundamental nature of its objections, we consider participation in the oral part of the examination is necessary to properly present our arguments

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	complies with Dic	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-255	Ben Ward	Marrons	Ashberry Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	N N	N	Question on the affordable housing need in the LP - a very sizable proportion of the current annualised housing requirement.		Y	Ashberry Strategic Land has land interests within the District and given the scope and fundamental nature of its objections, we consider participation in the oral part of the examination is necessary to properly present our arguments
LPR-D-255	Ben Ward	Marrons	Ashberry Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	N N	N	Question on the rural site allocation beside Bletchingdon and disperse the rural housing apportionment between the individual settlements. 565 is a minimum figure. the Plan or is evidence base has made no attempt to understand localised housing needs in villages through conducting housing needs surveys or similar and so the distribution of growth to various settlements to the rural area appear to be wholly arbitrary and have not followed a clear or objective process.		Y	Ashberry Strategic Land has land interests within the District and given the scope and fundamental nature of its objections, we consider participation in the oral part of the examination is necessary to properly present our arguments
LPR-D-255	Ben Ward	Marrons	Ashberry Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy		N	Support Hook Norton as a Category A village. Object the requirement the amount of development apportioned to the rural area (585 dwellings) is not reflected within the allocations of the Plan,	The plan should either make rural site allocations to meet the housing apportionment to the rural area, allow sites to come forward flexibly on their merits to meet rural housing needs or make a clear commitment to a District-wide "Part 2" Plan.	Y	Ashberry Strategic Land has land interests within the District and given the scope and fundamental nature of its objections, we consider participation in the oral part of the examination is necessary to properly present our arguments
LPR-D-255	Ben Ward	Marrons	Ashberry Strategic Land	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N	N	Doesn't meet the transitional arrangement as the housing numbers include Oxford's Unmet Need, so it only has 63.5% of LHN	The Plan should at the very least incorporate an early review mechanism to ensure that the corresponding shortfall in housing need is addressed as soon as possible	Y	Ashberry Strategic Land has land interests within the District and given the scope and fundamental nature of its objections, we consider participation in the oral part of the examination is necessary to properly present our arguments
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Paragraph			Recommend that a specific percentage for social rent is included in the housing mix at paragraph 3.222 to emphasise the importance of the tenure beyond the need for affordable housing.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 12: The Oxford Green Belt			The policy refers to preventing coalescence which is helpful.			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Supports the policy. However more detailed criteria are needed to provide re-assurance to the villagers			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places			Supports the policy. However questioning the weight of NP to Design Codes			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 18: Creating Healthy Communities			The policy refers to place shaping but is silent about stewardship mechanisms. Suggest to amend			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 23: Local Services and Community Facilities			The focus of the policy is on the loss of rather than the need, design, operation and funding of such facilities. Such facilities when provided should be supported by a substantial stewardship mechanism that caters for the management and maintenance of facilities.			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation			Notes similar considerations apply to the previous item. Seeking a maintenance is not seen as sufficient. More enlightened stewardship proposals should be written into the policy.			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy RUR 5: Community-led housing			Recommend including a policy for community-led housing	More in reps. Including reference to South & Vale policy		
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	development Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing			Recommend this policy is strengthened to provide greater support for affordable Custom and Self -Build Home through RPS/Community groups.	Make clear that self-build and custom-build sites will be required to meet the affordable housing requirements, reflect the support for self-build and custom build on the policy requirements on sites over 100 homes- suggests wording.		
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change			Generally supports the policy, some suggestions to improve are made.	Suggests that every large development should provide a proportion of modular homes to Passiv Haus standard to contribute to an overall uplift in the effectiveness of the total development to deliver net zero over time.		
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy CSD 10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC			This policy makes no mention of the possibility of SANG land being provided as a result of housing development in areas which could impact the SAC.			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			The potential enlarged Nature Recovery zone should be recognised and given status for protection purposes in the Local Plan. Where such areas are identified within Neighbourhood Plans these should be incorporated into a regularly updated Nature Recovery Zone Man in the Local Plan			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy CSD 18: Light Pollution			Supports the policy. Question on Dark Sky policies being adopted in NP			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density			Concern the net densities adopted in new schemes are embedding private car use			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy			These RE sites should never be treated as PDL but should be restored as greenfield if no longer used as energy sites. should also achieve BNG above 10%,			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)			Management measures to achieve slow release of surface waters should include renaturalising watercourses away from canalized formats to provide meanders and associated areas such as former ox bow lakes, ponds and balancing ponds for water storage.			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy LEC 5: Community Employment Plans			This policy should be seen as complementary to policies that encourage establishment of off-site, prefabricated construction and development			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy LEC 6: Supporting a Thriving and Resilient Farming			Supports the policy. Considers it should allow small scale renewables to be included as development that will merit approval if it sustains a farming business. It should not result in the loss of the best and most versatile land			
LPR-D-256	Tom McCulloch	Community First Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Sector Policy LEC 8: Rural Diversification			Considers it to be a good policy but to prove a viability case the threshold should be set at a high level (e.g. before simply considering an alternative use this must be shown to support overall viability of the holding)			
LPR-D-256	Tom	Community First		Local Plan	Policy LEC 9:			Concern on Bicester Village visitors that can put pressure on the local			
LPR-D-257	McCulloch Lynda Moore	Oxfordshire CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Tourism Appendix 1	Y N	Υ	housing supply Appendix 1 - Policy Ban 3- there is no Policy Ban relating to Banbury Inner Relief Road and Hennef Way	Either TR13 needs to be amended to be retained or a new Policy BAN7: Banbury Inner Relief Road and Hennef Way should be created	Υ	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside	Y N	Y	Within this site area lays the Bridge Street Community Garden which is designated as Local Green Site. There is no reference to this overlap of land allocations nor how any conflict should be resolved	Local Green Space needs to be protected and preserved.	Υ	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Y N	Υ	Concerned the deliverability of NW Bicester, 5YHLS, lack of infrastructure in Bicester and Puy du Fou proposal		Y	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations	Y N	Υ	Mention paragraph 3.285 and 3.390 which refers to different evidence studies (1995 and 2025 Landscape evidence)	Each policy should either refer to the same study or both studies, if not then it should be clarified in which context each one is relevant to ensure there is no compromise of either policy	Υ	expert in this issue. Consider a priority

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Appendix 4	Y	N YY		Banbury - Nethercote, Overthorpe, Warkworth and Middleton Cheney. Development should not extend east of A361, north of A422 so as to preserve the valley floor and the Overthorpe escarpment which are mutually dependent in landscape terms. Mapping should be clear that it does not seek to import policies on bordering county.  Banbury – Williamscot and Chacombe Gap is strong but to maintain distinction, new development should be avoided to preclude Banbury encroaching to the east of Cherwell Valley; preclude any sense of Williamscot or Chacombe descending from their upper valley side settings; and preclude views of development on the edge of Banbury east of the M40, on approach from the north or from Chacombe.	Suggest rewording the text to preclude new development East of the A361 and either side of the A422. The A361 should be designated as the eastern edge of Banbury town.  New development should be avoided to preclude Banbury encroaching to the east of Cherwell Valley; preclude any sense of Williamscot or Chacombe descending from their upper valley side settings; and preclude views of development on the edge of Banbury east of the M40, on approach from the north or from Chacombe.	Y	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	Y	N Y	Y \	Welcomes the intent of para 3.215 in relation to social housing and the recognition that social rent is the truly affordable option for many people in Cherwell.	To strengthen the wording to "a minimum of 35% of all affordable accommodation will be social rented houses"	Y	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space	Y	N Y	Y S H i	Support the policy but concern only 3 LGS from CPRE's submission had been approved. Question on only 3 allotments have been included. fully support and endorse the Part B form submitted by Allan and Lisa Phipps and Mr Alan Lodwick Kidlington Development Watch. Include a video produced by community to support the CPRE's submission (Banbury Lane, Nethercote Local Green Space)	All allotments needs to be identified as LGS. CPRE Oxfordshire seek a review of all rejected Local Green Space applications	Y	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment	Y	N Y		Mention paragraph 3.285 and 3.390 which refers to different evidence studies (1995 and 2025 Landscape evidence)	Each policy should either refer to the same study or both studies, if not then it should be clarified in which context each one is relevant to ensure there is no compromise of either policy	Y	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas	Y	N Y		Mention paragraph 3.285 and 3.390 which refers to different evidence studies (1995 and 2025 Landscape evidence)	Each policy should either refer to the same study or both studies, if not then it should be clarified in which context each one is relevant to ensure there is no compromise of either policy	Υ	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 28: Listed Buildings	Y	J Y		Mention paragraph 3.285 and 3.390 which refers to different evidence studies (1995 and 2025 Landscape evidence)	Each policy should either refer to the same study or both studies, if not then it should be clarified in which context each one is relevant to ensure there is no compromise of either policy	Y	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	Y	J Y		Mention paragraph 3.285 and 3.390 which refers to different evidence studies (1995 and 2025 Landscape evidence)	Each policy should either refer to the same study or both studies, if not then it should be clarified in which context each one is relevant to ensure there is no compromise of either policy	Y	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type	Y	J Y	6 1	Welcomes the intent of para 3.222 in relation to providing an appropriate housing mix according to need. However, for clarify and effectiveness we seek that these percentages are separated for social rented and affordable rented homes, with both categories requiring the same percentage mix	The first row in the table in Para 3.222 should be expanded to two rows, one "social rented" the other "affordable rented". Both rows should retain the same figures.	Υ	expert in this issue. Consider a priority

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy COM 9: Travelling Communities	Y N	Υ	Mentioned the Reg18 reps about the specific exclusion of sites.  Would welcome specific exclusion of sites to protect the countryside around smaller communities.	To include the wording "appropriate to its location considering character"	Υ	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan		Y N	Υ	Suggest change the wording so 20% BNG is required on all sites	Reworded to include either a requirement of at least 20% BNG on ALL SITES, or include additionally development on windfall/unallocated sites	Υ	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	· ·	Y N	Υ	Suggest change the wording due to inconsistency	"All development proposals should be designed	Υ	expert in this issue. Consider a
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Quality Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	N N	Y	Concern about the overloading sewage system because of the development. Mention the Supreme Court case (Barratt Homes ltd vs Welsh Water)	to improve air quality" Suggest to change "encourage" to "require". Full suggestion in reps	Y	the Inspector needs more information about the need for a better system of planning regulation concerning the discharge of sewage into our local rivers and streams which is a major problem for those living in district
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	YN	Υ	Concern on the increase of employment ha from Reg18 stage	additional wording is incorporated into the section to indicate as employment land is approved outside of the plan this will be accounted for in the employment figures as set on in the Local Plan	Υ	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	YN	Υ	Suggest change the wording	To include that employment development on unallocated sites will require compliance to Policy LEC1. To further include that local need for new employment development on unallocated sites will require evidence of local need	Υ	expert in this issue. Consider a priority
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Y N	Υ	Challenge the accuracy and validity of the reclassification of Caversfield and Hempton into a category B village. Question on the justification and definition of "geographical closeness"	Caversfield and Hempton should be reclassified as Category C	Υ	CPRE can assist with local knowledge to support the request
LPR-D-257	Lynda Moore	CPRE Oxfordshire		Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper		Y N	Υ	CPRE Oxfordshire seeks a review of the Settlement Hierarchy analysis to correct errors and, where appropriate, reclassify settlements accordingly.  CPRE Oxfordshire fully support and endorse the Part B form on this topic submitted by Stephen Bowen, Assistant Clerk Planning, The Bourtons Parish Council	Errors on the existing Settlement Hierarchy analysis should be rectified and, where appropriate, settlements reclassified accordingly. Submitted a proposed criteria in the reps	Υ	CPRE can assist with local knowledge to support the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Appendix 1	N N	N	Appendix 1 - Request to clarify as to existing policies that are identified to be retained, are being offered for examination under this draft local plan, or not?		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Omission Site	N N	N	Submitted "Heyford Park" proposal		Υ	To explain the request

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Compiles with Dic	Complies with DTC	easons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Others- General	N N	I N	ui pi su im G Pl	new review of the local plan for Cherwell District should now be ndertaken and consideration given to a new rogramme for progress on a new local plan in light of this ubmission. Suggest the use of digital tools to assist public evolvement. Mention that hasn't incorporate Oxford to Cambridge rowth Corridor. Suggest to consider Oxford City Plan and S&V Joint lan objection letter to each other - housing and employment umbers		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Others- General			oi ex	bject foreword from Portfolio Holder especially regarding the supply f land for development. Previous consultation - There is no explanation of how this has been done and what changes have been made as a result	Amend the foreword to accurately represent what is proposed in the draft local plan, in particular in terms of proposed growth and housing requirements		
LPR-D-258	David	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Plan Period	N N	I N	N O	bject the period - should not look back 5-6 years from the projected		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	Bainbridge David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	I N	N O pr pr	doption bject the annual housing requirement. Does not set out the roposed housing requirement clearly set out in table form. The roposal should have been made clearer through text and table(s) in espect of the proposed housing requirement, separate from explanation of housing supply		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N N	I N	N O fc 'H d	bject the policy. No evidence. Enclosed a critique of the policy, with ocus on the strategic gap proposed at 'Heyford Park – Caulcott' and Heyford Park – Middleton Stoney', prepared by Tyler Grange which emonstrates why this proposed policy is not evidence-based and it is of justified	Delete the policy	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	N N	I N	N Le	engthy policy. Not all development can adopt 20-min eighbourhood and use locally sourced sustainable materials		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	N N	I N	d	will not be appropriate for all development proposals to emonstrate that full regard has been paid to the Infrastructure elivery Plan and all other relevant policies of this Plan.		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type	N N	I N		bject the policy as it is not clearly written and is ambiguous. There re no worked examples and no clarity is provided for future decisions		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing	N N	I N		bject the policy as it is not clearly written and is ambiguous. vidence of the 400 dwellings - assessment of need and deliverability		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards	N N	I N		bject the policy as it is not clearly written and is ambiguous. No xplanation of M4(s) building regulations standards is encouraged		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	N N	I N	E	bject the policy as it is not clearly written and is ambiguous. vidence of the 100 dwellings - assessment of need and deliverability required		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	N N	I N	N O	bject the 20% requirement as it is not justified in going beyond the 0% BNG requirement, and is not achievable		Y	To explain the request

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant		Reasons with DTC	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	N N	N N	The policy sets out minimum densities on all new housing developments, which does not appear to comply with the NPPF		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	N N	N N	Object this policy. As there are lots of changes to flood risk planning policy in the 2024 NPPF		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan		N r	N N	Object to the proposed strategy for Heyford Park, because the evidence prepared by CDC identifies this as a sustainable location and yet the proposed strategy now does not propose any additional growth.	Resurrects the previous proposed policy LPR42A: South of Heyford Park. Included Appendix 2 Indicative Site Development Templates, which helped to shape the proposed		
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	N N	N N	No measurable or deliverable action. Mention how to mechanism and timescale to identify alternative deliverable sites if there is any future shortfall		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan		N N	N N	Considers there is no sufficient deliverable employment land		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy		N N	Supports the identification of Heyford Park as a Local Service Centre but objects as the policy does not propose new meaningful growth at Heyford Park		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N N	N N	Object to the absence of proposed growth at Heyford Park.  Appended the site proposal		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-258		Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N	N N	Considers that the draft local plan does not meet the transitional arrangement - housing req 18.5% below the minimum LHN, include unmet needs of Oxford City.		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-258	David Bainbridge	Savills	Richborough	Duty to Cooperate		N N	N N	Questions the lack of SoCG as part of the consultation and the removal of the HENA		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-258		Savills	Richborough	Local Plan	Vision	N N	N N	Supports the overarching themes of the plan but object to the vision and objectives due to the absence of growth at Heyford Park			
LPR-D-259	Lauren Bishop	Planning Issues	Churchill Living and McCarthy & Stone	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			Question on the viability for housing for older people. The assumption and viability appraisal for older persons housing typology is incorrect.	Exempt older persons housing development to deliver affordable housing		
LPR-D-259	Lauren Bishop	Planning Issues	Churchill Living and McCarthy &	Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing			Suggest to put specialist housing needs in the policy text - to align with Census Data and OCC Specialist and Supported Housing Needs Assessment	Amend the policy to include housing numbers related to older persons housing		
LPR-D-259	Lauren Bishop	Planning Issues	Churchill Living and McCarthy & Stone	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential			Question on the viability study that bases the 63% reduction from TER rates - out of date and need to be superseded by Dec 2024 update. Recommend to make an exception for flatted development for viability reason	Add the policy text related to flatted development		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons  With DTC	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-259	Lauren Bishop	Planning Issues	Churchill Living and McCarthy & Stone	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon			Object the Whole Life Carbon Assessment method for all major development. It is not viable for flatted development	Add the policy text related to flatted development		
LPR-D-260	Chris Wells	Bucknell Parish Council	Stone	Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Object the increasing numbers due to a lack of infrastructure. The plan must plan to prevent traffic entering and using Bucknell as a cutthrough route. The plan must oblige a developer to establish noise and light pollution barriers e.g. at the boundary of the strategic gap and that flood lights in the future NW Bicester site must not be located within 400m of the boundary of the strategic gap	Suggest wording of the policy related to the light pollution- see reps for full version		
LPR-D-260		Bucknell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			The language is too vague. Include "avoiding vehicular links" in the strategic gap so that Bucknell isn't used as cut-through route	Suggest to put word "must" and "should"		
LPR-D-260	Chris Wells	Bucknell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policies Map			The Bicester Area Map and Policy BIC H1 maps are labelled incorrectly and conflict with appendix 4.	The maps need to be updated to show that the area currently indicated as both a strategic housing site and a strategic gap is just depicted as a strategic gap between Bicester and Bucknell		
LPR-D-261	Jane Ashe			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Objects due to overdevelopment, traffic dangers and pollution, flooding concerns, and unaffordable 'affordable housing'			
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropredy	Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents		N	Concern that the discussion on the matter of housing need with Oxford City have ceased. Minutes of meetings etc – should be produced in evidence, it is not sufficient to rely on tables of summaries and outputs from meetings.		Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Objectives	N	N I		The Councils must create a more positive and proactive strategy to meet the needs of their communities. The objectives of the Local Plan should be to foster and grow a vision for meeting increasing housing needs and seeking to alleviate – at a local level at least – the housing and affordability crisis	Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Omission Site			N	Promoting Land to the North of Cropredy and South of Cropredy Marina, Cropredy; HELAA388 (65 dwellings, 2.15 ha) for housing site.  Appended layout of the site		Υ	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Plan Period		N	Object the standard method which takes account of past supply (from 2020) through the affordability uplift to determine housing needs moving forward.	It should start in 2024 the year in which the assessment was calculated.	Υ	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N	N I	Object roll-forwarding Oxford Unmet's Need number to 2042. There is no discussion in the Local Plan about the potential for uplifts from the local housing need figure to help meet specific housing needs of the community (e.g. for Affordable Housing). Signpost a table related with Affordable Housing delivery in the district - suggest that the housing requirement to be increased	Change the requirement to 31,190 dwellings. See reps for full calculation. Early review after adoption	Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Υ	N ,	Object the policy - does not consider the proposed gaps to be consistent with national policy or justified.	Need to be deleted	Υ	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Y	I Y	Policy is very lengthy. potential for overlap (and therefore redundancy) in this policy where is covers matters already considered in other parts of the Local Plan (for example, matters of climate resilience and sustainability).	there should also be reference in the text (or supporting text) to support for, and the use of, developer led design guides.	Υ	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 17: Health Facilities	Y	Υ	Support the policy			
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	Y	I Y	Generally support the policy, however question on the future cost on Building Safety Levy that will be introduced later in the year - how it can impact the viability	Consider an uplift the housing requirement as well as the proportion of affordable housing in each site development. Review the cumulative effect in viability assessment	Υ	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Y Y	Υ	Support the policy		Υ	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Y	Υ	Support the policy		Υ	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment			The need for separate policies for each type of heritage assets is questioned, especially where the principles of conservation and enhancement are broadly similar in each case; and second, if there are going to be separate policies they should be set out in the order of statutory hierarchy, to enable efficient decision making		Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing	Y	I Y	Welcomes the inclusion in supporting text of proposed Policy COM 4 the number of homes that are required to meet the specialist housing needs of the community		Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards	Y	I Y	Does not consider the 10% requirement for M4(3) to be justified.	The policy should be reviewed to better reflect the evidence and the starting point should be 6% unless local circumstances suggest otherwise, or there is a compelling change in the district wide evidence	Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing			Objects to large scale major residential developments (of 110+ new homes) being required to provide 5% of the residential units for self-build homes - no evidence	The approach to self-build should focus on identifying specific sites on which self-build can be delivered rather than including it as part of other sites delivering market and affordable housing	Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	ΥΥ	Υ	Support this policy		Υ	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Y	Υ	Has no specific comments. Notes that the policy closely follows and sometimes repeats national policy.		Y	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	YN	I Y	Object a BNG target of more than 10% - does not follow PPG	Should not seek an increase in BNG. Present sufficient robust evidence to support a minimum requirement of 20%	Υ	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	YN	I Y	Does not believe that the Council has properly considered the impact of proposed policy CSD 2 on viability or the deliverability of development		Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity	YY	Y	Support this policy		Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	YN	I Y	Questions the need for proposed Policy CSD 25. The proposed policy does not bring any more detail into the decision-making exercise thar exiting national policies.		Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	YN	I Y	No 'in principle' objections to the Councils encouraging targets such as those proposed in the proposed policy, however, it cannot be a requirement of development. The costs identified in the Future Homes Hub (FHH) 'Ready for Zero' report are significantly higher than those in the Councils work, therefore more sensitivity testing is	Suggest amend the text - see reps in full	Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	YN	I Y	Concerned that the fourth and sixth paragraph of CSD 9 when read together will in effect require developers to show that there is capacity within the water and wastewater network to support new development. it to be the responsibility of water companies to provide, and maintain, adequate sewerage infrastructure, and in effect new development has the 'right to connect.'	Paragraphs four and six of the policy should be deleted	Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	YN	I Y	Question on retaining a focus on existing employment sites, and only seek limited additional employment development, again on many sites already allocated through the previous Local Plan for the district	publication of the Strategic Economic Plan for	Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	YN	I Y	There is no requirement to prepare or review NDP and even if they do it is not mandatory for them to allocate sites to meet need, Obsidian suggests that the Council cannot rely on new NDP coming forward or current NDP being reviewed in order to meet the needs of these communities.		Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	YN	I N	The wording is vague, and the justification for settlements being placed in certain "classifications" is not clear, and not justified in evidence. Cropredy should be listed as a Service Village	The housing needs are greater than identified in the Local Plan. A more positive and specific spatial strategy is required. Development should be supported at all levels of the hierarchy. Cropredy should be listed as a Service Village	Υ	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Soling Swill Die	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N N	I N	c s	The proposed spatial strategy abandons the needs of the rural ommunities, fails to recognise the need for a range of site types and izes to fulfil a sustainable development trajectory across the plan period	The Spatial Strategy needs to be reviewed to accommodate the increased housing needs in the area, and the expected unmet need from Oxford. Suggest allocate development sites across the tiers of the 'settlement hierarchy or through Neighbourhood Plan	Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N	J		his figure is only 63% of the Local Housing Need figure of 1,118, not the 81.5% suggested		Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	Local Plan	Vision	N	I N		leeds more explanation on how development needs of the districts and its neighbours) will be met over the next 18 years	The vision must explicitly recognise the need to meet locally assessed housing need. It should place a more substantial emphasis on the need to deliver affordable housing across the districts and to improve affordability of housing across the Local Plan area, particularly where there has been a locally identified need and a historic underdelivery of new homes	Y	
LPR-D-262	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Cropedy	HENA				F e	upport the moving away of HENA for housing need purposes. However this does not mean that the economic forecasting was entirely inaccurate, or that there is not an 'in principle' reason to onsider an uplift in the LHN to support economic growth		Y	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Duty to Cooperate		N	J	C ii	Concern that the discussion on the matter of housing need with Oxford City have ceased. Minutes of meetings etc should be produced in evidence, it is not sufficient to rely on tables of summaries and outputs from meetings.		Y	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Objectives	N N	I N	N T a e c	The objectives proposed in the Local Plan appear to be appropriate, lbeit that some may be difficult to achieve, either through a lack of genuine opportunity to deliver the objectives	The Councils must create a more positive and proactive strategy to meet the needs of their communities. The objectives of the Local Plan should be to foster and grow a vision for meeting increasing housing needs and seeking to alleviate — at a local level at least — the housing and affordability crisis	Y	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Omission Site	N	J		romoting Land North of Merton Road, Ambrosden; HELAA376 (95 wellings, 4.5 ha) for housing site. Appended layout for the site		Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Plan Period	N	J	2	Object the standard method which takes account of past supply (from 020) through the affordability uplift to determine housing needs noving forward.	It should start in 2024 the year in which the assessment was calculated.	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	I N	N C is t t	Object roll-forwarding Oxford Unmet's Need number to 2042. There is no discussion in the Local Plan about the potential for uplifts from the local housing need figure to help meet specific housing needs of the community (e.g. for Affordable Housing). Signpost a table related with Affordable Housing delivery in the district - suggest that the lousing requirement to be increased	Change the requirement to 31,190 dwellings. See reps for full calculation. Early review after adoption	Y	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Y N		Object the policy as does not consider the proposed gaps to be consistent with national policy or justified.	Need to be deleted and any specific landscape character elements of special quality should be covered by COM 11	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas		Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Y N		Policy is very lengthy. potential for overlap (and therefore redundancy) in this policy where is covers matters already considered in other parts of the Local Plan (for example, matters of climate resilience and sustainability).	There should also be reference in the text (or supporting text) to support for, and the use of, developer led design guides.	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	Y N	Υ	Generally support the policy, however question on the future cost on Building Safety Levy that will be introduced later in the year - how it can impact the viability	Consider an uplift the housing requirement as well as the proportion of affordable housing in each site development. Review the cumulative effect in viability assessment	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	YY	Υ	Support the policy		Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation			Support the policy		Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas		Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment			The need for separate policies for each type of heritage assets is questioned, especially where the principles of conservation and enhancement are broadly similar in each case; and second, if there are going to be separate policies they should be set out in the order of statutory hierarchy, to enable efficient decision making		Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing	Y N		Welcomes the inclusion in supporting text of proposed Policy COM 4 the number of homes that are required to meet the specialist housing needs of the community	Suggests that the Councils also identify, and where possible allocate, self-contained sites that could also contribute towards meeting these needs. Such sites ensure that there is a mix of provision in different locations and provide greater choice in the market for such homes	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards	Y N	Υ	Does not consider the 10% requirement for M4(3) to be justified.	The policy should be reviewed to better reflect the evidence and the starting point should be 6% unless local circumstances suggest otherwise, or there is a compelling change in the district wide evidence	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	YN		Object large scale major residential developments (of 110+ new homes) will be required to provide 5% of the residential units for self-build homes as the evidence for this is unclear	The approach to self-build should focus on identifying specific sites on which self-build can be delivered rather than including it as part of other sites delivering market and affordable housing	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate	YY	Y	Support this policy		Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Change Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Y		No specific comments to be made on the policy, noting the policy closely follows national policy		Υ	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain		N Y	Objects to a BNG target of more than 10% - does not follow PPG	Should not seek an increase in BNG. Present sufficient robust evidence to support a minimum requirement of 20%	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Y	N Y	Disagrees with the Councils' view that the Future Homes Standard (FHS) is insufficient	Urges the Council to review the draft policy in light of the WMS and ensure consistency with the proposed national standards. if a net zero policy is to be included in the local plan it should require a development to be net zero rather than for individual homes.	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity	Y Y	ΥΥ	Support this policy	Individual homes	Y	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Y	N Y	Questions the need for proposed Policy CSD 25. The proposed policy does not bring any more detail into the decision-making exercise than exiting national policies.	Need to be deleted	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	Y	N Y	No 'in principle' objections to the Councils encouraging targets such as those proposed in the proposed policy, however, it cannot be a requirement of development. The costs identified in the Future Homes Hub (FHH) 'Ready for Zero' report are significantly higher than those in the Councils work, therefore more sensitivity testing is	Suggest amend the text wording	Y	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	Y	N Y	Concern that the fourth and sixth paragraph of CSD 9 when read together will in effect require developers to show that there is capacity within the water and wastewater network to support new development. it to be the responsibility of water companies to provide, and maintain, adequate sewerage infrastructure, and in effect new development has the 'right to connect.'	Paragraphs four and six of the policy should be deleted	Y	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Y	N Y	Question on retaining a focus on existing employment sites, and only seek limited additional employment development, again on many sites already allocated through the previous Local Plan for the district.	The evidence should be reviewed in light of the publication of the Strategic Economic Plan for Oxfordshire.	Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy			There is no requirement to prepare or review NDP and even if they do it is not mandatory for them to allocate sites to meet need, Obsidian suggests that the Council cannot rely on new NDP coming forward or current NDP being reviewed in order to meet the needs of these communities.	The only sound approach is for the Council to allocate sites in the areas identified as part of this local plan to meet identified needs, but also that the 'rural area' should include more settlements from the settlement hierarchy each with a development quantum, and allocations	Y	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy		N		The wording is vague, and the justification for settlements being placed in certain "classifications" is not clear, and not justified in evidence	The housing needs are greater than identified in the Local Plan. A more positive and specific spatial strategy is required. Development should be supported at all levels of the hierarchy.  Cropredy should be listed as a Service Village	Y	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan		Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N	N		The proposed spatial strategy abandons the needs of the rural communities, fails to recognise the need for a range of site types and sizes to fulfil a sustainable development trajectory across the plan period	The Spatial Strategy needs to be reviewed to accommodate the increased housing needs in the area, and the expected unmet need from Oxford. Suggest allocate development sites across the tiers of the 'settlement hierarchy or through Neighbourhood Plan	Y	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements		N		This figure is only 63% of the Local Housing Need figure of 1,118, not the 81.5% suggested		Υ	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	Local Plan	Vision	N	N		Needed more explanation on how development needs of the districts (and its neighbours) will be met over the next 18 years	The vision must explicitly recognise the need to meet locally assessed housing need. It should place a more substantial emphasis on the need to deliver affordable housing across the districts and to improve affordability of housing across the Local Plan area, particularly where there has been a locally identified need and a historic underdelivery of new homes	Y	
LPR-D-263	Peter Canavan	Carter Jonas	Obsidian Strategic - Ambrosden	HENA					Support the moving away of HENA for housing need purposes. However this does not mean that the economic forecasting was entirely inaccurate, or that there is not an 'in principle' reason to consider an uplift in the LHN to support economic growth		Υ	
LPR-D-264	James and Alicia Breffit			Local Plan	Appendix 4				Appendix 4 map is not clear and await amendment to strategic gaps map to not affect their property under the Heyford Park-Caulcott strategic gap area	Request both map changes		
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	HELAA	Evidence based documents	N	N		Object that HELAA086 is not suitable, achievable, or available for employment/resi uses. However, this assessment is contrary to the proven position already determined through the planning process, particularly having regard to the type and scale of development established/approved at Bicester Motion. Object that HELAA0368 is not suitable, achievable, or available for employment/resi uses - mention the positive outcome from pre-apps		Y	The strategic importance of the stakeholder and site is recognised through the site-specific policy allocation in the emerging Cherwell Local Plan 2042.
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	ENA 2024		N	N		Object that the amount of allocated employment land is at the lower end of the range recommended by the Council's evidence		Υ	The strategic importance of the stakeholder and site is recognised through the site-specific policy allocation in the emerging Cherwell Local Plan 2042.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	hanges Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	Omission Site		N N		Submitted an employment site for Bicester Motion	Y		The strategic importance of the stakeholder and site is recognised through the site-specific policy allocation in the emerging Cherwell Local Plan 2042.
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area			The policy unduly limits the delivery of The Reserve in respect of land use			
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy BIC 6: Former RAF Bicester	N N		Suggest to rename this as "Bicester Motion". Suggest Policy BIC 6 to enable the provision of residential development at the Bicester Motion. Absence of the spatial map designation. Policy and supporting text refer to Elm Farm Quarry rather than Stratton Audley Quarry	Y		The strategic importance of the stakeholder and site is recognised through the site-specific policy allocation in the emerging Cherwell Local Plan 2042.
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N		Under-provision of housing. A housing need shortfall in excess of 200 dwellings p.a from standard method is significant and will apply serious pressure on the future provision of housing through the Local Plan review process. Mention the 5YHLS of 2.3 years	Y		The strategic importance of the stakeholder and site is recognised through the site-specific policy allocation in the emerging Cherwell Local Plan 2042.
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			The policy severely restricts the implementation of the Bicester Motion plan and is at odds with the established land uses			
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain			The policy severely restricts the implementation of the Bicester Motion plan and is at odds with the established land uses			
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps				uggest to amend the requirement in Appendix 4. Yee reps in full		The strategic importance of the stakeholder and site is recognised through the site-specific policy allocation in the emerging Cherwell Local Plan 2042.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	N	N		Object to this policy. State policy significantly restricts development in Cherwell, restricts the implementation of the Bicester Motion plan, and negatively impacts the attractiveness of Cherwell	Suggest the wording is amended to enable offsite BNG provision through use of biodiversity units beyond the local/country level, to enable "compensation" as necessary, for the NRN Map to reflect all recent implemented and approved development, and to reconsider the 20 BNG uplift.	Y	The strategic importance of the stakeholder and site is recognised through the site-specific policy allocation in the emerging Cherwell Local Plan 2042.
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Ζ	N		Object to this policy as 20% BNG is not considered feasible. State policy significantly restricts development in Cherwell, restricts the implementation of the Bicester Motion plan, and negatively impacts the attractiveness of Cherwell. Note that NRN Map is not updated to reflect implementation of the Ranges or approval of the Rushes. 12	Suggest the wording is amended to enable offsite BNG provision through use of biodiversity units beyond the local/country level, to enable "compensation" as necessary, for the NRN Map to reflect all recent implemented and approved development, and to reconsider the 20 BNG uplift.	Y	The strategic importance of the stakeholder and site is recognised through the site-specific policy allocation in the emerging Cherwell Local Plan 2042.
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars		Sustainability Appraisal					The sustainability appraisal does not have full regard to the current and planned transport improvements to the site and local highway			
LPR-D-265	Alex Edge	Edgars	Bicester Motion Ltd	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N	N		networks The emerging Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 (Regulation 19) maintains the positive vision and strategy which Bicester Motion support		Y	The strategic importance of the stakeholder and site is recognised through the site-specific policy allocation in the emerging Cherwell Local Plan 2042.
LPR-D-266	Eleanor Gingell	Smith Jenkins Planning &	Strategic	Omission Site					Comments on extension of North West Bicester (LPR34) for an initial c.500 dwellings and further two phases which could be developable			
LPR-D-266	Eleanor Gingell	Heritage Smith Jenkins Planning & Heritage	Land Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Υ	N	Υ	within the plan period (around 1.300 homes) Consider that a first phase at BIC H1 of circa 500 homes could be deliverable within the plan period. However, neither the plan nor the Housing Topic Paper provide a detailed breakdown of the supply over the plan period	Requests that the policy is expressed as a minimum. Also to include the anticipated delivery on the retained policies to ensure that the is clear coordination between the sites	Y	As an allocated site, considers it necessary to ensure that the Inspector can understand the challenges to delivery and the collaborative work that has been undertaken within the town to unlock development
LPR-D-266	Eleanor Gingell	Smith Jenkins Planning & Heritage	Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester	Υ	N		Suggests for masterplans and design codes to be submitted with each application to show how it will connect with neighbouring development parcels; queries how the 40% Green Infrastructure/Open Space requirement can be met; and concerns retransport infrastructure and viability	Suggests several changes to policy wording, see rep for full suggestions	Y	To discuss concerns and raise solutions
LPR-D-266	Eleanor Gingell	Smith Jenkins Planning & Heritage	Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Υ	N		Development in accordance with the settlement hierarchy should not be limited and, as such, figures for delivery at Northwest Bicester should be expressed as minimums	Suggests amending wording and preparation of a policy for an early review, see rep for suggested changes	Y	To ensure that the plan and its assumptions are robust and ensure that the full potential of Northwest Bicester is understood

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Collibiles with Dic	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-266	Eleanor Gingell	Smith Jenkins Planning & Heritage	Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Y	I Y	The policy should be reviewed to ensure it doesn't exceed the exemption tests within National Green Belt policy. There are areas of the Bicester-Bucknell gap which could be removed around Crowmarsh Farm without it having impacts on the purposes of designation, and note limitation when cross-referenced with CSD 6	Policy should be amended to state that proposals for renewable energy generation in accordance with policy CSD6 would be acceptable, and suggests amendments to gap between Bucknell and NW Bicester	Υ	To explore the implications for the Strategic Gaps on the deliverability of Land at Crowmarsh Farm and other policies within the plan
LPR-D-266	Eleanor Gingell	Smith Jenkins Planning & Heritage	Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	YN	I Y	The principle is supported, but consider 20% requirement not justified nor consistent with PPG	Suggests amending rep to delete all text in first paragraph of policy text aside from "At least 20% biodiversity net gain will be sought in the Nature Recovery Network Core and Recovery zones"	Υ	To articulate the viability considerations on Land at Crowmarsh Farm and the cumulative impact of other policies on the site
LPR-D-266	Eleanor Gingell	Smith Jenkins Planning & Heritage	Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Y	I Y	The policy must be carefully evidenced to ensure that the plan remains viable; finds the policy negatively wording; supports establishing the energy hierarchy within policy; queries lack of evidence for the CLP to seek a reduction of 63% regulated emissions on-site through energy efficiency and notes it does not factor in costs	Policy CDS2 needs to be fully evidenced, and the viability tested to understand the costs on all development proposals across the district; suggest additional policy; where full compliance is not feasible or viable the policy should be more flexible; and benefits of on-site energy generation should also be realised	Y	Policy could have significant implications for the deliverability and viability for allocated sites and promote Land at Crowmarsh Farm
LPR-D-266	Eleanor Gingell	Smith Jenkins Planning & Heritage	Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Y Y	Y	In full support, comments on extension to NW Bicester through the allocation of Crowmarsh Farm		Υ	Wish to fully explore the spatial hierarchy to ensure that the strategy and allocations properly reflect the objectives. Also wish to expand on evidence relating to delivery around NW Bicester
LPR-D-266	Eleanor Gingell	Smith Jenkins Planning & Heritage	Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Vision and Objectives	Y		In support of the vision and objectives, but flexibility is required	Suggests minor text amendments and signposting from the district wide objectives to the 'Place' objectives	Y	Would like to appear should the vision and objectives be linked to other matters such as legal soundness
LPR-D-266	Eleanor Gingell	Smith Jenkins Planning & Heritage	Ainscough Strategic Land	Viability		Y	I Y	Concerns regarding viability in relation to the robustness of the assumptions made within the Whole Plan Viability Assessment, particularly relating to CSD12, CSD2, and BIC H1. See rep for further detail	Suggests a bespoke appraisal of the site and an agreement from the landowners and developers from across the allocation on the relevant costs, and would welcome the opportunity to enter into a Statement of Common Ground for the assessment of BIC H1	Υ	As promoter of Land at Crowmarsh Farm, to discuss points raised
LPR-D-267	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co		Heritage Impact Assessment	Evidence based documents	N N	J N	Disagrees that the case for a 'medium level of effect' on the significance [sic] of the WHS arising from development on the		Υ	
LPR-D-267	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	Estate The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	I N	proposed allocation has been adequately made out Considers there are not enough housing allocations - not meeting transitional arrangements		Υ	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-267	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	YY	/ Y		Support the policy. there is a significant disconnect between Kidlington's position within the district, and the chronic track record of under delivery of housing within and around Kidlington based on housing completions. KID H1 is therefore critical		Y	
LPR-D-267	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock	N N	N N		Support the allocation as it is a sustainable location. However there are some disagreement with the requirements such as the density, planning obligation, inflexible policies, etc	See reps for full suggestion	Υ	
LPR-D-267	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	,			Support the policy		Υ	
LPR-D-267	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	The Blenheim Estate	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements				The plan achieves total housing land supply of 24,587 which translates to 918 dpa / 82% - but it includes Oxford's unmet need			
LPR-D-267	Pearce Gunne-Jones	tor&co	The Blenheim Estate	Sustainability Appraisal		N N	N N		Generally agree with the assessment but there are some notes in terms of landscape and heritage assessment		Υ	
LPR-D-268	Alison Walker	Croudace Homes		Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents	N N	N N		Question on the SoCG or any documentary evidence as part of the consultation, especially in light of unmet need of Oxford City.  Considers the duty has not been complied with			
LPR-D-268	Alison Walker	Croudace Homes		Local Plan	Housing numbers				Object rolling forward the 4,400 unmet needs beyond 2031. Not justified. Question on the current 5YHLS in AMR 2024 and how to solve the shortfall.			
LPR-D-268	Alison Walker	Croudace Homes		Omission Site					Appended a housing proposal at Land South of Cassingrton Rd, Yarnton (23 ha, approx. 400 homes)			
LPR-D-268	Alison Walker	Croudace Homes		Local Plan	Others- General	N N	N		A new review of the local plan for Cherwell District should now be undertaken and consideration given to a new programme for progress on a new local plan in light of this submission	Include a policy requiring an immediate review		
LPR-D-268	Alison Walker	Croudace Homes		Local Plan	Plan Period	N N	N N		Object the period - should not look back 5-6 years from the projected adoption			
LPR-D-268	Alison Walker	Croudace Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 12: The Oxford Green Belt				Question on why a comprehensive Green Belt Review study hasn't been undertaken			
LPR-D-268	Alison Walker	Croudace Homes		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N	N N		Considers that the council is rushing the LP to meet the transitional arrangements - not include the issue of unmet need and economic growth objectives of Cherwell and Oxfordshire as a whole			
LPR-D-269	Karen Barnes	Turley	Ainscough Strategic Land	Omission Site		N	N		Submitted Land east of Barford Road, Bloxham. Appended site plan and supporting document		Y	The Plan does not provide enough sites to provide sufficient housing supply. The site should be included as a proposed resi allocation
LPR-D-269	Karen Barnes	Turley	Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	N N		Object to the spread the Oxford's Unmet need requirement out across the whole Plan period i.e. up to 2042. Question on the recent 5YHLS of 2.3 years in the AMR and the ability of CDC to deliver the supply of housing		Y	The Plan does not provide enough sites to provide sufficient housing supply. The site should be included as a proposed resi allocation

REP ID Number	Name	_	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-269	Karen Barnes	·	Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	N N	N	it is considered that the Plan should allow for increased housing requirement across the rural areas, targeted at the most sustainable Category A settlements as supported by the evidence base		Y	The Plan does not provide enough sites to provide sufficient housing supply. The site should be included as a proposed resi allocation
LPR-D-269	Karen Barnes		Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N N	N	Agree with the settlement hierarchy. Object restricts housing delivery within the built-up limits and allows development beyond these limits only for allocated sites either in the Local Plan or through Neighbourhood Plans for Cat A Villages	Change the policy "Development beyond built-up limits of the settlement only permitted on sites allocated within the Development Plan or in accordance with Policies RUR 2 to 5, or, where it can be demonstrated at development management stage that the benefits of the development outweigh any adverse impacts	Υ	The Plan does not provide enough sites to provide sufficient housing supply. The site should be included as a proposed resi allocation
LPR-D-269	Karen Barnes		Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Z Z	N	Generally support the strategy. Suggest to acknowledge the important role that rural areas play in delivering sustainable growth		Y	The Plan does not provide enough sites to provide sufficient housing supply. The site should be included as a proposed resi allocation
LPR-D-269	Karen Barnes		Ainscough Strategic Land	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N	l N	Doesn't meet the transitional arrangement - housing numbers include Oxford's Unmet Need, so it only has 63.5% of LHN	The overall housing requirement should instead be 24,077 homes in order to meet the transitional arrangements	Y	The Plan does not provide enough sites to provide sufficient housing supply. The site should be included as a proposed resi allocation
LPR-D-269	Karen Barnes		Ainscough Strategic Land	Duty to Cooperate		N N	N	Considers that the evidence has not been published to fully demonstrate that the legal DTC with neighbouring authorities has been discharged		Y	The Plan does not provide enough sites to provide sufficient housing supply. The site should be included as a proposed resi allocation
LPR-D-269	Karen Barnes		Ainscough Strategic Land	Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper		N N	ı N	Some corrections needed in the points section - see reps in full		Y	The Plan does not provide enough sites to provide sufficient housing supply. The site should be included as a proposed resi allocation
LPR-D-269	Karen Barnes		Ainscough Strategic Land	HELAA		N N	N	Land east of Barford Road, Bloxham is identified in the HELAA under site reference HELAA504. However the summary for the site does not match the site assessment and therefore on this basis the HELAA is flawed.		Y	The Plan does not provide enough sites to provide sufficient housing supply. The site should be included as a proposed resi allocation
LPR-D-271 LPR-D-271	Joanne Broughton Joanne Broughton	Kirtlington Parish Council Kirtlington Parish Council		Local Plan Local Plan	Policies Map  Policy COM 11: Cherwell Local			Poor quality. Low resolution and does not include settlement gaps  Welcomes the Local Landscape Designation			
	Broughton	COUIICII			Landscape Designations						

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons with DTC	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-271	Joanne Broughton	Kirtlington Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Generally support the policy. However questioning the range of glossary: settlement gaps, green gaps, and strategic gaps. Notes inconsistency in application of policy across the district. Queries why gaps are not proposed between villages, and whether policy could be integrated with COM 10 and COM 12. Suggests criteria to evaluate gaps. Suggests a designated gap between Bletchingdon and			
LPR-D-271 LPR-D-271	Joanne Broughton Joanne	Kirtlington Parish Council Kirtlington Parish		Local Plan Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure Policy CSD 22:			Support the policy. Prefer on-site BNG or off-site provision within the parish  Generally support the policy but concern on how this policy can be			
	Broughton	Council			Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements			achieved. Suggest several issues to be included, such as traffic calming, air quality, bus services and safer roads			
LPR-D-271	Joanne Broughton	Kirtlington Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Object the policy - no natural field, or other boundary along the northern edge of the proposed allocation of RUR H1. Concern on the visual openness	Request to strengthen key design requirements items 4, 6, 10. Believes, generally, allocating sites in the Green Belt must now be considered		
LPR-D-271	Joanne Broughton	Kirtlington Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Questioning the housing numbers allocated to Mid Cherwell - 100 instead of 71 in the current NP. Question the location as in HELAA there are only 9 sites			
LPR-D-271	Joanne Broughton	Kirtlington Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Support categorisation of Kirtlington as a Category B village. Concern on the topic paper containing out of date / inaccurate information			
LPR-D-271	Joanne Broughton	Kirtlington Parish Council		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Support the strategy. However notes concern on the encroachment of towns on to green field sites and towards villages			
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Local Plan	Appendix 10 Glossary			Request to have the full legal definition of BOB ICB included within the glossary.	Request to add the following to the glossary - NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire and Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB) - a statutory NHS organisation which was established on the 1st July 2022 by the Integrated Care Boards (Establishment) Order 2022 and has the delegated function of commissioning of primary care services.	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy			i) would object to new healthcare facility in Banbury if it was not financially and operationally viable to the ICB. ii)Text in para 4.34 is incorrect which states 'Oxfordshire ICB'	1	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Local Plan	Policy BAN 5: Horton Hospital Site			Notes the policy and the Council support for primary care facilities in Banbury & at the Horton Hospital site. The ICB has no plan for any onsite primary care provisions at Horton Hospital site. The ICB will continue to work with their NHS Partner - the Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust (OUHT) to see if there is any potential to have an onsite primary care provision at the improved Horton Hospital.		Not stated	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)			Object to policy, require revised text. Proposed development would generate significant pressure on exisitng local GP practices. Supports bullet point related to primary health care.	1	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Local Plan	Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street			Objects to the Policy unless the Policy text is amended to the proposed ICB text. The proposed development would create significant pressure on existing local GP practices. Does not cross refer to Policy COM17.	To revise the Policy text as per the ICB Reg 19 rep: "Primary Care – Opportunity to provide offsite developer contributions towards primary care. The actual amount of the contributions would be based on the quantum of the proposed development and should make reference to the Council Developer Obligation Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) or any successor documents." and requests reference to Policy COM17.	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside			ICB object to the policy unless suggested amendments are made. The ICB would not agree to any proposed onsite healthcare provision if it is not financially & operationally viable. ICB proposes revised policy text.  Notes the bullet point relating to primary healthcare on pg. 190. ICB does not consider that 700 dwellings could support a new standalone healthcare facility unless its a relocation of an existing GP practice or co-location of GP practices. ICB expects a "turnkey" healthcare facility.	Proposed new text to Policy BAN M/U1, to specify that facility should be viable for BOB-ICB; to state that developers are expected to undertake preproject work at their own expenses subject to BOB-ICB's agreement re. size of facility; to set expectations for 'turnkey' facilities; and to state that contributions should be based on development's quantum and should reference Council Developer Obligation SPD. See rep for full suggested text		
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road			Objects to the Policy unless the Policy text is amended to the proposed ICB text. The proposed development would create significant pressure on existing local GP practices. Does not cross refer to Policy COM17.	To revise the Policy text as per the ICB Reg 19 rep. The ICB requests that a bullet point is added to the Policy to include an off-site financial contribution towards primary care and requests specific policy text.	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Potential to have a new healthcare facility ay North -West Bicester. Any new provision must be financially & operationally viable to the ICB. The ICB would object to any proposed developments if they are not financially & operationally viable.		Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Due to the further 4300 new dwellings due to be delivered beyond the plan period i.e. after 2042, BOB ICB reinstates the need for an onsite primary healthcare facility to ensure an adequate GP service.		Not stated	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Object to Policy unless it is reworded as per ICB text. To note the ICB has already secured a site to provide healthcare services & some developer contributions at Himley Village site at NW Bicester, although a new facility may not be viable in the current economic climate. Request that policy is revised to allow for flexibility of delivery. According to the extant planning obligation which is attached to the outline planning permission 14/02121/OUT, the obligation secures a developer contribution of £441,078.22 (index linked) towards the provision of a local health facility and a site comprising no less than 0.2 ha to deliver a health facility. Request that a turnkey facility is built and transferred to the IDP subject to a subsidised rent with any nominated GP practice. The rep requests amendments to BAN H1 but it is considered that they mean BIC H1 (NW Bicester).	To reword Policy to proposed ICB text in Reg 19 Rep for BIC H1 but note it states changes to BAN H1 by mistake on page 10 of their rep.	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Does not object to the 20,042 proposed dwellings / 911 per annum during the plan period as long as the Local Plan ensures adequate healthcare provision & capacity.		Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Local Plan	Policy COM 17: Health Facilities	N		BOB ICB text. Supports the dedicated policy & paras 3.331 & 3.332. Welcomes concept of co-location but states the policy lacks clarity on implementation e.g. buildings being co-shared with other service providers if they have different time frames in their service contracts.	a) to amend the text to the proposed ICB text in their Reg 19 rep, to state that provision of new or extension of existing health facilities should be supported only if agreed by BOB-ICB, that developers should carry out pre-project work at their own expenses, and contributions should be based on the Council Developer Obligation SPD. New GP surgeries must be viable; delivered in accordance with agreed pre-project work and Department of Health Building Note 11-01; located with a choice of travel means; completed by developers, including associated infrastructure; and they may need to be cofunded by other local developments. b) to set out clearly the considerations of the provision of primary healthcare services for new housing developers and the need for developers to work with the ICB at the pre app stage. c) to provide further details on co-location d) thinks the LPA should draft an SPD on co-location and how to coordinate the provision of services with different providers	Not stated	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	N		Welcomes dedicated policy on infrastructure.  i) Objects to the existing Policy as the IDP does not contain the required previous ICB submission to the IDP.  ii) ICB also notes that CDC are planning to adopt CIL and would like to be a recipient and proposes it receives 20% of CIL for primary care.	1. Requested to add to the IDP and the IFS the BOB ICB text which is attached to the Reg 19 BOB ICB rep in  Appendix I - ICB's text on GP Services and b)  Appendix II - BOB ICB text relating to health.  2. Request for inclusion within the future CDC CIL and request for 20% for BOB ICB primary care.	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing	N		ICB BOB welcomes a dedicated policy for specialist housing for older people but the policy fails the tests of soundness. Policy should apply to all housing sites when there is an element of specialist housing. Objects to the policy unless it is amended to require a Health Impact Assessment and agree provision with the ICB if onsite healthcare will provide NHS GP services, and make further suggested amendments.	For Policy COM4 to be amended & refer to the proposed ICB policy text in their Reg 19 rep. a) to contain additional text that recognises the impact of specialist housing for the elderly and the vulnerable including those with limited mobility impacts NHS healthcare services & ensures developers mitigate their impact on healthcare services including on local G.P. Services. b) needs to apply to all housing developments not just those over 400+ c) the policy should requirement for a heath impact assessment d) developer should undertaken pre application discussions with the relevant NHS healthcare providers e.g. if a proposal intends to provide on site healthcare on site	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy			Potential to have a new healthcare facility at Kidlington. Any new provision must be financially and operationally viable or the BOB ICB would object if it was not.		Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Objects to policy unless it is reworded as per ICB BOB proposed text. Notes bullet point relating to healthcare. ICB considers the proposed 450 dwellings would not be able to support a standalone new healthcare facility, unless it was a relocation of an existing GP practice or a co-location of practices. ICB requires a turnkey healthcare facility to be built and transferred to the ICB, and expect that developers commission a pre-project work identifying require size, at developers' expense. ICB would raise an objection if facility is not financially & operationally viable.	Request to reword Policy, to specify that facility should be viable for BOB-ICB; to state that developers are expected to undertake pre-project work at their own expenses subject to BOB-ICB's agreement re. size of facility; to set expectations for 'turnkey' facilities; and to state that contributions should be based on development's quantum and should reference Council Developer Obligation SPD. See rep for full suggested text	Not stated	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy			ICB BOB considers developer contributions should be secured for offsite mitigations to accommodate additional GP services but not limited to improving, expanding or upgrading the existing GP practices in the local area. Contributions to be based on the Council's Developer Contributions SPD or any successor documents.		Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Object to Policy RUR H1 unless it is amended to the proposed BOB ICB text. Proposed development would add pressure to the existing GP services. ICB would like the addition of a bullet point to be added to the policy to include an off site financial contribution towards primary care.	proposed text from BOB ICB refer to page 11 of their Reg 19 rep.	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Infrastructure Delivery Plan				IDP and IFS as well - As 4300 new dwellings due to be delivered beyond the plan period i.e. after 2042 BOB ICB reinstates the need for an onsite primary healthcare facility incl G.P.		Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Infrastructure Delivery Plan		N		IDP and IFS some ICB elements are out of date. Some of the updated text for the IDP and IFS provided by BOB ICB to LUC consultants on the 21st October 2024 is not in the document. The BOB ICB does not consider the Local Plan to be sound until this additional text is added to the IDP and the Infrastructure Schedule	Requested to add to the IDP and the IFS the BOB ICB text which is attached to the Reg 19 BOB ICB rep in  a) Appendix I - ICB's text on GP Services and b) Appendix II - BOB ICB text relating to health.	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)		Infrastructure Delivery Plan		N		ICB BOB state that the Local Plan is not sound as the IDP is not up to date	Requested to add to the IDP and the IFS the BOB ICB text which is attached to the 25th February 2025 Reg 19 BOB ICB rep and which BOB ICB stated they emailed to LUC on the 21st October 2024  a) Appendix I - ICB's text on GP Services and b) Appendix II - BOB ICB text relating to health.	Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Agrees and supports the spatial strategies. They can only be achieved if there is adequate provision of primary care services.		Not stated	
LPR-D-272	Jeffrey Ng	NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire & Berkshire West Integrated Care		Local Plan	Theme 3 Building Healthy and Sustainable Communities			Supports the reference to provision of infrastructure. This can only be achieved if there is adequate provision of primary care services, including appropriate primary care mitigations to be secured in any new developments.		Not stated	
LPR-D-273	Diane Bohm	Weston on the Green Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Y N	Y	This policy will have a detrimental effect on the population living outside of Bicester. Notes current traffic issues in Weston on the Green. The provision of employment, housing and leisure facilities will increase the traffic along rural roads, particularly that planned along the A41.		N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Objectives			1	"SO7 Favour the retention of buildings where possible and promote the adaptation of existing buildings for carbon and energy efficiency, including a whole building approach when adapting historic buildings"  "SO14 Protect and enhance the historic environment, including designated and non-designated heritage assets, foster the appreciation of the District's cultural heritage and promote inclusive access where appropriate."	Y	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			3.319 Support for building retention at paragraph 3.319 can also be considered an important example of the circular economy principles			
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			3.388. focus on protecting and enhancing heritage significance rather than just on fabric	"The conservation of our historic environment is key to protecting and enhancing the character of the district and ensuring that it continues to be an attractive place to live and work. It is essential that the significance of heritage assets is protected and enhanced whilst contributing towards the objectives in this Plan."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			3.3.91 amendments sought to acknowledge that Scheduled Monuments are a type of archaeological remains and that Registered Parks and Gardens and Registered Battlefields do not enjoy statutory protection.	"The NPPF uses the term 'heritage asset' which can be designated or nondesignated. Examples of these are scheduled monuments, listed buildings, historic farmsteads, conservation areas, registered parks and gardens, registered battlefields and non-designated archaeological remains. Throughout Cherwell, there are numerous designated heritage assets, which are protected through national policy and legislation"		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			3.3.96 Additional detail requested regarding Heritage at Risk Register	"Historic England also publish a national 'Heritage at Risk' register which contains Grade I and II* listed buildings or structures known to be 'at risk' through neglect and delay. The national Register also includes Grade II Places of Worship at risk across England."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			3.399 typo	"Non-designated heritage assets of archaeological interest, which have equivalent significant to scheduled monuments, should be considered against policies for designated heritage assets"		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			i i i i i i	3.400 Expand the relationship between assessment of heritage impacts and landscape and visual impact assessment (LVIA). Without a little further explanation, policy COM 26 as worded could result in these assessments inappropriately being integrated into one, blurring the focus of both exercise. hey are separate, though linked. Visual impact can form part of an assessment of heritage impacts, but it is also possible to enhance the townscape to the detriment of heritage significance. The potential for these assessments to be combined increases with the wording of some of the allocation policies, on which we comment below.			
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph				4.54 Expand the paragraph to refer to the need for applicants to assess the impacts on setting	"A landscape buffer is also required on the eastern boundary of the site to protect the setting of the listed Wykham Farmhouse, informed by proportionate heritage assessment."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph				4.58 insert reference to conservation area appraisal, as the appraisal nighlights details such as opportunity to enhance edges of site and connect with local assets, such as Ebeneezer Cathedral	"The Council will support comprehensive and sensitive residential-led redevelopment proposals that incorporate the rebuilding of the frontages to Calthorpe Street and Marlborough Road. Proposals must preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the Banbury Conservation Area informed by its Conservation Area Appraisal."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			4	4.62 Add additional text to summarise the historic context of the site	"4.62 The site lies immediately adjacent to and partially intersects with Banbury Conservation Area. Proposals must preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the Conservation Area informed by its Conservation Area Appraisal. It includes the Grade II 34 North Bar Street (Trelawn) and is adjacent to multiple listed buildings, including the Grade II* 47 and 48 Parson's Street and Grade II* 47 North Bar Street. The site offers scope to enhance the setting and views from Grade II The Three Pidgeons Public House and the Grade II Castle House."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph				5.25 Refer to heritage assets rather than historic assets. Explain main neritage issue that needs to be tackled by the proposed perimeter road.	Suggest adding: " The scheme should avoid and minimise harm to the Scheduled Monuments associated with the Alchester Roman site, also taking into account any impacts on their setting. Scheduled Monument Consent will be needed if a resulting proposal affecting the Roman site constitutes 'works', as defined by the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological areas Act 1979."		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			6.41 and 6.42 These two paragraphs need to be reviewed (i.e. regarding how they relate to each other) and potentially combined. Within any such review, paragraph 6.21 refers to "the Scheduled Ancient Monument" when it would be clearer to refer to the Blenheim villa Scheduled Monument (also aligning with NPPF terminology).			
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			6.115 request revised wording to clarify the relationship between the HIA and addendum	"The HIA was supplemented with more detailed assessment of how the site's potential development might impact on the Outstanding Universal Value of Blenheim Palace World Heritage Site (WHS), taking into account the Guidance and Toolkit for Impact Assessments in a World Heritage Context and the reasons for its inscription in the WHS list."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			6.118 clarify the intent behind the advice linked with this plan	"To consider this site for inclusion in this local plan, Historic England recommended the preparation of a proportionate Heritage Impact Assessment and encouraged the Council to draw from the heritage and mitigation evidence prepared in support of the Cherwell Local Part 1 Partial Review in relation to Land South-East of Woodstock.		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			6.119 This text is relevant in the context of a previous planning application and much of this text should be deleted. Intervisibility is important, but more importantly, the supporting text should emphasise the experience of those approaching along the A44 from Oxford and view the WHS set in the countryside. The final paragraph is a separate point to HE advice, so they are not suggesting deletion of this.	We suggest deleting most of this paragraph, retaining only the following: "In preparing the Local Plan Review 2042 we have reassessed the landscape impact of this site and in compliance with Policy COM 10 development proposals are required to provide a landscape and visual impact assessment."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			6.120 Expand paragraph to include opportunity through any development to foster appreciation and understanding of the Scheduled Monument	"These documents and their recommendations inform Policy KID H1 to ensure that development proposals address the WHS outstanding universal value and do not harm the WHS and its setting. They also help ensure the layout of the proposal and conservation management plan sustain the significance secure the future of the Scheduled Monument on site and through appropriate interpretation support its understanding and appreciation."		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Reason(s) for participation  Participation in
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph				Heyford Park will continue to make a significant contribution to delivering homes and jobs in Cherwell during the lifetime of this Plan. By 2042:  • "The area's sensitive natural and historic environment will have been preserved, the importance of its heritage legacy will have been recognised, protected and better appreciated enhanced, and environmental improvement of the former airbase will have been secured	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph		1	Add more detail on reuse of existing structures and the threat posed by additional employment development	"The site has been divided into three main functional character areas in the Conservation Area Appraisal for Upper Heyford: the main flying field, and a technical site to the north of Camp Road, and the residential area that is primarily to the south of Camp Road. The flying field represents the core area of historic significance and is of national significance due to its cold war associations. The continued, sustainable use of structures across the site is remarkable, and represents an exemplary approach to heritage conservation that sees heritage structures used and maintained (following sensitive intervention) and minimal addition to this historic landscape. Building housing or additional structures for employment use on the flying field is not compatible with sustaining the flying field's significance."	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			7.13 Acknowledge that the Planning Brief is a SPD and CAA are separate documents.	"The 2007 RAF Upper Heyford Planning Brief Supplementary Planning Document and the RAF Upper Heyford Conservation Area Appraisal (2006) are still a relevant documents"	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Paragraph			7.14 Clarify what is expected when implementing Policy Villages 5	"7.14 Any new proposals within the Heyford Area will therefore need to take account of important views and vistas, avoid coalescence with neighbouring settlements, seek to limit of the impact of development on the surrounding countryside and preserve and enhance the historic environment of this site as articulated in Policy Villages 5, retaining the Cold War character of the flying field while ensuring it has a sustainable use."  We suggest adding a new paragraph as follows: "7.15. The policy map supporting the implementation of Policy Villages 5 provides for additional development through a combination of the intensification of the density of development proposed on the less sensitive previously developed parts of the site, and new, limited, greenfield development in additional development areas shown on an inset map for Policy Villages 5."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policies Map			The colour coding in the Policies Map needs to be checked, in particular regarding Scheduled Monuments, which are listed on several occasions in bright red, but this is not the colour in which they're shown on the maps themselves.			
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	Υ					
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BAN 5: Horton Hospital Site	Υ					
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BAN 6: Banbury Opportunity Areas	Y					
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)			Support design requirement but recommend liaison with Council's archaeological adviser on the approach to remains.	5. Appropriate landscape buffer to protect the setting of the Grade II listed Wykham Farmhouse" "6. Archaeological desk-based assessment will be required and potentially field evaluation to avoid and minimise harm to archaeological remains Developable area to be pulled back from areas of archaeological interest to ensure no adverse impacts."		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street			Policy needs greater clarity in its approach to heritage assets- e.g., reference to Calthorpe Manor.	"Site specific requirements  • Public realm and built frontages that address and integrate with Calthorpe Street and Marlborough Road, and accommodate views of St Mary's Church  • New public realm and pedestrian permeability including the provision of a pedestrian and cycling link between Calthorpe Street and Marlborough Road through the site  • The setting of the Grade II* listed Danvers House/Calthorpe House is protected and enhanced  • Development proposals will preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the Banbury Conservation Area, its massing being sensitive to the grain and scale of the area Archaeological desk-based assessment will be required and potentially field evaluation to avoid and minimise harm to archaeological remains  • Contributions towards primary and secondary school provision."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside			No object to allocation, but concerns about wording which loses the opportunity to benefit from the Council's HIA.	Recommend making more use of the HIA, including reference to the key characteristics of the Oxford Canal Conservation Area, and reference to need for further investigation. Recommend removing repetition and referring to important rather than valuable historic structures, as well as retaining some green space. See rep for full suggested wording.		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road			Edit the policy to consider the impact of development on the setting of adjacent heritage assets, and add clarity. Reference to Grade II building to west of site could be misinterpreted. Liaise with Council's archaeological adviser to ensure appropriate wording.	"Residential development that is designed to a very high standard to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of the Banbury Conservation Area. The design should respect the historical grain of the adjoining areas, retain the Grade II listed building Trelawn and conserve or enhance the setting of adjacent heritage assets"  • "Archaeological desk-based assessment will be required and potentially field evaluation to avoid and minimise harm to archaeological remains		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Y					

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Reasons  Omplies with DTC	Changes Sought	Reason(s) for participation  Participation  hearing(s)
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area		Highlight heritage implications of the proposed route for a south east perimeter road. Doesn't object to the safeguarding of land for the Bicester South East Perimeter Road but expresses concern about potential impacts of the road on Scheduled Monuments Associated with Alchester.		Y
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area		Paragraph 5.25. Refer to heritage rather than historic assets and explain main issue that needs to be tackled by the proposed perimeter road	Careful consideration will need to be given to ecological constraints, heritage assets, the crossing of the railway line, the relationship with employment land and potential impacts on Wendlebury. The scheme should avoid and minimise harm to the Scheduled Monuments associated with the Alchester Roman site, also taking into account any impacts on their setting. Scheduled Monument Consent will be needed if a resulting proposal affecting the Roman site constitutes 'works', as defined by the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological areas Act 1979. The SEPR will be designed"	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BIC 5: Bicester Opportunity Areas	Y			
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BIC 6: Former RAF Bicester	Y			
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40		Welcome requirement for a LVIA and HIA though consider these should be separate rather than combined	"28. Development proposals to be accompanied and influenced by a landscape/visual impact assessment and heritage impact assessment."	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England			Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton			c V	Welcome reference to heritage assets but amend wording to ensure design responds sensitively to the significance of heritage assets.  Welcome requirement for a LVIA and HIA though consider these should be separate rather than combined	Key design requirements  "12. Design and layout which responds sensitively to the significance of heritage assets close to the site. Developable area to be softened and pulled back in the northern extent of the site, to ensure no adverse impacts;"  Planning application requirements  "22. Development proposals to be accompanied and influenced by a landscape/visual impact assessment and heritage impact assessment."  23. Consideration of the cumulative impact of this and the adjacent Bicester E3 allocation on the Chesterton Conservation Area will need to form part of any planning application, including any potential mitigation;  24. A desk-based archaeological investigation and further investigation of archaeological potential through excavation in consultation with County archaeologist"		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England			Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton			c V	Welcome reference to heritage assets but amend wording to ensure design responds sensitively to the significance of heritage assets.  Welcome requirement for a LVIA and HIA though consider these should be separate rather than combined	Key design requirements  "12. Design and layout which responds sensitively to the significance of heritage assets close to the site. Developable area to be softened and pulled back in the northern and eastern extent of the site, management of building height and massing and use of landscaping to strengthen the separation between this site and Chesterton Lodge;"  Planning application requirements  "22. Development proposals to be accompanied and influenced by a landscape/visual impact assessment and heritage impact assessment;  23. Consideration of the cumulative impact of this and the adjacent Bicester E3 allocation on the Chesterton Conservation Area will need to form part of any planning application, including any potential mitigation;  24. A desk-based archaeological investigation and further investigation of archaeological potential through excavation in consultation with County archaeologist;"		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England			Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	1	N	Concerns about bringing forward this site for employment development as the risk of significant residual harm is high. This allocation does not form part of a positive strategy for the historic environment and raise concerns over the new perimeter road required to unlock this site.		Υ	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England			Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	1	N	According to the HIA, the risk of residual harm is high after the application of suitable mitigation strategies and does not present a positive strategy for the historic environment. Implications are to erode the ability appreciate the Scheduled Monument. Encroachment south of railway line could encircle the Scheduled Roman settlement and harm its setting.			
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England			Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park			Welcome requirement for a LVIA and HIA though consider these should be separate rather than combined	"19. Development proposals to be accompanied and influenced by a landscape/visual impact assessment and heritage impact assessment; 20. A desk-based archaeological investigation and further investigation of archaeological potential through excavation in consultation with County archaeologist;"		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England			Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Proposed allocated area is larger than the site assessed in HIA, though requirements for HIA in criterion 26 are helpful. Clarity required. Encourage a policy requiring separate (not combined) LVIA and HIA. Strongly recommend including archaeology.	Key design requirements  "31. The retention and respect for important existing buildings and heritage assets within the site. Development design should incorporate these and respond sensitively to the setting of Grade II listed buildings outside the site;"  "36. Connections with the wider landscape should be reinforced and opportunities for recreational use of the open countryside identified. Development proposals to be accompanied and influenced by a landscape/visual impact assessment and heritage impact assessment;"  "39. Development design should seek to minimise urbanising effects on the Grade II listed Manor House to the north of the site and consider the cumulative impacts of developing this site particularly on the rural setting of buildings in Bucknell and their sense of separation from Bicester."  "X. Archaeological desk-based assessment will be required and potentially field evaluation to avoid and minimise harm to archaeological remains."		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing	Y				Υ	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Distribution Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape			Amend 'reduce' to 'harm'.	vi. Harm the historic significance of the landscapes"		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy COM 12: The Oxford Green Belt	Υ					
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Welcome policy. Suggest reference to heritage assets and include reference to significance	iii. It does not lead to a loss of natural assets or the significance of heritage assets that individually or collectively contribute towards local identity, and"		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Υ					
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment			Amend to reflect significance rather than character. Refer to non-designated, rather than undesignated	"Non-designated Heritage Assets Development affecting a non-designated heritage asset will be permitted where it is designed sympathetically having regard to the significance of the asset, its fabric, character and setting. Where possible, development should seek to enhance the significance of the non-designated heritage asset"  "All Heritage Assets Proposals which would harm the significance of a designated or non-undesignated asset will not be approved, unless there are substantive tangible public benefits that clearly and convincingly outweigh the harm, using the balancing principles set out in national policy and guidance."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas	Y					
LPR-D-274		Historic England		Local Plan	Policy COM 28: Listed Buildings	Y					
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy COM 29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields			There is a single register of Historic Battlefields	"Development should conserve or enhance the special historic interest, character or setting of a battlefield, or park or garden on the Historic England Register of Historic Battlefields or Register of Historic Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest in England."		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy COM 30: The Oxford Canal	Y					
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy COM 7: Sub- Division of Dwellings and Homes in Multiple			Encourage reference to heritage significance as a consideration in the sub-division of historic buildings		Y	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Y				Υ	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	Y				Υ	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Y				Υ	

252.12	Ta.		In /	<u>.</u>	la	IΕΙΩ	Τ_	_		<u> </u>	la ()(
REP ID	Name	Organisation	Person/orga	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	၂င္ပ	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
Number			nisation			a  la	<u>  1</u>			rin	
			represented			8	les			pat g(s)	
						<u>§</u>	≦.				
						lia	₹			j j	
						⊋	무				
							၂ က				
LPR-D-274	Guy Pohinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy CSD 4:		+	Add support for the retention of buildings and acknowledge that	The Council favours the retention of existing	V	
LFN-D-2/4	Guy Kobilisoli	HISTORIC Eligianu		LOCAL FIAIT						'	
					Improving Energy			adapting historic buildings requires a whole building approach.	buildings where possible. Applications relating to		
					and Carbon				an existing building which propose to include		
					Performance in				works that will significantly		
					Existing Buildings				improve the energy and carbon performance of		
				1					that building will be encouraged. This could		
									include, in order of the energy hierarchy:		
									Energy efficiency improvements		
									Switching to lower-carbon energy supply (a		
									direct supply, not simply a renewable tariff)		
									Adding renewable energy generation capacity		
									Adding 'smart' energy features that reduce		
									total or peak grid energy demand, such as		
									demand-side response, smart local grids, or		
									energy storage capacity where there is already		
									renewable energy generation at the site. In most		
									cases, the adaptation of historic buildings for		
									carbon and energy efficiency requires a whole		
									building approach, informed by heritage		
									expertise. Major applications relating to existing		
									buildings (10+ homes or 1,000m2 floorspace),		
									should include an energy statement showing how		
									opportunities for energy and carbon performance		
									improvements have been explored, and		
									implemented where suitable, feasible and viable,		
									with their improvement effect quantified."		
				1							
100 0 071	0 0 1 :				D II 000 5	<del>   ,</del>	+			.,	<u> </u>
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy CSD 5:	Υ				ľ	
			1		Embodied carbon	+	_				
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy CSD 6:	Y				Υ	
					Renewable Energy	++	_				
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy HEY 1:			Support approach to development at Upper Heyford, but would like		Υ	
				1	Heyford Area			clarity on Policy Villages 5 and the flying field. A new inset map is			
			İ		Strategy	1 1	1	needed to avoid confusion.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy			Add line on heritage	"Successful implementation of the approved masterplan (2022)  • Preservation of the area's heritage significance  • Delivery of further transport investment to mitigate impacts on the highway network and support sustainable modes of travel  • Improvements to the range of employment, local community facilities and infrastructure, and further enhancements to sustainable transport options and the connectivity of the area."		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	١	Y				
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England			Policy KID 4: Kidlington Area Strategy - Green and Blue Infrastructure	١	Y				
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Do not object to site allocation. Clarity is required to show the policy will expect the development to avoid and minimise harm to the Outstanding Value (OUV) of the World Heritage Site and sustain the significance of the Scheduled villa and associated remains. This is a separate matter from potential impacts on OUV. There needs to be clearer separation of archaeological and non-archaeological matters in the policy. There is potential harm to the World Heritage Site. The HIA and its addendum do not fully consider all potential effects on the WHS' attributes of OUV. Note potential for harm to WHS, referencing Inspector's Report on partial review plan, and ICOMOS technical review.	Paragraph 6.115 - recommend amendments to clarify relationship between conventional HIA and the supplement undertaken. Paragraph 6.118 - recommend clarifying intent behind HE's advice. Delete paragraph 6.119, as it summarises HE advice regarding a specific planning application in an inappropriate manner. Paragraph 6.120 - expand paragraph to highlight opportunity through development to enhance understanding and appreciation of the villa.	Y	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Do not object subject to additional clarity being provided, regarding sustaining significance of the Scheduled villa, referring to the OUV of the WHS as a headline concern and its conservation, remove repetition, clarify intervisibility, building heights, early engagement with HE. Welcome the HIA and its addendum, but all potential effects on the WHS' Attributes of OUV are not fully considered.	Ask for key clarifications, including focusing on sustaining the significance of the Scheduled Monument and referring to the OUV as a headline concern; deleting the second line in criterion 9; deleting repetition in 9ii; making conserving the OUV of the WHS the sole focus in 9iv; making points on separation between surrounding settlements and Woodstock a separate point, and clarification; clarifying visibility between which places in 9vi; replace archaeology with archaeological remains in 9vi; edit criterion 19 to reference early engagement with HE; clarify re. conservation management plan; clarify criteria 22 and 23 re. focus of assessments; recommend reference to LVIAs not just ZTVs in criterion 24		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Y				Υ	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy LEC 13: Shopfronts and signage	Y				Υ	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Y				Υ	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy LEC 8: Rural Diversification			Refer to heritage significance not just the character and appearance of buildings	"iii. It is not detrimental to the character, appearance and heritage significance of existing buildings and their setting within the landscape:"	Υ	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy RUR 4: Conversion of a Rural Building to a Dwelling	Y					
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy RUR 6: Replacement Dwellings in the Countryside	Y					
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Amend wording in the policy to reflect that the response to heritage assets should be sensitive.	"6. Design and layout which responds sensitively to the significance of heritage assets adjacent to the site. Developable area to be softened and pulled back in the east and northern extents of the site, to ensure no adverse impacts;"		
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Y				Υ	
LPR-D-274	Guy Robinson	Historic England		Local Plan	Vision			Include reference to the historic environment with Heyford Park	"Heyford Park benefits from enhanced community facilities, sustainable transport links and other infrastructure investment required to support its existing and new neighbourhoods while sustaining the area's heritage significance."	Υ	
LPR-D-275	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents			Notes that the council has not yet published statements of common ground, which is particularly important with Oxford City, as well as demonstrating engagement with developers and promoters and other local authorities	Provide evidence of discussions with Oxford City and publish statements of common ground. Evidence is needed of the process behind the decision to remove their support for the Housing and Economic Needs Assessment.		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Complies with Dic	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-275	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Local Plan	Housing numbers			Concerns regarding the existing supply assumptions as well as the windfall assumptions	Thakeham considers that the local plan needs to include a specific review policy setting out clearly that the council will start preparing a plan immediately on the adoption of this plan, if it is found sound. In order to ensure that this policy is effective it will need to have consequences. Site for an additional 3,600 dwellings should be identified.		
LPR-D-275	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes Hanwell Rise, Land West of Southam Road, Banbury, for 150 homes			
LPR-D-275	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Local Plan	Plan Period			Disagrees with 2020 plan start period	Suggests 2024 start		
LPR-D-275 LPR-D-275	James McAllister- Jones James	Thakeham Homes Thakeham Homes		Local Plan Local Plan	Policies Map Spatial Strategy			Maps are unclear and misleading, as most Committed Housing Sites are Saved Local Plan Allocations - there should be a single colour for these sites.  Concerns over lack of policy on the spatial strategy for Cherwell as a	Review proposal maps to remove sites that are already built out or nearing completion, and reconsider colour used  Recommends that a policy is included in the local		
	McAllister- Jones			Local Flair	Spatial Strategy			whole	plan clearly setting out the Council's spatial strategy for the area as whole		
LPR-D-275	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			The local housing needs meet is only 64% and only meets 80% threshold with Oxford City's unmet need, and expresses concerns around timing			
LPR-D-275	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		HELAA				Agrees with general approach. Expresses a number of concerns about assumptions relating to Site (ref. HELAA387), noting that the Flood Zone 3 area and landscape views have no impact on proposed development	The assessment should be updated		
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd	Landowner	Local Plan	Omission Site	Y	N Y	Submitted a housing site in Shipton Quarry. Appended a site plan and supporting documents		Υ	Worried about development in the LP. Wish to advocate of more sustainable sites
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd	Landowner	Local Plan	Omission Site	Y	N Y	Advocates for the submission for a housing site in Shipton Quarry (The Shiptons). Appended a site plan and supporting documents		Υ	Worried about development in the LP. Wish to advocate of more sustainable sites
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd	Landowner	Local Plan	Others- General	Y	N Y	The draft Local Plan makes no mention of the Oxford to Cambridge Corridor, despite this being both a statement of Government policy and an endorsed recommendation of the National Infrastructure Commission (NIC). Engagement with investors and developers has been minimal		Υ	Worried about development in the LP. Wish to advocate of more sustainable sites

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd	Landowner	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	YN	I Y	No full assessment of housing needs is provided. Should consider the current shortfall in AMR (2.3 years). Overreliance on delivery at the NW Bicester - the site has not delivered in period since the 2015 Cherwell Local Plan was adopted. and the former Partial Review sites north of Oxford adjoining the A40 have not delivered any housing since the Partial Review Local Plan was adopted in 2020.  The LP fails to provide housing including affordable options as per the NPPF para 20.  It is also not clear that the draft Local Plan has been constructed with deliverable sites to achieve a 5-year Housing Land Supply		Y	Worried about development in the LP. Wish to advocate of more sustainable sites
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd	Landowner	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	YN	I Y	Object only focuses development on Bicester and Banbury - Both towns already contain major residential development sites allocated in the 2015 Local Plan, yet many of these have failed to be delivered and these towns face increasing transport congestion. The Reg 18 proposed a more dispersed distribution of residential sites.			Worried about development in the LP. Wish to advocate for more sustainable sites
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd	Landowner	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	YN	I Y	It was published only after the Executive approved the consultation, resulting in an incomplete unapproved package of information  Economic evidence, which emerged after the consultation decision, was not factored into the draft  Local Plan conclusions		Y	Worried about development in the LP. Wish to advocate for more sustainable sites
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd	Landowner	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	Y	I Y	The Council's decision to rely on transitional arrangements within the NPPF is a clear attempt to suppress housing numbers rather than positively plan for the district's future. Believes that the new Standard Method should be adopted and to add more deliverable sites to the Local Plan.  The Council is using transitional arrangements as a tool to delay much needed development			Worried about development in the LP. Wish to advocate for more sustainable sites
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd	Landowner	Viability		Y N	I Y	There is a need for a viability assessment of the cumulative policy requirements contained in the draft Local Plan		Y	Worried about development in the LP. Wish to advocate for more sustainable sites
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd		Transport Evidence		YN	I Y	There is a need for a radical sustainable transport assessment of the proposed draft Local Plan and request the need for a comprehensive transport assessment to be included in the Local Plan.		Y	Worried about development in the LP. Wish to advocate for more sustainable sites
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd	Landowner		Engagement			The draft Local Plan Review has not been shaped by early, proportionate, and effective engagement as required by Paragraph 16c of the NPPF (2023 edition). The NPPF emphasizes that engagement must be an active and ongoing process, not merely a consultation exercise			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons  With DTC	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-276	Grant Baylis	Morgan Elliot Planning Ltd	Landowner		energy and water supplies			There is no evidence presented of any action being taken by CDC to secure investment in new energy and water connections or at the County level. Without this investment there is a risk that the climate change and renewable energy aspirations of Oxfordshire and CDC will not be possible to be met			
LPR-D-277	Lisa Smith			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Supports no further building on the green belt in Kidlington and that the land the behind The Moors is not included as a development site. Would like the "land behind the Moors" (known as "Bury Moor Fields") to be designated as a Local Green Space.			
LPR-D-278	Reuben Bellamy	Lone Star Land	Shouler Family and KSW ltd	Local Plan	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton			As a landowner, support the allocation of BIC E3. Align with the Oxford Cambridge Arc. Suggest a minor modification on the policy text - related to vehicular access and design/layout.	See reps for full suggestion		
LPR-D-278	Reuben Bellamy	Lone Star Land		Local Plan	Policy COM 16: Public Rights of Way	1	N	The policy sets out an exceptional circumstances test that is not warranted. No justification to apply a stricter policy. New pathways and cycle ways should connect with existing networks to encourage sustainable travel.			
LPR-D-278	Reuben Bellamy	Lone Star Land	Shouler Family and KSW ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	ſ	N	Object the 20% BNG Requirement - not justifiable / no evidence.  Consider blanket approach unsuitable. Concern with lack of assessment on viability.			
LPR-D-278	Reuben Bellamy	Lone Star Land		Local Plan	Employment			The designated employment areas will accommodate a mix of B2, B8 and E (g) i/ii/iii uses			
LPR-D-278	Reuben Bellamy	Lone Star Land	Shouler Family and KSW ltd	Local Plan	Transport, access and connectivity			Development should provide good accessibility to public transport services including the accommodation of new bus stops linking the wider town.  Contributions will be required to improve the surrounding road networks with mitigation measures for local and strategic highways to			
								reduce the reliance on the private car. The development should provide safe cycle and pedestrian routes along the A41 corridor			
LPR-D-278	Reuben Bellamy	Lone Star Land	Shouler Family and KSW ltd		Masterplan			Does not believe that a Masterplan of BIC1, BIC 2 and BIC 3 is required and they can all be planned independently of each other with the exception of comprehensive connections between the sites. Ensure that a section 106 legal agreement secures the connectivity between sites			
LPR-D-279	Christine Coles	Bodicote Parish Council		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Overall content with the plan. Support the Settlement Gaps, maintenance of rural character, protection of agricultural land. Supports the 75 housing allocation for Bodicote House site.			
								Would have liked to see more emphasis on the housing allocation taken up by converting town centres to residential properties, in preference to new			
								Disappointed that there is no specific protection for the River Sorbrook and the associated concern about drainage from BAN H2.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-280	George Elston-Bates	Ridge and Partners LLP		Oxford United FC Stadium proposal				Discussed the need for the site for OUFC at Land East of Stratfield Brake, Kidlington to be allocated in the Local Plan. Mentions it will generate investments of jobs on/off site. Proposed sustainable transport, green and blue infrastructure, etc to align with the draft LP. Whilst the site is washed over by Green Belt designation, there are no sequentially preferable sites for the development that are available. The proposed site adjacent to the strategic highway network as well as Oxford Parkway Railway Station and Park and Ride. It is therefore highly accessible by a range of transport modes and overall, considered to be a sustainable location for development. The allocation and delivery of the site would therefore demonstrably support the wider aims for the Kidlington Area.			
LPR-D-280	George Elston-Bates	Ridge and Partners LLP		Oxford United FC Stadium proposal	Policy KID 4: Kidlington Area Strategy - Green and Blue Infrastructure			A coordinated "Green & Blue Infrastructure" approach is to be used at the scheme with the utilisation of raingardens, attenuation basins and swales reducing the number of crates. Working alongside the Engineers and Ecologists the green and blue infrastructure acts on multiple levels, providing sustainable drainage, biodiversity net gain and generates an aesthetically pleasing space.			
LPR-D-281	Stephen Webster	Chesterton Parish Council		ENA 2024	Evidence based documents			Council should take a balanced approach to the amount of development needed (resisting pressure from landowners and promoters) focussing on the need arising from Cherwell itself and not the wider region. Question on the need and demand.			
LPR-D-281	Stephen Webster	Chesterton Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40			Object the allocation due to the potential impact on Chesterton's character, highway access issue, infrastructure provision. Object B8 boxes due to large buildings, often automated (don't provide many jobs. Object the overall developable area - need to be scaled back by at least 25%. Want CDC to reconsider the amount of employment development proposed along the A41 corridor and how the impact of the delivery will be mitigated. Wants each site to be considered holistically and not in isolation			
LPR-D-281	Stephen Webster	Chesterton Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton			Object the allocation due to the potential impact on Chesterton's character, highway access issue, infrastructure provision. Object B8 boxes due to large buildings, often automated (don't provide many jobs. Object the overall developable area - need to be scaled back by at least 25%. Want CDC to reconsider the amount of employment development proposed along the A41 corridor and how the impact of the delivery will be mitigated. Wants each site to be considered holistically and not in isolation			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-281	Stephen Webster	Chesterton Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton			Object the allocation due to the potential impact on Chesterton's character, highway access issue, infrastructure provision. Object B8 boxes due to large buildings, often automated (don't provide many jobs. Object the overall developable area - need to be scaled back by at least 25%. Want CDC to reconsider the amount of employment development proposed along the A41 corridor and how the impact of the delivery will be mitigated. Wants each site to be considered holistically and not in isolation			
LPR-D-281	Stephen Webster	Chesterton Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Generally support this policy			
LPR-D-281	Stephen Webster	Chesterton Parish Council		Landscape Evidence				Question on the impact on the visual aspect of the BIC E1,2,3 - the report don't consider that further. Views to the east and southeast should be protected. The LUC does not appear to have considered the impact on the visual aspect and views across the land as a result of the height of any employment development simply making the point that employment use would have more impact than resident lase. Request that the LUC be reviewed and more recommendations as to the limiting the developable areas further with a limitation on height and massing.			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Appendix 3	N N	N	, , , , ,	Produce detailed 5YHLS evidence and a full housing trajectory for public consultation before the draft Plan is submitted for Examination.	Y	
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents	N N	N	The Duty to Co-operate Statement lacks any substance around resolutions, compromises and commitments between the neighbouring authorities that would lead to a positively prepared and	A Duty to Co-operate Statement that sets out and addresses the concerns of neighbouring authorities, notably Oxford City Council. Pause the Reg10 to allow time for a compliant DTCS		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	ENA 2024	Evidence based documents	N N	N	Comments on the ENA Briefing Note: no mention of the life sciences or tech sectors that have totally transformed the Oxford commercial property market in the last 3 to 5 years. An employment focus just on Bicester and Banbury is not consistent with the spatial strategy or economic evidence – it is not sound.			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Evidence based documents	N N	N	the reference to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan should include a date and document reference for clarity	the reference to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan should include a date and document reference for clarity		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	easons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Objectives	N N	I N	N T	o include re-shaping Kidlington in the text			
LPR-D-282		Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Omission Site	N N	I N		Object the exclusion of Land of The Moors. It has been through 3 prepps since July 2021. All evidence to support this site is completed	A full review of the approach across the plan to Kidlington, notably in relation to the unjustified omission of a Green Belt review and the removal of the proposed site allocation for The Moors (Policy LPR8A, Regulation 18)		
LPR-D-282		Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Paragraph	N N	J N		aragraph 3.70 Question on how this Plan can deal with delivery of ousing - considering water stress in Banbury			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Paragraph	N N	N N	e P - B a	aragraph 3.127 support the statement that 'living closer to jobs, ducation, services and amenities can lead to reduced travel, reduced arbon emissions and generally more sustainable communities'. Trinciples should be elevated in Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042. Proposed Submission Representation Form Classification L2 - susiness Data the plan to the level of strategy (and policy); and this pproach should inform (and be used to confirm) the choice of site llocations, including the reinstatement of The Moors (Policy LPR8A) from the Regulation 18.			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Paragraph	N N	1 1	Р	aragraph 3.130 The Partial Review proposal for a station as part of R8 (Begbroke Science Park) should be added as a bullet point here nd carried forward onto the Proposals Map			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Paragraph	N N	J N		aragraph 3.136 suggest to provide some text on the certainty of elivery for the Mobility Hub as part of this paragraph			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Paragraph	N N	J N		aragraph 3.164 'major' in the first line should be replaced by significant' to be compatible with Policy LEC5.			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Paragraph	N N	1 N	ʻs tl vi	raragraph 3.184 and 3.186 Does Cherwell's commitment to supporting our town centres and to enhancing and strengthening heir vitality and viability' (paragraph 3.184) also apply to 'Kidlington illage centre'; and why 'to a lesser extent'? Noted that there is no eference to Unner Heyford			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Paragraph	N N	1 N	N P	eference to Unner Heyford aragraph 3.190 Reference needs to be made to the retail and leisure leeds of Kidlington			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Paragraph	N N	J N	sl	raragraph 3.194 – Reference is made to Kidlington as a 'main hopping centre', but is unclear what strategies go with this, if any. Clarification is required			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Paragraph				Paragraph 3.318 - We support the principle of 20-minute neighbourhoods and active travel. These principles make our proposals for The Moors attractive and highly sustainable.			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Paragraph	N I	N I		Paragraph 6.1 it is misleading to say: 'We have delivered the 4,400 homes already planned to help Oxford's unmet housing needs. None have been delivered.			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Plan Period	N I	N I		it is neither logical or consistent with national policy for the plan period to start in 2020. It should start in 2024 the year in which the assessment was calculated. See paragraph Reference ID: 2a-005-20190220 in the PPG			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N I	X I	Z	Object the 911 homes per annum. Mention the 2.3 5YHLS in recent AMR and how the local plan can solve the issue. No detailed Housing Needs Assessment as part of the Regulation 19 consultation (post HENA). Mention how the Partial Review sites don't have a 'good pipeline of housing supply - delivery is 7 years after adoption (based on Housing Trajectory) Suggest that there is more requirement for affordable housing as the LP only suggests 319 dwellings a year will be delivered. If the housing requirement is 911 the Housing Needs Assessment records that there is a requirement for 424 affordable housing.  The supporting text to Policy COM 1 (paragraph 3.200) states clearly that the period of the plan runs from 2020 to 2042 – 22 years. The paragraph also states that the Council have previously committed to providing 4,400 homes to assist Oxford with its unmet housing needs and that this commitment would be for 'the whole of our plan period'. This approach is flawed.Suggest to allocate more for Kidlington. Comments that the housing needs for the district are only 63% deliverable without increasing it to the new standard method and hence does not conform with paragraph 234 (a) of the Framework. Request that the Moors allocation is reinstated due to the compelling evidence of the under delivery for Kidlington. The Council have published no information for consultation that sets out what their 5YHLS position will be on adoption of the Plan – including the required buffer. The draft Plan therefore fails against the requirements of paragraph 72 of the NPPF. The Council needs to undertake a single district wide 5YHLS calculation rather than split it with the partial review (Oxford Unmet needs) area.	Allocate additional sites, including to make up the shortfall in supply in the 5 year period from adoption of the Plan.	Y	
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N I	N T		We note that there are Strategic Gaps around Bicester, Banbury and Upper Heyford, but none for Kidlington.			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy COM 15: Active Travel – Walking and Cycling	N I	N I		Support this policy - We support the principle of 20-minute neighbourhoods and active travel. These principles make our proposals for The Moors attractive and highly sustainable.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Compiles with Dic	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy COM 18: Creating Healthy Communities			Support this policy - The principle of creating healthy communities is readily achievable at The Moors.			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	N N	J N	There is no discussion in the Local Plan about the potential for uplifts from the local housing need figure to help meet specific housing needs of the community. "The provision of social rented housing must be considered before affordable rent and should be a minimum of 35% of the affordable housing provided". This is an onerous requirement given social rented generates a negative land value. This is unlikely to be viable given the substantial Section 106 and infrastructure costs associated with development in Oxfordshire. This percentage will also be problematic for Grey Belt sites where 50% affordable will be required. Hence we request that the 35% social rented be reconsidered. Believe that there is no justifying evidence and it is inconsistent and should be deleted.			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	N N	J N	Suggest that all allocated sites are viability-tested and promoters are given a clear indication of the associated Section 106 costs			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan		N N	J N	The evidence related to this policy is flawed There has been no contact with Kidlington Cricket Club over the last 2 years, for example in relation to the relocation of cricket from Stratfield Brake in order to provide space for the expansion and diversification of football in particular. We request that paragraphs 3.370 et seq and Policy COM24 are reconsidered in response to the needs of Kidlington Cricket Club (and Stratfield Brake).			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space	N N	J N	Support this policy as The Moors is not being proposed as LGS			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy COM 7: Sub- Division of Dwellings and Homes in Multiple	N N	J N	We note the difficulties caused by sub-division and HMOs at paragraph 3.247. This is a particular problem in Kidlington – the policy could reflect this.	,		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan			J N	Object the 20% BNG. Query whether this is consistent with national policy. Note that the LNRS is still in draft form. Query whether strategic is the same as major.	Suggest the text in this policy is changed to refer to 'A biodiversity net gain [meaningfully] in excess of 10% will be sought		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy CSD 13: Conservation Target Areas	N N	J N	Suggest to put Conservation Target Areas			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	complies with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy CSD 14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	N N	N	The requirement for a 'natural capital assessment' appears onerous and it probably repeats EIA requirements. We feel this policy needs further consideration or deletion.	Consider to delete		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	N N	N	First paragraph appears onerous. How can the Council require the 'protection and enhancement' of green and blue infrastructure ('GBI') and 'the improvement of sustainable connections between sites' when the existing network may not be owned or controlled by the developer? We feel this policy needs further consideration or	Criteria (viii) does not seem relevant to Policy CSD15 and should be deleted.		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	N N	N	Object to the density of 45 dph for sites in Kidlington - can conflict with green spaces, BNG, GBI policies. Suggested that Kidlington is deleted from this policy, or perhaps 'settlements' is replaced by 'urban area' to make it clear that the policy only applies to windfall sites.	We suggest that Kidlington is deleted from this policy, or perhaps 'settlements' is replaced by 'urban area' to make it clear that the policy only applies to windfall sites.		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy	N N	N	We consider this policy may need to be reconsidered to respond to the Secretary of State's decision on the Botley West Solar Farm, now submitted as a DCO application			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	N N	N	Suggest accommodating a larger proportion of the housing supply. Object SE Woodstock site as a housing site in Kidlington - too far. Should re-insert LPR8A from the Regulation 18, allocating land North of The Moors for approximately 300 houses.	Add bullet points: (i) Promote an enhanced role for Kidlington as a local service centre with new business and homes in/near the village's centre and further develop the cultural and leisure/night time economy (ii) Meet the local housing needs of Kidlington, including affordable, elderly and other needs, located in the most sustainable parts of the Kidlington Area. More paragraph and bullet points in reps page 66		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	N N	N	Question on the reference to rural areas compared with Local Service Centres. Criteria (v) is unnecessary repeat of national policy	Criteria (v) is unnecessary repeat of national policy - should be deleted		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan		N N	N	we note that the villages here are delivering more housing (table of page 9) than Kidlington, Cherwell's 'third settlement'	not feel in proportion – and suggests a change to include a further housing allocation (The Moors)		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N N	N	Object the term "local employment" under Local Service Centres.  Request either the deletion of 'local' or two different rows for Kidlington and Heyford Park (given each of these Local Service Centres is distinctly different in character).	Oxford Technology Park is nationally significant employment		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N N	N	The plan is not justified by proportionate evidence meaning there is nothing to test the Spatial Strategy against (page 7 of CLP42) in terms of how it will meet the identified needs across the plan period	Full suggestion in reps - related to specific text (page 32 and 43 in the reps)		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N	N	It doesn't meet 80% housing needs to meet the transitional arrangement. It doesn't include 20% buffer	The draft Plan should not proceed to Examination because it fails the requirements of paragraph 36 of the NPPF.	Υ	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	<b>Complies with DTC</b>	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Vision	N	N		On the Vision in Chapter 2, we note the second bullet on page 35 refers to the re-shaping of Kidlington, but the plan doesn't explain how this will be achieved given there is no housing or employment development allocated by the Regulation 19 for the parish of Kidlington			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Planning	Crewe Bloombridge	Sustainability Appraisal				N	The updated SA was presented to O&S Committee without revised housing needs assessment, comparable in form and scope to the OGNA or HENA, an up-to-date employment needs assessment or an infrastructure Development Plan	A review of the Sustainability Appraisal, notably in relation to the comments made in relation to Oxford's needs, Kidlington and the need for a Green Belt review		
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge			N	N		Mention that the strategy is based on no contact with Kidlington Cricket Club over the last 2.5 years. Mention that the Reg19 has omitted to set a strategy for Stratfield Brake			
LPR-D-282	Peter Canavan/Alan Divall	Carter Jonas/ Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan					There are both general and specific failings throughout the text and policies of CLP42 – owing to new assumptions and core changes made between the third Regulation 18 in September 2023 and consultation on the Regulation 19 from December 2024. This needs to be explored in some depth at the oral part of the Examination.			
LPR-D-283	Neil Hall	WSP	Bloor Homes	Local Plan	Omission Site				Appended a site plan for housing in Land at Banbury Road, Deddington			
LPR-D-283	Neil Hall	WSP	Bloor Homes	Local Plan	Plan Period				For clarity and to ensure a positive and effective strategic policy framework the Plan should be re based to 2024 – 2042 (18 years). If the base period is from 2020, it presents an overly positive land supply position			
LPR-D-283	Neil Hall	WSP	Bloor Homes	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution				Further allocations are required in the rural areas, focussed on the Category A Villages, and consequential changes are required to other policies to ensure the plan is effective.			
LPR-D-283	Neil Hall	WSP	Bloor Homes	Local Plan	Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency				5 , 11 ,	include this text 'the positive consideration of applications on the edge of suitable settlements and well related to the settlement hierarchy under Policy SP1.'		
LPR-D-283	Neil Hall	WSP	Bloor Homes	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy				ocation for rural growth	The strategy and policy should be more positive in supporting the growth of larger settlements, the rural allocations should be increased to at least 1265 homes over the plan period and specific settlements e.g. Deddington should be identified as the preferred location for rural growth		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-283	Neil Hall	WSP	Bloor Homes	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy				Support Deddington as Category A. Object the 'type of development' allowed under SP1 as this is far too restrictive given the status of the settlements	Change the types of development: Allocations identified in this Local Plan or Neighbourhood Plans to meet rural needs. Additional development beyond but well connected to the built-up limits of the settlement if monitoring or decisions indicate that the Council is unable to demonstrate a 5-year supply of land for housing.		
LPR-D-283	Neil Hall	WSP	Bloor Homes	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements				The housing requirement doesn't meet the transitional arrangement requirement as it is included Oxford's unmet need	The requirement and supply associated with Oxford City should either be updated to align with the period to 2042 (not deferred) or be defined separately (with the associated land supply to meet this need) to ensure the CLP meets the NPPF transitional arrangements		
LPR-D-284	Patrick Woodrow			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West				Objects as it includes insufficient measures to prevent coalescence of Bucknell with Bicester due to urban sprawl, and does not consider adequate road infrastructure			
LPR-D-284	Patrick Woodrow			Puy du Fou proposal	Bicester				The plan does not include provision for the proposed theme park in Bucknell, rendering many aspects of the Plan undeliverable and will impact traffic, flooding, sewage and loss of natural spaces			
LPR-D-285	Chris Pattison	Bidwells	University College, Oxford	Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40	Υ	N	Υ	BIC E1 should be removed from consideration of the approach to BICE2 and BICE3		Y	
LPR-D-285	Chris Pattison	Bidwells		Local Plan	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Υ	N		Object the developable area of 9 ha, the masterplan requirement - introduces unnecessary complexity and restricts flexibility in delivery. Appended a site plan, promotion technical note, highways access design, and pre app response from Oxford County Council	Proposes amendments on the policy - see reps in full	Y	
LPR-D-285	Chris Pattison	Bidwells	University College, Oxford	Local Plan	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Υ	N		Given the geographical proximity and shared infrastructure dependencies of sites BICE2 and BICE3 a cohesive policy would ensure coordinated development, consistency in design and sustainability standards, and simplifies the planning process	The Council should produce a single, overarching policy that sets out clear and consistent principles for the development of BICE 2 and 3. This policy should establish a structured approach while ensuring flexibility within a defined framework	Y	
LPR-D-285	Chris Pattison	Bidwells	University College, Oxford	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain		N		Object the need for 20% target level for the Plan's strategic site. Not justified	Should follow 10% requirement as per national legislation	Y	
LPR-D-286	Lanica Agnew	Stantec	Gallagher Estates	Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area				Supports recognition that development will contribute to necessary infrastructure	Recognition from the council of the need for contributions to be necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms, directly related to the development and reasonably related in scale to the development. Suggests safeguarded routes in Oxfordshire County Council Bicester Area Strategy should be included in the Local Plan		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-286	Lanica Agnew	Stantec	Gallagher Estates		Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill			Supports in-principle the site allocation however suggests a number of significant amendments to the policy. Further detail in the representation	Significant amendments to the policy, including the criteria and developable area, further detail in the representation		
LPR-D-286	Lanica Agnew	Stantec	Gallagher Estates	Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and			Supports the principle of shared contributions secured from development	Clarity regarding the content and timing of developer contributions and/or CIL charging schedule		
LPR-D-287	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Local Plan	Omission Site			Promotes site for 3600 homes at South Bicester	Include site at South Bicester (HELAA470) for 3600 homes before submission of the plan to the Secretary of State. More detail in the representation	Υ	Ensure the inspectors have an appropriate level of information and evidence
LPR-D-287	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Local Plan	Plan Period	N		Disagrees with the plan period starting at 2020 as it Is not consistent with national policy	The plan period should start later	Υ	Ensure the inspectors have an appropriate level of information and evidence
LPR-D-287	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Local Plan	Policies Map	N		The legend and colouring of the maps is unclear and there are sites which have already been developed identified as committed housing sites	Review the proposals map to remove sites built out or nearing completion	Υ	Ensure the inspectors have an appropriate level of information and evidence
LPR-D-287	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes			Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N		The housing supply is less than stated and therefore there is a shortfall of 2,818 homes and the assumption of 100dpa of windfalls is too high when considered against the AMR	The council needs to allocate a further 3,698 homes	Y	Ensure the inspectors have an appropriate level of information and evidence
LPR-D-287	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes			Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	N		Policy IMP1 is insufficient	There should be a specific policy committing the council to an immediate review policy meaning the council will start preparing a plan immediately on the adoption of this plan	Y	Ensure the inspectors have an appropriate level of information and evidence
LPR-D-287	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N		Concern as there is not a spatial strategy for Cherwell as a whole	A policy to be included covering the spatial strategy as a whole for Cherwell	Υ	Ensure the inspectors have an appropriate level of information and evidence
LPR-D-287	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N		Doesn't meet the deadline of transitional arrangement - as the plan will be submitted after 12th March 2025 (2024 NPPF para 243). The Council's proposed housing requirement does not "meet" 80% of Cherwell's local because it includes Oxford's Unmet Needs		Υ	Ensure the inspectors have an appropriate level of information and evidence
LPR-D-287	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		HELAA				Objects to the conclusions of their site (HELAA470) in the document, further detail in the representation	Update the HELAA to reflect information submitted and amend conclusion for the site	Υ	Ensure the inspectors have an appropriate level of information and evidence
LPR-D-287	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Sustainability Appraisal				Supports the general approach to the Sustainability Appraisal but raises concerns in relation to their site, further detail in the representation	Update the Sustainability Appraisal and conclusions to show Wendlebury is the most appropriate location for growth	Υ	Ensure the inspectors have an appropriate level of information and evidence
LPR-D-287	James McAllister- Jones	Thakeham Homes		Duty to Cooperate		N		The council has not published any statements of common ground with other areas and there is little evidence of 'on-going joint working' with other authorities or meaningful engagement with developers	Evidence should be provided showing discussion with Oxford City which outlines no additional support is required to cover the new Local Plan period. Also evidence of the process to remove support for the HENA should be shown	Υ	Ensure the inspectors have an appropriate level of information and evidence

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Complies with Dic	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Omission Site			Appended a housing proposal at Land North and South of Milton Road, Bloxham		Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			The housing req in the plan does not reflect the evidence base - it does not take into account the findings of the OGNA of which Cherwell District Council was a commissioning authority and which is included in the evidence base for the plan	Policy COM1 should increase the level of housing need being planned for	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	r	N	Tenure split is not flexible and considers that there is no justification for this	Should remove the overly prescriptive requirement on the tenure split of development schemes. It should allow for the proposed affordable tenure mixes to be justified based on viability and local housing need rather than a prescribed mix set which could become out of date	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type			Generally support, but suggest to introduce greater flexibility to consider viability issues and local housing need	Include greater flexibility to allow for housing size/types to be justified based on viability considerations and local housing need	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	N	N	Question on the low threshold of 100 dwellings is justified	Policy COM6 should be amended to remove the requirement to deliver 5% of the dwellings as self-build for development of 100 or more dwellings unless this can be shown to be justified.	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	N	N	Object the 20% BNG requirement and makes reference to PPG	Provision of any net gain above the 10% mandatory requirement must be expressed as an aspiration rather than a requirement and provide flexibility in the policy text to take into account site-specific issues and viability considerations	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy CSD 13: Conservation Target Areas	N	N	Object the inclusion of part of the Land North and South of Milton Road, Bloxham as CTA	Removal of the inclusion	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Complies with Dic	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy CSD 14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	N	N	Considers that there is inconsistency between the policy wording and supporting text regarding the circumstances in which EIA would be required. No signpost of Natural Capital Map of Oxfordshire	Policy CSD14 should be removed from the Plan	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	N	N	Considers that the plan should revert to Future Home Standard (FHS) standard and homes that are zero carbon ready. Concern about providing large amounts of Photovoltaic (PV) arrays given challenges around grid capacity and issues with being able to export back	Revert to FHS standard. Remove the policy Requirement regarding renewable electricity	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density			Greater flexibility within the policy wording is required	Amend the policy wording to provide more flexibility.	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	N	N	Object the WLC assessment requirement - unnecessary burden upon their new development	Remove the WLC assessment. It should also allow flexibility to align with any future regulations introduced subsequently	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs			The level of planned employment growth in the local plan appears to be below the level of employment growth indicated in either of the employment evidence documents – even the lower assessment of need in the ENA / previous evidence		Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy	N	N	Generally agree with the vision, but should plan for a higher level of housing, i.e. the rural housing allocation of 565 homes should be increased	Explore the opportunities of accommodating further residential development in sustainable settlements such as Bloxham	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N	N	Generally agree with the aspirations for the vision, however considered that the vision cannot be achieved through the emerging spatial strategy and policies	Appended Housing Need Representation (February 2025) to the reps	Υ	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			Considers that the plan doesn't meet the deadline of transitional arrangement - as the plan will be submitted after 12th March 2025 (2024 NPPF para 243). The Council's proposed housing requirement does not "meet" 80% of Cherwell's local because it includes Oxford's Unmet Needs		Y	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	I -	Sustainability Appraisal				There is no clear basis for favouring the lowest growth scenario (1) over the highest (9)		Y	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-288	Nick Kirby	Lichfields	Taylor Wimpey	HELAA				Support the positive analysis of HELAA518. However the quantum of housing being planned needs to bee increased		Y	This is necessary to amplify their position on the elements of the Cherwell Local Plan Review 2042 which are unsound and participate in discussions as necessary
LPR-D-289	Chris Pattison	Bidwells	Church Commissione rs for England	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy		N	Object to Islip being Category C. The characteristics align more closely with Cat A			
LPR-D-289	Chris Pattison			Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Support the overarching Vision of the draft plan, but we object to the omission of Islip as a suitable area for growth and as a new settlement.			
LPR-D-289	Chris Pattison	Bidwells		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			Question on whether the Plan meet the transitional arrangement (Oxford's Unmet Need)			
LPR-D-289	Chris Pattison	Bidwells		Sustainability Appraisal		N N	N	Islip paragraphs 5.4.104 – 5.4.113. we do not consider the SA to be legally compliant. The SA should assess Islip as a Category A Village in accordance with the objectives of the Draft Local Plan and consider an option for growth when tested against the Vision and Objectives of the Local Plan		Y	
LPR-D-289	Chris Pattison	Bidwells	Church Commissione rs for England	HELAA		N N	N	Question on Islip sites being not suitable.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Appendix 11: Strategic and Non- strategic Policies	Y	Y	Out of 25 policies included in Theme 1 all bar one are identified in Appendix 1 as strategic. Question whether all are strategic in the context of the NPPF.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Development Strategy	Y	Υ	Not clear what the strategies for Kidlington on page 7 and page 21 are not identical.  Concerned that Woodstock is not mentioned in either.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Soling Soling	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Others- General	YY	Y	Policies could be combined to reduce their number e.g. Residential and non residential net zero carbon development and policies relating to the water environment.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Others- General	YY	Y	Support approach to policies improving health and well being, landscape and natural environment. However, express concerns with the impact of south east Woodstock on the local landscape and setting of Woodstock.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	YY	Y	Approach appears to align with transitional arrangements. Following the withdrawal of the Draft Oxford Local Plan, suggest the plan text of a topic paper to note this will impact on the previously agreed unmet need. Concerned that the reason for the planned supply is not clearly explained in the draft plan. It is not clear why such a significant level of over-supply has been identified. Also a windfall allowance of 100 homes per annunm seems conservative. This is particularly relevant in the context of WODC concerns with the proposed allocation southeast of Woodstock.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	YY	Y	Note the 35% affordable housing requirement. Although not a concern note that West Oxfordshire requires 50% affordable housing			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 9: Travelling Communities	YY	Y	at Woodstock and surrounding area. Support the policy			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change		Y	The Council could consider a more ambitious target of 90 or even 75 litres per person per day.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	YY	Y	Welcomes the policy. Note the 20% requirement in draft Nature Recovery Core and Recovery Zones. The new Nature Recovery Strategy will replace the Recovery Network later this year and consideration should be given to how the wording of the policy can future proof the Plan			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	YY	Y	Welcome the policy but not that space heating and energy use intensity targets are optional. Although supported in principle consideration should perhaps be given to strengthening the policy. Notes Tendring and Merton Councils as examples.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity	Y	Y	Aims of the policy are fully supported and largely reflect Oxfordshire LTCP5 but have concerns that the proposed allocation of land to the south east of Woodstock doesn't align with them and will likely lead to a strong reliance on the use of the private car.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	Y	Y	Welcomes the policy			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	YY	Y	Not clear why land on the fringe of Woodstock has been included in the Kidlington Area Strategy. Inclusion in the Rural Area Strategy would have been more appropriate.  The allocation of 450 homes cannot reasonably be described as modest.  Supporting text should explain policy context to the Cherwell Local Plan including that land to the south of Woodstock was previously proposed through the Partial Review (Oxford Unmet Needs) Local			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock	YY	Y	Object to the allocation on four main grounds: Potential adverse impact on the setting of important heritage assets contrary to national and local policy; • Potential adverse impact on the local landscape and setting of Woodstock; • The distance of the site from Woodstock's key services and facilities and the increased propensity to travel by the private car; and • The impact of development of this scale on local infrastructure including health, education, car parking and foul water capacity. Provides further information and details on each of the points within the representation.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Y Y	Υ	Welcome provision of additional employment			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	YY	Υ	Welcome approach to the protection of existing employment sites			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 5: Community Employment Plans	Y Y	Y	Welcomed. Suggest consideration of a lower residential threshold of 500 dwellings to secure training and employment opportunities in a broader range of circumstances.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy LEC 7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	YY	Y	The Policy appears to set out a very high bar including the requirement to demonstrate an essential need that is in the public interest.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			The settlement hierarchy is clearly defined and provides specific advice on when development will be permitted. An alternative option would be for the Policy to set out only the hierarchy and for other plan polies to cross-refer to it, clearly outlining what will be permitted where, a policy on unallocated windfall residential development.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Local Plan	Vision and Objectives	YY	Y	Broadly supported but has some observations: Vision makes insufficient mention of achieving a modal shift away from the private car. Woodstock is not mentioned in the overall vision. The proposed Woodstock allocation conflicts with strategic objectives SO11 and SO13.			
LPR-D-290	Andrew Thomson	West Oxfordshire District Council		Duty to Cooperate		ΥΥ	Υ	Considers that CDC has satisfactorily discharged its Duty To Cooperate			
LPR-D-291	Brian Welters			Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area			There is intention to establish an urban edge park around the outskirts of Bicester by protecting existing green spaces and securing new specific open space, but insufficient determination to ensure that the parts will join up to properly ring the town	Show the intended or potential route of the Bicester Urban edge park and clarify how it will be implemented. Also correlate the location of the urban edge park /green space with the LNRP to ensure it enhances biodiversity, and that benefits for nature and people are constructively balanced		
LPR-D-291	Brian Welters			Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			The Oxfordshire LNRS identifies vital nature recovery areas and vital corridors, including in the CDC area, so queries why this is not mentioned in the Plan	Show the priority nature recovery areas and corridors on the CDC LP maps, and work the implications of that through to every level of the CDC LP so that every detail is as supportive as possible to the achievement of the biodiversity aims of the LNRP. Oxfordshire map linked in rep		
LPR-D-292	Simon Turner	Launton Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	ΥΥ	Υ	Launton PC support this policy and appendix 4		N	
LPR-D-292	Simon Turner	Launton Parish Council		Local Plan		Y N	Υ	Question on the 100 allocation for Mid Cherwell. No explanation in the plan what is Mid Cherwell	Define Mid Cherwell	N	
LPR-D-293	Ollie Collins	Lichfields	Oxford Aviation Services Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 12: The Oxford Green Belt			Object that the entire built-up area of the airport is within the Green Belt as much of the land does not strongly contribute to the purposes of Green Belt, much of the land can now be considered Grey Belt, as well as development potential at the airport	The Airport site should be removed from the Green Belt		
LPR-D-293	Ollie Collins	Lichfields	Oxford Aviation Services Ltd	Local Plan	Policy KID 2: London- Oxford Airport			The policy has not taken into account any of the comments submitted on behalf of the Airport at the Regulation 18. More explanation in rep	1		
LPR-D-293	Ollie Collins	Lichfields	Oxford Aviation Services Ltd	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N		Considers that there are not enough employment allocation (ENA identifies 280 ha, but LP only allocate 135.13 ha).	Additional employment land should be allocated, suggests land at London Oxford Airport		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	<b>Complies with DTC</b>	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-294	John Moss	Kidlington Cricket Club		Local Plan	Policy KID 4: Kidlington Area Strategy - Green and Blue Infrastructure	N	N		Discussed the history of Oxford United relocation and provision of Kidlington Cricket Club as well as the consultation that they had undergone. Object removal of Land North of the Moors as housing/Cricket Club plans allocation	Reinstate Land North of the Moors as housing site. Amend the need of sport at Stratfield Brake. See reps for more suggestion	Y	The needs of Stratfield Brake are important, pressing and merit representation at the oral hearing if pre-submission changes to the plan cannot be agreed.
LPR-D-295	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Mr Matthew Watson (Landowner)	Omission Site		N	N		Promotes site north of Old Quarry House, Steeply Aston for 12-15 dwellings			
LPR-D-295	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Mr Matthew Watson (Landowner)	Duty to Cooperate		N	N		Notes there have been no publications of SoCGs yet. Without further evidence, it is unclear whether Cherwell has cooperated constructively, actively, and continuously with Oxford and other LPAs in Oxfordshire on housing and economic needs		Y	Issued raised within our submissions merit discussion at hearing sessions.
LPR-D-295	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Mr Matthew Watson (Landowner)	Local Plan	Plan Period	Ν	N		The Plan Period should start in 2024 the year in which the assessment was calculated		Y	Issued raised within our submissions merit discussion at hearing sessions.
LPR-D-295	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Mr Matthew Watson (Landowner)		Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N	N		Justification for the departure from the Oxfordshire HENA is required. Based on the acknowledgement of the HENA, it is considered that policy COM1 is not justified given that the identified housing requirement does not adequately meeting housing needs. Appended a Legal Advice on the promotion of their site		Y	Issued raised within our submissions merit discussion at hearing sessions.
LPR-D-295	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Mr Matthew Watson (Landowner)		Transitional arrangements	Ν	N		Object that the LP meets the transitional arrangement as it would meet 64% of the standard method. given 22% of Cherwell's housing requirement is to meet the needs of another area		Υ	Issued raised within our submissions merit discussion at hearing sessions.
LPR-D-295	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Mr Matthew Watson (Landowner)	HELAA		Ζ	N	N	HELAA212 - Object that it is considered to be unsuitable	It is acknowledged that the whole site will not be developed (as can be seen at Appendix 3). It is considered that this site could be developed sensitively, whilst respecting the character of the	Y	Issued raised within our submissions merit discussion at hearing sessions.
LPR-D-295	Mitchell Barnes	Framptons	Mr Matthew Watson (Landowner)	Hierarchy Topic		N	N		Some correction on the points - related to points in Deddington and Bloxham.	Amendments should be made to include correct scoring system. the Plan should seek to allocate sites at Steeple Aston – the only Category A Village in the Mid Cherwell Area – to meet the identified need in the Mid Cherwell area (100 dwellings)	Y	Issued raised within our submissions merit discussion at hearing sessions.
LPR-D-296	Chris Potts	Savills	Puy du Fou	Puy du Fou proposal		Υ	Y		Generally support the plan - even though Puy du Fou is not included in the plan. Appended the proposal and how the proposed site can support the growth/spatial strategy.		Υ	
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Omission Site					Appended a proposal for housing development at Ells Lane, Bloxham (2.7 ha)		N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			There should be more allocations in Category A villages as they can support more development than is currently proposed. There should be a link between Policy COM 1, Policy SP 1 and Policy RUR 1		N	
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			Supports this policy and agrees on the percentage of affordable housing that is sought, subject to viability. In terms of Table 4: Mix of housing by size and type, it is not clear where the data has come from to support this mix. There should be a reference to the report in the Evidence Base		N	
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type			Support this policy		N	
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards			Support the external residential space section. Request clarity on the percentage for M4(2) standards and M4(3) dwellings: affordable tenure or also market tenure		N	
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing			Support this policy		N	
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development -			There is no mention on the use of sourcing sustainable materials in the objective of achieving net zero. The use of timber frame, sustainably sourced materials and using modern methods of construction should also be encouraged		N	
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Local Plan	Residential Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density			Supports this policy		N	
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Believes that this policy should refer to the potential for Category A Villages to be identified for growth but the figure set out should not be treated as a maximum dwelling figure. Recommends removal of 'avoid unplanned development in the open countryside'		N	
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Supports the proposed settlement hierarchy. But notes that the emerging Local Plan proposes a more restrictive policy framework in the Rural Areas than the current adopted Local Plan.	Considers there should be a further RUR policy which supported further development in rural areas, particularly Category A Villages. Recommends Policy Villages 1 and 2 are incorporated into the emerging Local Plan with the numbers in policy villages 2 updated appropriately	N	
LPR-D-297	Becky Pull	Cala Homes		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy		$\top$	Generally support the strategy. Minor suggestion on removing "avoid		N	
LPR-D-298	Steven Harley	Oxalis Planning Ltd	Oxfordshire Rail freight Ltd	Local Plan	Paragraph 7.15	Y	′ Y	unplanned development in the open countryside" Support this text			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LDD D 200	6			101								
LPR-D-298	Steven Harley	Oxalis Planning Ltd	Oxfordshire Railfreight Ltd		of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Υ	Υ		The role of Heyford Park and Bicester as key centres of growth are noted and welcomed. The intention for Bicester to expand and continue transforming into a 'Garden Town' is also welcomed and supported. The emphasis on the importance of economic and job growth and development as part of the continued role of Bicester are essential and supported. The significant level of housing and population growth for Bicester are welcomed. The reference to efforts to improve connectivity at M40 J9 and J10 are noted.			
LPR-D-298	Steven Harley	Oxalis Planning Ltd	Oxfordshire Railfreight Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations	Υ			Contrary to the reference in Policy COM 11, the proposed local landscape designations are not identified clearly on the legend for the Policies Map, and so hard to identify.	The Policies Map should more clearly incorporate proposed local landscape designations.		
LPR-D-298	Steven Harley	Oxalis Planning Ltd	Oxfordshire Railfreight Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps				Objects and considers the policy to be unnecessary or appropriate given its extensive duplication and overlap with the protections and criteria defined in other policies (including COM 10, COM 11, and COM 26). Question on the Heyford-Ardley and Fewcott gaps - object the policy as proposed at Heyford Park			
LPR-D-298	Steven Harley	Oxalis Planning Ltd	Oxfordshire Railfreight Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity				Generally support this policy, especially references of freight from road to rail			
LPR-D-298	Steven Harley	Oxalis Planning Ltd	Oxfordshire Railfreight Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 24: Freight				Generally support this policy, however it can and should have a broader, more strategic scope which supports the shift to more sustainable modes of travel for the movement of freight in general, not only for 'last mile' movements	either CSD24 should be expanded in scope, or a new policy be included in the Local Plan, to acknowledge and support the principle of rail freight interchanges in enabling the shift of freight from road to rail		
LPR-D-298	Steven Harley	Oxalis Planning Ltd	Oxfordshire Railfreight Ltd	Local Plan	Theme 3				There are several policies within the theme which overlap or duplicate each other.			
LPR-D-298	Steven Harley	Oxalis Planning Ltd	Oxfordshire Railfreight Ltd	Local Plan	Theme 1				The emphasis on the role of encouraging and enabling sustainable modes of transport is welcomed. The explicit and positive references to the expected shift of freight from road to rail is welcomed			
LPR-D-298	Steven Harley	Oxalis Planning Ltd	Oxfordshire Railfreight Ltd	Local Plan	Vision and Objectives				The numerous clear references to the local economy in the vision are welcomed and supported.			
LPR-D-298	Steven Harley	Oxalis Planning Ltd	Oxfordshire Railfreight Ltd	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Υ	Υ		The 'spatial strategy' as set out in the draft Local Plan does not include any direct and explicit references to the priority or emphasis given to the local economy, nor to how the Local Plan will enable and support this growth Supports the overarching theme 'maintaining and developing a sustainable local economy'.			
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	,			Supports Banbury's status as a Main Town			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.200			The statement that the requirement is 'presently for some 706 homes per annum' is incorrect	The plan should be reworded to reflect the current 1,118 dwellings per annum need, and 894dpa considering the transitional arrangements. The total requirement for the plan period should be 23,413		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Appendix 2			Objects to the housing supply and trajectory and considers that the plan does not put forward a positively prepared, sound strategy for necessary growth.	The plan needs to identify land to come forward in the immediate future so it can demonstrate a 5 YHLS		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Housing numbers			Housing numbers should follow the 80% of standard method (894) of the new NPPF - as the req was put before Council's Exec Meeting.  Housing trajectory: The majority of the housing is likely to come forward no earlier than 2029.	Amend the policy (see reps in full). Add another housing site to demonstrate a 5YHLS		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Omission Site			Appended Land at the Bretch proposal (33.5 ha), circa 300 units	See reps in full		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy			Objects to the planned quantum of housing at Banbury.	Add Land of the Bretch, Banbury - 300 dwellings		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Objects as the housing numbers are incorrect. The plan needs to identify additional land for housing	Add Land of the Bretch, Banbury - 300 dwellings		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps				Remove Land at the Bretch from the Settlement Gaps		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment			Supports the policy. Suggest amend it slightly to make it more justify	Add a new site-specific policy titled "Broughton Castle". See reps in full		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Policy COM 28: Listed Buildings			Supports the policy. Suggests to amend it slightly to make it more justify to NPPF paragraph 29.	Add a new site-specific policy titled "Broughton Castle". See reps in full		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Policy COM 29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields			Supports the policy. Suggest amend it slightly to make it more justify to NPPF paragraph 29.	Add a new site-specific policy titled "Broughton Castle". See reps in full		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Policy LEC 8: Rural Diversification			Object to the requirement "detract from or prejudice the existing agricultural undertaking or its future operation" and 'detrimental to the character and appearance of existing buildings" - not consistent with NPPF para 88.	Amend the policy (see reps in full)		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Policy LEC 9: Tourism				Amend the policy (see reps in full)		
LPR-D-299	Emma Andrews	Savills	The Broughton Estate	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Objects to the exclusion of the settlements of Shutford and Tadmarton within the Policy and considers they are capable of contributing to Cherwell's housing supply	The settlements of Shutford and Tadmarton must be recognised as rural areas that can sustainably provide housing within the policy.		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	<b>Complies with DTC</b>	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Appendix 1	N	N		Appendix 1 - Request to clarify as to existing policies that are identified to be retained, are being offered for examination under this draft local plan, or not?		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents	N	N	Ν	Question on the SoCG as part of the consultation and reasoning for removal of HENA		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan		N	N	Ν	A new review of the local plan for Cherwell District should now be undertaken and consideration given to a new programme for progress on a new local plan in light of this submission. Suggest the use of digital tools to assist public involvement. Mention that hasn't incorporate Oxford to Cambridge Growth Corridor. Suggest to consider Oxford City Plan and S&V Joint Plan objection letter to each other - housing and employment numbers		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Plan Period	N	N		Object the period - should not look back 5-6 years from the projected adoption		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge		Tiomes Eta	Local Plan	Vision	N	N		Supports the overarching themes of the plan			
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Ν	Z		Ambrosden is identified within this area strategy but is also part of the rural area - request clarification as to what the proposed status is of land shaded light brown / committed housing site, where the land is not seemingly proposed as an allocation within the draft local plan. request to allocate of the Site off Ploughley Road as an allocation for residential development		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd		Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Ν	Ν		Strongly objects to the annual housing requirement. Does not set out the proposed housing requirement clearly set out in table form. The proposal should have been made clearer through text and table(s) in respect of the proposed housing requirement, separate from explanation of housing supply		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N	N		Strongly objects to the policy due to no evidence. The proposed settlement gap at Ambrosden does not even account for the built-up or existing commitment such as land off Ploughley Road, Ambrosden.	Delete policy	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	N	N		Lengthy policy which is in parts unclear and ambiguous. Not all development can adopt 20-min neighbourhood and use locally sourced sustainable materials		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan		N	N	Z	Does not consider that it is justified to seek the provision of social rented housing must be considered before affordable rent and for this tenure to be a minimum of 35% of the affordable housing provided. This does not seem to be supported by evidence, and it is inflexible		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd		Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services			N	It will not be appropriate for all development proposals to demonstrate that full regard has been paid to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and all other relevant policies of this Plan.			To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type	N	N	N	Objects to the policy as it is not clearly written and ambiguous.		Y	To explain the request

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought hearing(s)	
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing	N	N		Objects to the policy as it is not clearly written and ambiguous.  Evidence of the 400 dwellings an assessment of need and deliverability is needed	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards	N	N	N	Objects to the policy as it is not clearly written and ambiguous. No explanation of M4(s) building regulations standards is encouraged	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan		N	Z		Objects to the policy as it is not clearly written and ambiguous.  Evidence of the 100 dwellings an assessment of need and deliverability is needed	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan		N	Z		Objects to the 20% requirement as it is not justified and achievable	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	N	Z		The policy sets out minimum densities on all new housing developments, which does not appear to comply with the NPPF	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	N	N		Object this policy. As there are lots of changes to flood risk planning policy in the 2024 NPPF	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan		Ν	N		There are no measurable or deliverable action. Mentions how to mechanism and timescale to identify alternative deliverable sites if there is any future shortfall	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan		N	N	Ν	Objects to the housing numbers - especially in Ambrosden, not include the consented site.	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N	N		Object the restriction on the type of development at Category A Villages. The plan does not identify the Site off Ploughley Road	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N	N		The draft local plan does not meet the transitional arrangement - housing req 18.5% below the minimum LHN, include unmet needs of Oxford City.	Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-300	David Bainbridge	Savills	Bellway Homes Ltd	Omission Site		N	N	N	Promotes allocation of Land off Ploughley Road, Ambrosden for housing development		
LPR-D-301	Will Whitelock	Framptons	Tri7 Banbury LLP and The Barker Family Trust	Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	Υ	Υ	Υ	Support this policy.	Y	By reason of the issues raised which are complex in nature.
LPR-D-301	Will Whitelock	Framptons	Tri7 Banbury LLP and The Barker Family Trust	Local Plan	Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	Υ	Υ	Υ	Support this policy.	Y	By reason of the issues raised which are complex in nature.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-301	Will Whitelock	Framptons	Tri7 Banbury LLP and The Barker Family Trust	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	N	The Proposed Submission Document equally distributes the Oxford Unmet Housing Need across the entire plan period (up to 2042).	It is considered that the Cherwell Housing Requirement should be stepped, with a higher requirement up to 2031 to account for Oxford's unmet need (as adopted in the Partial Review 2020).	Y	By reason of the issues raised which are complex in nature.
LPR-D-301	Will Whitelock	Framptons	Tri7 Banbury LLP and The Barker Family Trust	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	N N	N	Object to the policy requirement for all housing developments of 100 or more dwellings to include 5% of the residential plots to be made available for self-build. agree with the inclusion of the fall-back option of reverting any unsold self-build plots to the developer to build, should any of these plots not be sold after an appropriate marketing	The requirement should be reviewed on a site-by- site basis and in the context of the latest available demand and supply position in the District for this specific type of housing.	Y	By reason of the issues raised which are complex in nature.
LPR-D-301	Will Whitelock	Framptons	Tri7 Banbury LLP and The Barker Family Trust	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	N N	N	Object the 20% requirement. No justification or evidence	Remove the additional requirement for a 20% BNG for strategic allocations	Υ	By reason of the issues raised which are complex in nature.
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	County	Local Plan	Appendix 7: Draft Nature Recovery Network Maps			Draft Nature Recovery Network Map likely to be replaced by the Oxfordshire Local Nature Recovery Network.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Council Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Appendix 8: Conservation Target Areas			Conservation Target Areas likely to be replaced by the Oxfordshire Local Nature Recovery Network.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Objectives			Retrofitting of existing buildings should be mentioned in SO1. Relationship between air quality improvement and biodiversity is unclear in SO4.	SO1 - Reference to retrofitting of buildings. SO4 - Separate objective on air quality.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire	Habitats Regulation Assessment	Paragraph 2.23			HRA should include an assessment of present and planned Minerals and Waste and all Regulation 3 developments when considering combined impacts	Assessment of present and planned Minerals and Waste and all Regulation 3 developments when considering combined impacts		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.128			Suggest factual update with latest greenhouse gas emissions data from Department for Energy Security and Net Zero (2022)	Replace with 39% of the county's greenhouse gas emissions and 45% of the county's carbon dioxide emissions		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.13			ONZRMAP set the steps to meet 'Oxfordshire Leading the Way'	Amend paragraph to 'more recently, the 2021 Pathways to Zero Carbon Oxfordshire (PaZCO) and the associated Net Zero Route Map and Action Plan.'		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	County	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.132			OCC currently preparing Movement and Place Strategies (MAPS) to form part 2 of the LTCP and updating local transport schemes in LTP4.	Add reference to Movement and Place Strategies (MAPS) currently under preparation.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	County	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.137			Welcome reference to 20 minute neighbourhood and Healthy Streets Approach	Replace 20 minute neighbourhood references with 'liveable neighbourhoods'.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Council Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.14			Make clear where Cherwell is on its net zero trajectory, or reference supporting documentation where this analysis can be found	Make clear where Cherwell is on its net zero trajectory, or reference supporting documentation where this analysis can be found		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.140			Support 'decide and provide' approach. Suggest improvements	Delete ' To enable National Highways to establish the effectiveness of the decide and provide approach, transport assessments for large scale development should also be supported by a 'worst case impact scenario		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.206			Factual update needed to reflect the publication of the Dec 2024 NPPF	What case much is Fhanu		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.207			Factual update needed to reflect the publication of the Dec 2024 NPPF			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.341			Support reference to development well served by transport links. Suggest improvements	Add reference to active travel		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.344			Add reference to special education	Add reference to special education		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.391			Factual correction	2,161 recorded archaeological sites.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.394			Local Heritage Assets are not included in Oxfordshire Historic Environment Record (HER) data. Suggest improvement.	Amend paragraph to highlight that in addition to HER data promoters need to obtain the list of Local Heritage Assets from the District.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.399			Non designated assets likely to require an initial phase of archaeological evaluation during the pre-determination phase.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.40 to 3.46			Clarity needed on carbon offsetting route compliance.	Seek clarity on calculation of 'set price per kWh' via reference to guidance or other sources of information		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.400			Suggest improvement to ensure appropriate data is considered.	Highlight that archaeological desk based assessment to be prepared in line with agreed written specification.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.401			Suggest improvement to fully inform the LPA on archaeological potential and significance of heritage assets as part of submission of planning applications.	Delete Hudson Street, Bicester from the list of proposed Local Green Spaces and Policies Map.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.44			No mention of how carbon offsetting fund will be evidenced/verified to be to ensure funds are used effectively and projects are measured effectively.	Additional wording 'independent verification of offsetting schemes will be completed'		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.79			Notes that Cherwell also includes a number of designated Road Verge Nature Reserve sites and refers to the October 2024 Oxfordshire Report.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 3.84			Local Nature Recovery Strategy Timeframes have shifted to Autumn 2025.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 3.9			Energy Strategy replaced by targets in the PaZCO NZRMAP	Remove reference to Energy Strategy		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 4.39			Subsidised public transport or promotion of active travel should be considered instead of free car parking on market days	Subsidised public transport or promotion of active travel should be considered instead of free car parking on market days		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 5.39			Request further information on references to potential new parking in Site 3 London Road Area.	The SEPR is referred in the Plan both as perimeter and peripheral . Either could be used but for consistency suggest using 'south east peripheral road'		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Paragraph 6.111			Suggest factual correction	Evaluation works were carried out by Thames Valley Environmental Records		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Paragraph 6.67			Suggest factual update to rename Park and Rides	Water Eaton and Peartree Park and Rides will develop in the future as mobility hubs. Water Eaton is now Oxford Parkway.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Planwide			OCC as Local Flood Authority confirms that all OCC site specific comments have been addressed.  Note EA's recent amendments Flood Risk Mapping.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Planwide			Include policy requirements for innovation, noting that the County Council's Innovation Framework provides guidance on this.	Include policy requirements for innovation, noting that the County Council's Innovation Framework provides guidance on this.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Planwide			Welcome the removal of Regulation 18 potential sites LPR21A (South East of Wretchwick Green) which is immediately adjacent to a safeguarded waste site and LPR42 (South of Heyford Park) which is in a Minerals Safeguarding Area.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy			Banbury has a rich history, town centre development has the potential to reveal medieval remains and its role in the English Civil War.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BAN 4: Green and Blue Infrastructure in the Banbury Area			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BAN 6: Banbury Opportunity Areas			Policy support should be added for car free development at this town centre sites.	Policy support should be added for car free development at this town centre sites.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Policy BAN E1: Land at Higham Way			Banbury Castle lies 500m of the site there could be medieval remains in the area.	Request archaeological desk based assessment and written scheme of investigation to agree scope of assessment.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East - Phase 2)			Suggest amendments regarding education provision. Supports the development being pulled back from areas of archaeological interest and details the level of archaeological investigation required for this site. Support Policy position on no vehicular access from Wykham Lane. Support proposed buffering including that related to the listed farmhouse.	Exclude reference to Bishop Loveday School and include contributions to special education. Predetermination (archaeological) works are required starting with a geological survey. The applicant should be responsible for the implementation of an archaeological field evaluation prior to determination. Ensure that proposed site and approved development to the North complement each other with regards to green infrastructure and open space provision.		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC		Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street			Policy support should be added for car free development at this town centre sites.  Site lies within an area of archaeological interest.  Provides information indicating potential archaeological remains on site.  The site borders/includes 16th and 17th century listed	Policy support should be added for car free development at this town centre sites.  If permission granted a staged program of archaeological investigation is required.  Cherwell Team to be consulted on the impact on the setting of listed assets.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside			Car free development requirement supported. Located within the historic Canal Wharfs of Banbury. High potential for remains related to post industrial development and possibility of earlier remains. Waste management facilities can co-exist with other employment uses.	An archaeological desk based assessment must be prepared to assess the impact of any development and include the submission and agreement of a suitable written scheme of investigation. To be undertaken in line with Chartered Institute for Archaeologists standards. Amend use classes listing to include and Sui Generies		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road			Policy support should be added for car free development at this town centre sites.  Site lies within an area of archaeological interest.  Provides information indicating a strong likelihood of surviving medieval remains on site	Policy support should be added for car free development at this town centre sites. Request archaeological desk based assessment and field evaluation.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	County	Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Bicester centre has high potential for archaeological remains, Alchester Roman Town lie south west of the town. Bicester heritage is rich and can be enhanced.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Council Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area			Supports the policy and schemes identified. Suggest improvements including improving connections between the town centre and Bicester Village	Include improvements and greater connections between town centre and Bicester Village.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area			Supported. Suggest editorial correction.  The need a role of the SEPR will be confirmed through the Movement and Place Strategies (MAPS) work. The provision of a new road would need to be delivered alongside transformational change to the existing network.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area			Support but consider that the creation of an urban edge park as noted in paragraph 5.27 should be included.	Include the intention to create a circular park.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Local Plan		Local Plan	Policy BIC 5: Bicester Opportunity Areas			Notes that for Site 3: London Road Area, the text infers that new parking could be provided as part of the upgrades to East-West Rail, and if required further information would be needed as to why this is being requested. There is opportunity to include new waiting and w/c facilities in the area			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40			Welcomes reference to traffic calming measures but requests improvements.  SEPR is covered well in supporting text but requirement should be explicit in the policy.  Site sits in an area of considerable archaeological interest. Supports policy criteria 29 but suggests improvement.  Allocation will adversely affect the local character and views and the approach from the M40.  Note capacity improvements to J9.  It will affect the local PROWs.	Amend criteria 11 by replacing traffic calming measures with measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages.  Amend criteria 29 to request evaluation rather than excavation at this stage.  Limit the extend of BIC E1 to its southern section where it adjoins permitted development.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton			Add a requirement for measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages.  Add requirement for contributions towards SEPR.  Site sits in an area of archaeological interest. Supports policy criteria 24 but suggests improvement.  Agree that BIC E1-BIC E3 should be considered and designed comprehensively.  Allocation will change the character and views of the area. Impact on Chesterton and its setting is of concern.	Add a requirement for measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages. Add requirement for contributions towards SEPR. Amend criteria 24 to request evaluation rather than excavation at this stage. Consider impact on PRoW and A41, lighting to be kept to a minimum. Include requirement that adjoining fields remain free from development. Restrict development to areas in the proximity of the A41 retaining greater buffers and more rural setting to Chesterton and Little Chesterton. Consider impact on PRoW and A41, lighting to be kept to a minimum. Include requirement that adjoining fields remain free from development. Restrict development to areas in the proximity of the A41 retaining greater buffers and more rural setting to Chesterton and Little Chesterton.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton			Add a requirement for measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages.  Add requirement for contributions towards SEPR.  Site sits in an area of archaeological interest. Supports policy criteria 25 but suggests improvement.  Agree that BIC E1-BIC E3 should be considered and designed comprehensively.  Allocation will change the character and views of the area. Impact on Chesterton and its setting is of concern.	Add a requirement for measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages. Add requirement for contributions towards SEPR. Amend criteria 25 to request evaluation rather than excavation at this stage.		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Reason(s) for participation  Participation in
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill			Notes that accessing the site only via the A41 would not be considered acceptable. Site sits in an area of high archaeological interest. Support policy criteria 23. A full archaeological DBA should be prepared. However, is	Add requirement to safeguard a route for the SEPR.  Add no development shall take place until SEPR is completed and open to traffic.  Add requirement for a direct route to the M40.  Add requirement for contributions towards SEPR Add a requirement for measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages.  Essential that the allocation is assessed comprehensively, i.e. including access and associated works.	
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park			Support the archaeological works requirements in Policy criteria 20. LP paragraph 5.80 indicates that sui generies compatible waste sites would also be permitted on this site.	Add Sui Generies to the list of Use Classes in the Policy.	
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Add a requirement for measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages.  Ensure development responds positively to amenity and use of Gagle Brook Primary School.  The site is in an area of archaeological interest. Surveys and partial evaluations recorded a low level of archaeological remains, further archaeological investigations may be required.  Support policy requirements and suggest improvements relating to the strategic gap and Green and blue infrastructure.	Add a requirement for measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages. Add: Development proposals should be accompanied by technical reports which demonstrate how new development will have regard and respond sensitively to amenity matters including noise, daylight/sun light and privacy to prevent adverse impact on the amenity and use of Gagle Brook Primary School and its playing areas. Further archaeological investigations may be required. Layout and design of the site to ensure that the gap is maintained in character and function. Green spaces and corridors should be of a sufficient size to deliver landscape, visual and ecological benefits.	
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Local Plans proceeding with timeframes like Cherwell's need to be within 80% of the standard method figure (1,118 for Cherwell). The requirement of 911 dpa appears to be acceptable in that regard. AMR 2025 indicates less than 5 YHLS, support progressing this Plan so that new figures can be used and there becomes less of a threat of unplanned development with impacts to both the District and County Councils.		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the			Supported. Suggest amendment regarding tree planting and landscaping schemes due to potential impact on historic environment assets.	Amend policy wording to avoid impact on archaeological deposits and historic environment. Planting schemes may require recording of historic assets.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations			Supports the policy.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Supported. Suggests improvements.  Some gaps may have been lost or severely infringed: Banbury- Bodicote and Bicester-Caversfield.  Roads and employment can be intrusive affective perception of gap.  Wendlebury-Chesterton, Wendlebury-Bicester	Important that site allocations take account of the characteristics of the gaps. Landscape treatments should be characteristic to the locality.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places			Supports the policy, particularly the reference to adopting principles of 20-minute neighbourhoods. Supports the inclusion of 'microclimate' in the policy wording. Suggest improvements regarding including reference to CDC Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy and emerging Oxfordshire LNRS.	Cross refer Natural England's Green Infrastructure Framework. Follow principle of right tree in the right place. Cross refer CDC's Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy and emerging Oxfordshire LNRS.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 15: Active Travel – Walking and			Supports the policy, particularly reference to walking and cycling connections and requirement for cycle parking provision			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 16: Public Rights of Way			Supports the policy, particularly the need for PRoW to be protected and any impacts of development to be mitigated.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 17: Health Facilities			Strongly supports the policy and requirement for major development to conduct a Health Impact Assessment			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 18: Creating Healthy Communities			Supports the policy, in particular requirement for planning applications to be supported by an appropriate Health Impact Assessment	Supported		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 19: Hot Food Takeaways			Restriction of hot food takeaways is now more evidence with NPPF including wording on this area. Proposes policy changes including exclusion zones.	Proposes a takeaway exclusion zone based on areas with 'higher levels of excess weight in children'.  Proposes a 400 m distance from a school or play ground  Proposes that criteria I is revised with the inclusion of health as a factor.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing			Supported. Suggest improvements and that the 50% requirement for Local Plan Review sites should be clearer in the policy.	50% requirement in for saved Local Plan Partial Review 2020 sites should be made clearer in Policy COM 2. Add requirement for developments to be 'tenure- blind'		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 21: Meeting Education Needs			Supports the policy. Anticipated that education needs can be accommodated without additional school sites. List of schools already planned. However, additional special education capacity is required.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 22: Public Services and Utilities			Some services and utilities work requires archaeological monitoring			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 23: Local Services and Community Facilities			Request clarification and further guidance on relocation and/or loss of facilities.	Clarification and commitment to prepare separate guidance on restrictions to community facilities if there is a need for relocation or the facility is no longer needed.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation			Welcome reference to growing spaces and their impact on health and wellbeing			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Support inclusion of natural surveillance in policy wording. Object to designation of Hudson Street site. Not in accordance with NPPF para 106 and 107. The designation would prevent OCC to redevelop its land an property assets to address statutory needs. Detailed reasoning provided in the representation.	Delete Hudson Street, Bicester from the list of proposed Local Green Spaces and Policies Map.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 26: Historic Environment				Add preservation through records for non designated assets which are not significant to require physical preservation.  Add that heritage statements, desk based assessments, geographical surveys and field evaluations should be carried out to an agreed specification.  Where loss of heritage assets is unavoidable, all mitigation works to be carried out to an agreed Written Scheme of Investigation and presented in an agreed report.  Area Specific Chapter 4 need to ensure historic environment is managed to avoid the loss of		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	County	Local Plan	Policy COM 30: The Oxford Canal			Heritage of the Oxford Canal should be enhanced in any development proposal.	remain. Add consideration to the impact on surviving archaeological remains and seek opportunities to enhance the historic environment.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Council Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing			Welcomed. Assume there is no inconsistency with Policy COM2 Affordable Housing			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change			Welcome policy's wording. Suggest improvements.  OCC as Lead Local Flood Authority refers to previous comments and acknowledge the issues are addressed in other Plan policies such as Policy CSD7 on sustainable flood risk management.	Improvements to: Parts xi and xii to further embed the principles of the circular economy and building retrofit. Part i on the responsibility of the LP to distribute growth in the most sustainable locations Part ix to refer to Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC			Supported. Suggest improvements.	Criteria ii and iii should include impacts on water quality or quantity of water bodies or water courses with hydrological impact pathways. Include definition of the term 'significantly'. Criteria v to include a more appropriate benchmark for run off rates.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity			Schemes for the restoration or enhancement of habitats s may affect heritage and archaeological assets. Archaeological desk based assessments may be required.  Criteria iii and iv can be complemented with the compliance against the mitigation hierarchy.  Bird bat provision may not be the most appropriate form of enhancement in all situations.	Amend policy or supporting text to indicate the potential requirement for an archaeological desk based assessment.  Add mitigation hierarchy to criteria iii and iv Complement the requirement for bird/bat provision with a requirement for all development to provide a measurable net gain in biodiversity.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain			Support development requirement for long term ecological enhancement to deliver BNG. All OXON LPAs signed up to the OxCam Environment Principles which agreed to a 20% BNG requirement. National guidance on LNRS not yet published. Seeking a consistent approach to strategic significance across Oxfordshire.	Policy to encourage more than 10% BNG with an ambition to achieve 20%		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 13: Conservation Target Areas			Guidance from Government expected imminently on LNRS. LNRS may supersede CTAs.	Supporting text to include a definition of 'environmental net gain' and further details on requirements.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services			Welcomes the policy but notes it lacks detail and it is unclear how it would work in practise. Notes explanatory text would be helpful. Suggest improvements.	Supporting text to include a definition of 'environmental net gain' and further details on requirements.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure			Supports the policy and preparation of the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy. Suggest improvements including references to the Cherwell Design Guide	Cross refer Natural England's Green Infrastructure Framework. Follow principle of right tree in the right place. GBI management and monitoring is essential.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality			Supports the policy, in particular the requirement to ensure air quality impacts are minimised during and after construction.  Continuous measurement of air quality, pollution and noise needs to be considered.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 17: Pollution and Noise			Supported and welcomes encouragement of applicants to have early discussions with the council and that assessments should identify sensitive receptors which may be affected by development.  Continuous measurement of air quality, pollution and noise needs to be considered			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 18: Light Pollution			Welcomes the policy. Suggest improvements to make it more effective as the wording leaves room for interpretation. Continuous measurement of air quality, pollution and noise needs to be considered.	Set specific requirements within the policy and/or provide further detail in supporting text on how light pollution can be minimised through sensitive design.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential			Seek clarity on Council approved equivalent local schemes to demonstrate how schemes will be identified and how developers would expect to engage with them.  Notes that a detailed energy hierarchy has been proposed for residential and non residential development and suggest the addition	Alternative wording: equivalent local schemes must be pre-approved by the Council, with developers required to provide evidence of their alignment with local carbon goals.  Add a list of appropriate energy types.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements			Strongly supports the policy. Decide and Provide approach in line with LTCP and NPPF vison led approach. Suggest improvements Policy wording wording should be made clearer and stronger. Although the policy addresses electric vehicles charging points, the policy does not recommend the provision in line with Oxfordshire Electric Vehicles Infrastructure Strategy.	Liveable neighbourhoods and healthy Streets should be referred to in the Policy Replace Should and Expected with Will and Required. Require the provision of EV charging in line with Oxfordshire Electric Vehicles Strategy.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide			Strongly supports the policy. Suggest improvements to guard against the risk of worst-case scenarios to determine decision-making. Policy mentions relevant approaches to ensure improvements to transport, a consistent monitoring approach to transport monitoring should be considered.	Amend paragraph 3 of Policy CSD 23 to include reference to OCC's guidance on how to implement 'decide and provide'.  Consider a consistent transport monitoring		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 24: Freight			Support inclusion of freight policy but requests reference to logistics	Reinstate CSD14 Policy wording in Regulation 18 LP consultation.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non- residential			Seek clarity on Council approved equivalent local schemes to demonstrate how schemes will be identified and how developers would expect to engage with them.  Suggest amendments to address Department for Education net zero operational carbon emissions for educational buildings.	Alternative wording: equivalent local schemes must be pre-approved by the Council, with developers required to provide evidence of their alignment with local carbon goals.  Amend policy to set out new education buildings should be located in sustainable locations and built to net zero operation standards.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 4: Improving Energy and Carbon Performance in Existing Buildings			Welcomes the policy focus on retrofitting and improving efficiency of existing buildings			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy			Support the policy approach to the historic environment. Notes applications for solar farms will need to have an archaeological assessment, geophysical survey and evaluation unless the impact can be demonstrated to be negligible from proposed cable routes.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management			Supports the policy. Schemes to improve/restore river flows and flood plains may affect heritage and archaeological assets. Archaeological desk based assessments may be required.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)			Supported. Suggest improvement to future proof the LP.	Oxfordshire Flood Toolkit to be referenced in the policy supporting text rather than the Policy. Highlight the need to avoid physical impacts to heritage assets.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure			Supported. Suggest improvements due to Waste Water Facilities being a County Matter.	Delete references to waste water and waste management in the Policy.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy			The former airbase houses a number of Schedules Ancient Monuments relating to the Cold War era. Support the summary given in the Policy. Supports the summary in the policy.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy			Notes that Local Plan Partial Review 2020 sites are proposed to be saved and indicates that additional homes on those sites should be counted in relation to Oxford's housing unmet need numbers. Provides details of planning application on Partial Review sites to date Notes current planning application for the relocation of Oxford United Football Club in the Kidlington Area is not mentioned in the Plan although no change sought. Kidlington and surrounding parishes have a rich archaeological record. LPPR allocated sites have all been subject to initial archaeological surveys.  Support the position and concern for the future of Blenheim Villa SM.			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy			Supported. Minor update suggested.	Add to bp 9 'as well as new primary schools and funding to improve capacity at other schools'		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy KID 3: Delivery of Transport Schemes within the Kidlington Area			Welcomes the policy. Notes that Botley Farm DCO redline area and cable route appear to be adjacent or overlapping Policies KID H1 and KID 3			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Local Plan		Local Plan	Policy KID 4: Kidlington Area Strategy - Green and Blue Infrastructure			Supports the policy			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			The site is in an area of considerable archaeological potential and interest.  Agree with the summary of the archaeological potential of the site given in the LP.			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy LEC 10 Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses			Town centres are frequently of high archaeological importance.  Support the sustainability of town centres but request careful consideration to ensure town centre development conserve and enhance the historic environment.	Policy should set out the need for town centre development to conserve and enhance the historic environment.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy LEC 6: Supporting a Thriving and Resilient Farming Sector			Smart technologies rely on seamless networks, the construction of network infrastructure in rural areas and farms needs to be consider.	Consider smart technologies and network infrastructure in rural areas and farms.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy LEC 8: Rural Diversification			Rural diversification will lead to previously farmed land being repurposed which may have impacts on heritage assets.	Amend policy or supporting text to recognise the potential requirement for heritage/archaeological assessment ahead of scheme.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy LEC 9: Tourism			Supported. Suggest improvements to strengthen the policy.	Include wording to encourage use of sustainable transport. Amend policy or supporting text		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy			The strategy notes a greater emphasis on development being supported by sustainable transport and Active travel	Add illustrative cases in the plan showing how sustainable transport and active travel could be supported.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			The site lies in an area of archaeological interest and potential. Supports policy criteria 19 but suggests improvement.	Amend criteria 19 to request evaluation rather than excavation at this stage.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Key diagram should show clearly the already allocated sites which resulted in land being removed from the Green Belt around Kidlington. Yarnton and Begbroke.	Minor correction to the key diagram to show sites already allocated in adopted Plans		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Theme 2 Sustainable Local Economy			Recommend the addition of 'inclusive' to Theme 2 title	Recommend the addition of 'inclusive' to Theme 2 title		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Local Plan	Vision			Welcomes the prioritisation of climate action targets and proposes addition of cross references to net zero, retrofitting and adaptation.	Add reference to net zero development and the retrofit of existing buildings.  Amend to reflect the need for climate change adaptation.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council		Sustainability Appraisal				Welcomes that scenario 1 has been progressed due to reduced impact on biodiversity. Scenario 3 - there is no potential to delivery built form within the Shipton Quarry LWS.	Bicester H1 - acknowledge area of ancient woodland at Grunthill Copse. Consideration and application of mitigation hierarchy in relation to Ardley Cutting and Quarry SSSI with Policies BIC H1, BIC E1, BIC E2, BIC E3, BIC E4 and BIC E6.		
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire County Council	Health Impact Assessment				There have been no further amendments to the HIA submitted for Regulation 18 in Autumn 2023			
LPR-D-302	David Flavin	Oxfordshire County Council	Oxfordshire	Infrastructure Delivery Plan				Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Infrastructure Schedule comments and updates provided in a detailed scheduled			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-303	Alan Divall			Local Plan	Others- General	N N		Considers that 'Cherwell's 2015 and 2020 Local Plans have delivered and are considering to do so' is misleading and incorrect as the housing land supply position is only 3.1 years and for the partial review area just 0.9 years			
LPR-D-303	Alan Divall			Omission Site		N N	N	Promotes land south of Station Road, Cropredy for 71 dwellings		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-303	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Cropedy	Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents	N N		Question on Oxford City Council's unmet needs beyond 2031. Not published the evidence to demonstrate that a detailed process has taken place to understand the unmet need of Oxford City and consider the Council's ability to assist.		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-303	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N		Object the roll forward of the undelivered Oxford unmet need which should have been delivered by 2031 in the Partial Review Plan to 2040		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-303	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	N N		The Local Plan as currently drafted provides no reference to the requirements of paragraph 236 of the NPPF. The Local Plan will not be compliant with the NPPF if it does not include a policy for an immediate review post adoption		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-303	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Cropedy	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Object that Cropedy is no longer Category A Village and considers that there is no justification for this change.		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-303	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Cropedy	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N	N	LP only meet 64% of the LHN as it is include Oxford's Unmet Need		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-303	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Cropedy	HELAA		N N	N	Question on omission of his client's site - Cropedy from assessment in the HELAA		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-304	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Yarnton	Omission Site		N N	N	Promotes land at 14-16 Woodstock Road, Yarnton for 60 dwellings		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-304	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Yarnton	Duty to Cooperate		N N	N	Question on Oxford City Council's unmet needs beyond 2031. Not published the evidence to demonstrate that a detailed process has taken place to understand the unmet need of Oxford City and consider the Council's ability to assist.		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-304	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Yarnton	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	N	Object the roll forward of the undelivered Oxford unmet need which should have been delivered by 2031 in the Partial Review Plan to 2040		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-304	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Yarnton	Local Plan	Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	N N	N	The Local Plan as currently drafted provides no reference to the requirements of paragraph 236 of the NPPF. The Local Plan will not be compliant with the NPPF if it does not include a policy for an immediate review post adoption		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-304	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Yarnton	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N	N	LP only meet 64% of the LHN as it is include Oxford's Unmet Need		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-304	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Nicholas King New Homes Ltd - Yarnton	HELAA		N I	N		Object that the client's site is a green belt. Comment on different/incorrect assessment related to HELAA294 and 342. Notes that the overhead power lines referred to in the HELAA assessment have been agreed to be removed and ran underground by the utilities provider. Objects that there are 'woodland features' on the site and that there is noise pollution from the A44 on the site, as no concerns were raised at pre-application stage regarding this. Objects the statement that the site is owned by multiple landowners	Requests that the HELAA assessment is corrected	Y	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-305	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents	N I	N		Question on Oxford City Council's unmet needs beyond 2031. Not published the evidence to demonstrate that a detailed process has taken place to understand the unmet need of Oxford City and consider the Council's ability to assist.		Y	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-305	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Others- General	N	N		Question on how the 2.3 5YHLS shortfall can be addressed in the LP. Question on using 2024 Standard method for recent AMR but 2023 Standard method for draft LP		Y	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-305	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge		Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N II	N		Question on the 4,300 dwellings post plan period (2042) in Bicester and considers evidence is missing. Considers that the plan only meets 63.54% of the local housing need. Notes no information for consultation setting out the 5 YHLS position on plan adoption has been published and therefore the plan fails against NPPF paragraph 72.	<ol> <li>Produce detailed 5YHLS evidence and a full housing trajectory for public consultation before the draft Plan is submitted for Examination.</li> <li>Allocate additional sites, including to make up the shortfall in supply of c3,000 houses in the 5 year period from adoption of the Plan.</li> <li>Reinstate the housing strategy in the Regulation 18</li> <li>Reinstate Policy LPR8A which proposed the allocation of The Moors at Regulation 18 stage and amend Policy KID1 accordingly.</li> <li>Undertake a further Regulation 19 consultation.</li> </ol>	Y	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-305	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Harper Crewe Bloombridge	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N I	N	Z	LP only meet 64% of the LHN as it is include Oxford's Unmet Need		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-307	Caroline Johnstone and Gavin Rawson				Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon				Object to the proposed allocation due to connection issues, safety concerns, scenery impacts, and divide of 'old' and 'new' housing	Suggest alternative site opposite Station Road		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-308	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Mr Richard Tuthill	Local Plan	Others- General	N ſ	N I	á	Considers that 'Cherwell's 2015 and 2020 Local Plans have delivered and are considering to do so' is misleading and incorrect as the housing land supply position is only 3.1 years and for the partial review area just 0.9 years	A fundamental change in approach and strategy is required	Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-308	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Mr Richard Tuthill	Duty to Cooperate		N I	I N	F t	Question on Oxford City Council's unmet needs beyond 2031. Not published the evidence to demonstrate that a detailed process has taken place to understand the unmet need of Oxford City and consider the Council's ability to assist.		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-308	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Mr Richard Tuthill	Local Plan	Omission Site			Š	Submitted a housing site in Wardington		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-308	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Mr Richard Tuthill		Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N I	1 N		Question on the 4,300 dwellings post plan period (2042) in Bicester and considers that evidence is missing.		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-308	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Mr Richard Tuthill		Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	N I	ı v	r H	The Local Plan as currently drafted provides no reference to the requirements of paragraph 236 of the NPPF. The Local Plan will not be compliant with the NPPF if it does not include a policy for an immediate review post adoption		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-308	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Mr Richard Tuthill		Transitional arrangements	N I	N I		The Local Plan only meet 64% of the LHN as it is include Oxford's Unmet Need		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-308	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Mr Richard Tuthill	HELAA				(	Object to the unsuitability of HELAA400 and HELAA401		Υ	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-308	Alan Divall	Walsingham Planning	Mr Richard Tuthill	Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper				Question on the Updated Topic Paper (February 2025) not being consulted upon.		Y	There are detailed, complex matters raised within our letter that relate to the soundness of the plan that our client would like to raise directly with the Inspector.
LPR-D-309	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development	Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the	N		Considers the policy to be unsound and not positively prepared, and that compliance with this policy is impossible, since virtually any built development will, to a degree or in some manner, result in a change to local character.	Recommends that the policy text revers to that within ESD 13: Local Landscape Protection and Enhancement in the adopted Local Plan		
LPR-D-309	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development	Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate	N		Considers the policy not to be sound or positively prepared recommends to include "where possible" in the wording of part (ix).			
LPR-D-309	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	N		Considers the policy to be unsound, unjustified, and inconsistent with national policy. It is suggested that the wording of point iv) be changed from fully mitigated to adequately mitigated, or as a last report compensated for, this would ensure that the policy would be in closer alignment with the NPPF.	Recommends inclusion to allow for compensation as a last resort.		
LPR-D-309	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	N		Object the 20% requirement and considers it to be unjustified	Suggest to change to 10% (on/off site)		
LPR-D-309	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development	Local Plan	Policy CSD 15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	N		Considers the policy to be unsound as it is not positively prepared. Objects to the vague definition: "meaningful GBI". Offsite BNG provision would more likely be required on the majority of sites, rather than exceptionally	Removal of 'meaningful' from the policy and removal of reference to allowing off site provision only in 'exceptional circumstances'		
LPR-D-309	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	N		Considers the policy to be unsound as it is not positively prepared.  Welcomes reference to 'decide and provide' and notes there is no mention of HGVs for Use Class B8 scheme	Recommend inclusion of a reference recognising that some types of development require use of motor vehicles. Recommend removal of the requirement for 'direct bus access' and that the policy should allow for more flexibility		
LPR-D-309	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s	Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non- residential	N		The approach proposed by the Council is based on energy use, and is inconsistent with the approach set out in the WMS and as such is unsound.	Minor amendments including referring to 'target', changes to %'s and removal of point iii and iv		
LPR-D-309	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	N		Object the requirement to undertake an accurate whole life carbon assessment is compromised by the lack of data across building materials as to their embodied carbon. Does not consider it to be consistent with national policy.	Matters should be addressed at a national level to avoid different approaches and standards being set in different areas		
LPR-D-310	Chris Pattison	Bidwells	Oxford University Development	Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Y N	Υ	Object to lack of clarity around development within and adjacent Langford Lane/Technology Park		Υ	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-310	Chris Pattison	Bidwells	Oxford University Development s	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	YN	Y	Object to the policy. Request more evidence to justify statis in the overall quantum of employment land in the immediate hinterland of Oxford, particularly given the substantial investment in infrastructure and innovation that is underway and will continue to arrive over the Plan period. Mention the previous Reg18 reps about the piece of land lying north of Langford Lane for employment		Υ	
LPR-D-310	Chris Pattison	Bidwells	Oxford University Development s	Local Plan	Policy LEC 2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	YN		Object to the policy- pending a clarification in the Policies to allow growth and/pr an update of the supporting map to identify the extent of the employment area		Υ	
LPR-D-310	Chris Pattison		University Development s	Local Plan	Employment Development on Unallocated Sites			Object to the policy - pending a clarification in the Policies to allow growth and/pr an update of the supporting map to identify the extent of the employment area		Υ	
LPR-D-311	Chloe French	Roebuck Land & Planning	King & Warr	Duty to Cooperate		N N	N	Question on the engagement of Oxford's Unmet Need - how this recognised requirement can be 'brought forward' by 2031 when the Council are lagging behind and currently unable to demonstrate a five year housing land supply		N	
LPR-D-311		Roebuck Land & Planning		Local Plan	District Wide Housing Distribution			Objecting not using the 2024 Standard method - considering there is a shortfall of housing reported in recent AMR	- Detailed explanation of where the unmet need for Oxfords housing will be met and how Clarity on what level of discussions have taken place between the authorities within the housing market area Confirmation on the current status of the Statement of Common Ground dated 22 March 2019 and submitted in support of the South and Vale Joint Local Plan 2024 (Examination Library Document DUC01) - Allocation of further sites to accommodate Oxfords unmet need in full by 2031 and Cherwell's housing need including a buffer to account for significant under delivery over the past 5 years and to demonstrate 5 years supply on adoption.	N	
LPR-D-311		Roebuck Land & Planning	King & Warr	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N N	N	Question on why Bicester is receiving a larger proportion of housing. Banbury and Bicester are the same tier		N	
LPR-D-311	Chloe French	Roebuck Land & Planning	King & Warr	Omission Site		N N	N	Question on the omission of HELAA394 and 034 to the LP		N	
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	N		Consider Level 1, Level 2 SFRA and the sequential and Exception Test does not justify the proposed housing and employment site growth in this policy. New national model is to be published in Spring 2025 which may further impact some of the sites		Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	compiles with DIC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy BAN E1: Land at Higham Way			Consider Level 1, Level 2 SFRA and the sequential and Exception Test does not justify the proposed housing and employment site growth in this policy. New national model is to be published in Spring 2025 which may further impact some of the sites. Banbury E1 - do not consider there is sufficient space on the site to deliver the proposed allocation without increasing flood risk elsewhere.	The allocation should be reduced, with key findings from the SFRA pulled in.	Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	N	J	Consider Level 1, Level 2 SFRA and the sequential and Exception Test does not justify the proposed housing and employment site growth in this policy. New national model is to be published in Spring 2025 which may further impact some of the sites.		Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy BAN M/U 1: Banbury Canalside	N	ı	Consider Level 1, Level 2 SFRA and the sequential and Exception Test does not justify the proposed housing and employment site growth in this policy. New national model is to be published in Spring 2025 which may further impact some of the sites. The Banbury Flood Alleviation Scheme was completed in 2012 with a design life of 100 years. Given that the lifespan of residential dwellings is 100 years, they would outlive the scheme. it cannot be guaranteed that the EA will continue to maintain flood defences in Banbury.	Finished Floor Levels should be set at the design flood level in the undefended scenario. Breaching of defences should be considered before the site is allocated.	Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	N	J	Consider Level 1, Level 2 SFRA and the sequential and Exception Test does not justify the proposed housing and employment site growth in this policy. New national model is to be published in Spring 2025 which may further impact some of the sites. Whilst flood risk is mostly to remain in bank, sites BIC H1 and BIC E2 should be assessed through SFRA L2. In addition Bic E1, Bic E3 and Bic E4 should also be assessed as ordinary watercourses run through them.		Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy BIC 5: Bicester Opportunity Areas	N	J	Consider Level 1, Level 2 SFRA and the sequential and Exception Test does not justify the proposed housing and employment site growth in this policy.		Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	N	J	It has not been demonstrated that the allocation can be delivered outside of the flood areas. The site has not been addressed in the SFRA Level 2.		Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	N	J T	It has not been demonstrated that the allocation can be delivered outside of the flood areas. An ordinary watercourse runs through this site. The site has not been addressed in the SFRA Level 2. Add within planning application requirements, 'a Flood Risk Assessment informed by suitable ground investigation. A surface water management framework to maintain run off rates to greenfield rates and volumes, with use of Sustainable Drainage Systems in accordance with Policy CSD8.		Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N	J	Consider Level 1, Level 2 SFRA and the sequential and Exception Test does not justify the proposed housing growth in this policy.		Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy CSD 20: Hazardous Substances	N		Recommend alterations to the wording to include reference to groundwater and surface water			
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy CSD 19: Soils, Contaminated Land and Stability	N		Recommend alterations to the policy to include reference to risks to groundwater and surface waters			
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	N		The Plan should include a policy on nature conservation and watercourses.	Suggest text for policy on conservation and watercourses, which would not permit development proposals likely to adversely impact functions/setting of watercourse, would require development to conserve/enhance watercourses, would require undeveloped buffer zones measuring 10m from top of riverbank, specify nature of buffer zones, would state that culvert proposals would be refused, would promote restoration of watercourses, and removal of barriers to fish movement, and state a preference for soft engineering/natural flood management and not hard engineering approaches	Y	To ensure flood policy is sound
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	N		Welcomes the recognition that Cherwell sits in an area of serious water stress showing that the water cycle study has been considered. Suggests inclusion of a requirement for new homes to be designed to a water efficiency standard of 110litres/head/day to future proof it. There are no mention of the impacts of climate change on the water requirement.			
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	N		Suggests changes to the wording to include specific reference to protecting groundwater quality and prevent infiltration into SuDs where the depth to groundwater is insufficient to provide attenuation			
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	N		Policy should be amended to include wording about the functional flood plain and flood zone incompatibility. Also recommend additional wording regarding flood plain compensation.  Level 1 SFRA should be updated to reflect that the 1.0%AEP is used instead of 3.3%AEP.  Sequential test and Exception Test will need to be updated to include the latest NPPF reference.	Suggest amendments to state that planning permission will not be granted for development in Flood Zone 3b, unless water-compatible use or essential infrastructure. Suggest amendment to state that development will not reduce flood storage and where possible will increase flood storage.	Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N		Consider Level 1, Level 2 SFRA and the sequential and Exception Test does not justify the proposed housing and employment site growth in this policy.		Υ	To ensure flood policy is sound
LPR-D-312	Michelle Kidd	The Environment Agency		Local Plan	Others- General	N		Recommends the inclusion of a new policy on nature conservation and watercourses, suggests policy text			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-313	Sally Ann- Woodrow			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Objects due to increased urban sprawl, insufficient infrastructure, flooding concerns, wildlife impacts, and lack of provisions for the proposed theme park			
LPR-D-314	Sian Smith		Mr & Mrs Chris & Sue Lane	Local Plan		N N	N	Considers that the housing numbers do not achieve the 80% of local housing need required to meet the transitional arrangements.  Question the windfall allowance and lack of evidence calculation and assumption	Produce detailed 5YHLS evidence and a full housing trajectory for public consultation before the draft Plan is submitted for Examination. Allocate additional sites	Y	Have fundamental concerns regarding soundness of the Plan, so request to take part, represented by their housebuilding partner
LPR-D-314	Sian Smith		Mr & Mrs Chris & Sue Lane	Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	N N	N	Object to site, propose alternative Station Road site	Suggest alternative site opposite Station Road	Y	Have fundamental concerns regarding soundness of the Plan, so request to take part, represented by their housebuilding partner
LPR-D-314	Sian Smith		Mr & Mrs Chris & Sue Lane	Local Plan	Transitional arrangements	N N		Objects as it does not meet the transitional arrangements, as we do not have a 5 year housing land supply as well as a required buffer.  Mention we only have 63.54% local housing need	Plan needs to be withdrawn as it doesn't meet transitional arrangements	Y	Have fundamental concerns regarding soundness of the Plan, so request to take part, represented by their housebuilding partner
LPR-D-314	Sian Smith		Chris & Sue	Omission Site		N N	N	Land at Station Road, Bletchingdon for 50-150 dwellings.			
LPR-D-315	Claire Hawkes	Turley	Rainer Development s Ltd	Local Plan	Vision			Supports the vision and aspirations			
LPR-D-315	Claire Hawkes	Turley		Duty to Cooperate				Considers the duty has not been met as only one meeting has been held regarding Oxford City's unmet need in the seven years since the figure was agreed.			
LPR-D-315	Claire Hawkes	Turley		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Considers that houses to meet Oxford's need should not be included in the housing number. Additional sites should be identified through allocation - considering the 2.3 year supply (AMR 2024)			
LPR-D-315	Claire Hawkes	Turley	Rainer Development s Ltd	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy			Agrees there is a logical categorisation of the settlements in the district. But comments that there Is no flexibility for development in lower hierarchy - especially Hempton.			
LPR-D-315	Claire Hawkes	Turley		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Generally support the strategy, but concerns the opportunity for rural areas to deliver the strategy			
LPR-D-315	Claire Hawkes	Turley		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy			Welcomes the recognition to some planned development in the rural areas, promotes their site to help meet this need (HELAA330)			
LPR-D-315	Claire Hawkes	Turley		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			Doesn't meet transitional arrangement - only 63.5% of LHN as it includes Oxford Unmet Needs. Should be 895 pa + 4400			
LPR-D-315	Claire Hawkes	Turley		HELAA				Object the conclusion of "Land of Hempton Road, Hempton" in HELAA. Submitted a proposal for this site			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Complies with Dic	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Omission Site	١	N	Submitted Land at Junction 10 of the M40 for employment - two parcels, 24.2 ha and 43.9 ha			
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Omission Site	١	N	Submitted land to the east of Graven Hill, at Ambrosden Poultry Farm for housing development	1		
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Omission Site	ľ	N	Submitted Land at Howes Lane - employment development. Part of NW Bicester			
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Omission Site	١	٧	Submitted Land at Wendlebury Road, Bicester - LP 2015 adjacent to Bicester 10 (but not in the allocation)			
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester			Object NW Bicester to only used as housing allocation - it needs to be changed to mixed-use development			
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape			Object that all major applications need to submit Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment.	the policy should (only) require applications to be supported by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment where necessary		
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Acknowledges that COM 13 is intended to be a permissive policy to still allow suitable development to come forward in settlement gaps. Object settlement gaps surrounding Ambrosden - performs a weak function	Rewording to the policy to include 'or' between criteria iii and iv		
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 15: Active Travel – Walking and Cycling			Criteria vii of the policy should be removed - Where works are identified within LCWIPs and are necessary to support planned development, these should be included within the IDP. Criteria viii of the policy should also be removed or reworded. The OCC parking standards and design guidance must be critically applied to respond to locational context and operational			
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod		Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non- residential	1	N	Welcomes the acknowledgement that it may not always be possible to fully meet the renewable energy provision target on-site but the implications of the shortfall being offset have not been consulted on or tested for viability			
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	1	N	Welcomes the majority of the policy however there are some elements of the policy that are not clearly drafted and unduly replicate national policies and/or the requirements of other legislation. Some elements lack flexibility	Suggests rewording of the policy, included in the representation		
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain		N	Welcomes most of the policy but objects to the 20% requirement as is not achievable	t Suggests rewording, including the removal of the 20% BNG requirement		
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services			The Natural Capital Map of Oxfordshire is a welcome piece of work, however the document is still needed some refinement. Considers the policy to be unclear, unjustified and unsound			
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements			Object to use LTCP as reference as it should be requirements of the NPPF. Considers the parking standards not to be fit for purpose	Suggests amendments to the wording of the policy		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide	N		Supports the principle of a vision-led approach to transport planning but the approach in its current form is not fit for purpose which should reflect the NPPF requirements	Suggests wording amendments including removing reference to reducing road danger		
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	N		the intention to prevent development coming forward until such time as water infrastructure (through the use of phasing restrictions on planning permissions) has been put in place by statutory undertakers is unsound			
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod	Albion Land	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N		fails to consider the specific requirements of the logistics sector (esp. XXL facilities) and insufficient land / appropriate sites for employment needs over the plan period			
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod		Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	N		The policy fails to recognise the locational and sector requirements of the logistics market and prevents the delivery of suitable unallocated sites to meet identified needs	Suggests modifications to the policy wording		
LPR-D-316	Emma Lancaster	Quod		Local Plan	Duty To Cooperate	N		The Duty to Cooperate Statement acknowledges that economic growth is an important matter to consider. Finds it concerning that CDC has not directly engaged with Albion Land as one of the most active commercial developers in the area	Undertake meaningful engagement with all relevant stakeholders before progressing further		
LPR-D-317	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s- Symmetry Park, Bicester	Local Plan	Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park			Supports the site allocation. Suggests to put "at least 6 ha" under available development land and amendments to the policy criteria. Requests deletion of criteria 6, 7, 8, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23	See table in the reps	Υ	
LPR-D-317	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s- Symmetry Park, Bicester	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs			Supports site allocation in the policy, suggest to put "at least 6 ha" under available development land for Bic E5		Y	
LPR-D-318	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s- East of M40 J9	Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40	N		Supports site allocation. Suggests inclusion of 'at least 30 hectares' in the policy. Object the requirement to submit a comprehensive strategic masterplan. Mention other requirements and suggested wording amendments as well as deletion of criteria 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 19, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 - see reps in full	Wording changes and alterations to the policy, as per the table in the representation	Υ	
LPR-D-318	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s- East of M40 19	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N		Supports site allocation in the policy, suggests including 'at least 3 hectares' in relation to BIC E1		Υ	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-319	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s- Ardlev	ENA 2024	Evidence based documents			LSH do not appear to have explicitly estimated the scale of suppressed demand. Demand estimates do not have buffer of vacant sites. Appended report by Savills regarding the need for further allocation		Y	The issue raised are complex
LPR-D-319	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s- Ardley	Local Plan	Omission Site			Insufficient amount of employment land in particular with respect to the needs for large scale unit, regional and sub regional units (circa 300,000 sqft) As such the LPR will not achieve the Government's Growth agenda, this is particularly important as the site is within the Oxford Cambridge Arc. Appended a proposal		Y	The issue raised are complex
LPR-D-319	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s- Ardley	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N		Supports the employment allocations BIC E1 and E5 but argues that the Local Plan has not allocated enough employment land. Questioning the delivery of retained 2015 allocations as well as Banbury MU1 Canalside and E1 Higham Way.	To remove Banbury 6, 11 and MU1, and E1, as well reduce the size of Bic12.	Y	The issue raised are complex
LPR-D-319	Louise Steele	Framptons	Tritax Big Box Development s- Ardley	Local Plan	Policy LEC 3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	N N		the text for the policy for LEC 3 should be more supportive, and make reference to large scale B8 uses and it is considered that there should be no differentiation for smaller villages and open countryside having additional criteria particularly for sites that are on the Strategic Road Network.		Y	The issue raised are complex
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Appendix 1	N N	N	Appendix 1 - Request to clarify as to existing policies that are identified to be retained, are being offered for examination under this draft local plan, or not?		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Omission Site	N N	N	Submitted "Land East of Adderbury" proposal		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	Bainbridge David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Others- General	N N	N	A new review of the local plan for Cherwell District should now be undertaken and consideration given to a new programme for progress on a new local plan in light of this submission. Suggest the use of digital tools to assist public involvement. Mention that hasn't incorporate Oxford to Cambridge Growth Corridor. Suggest to consider Oxford City Plan and S&V Joint Plan objection letter to each other - housing and employment numbers		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Plan Period	N N	N	Object the period - should not look back 5-6 years from the projected		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	Bainbridge David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	N N	N	adoption Object the annual housing requirement. Does not set out the proposed housing requirement clearly set out in table form. The proposal should have been made clearer through text and table(s) in respect of the proposed housing requirement, separate from explanation of housing supply		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N N	N	Object the policy. No evidence. FPCR have identified that whilst the draft policy does not preclude development it is nonetheless another layer of unnecessary constraint for potential growth within a landscape considered by Cherwell Landscape Sensitivity Assessment (September 2022, TEP) as being able to accommodate built development, being no more than "low-moderate" sensitivity.	Delete the policy	Y	To explain the request

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	N N	1 1		Lengthy policy. Not all development can adopt 20-min neighbourhood and use locally sourced sustainable materials		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and	N N	N N	ď	t will not be appropriate for all development proposals to demonstrate that full regard has been paid to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and all other relevant policies of this Plan.		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type	N N	J N	V (	Object the policy - not clearly written and ambiguous.		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing	N N	J V		Object the policy - not clearly written and ambiguous. Evidence of the 400 dwellings - assessment of need and deliverability is needed		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 5: Residential Space Standards	N N	1 V		Object the policy - not clearly written and ambiguous. No explanation of M4(s) building regulations standards is encouraged		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	N N	J N		Object the policy - not clearly written and ambiguous. Evidence of the LOO dwellings - assessment of need and deliverability is needed		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain	N N	1 V	V (	Object the 20% requirement as it is not justified and achievable		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 25: The Effective and Effi cient Use of Land – Brownfield Land and Housing Density	N	N N	ď	The policy sets out minimum densities on all new housing developments, which does not appear to comply with the NPPF		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	N N	1 1		Object this policy. As there are lots of changes to flood risk planning policy in the 2024 NPPF which should be taken into account		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	N N	1 V	t	No measurable or deliverable action. Mention how to mechanism and imescale to identify alternative deliverable sites if there is any future shortfall		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs	N	N N	N I	No sufficient deliverable employment land		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy	N N	1 V	V (	Object the housing numbers - especially in Adderbury		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	N N	1 V	V -	The policy does not propose new meaningful growth at Adderbury		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N N	1 V		Object to the absence of proposed growth at Adderbury. Appended Technical Note: Landscape Review, has been prepared by FPCR		Y	To explain the request

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land		Transitional arrangements	N	N N	The draft local plan does not meet the transitional arrangement - housing req 18.5% below the minimum LHN, include unmet needs of Oxford City.		Υ	To explain the request
LPR-D-320	David Bainbridge	Savills	Hallam Land	Duty to Cooperate		N	N N	Question on the SoCG as part of the consultation, removal of HENA		Y	To explain the request
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited		Local Plan	Policies Map	Υ	N	NW Bicester map - the policy and the designation of strategic or settlement gaps on the Policies Map (or in Appendix 4) is not justified not effective and inconsistent with national policy.	to delete the policy / amend the strategic gaps so that it would not encroach the allocation	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policies Map	Υ	N	Suggest that the red line edge of the strategic housing site allocation be clearly visible around the whole of the allocation on the Policies Map – in the form that is shown on the Key Diagram.	amend the map	Y	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester		Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Y	Y	Support the policy and its evidence (SA) - especially regarding NW Bicester site in terms of increasing the number of homes allocated at the site.		Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited		Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Υ	N	Generally support the policy. Suggest to change the wording related realignment of Howes Lane. Object that NW Bicester should be contributing towards 4 transport schemes and suggest only infrastructure that is necessary for development.	Amend the wording	Y	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited		Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester	Υ	N	Concern on some issues - require further clarity. Overlapping allocation and strategic gap. appends the Technical Note on Landscape and Strategic Gap issues prepared by FPCR Environment and Design and full NW Bicester proposal by Turley	to delete the policy / amend the strategic gaps so that it would not encroach the allocation. Full suggestion with bullet points and NW Bicester proposal included in the reps	Y	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Υ	N	Objects to the bullet point iv which cites the causing of coalescence between settlements to be a potential reason for not permitting development	Delete bullet point iv	Y	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited				Υ	N	Objects to bullet xvi – supporting the use of locally sourced materials with consideration to embodied materials – is considered to be not directly related to the general principles of Policy COM14 – i.e. well designed places	Delete bullet point xvi. See reps for full version	Y	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policy COM 15: Active Travel – Walking and Cycling	Υ	N	Generally supports the policy. Makes a minor suggestion to bullet point iv	Amend bullet point iv. See reps for full version	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan		Υ	N	Suggests the deletion of "at least". Questions the percentage - insufficient evidence	Amend the wording - see reps for full version	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited		Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Υ	Y	Support the policy	-	Y	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester		Policy COM 6: Self- Build and Custom- Build Housing	Υ	N	Questions the viability of self-build housing	A more flexible policy wording	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited		Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Υ	N		Suggest Future Homes Standard (FHS) 2025 to be adopted by the council for the construction standard. Concern on criterion iv and v	Suggest Future Homes Standard (FHS) 2025 to be adopted by the council for the construction standard. See reps for full version	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policy CSD 11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Υ	N		Bird bat boxes to be located where they most appropriate which is not necessarily on each building	Amend policy. See reps for full version	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Bicester	Local Plan	Policy CSD 12: Biodiversity Net Gain				Question on the percentages and the evidence	Proposes that the reference to 20% in CSD12 should not apply to the strategic allocations but 10% instead	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Bicester	Local Plan	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality		N	c	The policy is not consistent with other remainder of the policy. Lack of clarity	First two sentences of the policy deleted	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Υ	N	s	Concern with introducing new processes and targets that go substantially beyond forthcoming changes to the Building Regulations and are not in conformity with the Written Ministerial Statement WMS) of December 2023.	Amend policy. See reps for full version	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity	Υ	N		Generally support the policy but there are some minor issues related he trip rates and vehicles category	Amend policy. See reps for full version	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide	Υ	N		Generally support the policy but there are some minor issues related he wording and Transport Assessment requirement	Amend policy. See reps for full version	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non- residential	Υ	N	C	Concern on the benchmarks set out in point ii, iii, iv	To ensure the policy is sound Hallam request that the draft policy be amended • Where practical and viable developments of 5,000m2 or more	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon	Υ	N	s	The target identified by the Policy is the target for 2030 not 2056 and should be replaced by the 2025 target. Meeting the target is challenging. Suggest to be applied for RM planning applications	Amend policy. See reps for full version	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policy LEC 10 Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses	Υ	N		Generally support the policy but need further clarity on town centre n strategic developments	Amend policy. See reps for full version	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policy LEC 7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	Υ	N		Generally support the policy but there are some minor concern on the application to unplanned development	Amend policy. See reps for full version	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Υ	N		Generally support the strategy but question on the a further early review of the Cherwell LP following the new NPPF	Suggest further immediate review of the Plan following closely on from the adoption of the Local Plan Review 2042.	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Viability		Υ	N		The Viability Study has failed to consider the potential costs of Policy CSD 5 (Embodied Carbon) given that low carbon materials outside of the mainstream supply chain often have greater costs	The study needs to be updated	Y	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Υ	Y		Welcomes the focus of provision being placed at Bicester and considers the assumptions and approach, with 3,200 homes being delivered at North West Bicester in the plan period to be realistic and achievable. Welcomes the proposed extension of North West Bicester	Considers no changes are required to ensure soundness	Y	Extensive knowledgeof opportunities and constraints at Bicester due to work on North West Bicester
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Y	N		Considers the policy is not justified, effective and consistent with national policy. Considers the lack of clarity in the intended purpose conveys an absence of justification for such policy. It should not be presumed that separation is instrumental or necessary to ensure the protection or enhancement of settlement identity or distinctiveness. Proposes the policy should be deleted	COM 13 should be deleted and if retained, the policies in paragraph 2 and in the final paragraph should be separated and clearly defined, reference to preventing coalescence in paragraph 1 or diminishing separation in paragraph 2 should be separated and clearly defined and paragraph 3.300 should be revisited to emphasise the purpose of strategic gaps as being to give consideration to maintain settlement identity. The wording of paragraph 3.303 regarding the gap between Bucknell and North West Bicester should be amended, specific wording is suggested	Y	Extensive knowledgeof opportunities and constraints at Bicester due to work on North West Bicester
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester		Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Υ	N		Welcomes the provision of BIC H1 in Policy SP1. Suggests the red line edge of the strategic housing site allocation should be clearly visible around the whole of the allocation on the policies map, alike the key diagram	The associated policies map should ensure the strategic housing site allocation at North West Bicester is clearly visible around the whole allocation on the policies map	Υ	Extensive knowledgeof opportunities and constraints at Bicester due to work on North West Bicester
LPR-D-321	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Bicester	Local Plan	Objectives				Regarding SO 1, notes that it requires development to 'deliver the highest possible sustainable construction standards' and highlights that the Future Homes Standard will achieve significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions. Considers that imposing higher standards at North West Bicester ahead of national guidance has not been effective in supporting delivery and that there are significant advantages to delivery and plan implementation if FHS is adopted by the council, including North West Bicester	Recommends wording amendments to SO 1 to include reference to Future Homes Standard	Y	Extensive knowledgeof opportunities and constraints at Bicester due to work on North West Bicester
LPR-D-322	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Υ	N		The limitation of a 565 dwelling requirement in the plan period (in the rural areas as a whole) is inconsistent with the evidence base that has identified a need for housing in the larger villages - No allocation in Ambrosden	Make additional specific allocations in the rural areas and increased the anticipated additional supply in the Rural areas to some 1000 new homes.	Y	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-322	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Υ	N		Supports the policy but objects bullet point iv which cites the causing of coalescence between settlements to be a potential reason for not permitting development	Delete bullet point iv	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-322	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Υ	N		Object the policy due to lack of evidence. Appends the Technical Note on Landscape and Strategic Gap issues prepared by FPCR Environment and Design in relation to landscape and settlement gap issues at Ambrosden.	Delete the policy / amend the text - more in reps	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-322	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy	Y N		Concerned no provision is made for additional housing in Ambrosden or 3 other Category A Settlements. Submitted a proposal to a housing development in Ambrosden	Add more housing numbers for Ambrosden	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-322	Nick Freer	David Lock Associates Limited	Hallam Land- Ambrosden	Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	Y N		Object the policy as it is not positively prepared nor effective in meeting the Plans strategy	Additional allocation in Cat A villages. Amend policy to enable development beyond built up of settlement.	Υ	Extensive knowledge of the district. Submitting a site in Ambrosden
LPR-D-323	Eleanor Wills	Great Western Railway		Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Evidence based documents			There are more information needs to be changed in the Schedule. Including changing the references, adding the costs, and other information. See reps for full suggestion			
LPR-D-324	Elizabeth Poskitt	Great Western Railway		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Objects due to overall archaeological condition of site, isolation of site from Woodstock and impacts to Woodstock's infrastructure, and proximity to the WHS. More details in rep	Requests that the site be removed from the Local Plan		
LPR-D-325	Jane Burrett			Local Plan	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40	Y N	Y	The relationship between employment development plans and policies for CSD 9 would fail Wendlebury, due to sewage and water issues			
LPR-D-325	Jane Burrett			Local Plan	Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Y N	Y	Need to factor in flood risks from Wendlebury Brook and Gaggle Brook into SP1 Settlement Hierarchy, and appendix map to be magnified. Queries lack of EA records post 2014			
LPR-D-325	Jane Burrett			Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	YN	Υ	The policy is not sufficient to ensure that demands are met and risks of flooding minimised	Adequate infrastructure		
LPR-D-325	Jane Burrett			Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy		Υ	Objects to Wendlebury category being changed from C to B, for reasons including the bus services listed being incorrect and incorrect labelling of a commercial business. Also no mention of no pavements or street lighting.	Requests reconsideration of category, and to consider aspects of flood risk and sewage treatment		
LPR-D-326	Sharon Parnes			Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy			As with former PR10, which was rejected multiple times, there are no reasons that the site can overcome previous reasons for rejection, is a historically controversial site, and would overrun Woodstock's infrastructure			
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Objectives	Y N	Υ	Welcomes strategic objectives 1, 11 and 15		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater infrastructure	Y N	Y	Welcomes the policy with respect to access to water services		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Y N	Υ	Welcomes the policy		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non- residential	Y N	Y	Welcomes the policy		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan		Y N	Υ	Welcomes the policy		N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy COM 3: Housing Size / Type	Υ	N Y	Υ	Welcomes the policy, especially the housing mix at 3.222		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Y	N Y	:	The priority of completing the Howes Lane realignment project should be emphasised. South East Perimeter Road (SEPR) should be carefully considered in light of policies to manage future transport demand and the routing of any SEPR should be carefully considered to minimise impact on Wendlebury and Merton villages.		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy BIC H1: Land at North West Bicester	Υ	N Y		Concern about the site - inadequate infrastructure and impact on Bucknell and Caversfield		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Υ	N	i	The scale of the proposed employment sites north and south of the A41 corridor is concerning due to traffic levels and the impact on traffic infrastructure. It is important to ensure the employment sites impact on Chesterton, Little Chesterton and Wendlebury are considered so they are not adversely affected.			
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Υ	N Y		Objects to the site and feels it is inconsistent with COM 13			
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy CSD 1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Y	N Y	Y	Support the policy but suggest to put more text on flood risk		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy CSD 3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development Non-	Υ	N		Support the policy but suggest rewording it to strengthen the policy (see reps for full suggestion)		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	residential Policy CSD 6:	Υ	N Y	Υ :	Support the policy		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Renewable Energy Policy CSD 7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Υ	N		Object the policy. Development shouldn't be allowed in areas of flood risk		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock	Υ	N \		Comments concern about the site due to inadequate infrastructure and as the site would represent an extension towards the airport and towards the Green Belt		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Policy LEC 2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	Y	N Y	Υ	Concern about the proposed employment allocation in J9 due to pressure on the traffic infrastructure. Object the inclusion of site BIC5 due to coalescence to Ambrosden		N	
LPR-D-327	Calum Miller			Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	Υ	N		Commenting we need more affordable and social housing in the district. Mention the reorganisation and devolution.		N	
LPR-D-328	Gary Denham	Claydon with Clattercote Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy SP 1: Settlement Hierarchy	,			Objects to Claydon being the same category as Cropedy, which has more amenities etc than Claydon. Question on terms "minor development", "built-up limits".			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-329	Ginny Hope	Fringford Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Strategy			Question on how windfall / speculative development can be prevented in Fringford i.e. protection. Question the 500 allocation for rural areas - prefers brownfield land in Heyford Park			
LPR-D-329	Ginny Hope	Fringford Parish Council		HELAA		1		Object LPR-A-078 and 171 to be included in the LP.			
LPR-D-330	Tony Hartwell	Council		Local Plan	Others- General			Would like to engage but does not feel input is taken into consideration			
LPR-D-331	Diane Hall			Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Objects to proposed housing development due to the increased pressure on local infrastructure			
LPR-D-332	Alan Plumb			Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Strategic gap between Adderbury and Bloxham to be extended, to provide visual screening for Milton, to avoid further extensions of Adderbury or Bloxham along Milton Road, and to encompass allotments which benefit from protection.	Requests strategic gap between Adderbury and Bloxham to be extended by one field to encompass fields directly adjoining the south side of Milton Road		
LPR-D-333	Joan Holland			Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy			Fails to mention Oxford football stadium and the impact to traffic, plus traffic from proposed 4000 new homes			
LPR-D-334	Margaret Sanderson			Local Plan	Others- General			No written comments as does not feel their opinion is taken into consideration			
LPR-D-335	Jeanette Jenkins			Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Objects to the site proposal, it has been objected to in the past and the same infrastructure and flooding issues are still a concern			
LPR-D-336	David Baldwin			Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Objects due to the proposed link road and its traffic impacts, and the impacts on infrastructure and services for local residents and new Park View residents	A range of services and infrastructure to ensure success		
LPR-D-337	Sam Champ				Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy			Objects due to increased pollution, increased traffic, and safety concerns			
LPR-D-338	Alan Lodwick			Local Plan	Policy COM 12: The Oxford Green Belt			Supports the decision not to allocate further land in the Green Belt			
LPR-D-338	Alan Lodwick	Kidlington Development Watch		Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			Nominate LGS at Stratfield Brake, Land Behind the Moors, Land West of the footpath from Mill-End to St Mary's Church, Kidlington.  Appendix is attached in the reps for the full proposal		Υ	extensive involvement with the consultation, number of signature
LPR-D-338	Alan Lodwick	Kidlington Development Watch			Policy KID 4: Kidlington Area Strategy - Green and Blue Infrastructure			Suggesting to put a "Green Ring" around Kidlington - which was submitted in the 2020 consultation. Appendix is attached in the reps for the full proposal		Υ	extensive involvement with the consultation, number of signature
LPR-D-339	Rob Fellows	Elmsbrook Residents			Evidence based documents	1	N	The link with OLTCP policies and ongoing projects in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan is not enough to ensure that the LP 2042's objectives would be met. It also does not contain anything specific regarding the strategy for adding so many new homes to North West Bicester		N	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-339	Rob Fellows	Elmsbrook Residents		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	N	N	Wording "likely to remain" in clause 3.303 when compared to Appendix 4 clauses. Question on the term "intervisibility", the interpretation of the clause, what is allowable? Also queries what is meant by Green Space in a settlement gap		N	
LPR-D-339	Rob Fellows	Elmsbrook Residents		Local Plan	Policy COM 2 Affordable Housing	N	N	Question on how the policy will ensure 30% Affordable Housing provision can happen. Queries the wording of the current Policy COM 2 clause (3.216)		N	
LPR-D-339	Rob Fellows	Elmsbrook		Local Plan	Housing			Concerns over increase to Bicester - up by 47% in NW Bicester			
LPR-D-339	Rob Fellows	Residents Elmsbrook Residents		Local Plan	Requirement Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services			It's unclear how the realignment of Howes Lane solves the issue of mitigating the traffic impact for the 3,200 new homes being built on this side of town and connecting to it; the statement that maximum of 50% of all journeys from these homes will be made by cars is not justified by current evidence; questions why travel plans and mode assessments count electric vehicles in the same category as petrol and diesel cars — which goes against the 'green' value and underpredicts their impact	Policies should be consistent, and transport modelling follow that		
LPR-D-339	Rob Fellows	Elmsbrook Residents		Local Plan	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity			Clause 3.127 states that there is a key objective to encourage "living closer to jobs" – however, there is no further strategy wording anywhere which indicates how this might happen, or what analysis has already gone in to assessing the feasibility for policies to achieve this. Also highlights clause 3.129			
LPR-D-339	Rob Fellows	Elmsbrook Residents		Local Plan	Policy CSD 23: Assessing Transport Impact/Decide and Provide	٨	N	The Local Plan makes no mention of the current traffic capacity, and what it would potentially need to cope with the huge percentage increase in homes directly accessing it. Have assessments been done adequately, for ALL types of travel requirements, in order to accurately determine the 'decide' part of this approach?		N	
LPR-D-339	Rob Fellows	Elmsbrook		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy	N	N	There is not enough specified strategy in the draft LP to show that		N	
LPR-D-339	Rob Fellows	Residents Elmsbrook Residents		Traffic Modelling		N	N	traffic congestion will have reduced Under-estimation in the Bicester Traffic Model (BTM). No reliable evidence of 500 additional homes in Hawkwell Village		N	
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Duty to Cooperate	Evidence based documents			There has only been minimal engagement with investors and developers as the Local Plan review has progressed over the past 9 years.			
LPR-D-341	Ian Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Plan Period			Start date should be 2024			
LPR-D-341	Ian Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution			Cherwell have included housing delivery beyond 2042 in its calculation of the delivery of housing figures in the Plan – at NW Bicester. These figures should be removed and additional development sites included in the draft Local Plan. It is questionable whether future growth needs for new housing and additional economic growth have been fully considered for the next 15 years. Suggest to do a proper Green Belt Review. Question on the 5YHLS and deliverability. Does not follow the NPPF			
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Policy CSD 5: Embodied carbon			Mentions Reg18 reps. Suggest that all major developments conduct a Whole Life Carbon Assessment			

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Sound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-341	Ian Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs			Question on the discussion of economy in the plan - not enough. Incomplete analysis, no analysis from OXLEP LIS. Oxford to Cambridg Corridor - not in the plan	e e		
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			Key evidence was published after Executive met to approve the Regulation 19 draft Local Plan for consultation. An incomplete SA, given that the economic evidence was completed after the Executive			
LPR-D-341	Ian Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Housing numbers			Cherwell should use the higher numbers in the new housing Standard Method to achieve more deliverable growth across the Council. It is also not clear that the draft Local Plan has been constructed with deliverable sites to achieve a 5 year Housing Land Supply, noting the lack of publishing of the new HELAA			
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 2: Affordable Housing			The Plan does not make sufficient provision for housing including affordable housing, as per NPPF para 20a (2023)			
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Plan wide			The draft Regulation 19 Local Plan does not appear to have taken into account relevant market signals including the demand in different economic sectors already present in the Cherwell economy as per the NPPF (2023 edition) Para 31			
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Others- General	T		As per NPPF Para 33, as the current Local Plan was adopted July 2015 the new Plan is five years overdue	5,		
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			Questions whether future growth needs for new housing and additional economic growth have been fully considered for the next 15 years			
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Evidence based documents	П		There is a need for a proper Green Belt review and notes surprise that this was not conducted	ıt .		
LPR-D-341	Ian Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Development Strategy			The use of 'Urban Extensions' puts pressure on existing overstretched services and tends to increase issues of urban congestion	Concentrating growth at a new settlement is an opportunity to design a new settlement with high quality design and landscaping and address climate change; also ensures good infrastructure and avoids development pressures		
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Viability				There is a need for a viability assessment of the cumulative policy requirements contained in the draft Local Plan			
LPR-D-341	Ian Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services			There is no evidence presented of any action being taken Cherwell to secure investment in new energy and water connections or at the County level			
LPR-D-341	Ian Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Policy CSD 2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential			The Local Plan should seek to achieve net zero carbon emissions fron day one, by incorporating renewable energy provision and the integration of transport, thus making it resilient to climate change			
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Local Plan	Transitional arrangements			The Regulation 19 draft Local Plan does not have an early review policy and makes no mention of being reviewed			

REP ID	Name	Organisation	Person/orga	Document	Part of Document		<u>s I c</u>	Reasons	Changes Sought	ज ए	Reason(s) for participation
Number	Name	_	nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	ound	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-341	lan Pritchett	Greencore Homes		Transport evidence				There is a need for a proper transport assessment of the proposed draft Local Plan. Without that would be unsound. Reference to Tandrige Local Plan being withdrawn			
LPR-D-342	Dorothy Howarth			Puy du Fou proposal				Objects to theme park development due to political ties and impacts to traffic and infrastructure			
LPR-D-343	Alan Munn	West Northamptonshire Council		Local Plan	Objectives			No objectives			
LPR-D-343	Alan Munn	West Northamptonshire Council		Local Plan	Objectives			No objectives to the Strategic Policies			
LPR-D-343	Alan Munn	West Northamptonshire Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Supportive of Policy COM 13 and Appendix 4. Welcomes the Council's caution against a further allocation of land for development near junctions 10 and 11 of the M40 due to highway impact, character and functioning and the relationship with the south western corner of West Northamptonshire.			
LPR-D-343	Alan Munn	West Northamptonshire Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 18: Creating Healthy Communities			Supportive including of proposals to protect, sustain and improve services and infrastructure including Horton Hospital.			
LPR-D-343	Alan Munn	West Northamptonshire Council		Local Plan	Spatial Strategy			No objections			
LPR-D-343	Alan Munn	West Northamptonshire Council		Local Plan	Vision			Recognition that the boundary with West Northamptonshire and that the towns of Banbury and Bicester both provide key services and amenities for the residents of West Northamptonshire			
LPR-D-343	Alan Munn	West Northamptonshire Council		Local Plan	Vision			No objections			
LPR-D-344	Kate Brown	Hanwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape			Support this policy			
LPR-D-344	Kate Brown	Hanwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps			Generally support this, however, no sufficient clarity regarding the precise location, extent, and protection of strategic gaps - especially between Hanwell and nearby urban areas	Suggests clearer criteria for policy, enhancement of policies on village identity, and there should be clearer guidelines on coalescence		
LPR-D-344	Kate Brown	Hanwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy COM 27: Conservation Areas			Support this policy			
LPR-D-344	Kate Brown	Hanwell Parish Council		Local Plan	Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency			Suggest to include robust monitoring framework to track the effectiveness of COM13			
LPR-D-345	Andrew Batchelor			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			The site is a safety hazard as it is close to a bend at the top of an incline without speed restrictions, and has a lack of pedestrian paths	Suggests introducing traffic calming measures, road straightening/widening, and safer pedestrian access		

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Reason(s) for participation  Participation in
LPR-D-346	Gregory Blaxland	Quod	Oxford University Development	Local Plan	Policy COM 1: District Wide Housing Distribution		v	Question on the new Oxford Unmet Need requirement due to the withdrawal of Oxford City Plan. Mention intensifying development on vartial Review sites to ensure Oxford's unmet need is met		
LPR-D-346	Gregory Blaxland	Quod		Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	N		Question on why Infrastructure Schedule does not suggest that KID II will be expected to contribute towards the A44 Mobility Hub		
LPR-D-346	Gregory Blaxland	Quod	Oxford University Development	Local Plan	Policy LEC 1 Meeting Business and Employment Needs			Question on the removal of Begbroke Science Park in the retained llocation	BSP should be reinstated	
LPR-D-346	Gregory Blaxland	Quod	Oxford University Development s	Local Plan	Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy		e P Ia a	relation to LEC 1, Policy KID 1 allocates 14.7 hectares of imployment land for business and employment growth with Policy R8, and so has the effect of bringing forward the allocation of the and. This is strongly supported and reflects the importance of BSP and its expansion to realising the true potential of the 'knowledge pine' as identified in the Oxfordshire Local Industrial Strategy		
LPR-D-347	Zoe Johnson			Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			Objects, with concerns over infrastructure, affordability, coalescence of villages, environmental/wildlife damage, and traffic and safety		
LPR-D-348	Cherry Brougham			Local Plan	Policy COM 25: Local Green Space			equests for the Land behind The Moors to become a LGS due to its ealth benefits, protection of nature, and for flood management		
LPR-D-349	Michelle Kidd	Environment Agency		Local Plan	Paragraph		3	.69 strengthen language		
LPR-D-349	Michelle Kidd			Local Plan	Policy CSD 19: Soils, Contaminated Land and Stability			mend policy to add reference to risks to groundwater and surface vaters.	Amend policy to add reference to risks to groundwater and surface waters. See rep for full suggested text.	

REP ID Number	Name	Organisation	Person/orga nisation represented	Document	Part of Document	Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-349	Michelle Kidd	Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy CSD 20: Hazardous Substances			Amend policy to refer to groundwater and surface water.	"Development involving the use, movement or storage of hazardous substances, will only be permitted where the relevant authorities are satisfied that appropriate safeguards are in place to ensure there is no unacceptable risk on human health, safety and the environment, including groundwater and surface water. Development of a site in the vicinity of existing hazardous substances, will only be permitted where it is demonstrated that development will not constitute an unacceptable risk to human health, safety, animal health including livestock and the environment. Where necessary, appropriate measures to protect the public and the environment will be required."		
LPR-D-349	Michelle Kidd	Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)			Amend policy to add reference to groundwater and to clarify that infiltration SUDS will not be acceptable in areas where the depth to groundwater is insufficient to provide attenuation.	Amend policy to add reference to groundwater and to clarify that infiltration SUDS will not be acceptable in areas where the depth to groundwater is insufficient to provide attenuation. See rep for full suggested text.		
LPR-D-349	Michelle Kidd	Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy CSD 9: Water Resources and wastewater			Need to understand how the Council will manage the level of growth outstripping that planned in Thames Water's WRMP. Little mention of water resources. Ensure all policies are future proofed.			
LPR-D-349	Michelle Kidd	Environment Agency		Local Plan	infrastructure Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Refers to Feb 2024 ICOMOS Technical Review to provide context for KID H1.			
LPR-D-349	Michelle Kidd	Environment Agency		Local Plan	Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon			There is little opportunity for infiltration SuDs			
LPR-D-349	Michelle Kidd	Environment Agency		Sustainability Appraisal				6.5.6. Inaccurate as EA did object to all site allocations in the Regulation 18 stage.			
LPR-D-349	Michelle Kidd			Sustainability Appraisal				9.4.1. Inaccurate as EA did object to all site allocations in the Regulation 18 stage.			
LPR-D-349	Michelle Kidd			Sustainability Appraisal				Table 2. Flood risk vulnerability and flood zone incompatibility in the Guidance shows that some development should not be permitted in certain flood zones. IF any of the site allocations propose development in the floodplain that are incompatible with the flood plain we would object to them too.			

REP ID Number	Name	ni	Person/orga isation epresented	Document		Sound Legally compliant	Complies with DTC	Reasons	Changes Sought	Participation in hearing(s)	Reason(s) for participation
LPR-D-350	Andrew Rein	Campaign to Protect Old Woodstock		Local Plan	Policy KID H1: South- East of Woodstock			Refers to Feb 2024 ICOMOS Technical Review to provide context for KID H1 and consider that a proper analysis of the site has been undertaken. Policy requires contributions towards health and education rather than requests that these are provided on site.	KID H1 should be deleted		

## Appendix 12: Summary of Representations and Officers Responses

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Transitional Arrangements	Considers that the Local Plan does not meet the transitional arrangements in terms of meeting the 80%	LPR-D-050 LPR-D-142 LPR-D-190 LPR-D-203 LPR-D-227	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify an alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met. No further housing is required.
Transitional Arrangements	If the plan is not submitted by 12/03/2025, the council should apply the policies of the 2024 NPPF and therefore seek to identify additional land to meet development needs in full. If there is any risk of	LPR-D-138	Disagree. This is not a correct interpretation of the transitional arrangements.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	delay at examination the council		
	must not submit the local plan		
Transitional	Queries Oxford City's unmet need	LPR-D-136	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the
Arrangements	being included within Cherwell's	LPR-D-138	December 2023 NPPF.
	own, as on its own it only meets 64%	LPR-D-164	NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes
	of the 80% minimum	LPR-D-165	needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need
		LPR-D-203	assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional
		LPR-D-204	circumstances which justify an alternative approach. The outcome of the standard
		LPR-D-211	method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the
		LPR-D-223	area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met
		LPR-D-252	within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the
		LPR-D-255	amount of housing to be planned for.
		LPR-D-258	Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a
		LPR-D-262	housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which
		LPR-D-263	their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within
		LPR-D-267	neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.
		LPR-D-239	The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan
		LPR-D-275	making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached
		LPR-D-282	Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft
		LPR-D-283	housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using
		LPR-D-287	the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December
		LPR-D-289	2024.
		LPR-D-295	The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice
		LPR-D-300	Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The
		LPR-D-303	housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of
		LPR-D-304	the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met. No
		LPR-D-305	further housing is required.
		LPR-D-308	
		LPR-D-314	
		LPR-D-315	
		LPR-D-320	

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Transitional Arrangements	The proposed housing requirement is not sufficient in meeting the District's objectively assessed housing need, affordable housing needs or economic growth ambitions	LPR-D-105	Disagree. As set out above, the Plan meets housing need.
Transitional Arrangements	Objects to timescales for the submission of the Local Plan Review Examination	LPR-D-211	Disagree. The LDS has been updated. The time between the Regulation 19 consultation and Submission is appropriate.
Transitional Arrangements	Considers that the council rushed to meet the transitional arrangement	LPR-D-268 LPR-D-276	Disagree. The decision to publish the Regulation 19 Plan was made before the publication of the December 2024 NPPF. It is appropriate and in accordance with legislation and national policy for the Council to progress the Plan in a timely manner.
Transitional Arrangements	The plan does not have an early review policy or make any reference to being reviewed	LPR-D-341	There is no requirement for this to be included in the Plan.
Transitional Arrangements	Notes the late publication of key evidence	LPR-D-233 LPR-D-276 LPR-D-341	Key evidence was available for the Regulation 19 consultation.
Plan Period Paragraph	Objects to the plan period starting at 2020, it should start later	LPR-D-137 LPR-D-136 LPR-D-138 LPR-D-164 LPR-D-165 LPR-D-203 LPR-D-204 LPR-D-211 LPR-D-227 LPR-D-250 LPR-D-250 LPR-D-252	Disagree.  The base date is directly related to the evidence informing the CLPR, therefore supporting the appropriateness of 2020 as the base date and 2020 – 2042 as the plan period. Neither policy nor guidance prescribes the use of a plan period starting from a later date; rather the NPPF requires that "strategic policies should look ahead over a minimum 15 year period from adoption" (paragraph 22), which the Plan does, given anticipated adoption in 2026. Much of the evidence has been built over a number of years and has been updated as necessary. Rather than ignoring these years, particularly if need based, the plan reflects on a coordinated timeframe to ensure that this can be monitored appropriately. If the Council were to start the evidence and plan from the adoption date, for example, then it could be that an element of need, be it housing or employment could simply be lost.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response	
F-6-8-11-1		LPR-D-255		
		LPR-D-258		
		LPR-D-262		
		LPR-D-263		
		LPR-D-268		
		LPR-D-275		
		LPR-D-282		
		LPR-D-283		
		LPR-D-287		
		LPR-D-295		
		LPR-D-300		
		LPR-D-320		
		LPR-D-341		

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Summary	It is unclear what contributions and existing supply is assumed from North West Bicester. The likely supply is likely to exceed that quoted on the table in page 9	LPR-D-237	3200 homes are expected to be delivered on NW Bicester in the plan period which is considered to be a realistic estimate of its delivery.
Summary	Considers the overarching themes to be sound	LPR-D-223	Noted and welcomed.
Vision	Reference to the historic environment within Heyford Park should be included. Suggests wording	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Consider that this is not necessary within the vision. It is set out elsewhere in the Plan.
Vision	Welcomes the prioritisation of climate action targets and proposes including cross references to net zero, retrofitting and adaptation	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Vision	Broadly in support but notes the vision makes insufficient mention of achieving a modal shift away from the private car and that Woodstock is not mentioned in the vision	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	The overall aim of 'modal shift' is the reduction of emissions and promotion of transport sustainability. These are referenced in the vision for climate action targets and good transport links across district and in rural areas. The vision presents succinctly Cherwell's districtwide needs and aspirations to 2042. Woodstock is comprehensively referenced in the Kidlington Area Strategy. Working with partners for investment on infrastructure is one of the vision's aims. This is an area of cross boundary planning which Cherwell addresses strongly within adopted and emerging plans. Planned and recently delivered transport, education, and accessible open space in the Kidlington Area Strategy benefit cross boundary communities.
Vision	No objections to the vision, and notes the boundary with West Northamptonshire as well as that Banbury and Bicester provide key services and amenities for West Northamptonshire residents	LPR-D-343 (West Northamptonshire Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Vision	The vision is considered to be sound	LPR-D-198 (South Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-199 (Vale of White Horse District Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Vision	Supports the vision	LPR-D-315	Noted and welcomed
Vision	Supports the three themes, especially the priority given to Climate Change as theme 1	LPR-D-052 LPR-D-073	Noted and welcomed
Vision	Supports the vision describing increased opportunities for active travel and improved public transport	LPR-D-073	Noted and welcomed
Vision	Welcomes the extension of the plan period to 2042	LPR-D-237	Support is noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Vision	Supports the vision but the 4th bullet point should be strengthened to refer to a range and choice of housing to meet local needs	LPR-D-221	The vision is, as might be expected, not overly detailed. The range and choice of housing is set out in the relevant policies elsewhere.
Vision	Supports the vision but flexibility is required and suggests amendments in the wording	LPR-D-266	Consider the vision is sufficiently flexible.
Vision	Supports the overarching themes of the plan but objects to the vision and objectives due to the absence of growth at Heyford Park	LPR-D-258	Noted. Policy HEY1 sets out the strategy for Heyford which seeks to secure the delivery of Policy Villages 5 which is retained from the 2015 adopted Local Plan which still needs to be delivered
Vision	Supports the numerous references to the local economy in the vision	LPR-D-298	Noted and welcomed
Vision	The second bullet point refers to the re-shaping of Kidlington, but the plan does not explain how this will be achieved given there is no housing or employment development allocated for Kidlington in the Regulation 19 plan	LPR-D-282	There is existing committed development at Kidlington which will be delivered during the course of the plan period. There is one housing allocation in this plan for the wider Kidlington area.
Vision	More explanation on how development needs will be met over the next 18 years. The vision must explicitly recognise the need to meet locally assessed housing need, and place more emphasis on the need to deliver affordable housing and improve affordability of housing, in particular where there has been an identified need or historic underdelivery of new homes	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	The vision is, as might be expected, not overly detailed. The delivery of housing, including affordable housing is set out elsewhere in the Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Vision	Broadly supports, but suggests there should be a greater emphasis on delivering a wide choice of homes, encouraging growth in the most sustainable settlements and delivering new affordable homes	LPR-D-151	The vision is, as might be expected, not overly detailed. The delivery of housing, including affordable housing is set out elsewhere in the Plan.
Objectives	There should be opportunity to support greater carbon and energy efficiency. The list of heritage assets should be expanded. Suggests amendments to the wording of SO7 and SO14	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	The objectives are not intended to be overly prescriptive. Heritage assets are set out elsewhere in the Plan.
Objectives	No objections to the objectives	LPR-D-343 (West Northamptonshire Council)	Welcomed and noted.
Objectives	Retrofitting of existing buildings should be mentioned in SO1 and notes the relationship between air quality improvement and biodiversity is unclear in SO4, and so recommends a separate objective on air quality	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	The objectives are not intended to be overly prescriptive. These matters are set out elsewhere in the Plan.
Objectives	Considers the strategic objectives to be sound	LPR-D-198 (South Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-199 (Vale of White Horse District Council)	Welcomed and noted.
Objectives	Supports the objectives, in particular SO4, 5, 10, 12 and 14	LPR-D-240	Welcomed and noted

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Objectives	Welcomes strategic objectives 1, 11 and 15	LPR-D-327	Welcomed and noted.
Objectives	Broadly in support but notes the proposed allocation near Woodstock conflicts with SO11 and SO13	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	Disagree. Do not consider the proposed allocation conflicts with these objectives. Consider the proposal does meet housing needs for Cherwell and does focus development in a sustainable location. The site allocation has been justified within the context of the CLPR strategy, the Kidlington Area Strategy and informed by up-to-date evidence.  It is informed by extensive and up to date landscape and heritage evidence having regard to landscape and heritage assets including Scheduled Monuments, Blenheim's Outstanding Universal Value as well as the setting of Woodstock.
Objectives	Supportive but they require flexibility. Suggests amendments and signposting from the district wide objectives to the 'place' objectives	LPR-D-266	Consider the objectives are sufficiently flexible. Signposting is not considered necessary as the Plan should be read as a whole.
Objectives	In terms of strategic objective 1, considers that imposing higher standards at North West Bicester ahead of national guidance has not been effective in supporting delivery and that there are significant advantages to delivery and plan	LPR-D-321	Disagree, some of the objectives will be more challenging but they remain an appropriate set of objectives for the Plan period. The strategy is considered to be positive and proactive. Imposing higher standards is a key component of this Plan to ensure that we meet the challenge of climate change and ensure sustainable development.  The government has released several possible versions of the Building Regulations
	implementation if Future Homes Standard is adopted by the council, including at North West Bicester		that are due to come into force in 2025 (the Future Homes Standard/FHS, or Future Buildings Standard/FBS). Analysis has shown that the FHS will not deliver the level of energy efficiency that is necessary for the achievement of the UK's carbon budgets.
			The previous Government's latest FHS consultation (2023-24) shows that the FHS might not improve fabric at all, whereas the 2019-20 consultation had indicated that fabric would improve (albeit not to the level necessary for carbon budgets as noted above). The national FHS consultation 2023- 24 shows that heating bills in one of the FHS options would be double that of a home built today, due to the failure to improve fabric while switching to electric heating (despite the heating

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			system being efficient) in combination with a potential lack of solar panels. This implies a justification for local plan policy on energy efficiency and carbon to exceed those of Building Regulations and the Future Homes Standard, as otherwise it will logically be unable to mitigate climate change in line with the Climate Change Act.  Local planning authorities have the legal power, established through the Planning and Energy Act 2008, to reasonably require in their policies: Energy efficiency standards beyond those of Building Regulations, so long as these are 'not inconsistent with national technical standards'  Given CSD 2 and 3 are primarily based upon metrics used in Building Regulations, both policies are entirely in accordance with the Planning and Energy Act 2008 and are therefore justified in exceeding the standards set out in Building Regulations.
Objectives	The objectives appear to be appropriate but some hard to achieve. The council should prepare a more positive and proactive strategy, and the objectives should be able to foster and grow a vision for meeting increasing housing needs and seek to alleviate the housing and affordability crisis	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	Disagree, some of the objectives will be more challenging but they remain an appropriate set of objectives for the Plan period. The strategy is considered to be positive and proactive. The Plan aims to achieve a higher level of house building above its local housing need.
Objectives	Considers that SO7, 8 and 9 conflict with allocations BAN H3 and BAN M/U2 due to loss of town centre parking and the economic implications of this	LPR-D-186	Disagree. It is considered that the town will still have adequate carparking following the development of these sites.
Objectives	The text should include reference to reshaping Kidlington	LPR-D-282	Reference is made to the reshaping of Kidlington. There is a great deal of development at Kidlington allocated in previous Plans which is yet to build out.
Objectives	Welcomes SO7 but it should be underpinned through the provision of an appropriate scale of housing	LPR-D-221	The Plan aims to achieve a higher level of house building above its local housing need. The Plan also seeks to meet employment land needs.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	development to meet the need arising from economic development		
Objectives	The strategic objectives make no reference to supporting Cherwell's role in the national economy, supporting science and technology clusters, supporting the Oxfordshire Knowledge spine or the Oxford-Cambridge Arc. There should be clear support for this, and suggests amendments to SO7	LPR-D-247	This is referenced elsewhere in the Plan and does not require specific reference in the objectives.
Objectives	Welcomes objectives SO9 and SO13 but the role of Banbury and Bicester for development should be stressed within them	LPR-D-221	Reference is made to Cherwell's urban centres in SO9 and sustainable locations in SO13. The role of Banbury and Bicester is set out in the Vision and elsewhere in the Plan.
Objectives	Supports the intention of the objective but it should refer to meeting the housing needs of different groups in the community regarding size, type, tenure and affordable housing	LPR-D-211	This is referenced elsewhere in the Plan and does not require specific reference in the objectives.
Objectives	SO12 should recognise the role that the provision of new and easily accessible public open spaces plays in fostering healthy behaviours	LPR-D-221	This is referenced elsewhere in the Plan and does not require specific reference in the objectives.
Objectives	The word 'sufficient' in SO15 should be deleted as it suggests the plan is aiming for a minimum requirement rather than an ample supply of accessible well maintained good quality services, facilities and	LPR-D-221	Disagree, sufficiently covers needs.

LOCAL PLAN PROPOSED SUBMISSION: Summary/Introduction/Plan Vision and Objectives (CHAPTERS 1 TO 2)					
Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response		
	infrastructure. The objective should refer to aspirations and needs				

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Spatial Strategy	The majority of new development is focussed at Bicester and the strategy indicates it should be the focus for additional development due to its strategic location on the Oxford-Cambridge corridor. Therefore, requests any further development at Bicester that is not allocated in the Local Plan which would impact the M40 J9 or J10 will be required to submit a full assessment of traffic impact, to include all allocated Local Plan growth	LPR-D-200 (National Highways)	Noted.
Spatial Strategy	Considers the spatial strategy to be sound, including reflecting already committed unmet need and the response to the Green Belt	LPR-D-198 (South Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-199 (Vale of White Horse District Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Spatial Strategy	It is unclear why the strategies for Kidlington on pages 7 and 21 are not identical. Concern that Woodstock is not mentioned in either	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	Noted. The Kidlington strategy on page 21 has been corrected to ensure it is identical to that on page 7. The Spatial Strategy presents succinctly the framework to deliver Cherwell's needs and aspirations to 2042. Woodstock is comprehensively referenced in the Kidlington Area Strategy.
Spatial Strategy	No objections to the spatial strategy	LPR-D-343 (West Northamptonshire Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Spatial Strategy	Support the spatial strategy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-126 LPR-D-134 LPR-D-165 LPR-D-265 LPR-D-279 (Bodicote Parish Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Spatial Strategy	Generally support but suggests an immediate review following closely from the adoption of the plan	LPR-D-321	Noted.
Spatial Strategy	Support the strategy, suggests the important role the rural areas play in delivering sustainable growth is acknowledged	LPR-D-269	The spatial strategy focusses new development at the district's main towns of Banbury and Bicester, and to a lesser extent in the Kidlington area. These are the most sustainable locations in the settlement hierarchy. The strategy for the rural areas is to provide for limited development to meet local community and business needs.
Spatial Strategy	Support the strategy but notes concern about the encroachment of towns on greenfield land towards the villages	LPR-D- 271(Kirtlington Parish Council)	Concerns noted.
Spatial Strategy	Generally support the strategy, recommends removing 'avoid unplanned development in the open countryside'	LPR-D-297	Noted. Avoiding unplanned development in the open countryside generally conforms with the NPPF.
Spatial Strategy	Generally support the strategy, but concerned regarding the opportunity for the rural areas to deliver the strategy	LPR-D-315	The spatial strategy focusses new development at the district's main towns of Banbury and Bicester, and to a lesser extent in the Kidlington area. These are the most sustainable locations in the settlement hierarchy. The strategy for the rural areas is to provide for limited development to meet local community and business needs.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Spatial Strategy	The spatial strategy does not acknowledge the role rural areas play	LPR-D-214	The spatial strategy focusses new development at the district's main towns of Banbury and Bicester, and to a lesser extent in the Kidlington area. These are the most sustainable locations in the settlement hierarchy. The strategy for the rural areas is to provide for limited development to meet local community and business needs.
Spatial Strategy	Additional sites should be allocated in the villages	LPR-D-151 LPR-D-236 LPR-D-254	The spatial strategy focusses new development at the district's main towns of Banbury and Bicester, and to a lesser extent in the Kidlington area. These are the most sustainable locations in the settlement hierarchy. The strategy for the rural areas is to provide for limited development to meet local community and business needs. Development for new housing in the rural areas is directed to the larger and more sustainable villages that offer a wider range of services and facilities, and to a lesser extent to villages that are well connected to urban areas and sustainable villages. It is expected that a number of rural allocations will be made in emerging neighbourhood plans.
Spatial Strategy	The spatial strategy abandons the need of the rural communities and fails to recognise the need for a range of site types and sizes. Suggests allocations are made across the tiers of the settlement hierarchy Justification for an urban based strategy needs to be amended to allow a better spread of development.	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263 LPR-D-155	The spatial strategy focusses new development at the district's main towns of Banbury and Bicester, and to a lesser extent in the Kidlington area. These are the most sustainable locations in the settlement hierarchy. The strategy for the rural areas is to provide for limited development to meet local community and business needs. Development for new housing in the rural areas is directed to the larger and more sustainable villages that offer a wider range of services and facilities, and to a lesser extent to villages that are well connected to urban areas and sustainable villages. It is expected that a number of rural allocations will be made in emerging neighbourhood plans.
Spatial Strategy	The spatial strategy does not recognise that severe housing affordability issues exist in the rural areas. The strategy needs to allow for greater rural housing growth	LPR-D-064	Disagree. The spatial strategy focusses new development at the district's main towns of Banbury and Bicester, and to a lesser extent in the Kidlington area. These are the most sustainable locations in the settlement hierarchy. The strategy for the rural areas is to provide for limited development to meet local community and business needs. Development for new housing in the rural areas is directed to the larger and more sustainable villages that offer a wider range of services and facilities, and to a lesser extent to villages that are well connected to urban areas and sustainable villages.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Spatial Strategy	Considers the strategy fails to meet the housing needs of the district	LPR-D-105	Disagree. The Plan provides for the objectively assessed housing needs for the district.
Spatial Strategy	Object to the omission of Islip as a suitable area for growth	LPR-D-289	Islip is washed over by the Oxford Green Belt. It is not considered that there are any exceptional circumstances for removing the Green Belt in this area.
Spatial Strategy	Object to the absence of proposed growth at Adderbury	LPR-D-320	Adderbury is a designated neighbourhood area. The Local Plan (Policy RUR 1) states that Adderbury should provide 75 homes, to be identified through a neighbourhood plan.
Spatial Strategy	Support the spatial strategy but considers it can be more ambitious.	LPR-D-193	Noted
Spatial Strategy	Supports the objectives to provide development in the rural areas and considers it to be positively prepared but not consistent with national policy. Recommends amendments	LPR-D-220	Noted. The proposed amendment is very minor and is not accepted as it does not add any further clarity.
Spatial Strategy	Objects as insufficient consideration has been given to where redevelopment should be focused and has ignored brownfield sites such as Graven Hill and Upper Heyford	LPR-D-121	Disagree. Policy COM 1 sets out the district-wide housing distribution. A number of the allocated sites are on previously developed land. Policy CSD 25 addresses the need for the effective and efficient use of land, including previously developed (brownfield) land.
Spatial Strategy	Objects to the absence of proposed growth at Heyford Park	LPR-D-258	The Plan's strategy for Heyford is to ensure the delivery of the committed growth set out in saved Policy Villages 5 of the 2015 adopted Cherwell Local Plan. It supports the development of a new settlement at Heyford Park comprising approximately 2,400 dwellings and approximately 120,000sqm of employment land. A masterplan was approved in 2022 to guide the delivery of the 2015 Local Plan allocation and ensure the planned new settlement responds sensitively to the environmental and heritage context of the site. To date approximately 1,100 new dwellings have been completed and a further circa 1500 homes are committed. The Highways Authority have made it clear that limited further development at Heyford Park is not appropriate in highways terms and a more sustainable solution should be found.
Spatial Strategy	Objects as it only focuses development at Bicester and Banbury	LPR-D-276	The spatial strategy focusses new development at the district's main towns of Banbury and Bicester, and to a lesser extent in the Kidlington area. These are the

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			most sustainable locations in the settlement hierarchy. The strategy for the rural areas is to provide for limited development to meet local community and business needs.
Spatial Strategy	Banbury should be seen as equal to Bicester	LPR-D-054 LPR-D-136 LPR-D-190 LPR-D-248	The spatial strategy focusses new development at both the district's main towns of Banbury and Bicester.
Spatial Strategy	Concern that there is no policy in the spatial strategy that looks at Cherwell as a whole. Recommends its inclusion	LPR-D-138 LPR-D-211 LPR-D-250 LPR-D-275 LPR-D-287	The Plan's Spatial Strategy does include a district-wide strategy which is then supported by area strategies.
Spatial Strategy	The spatial strategy does not include direct reference to the priority or emphasis given to the local economy or how the local plan will enable/support this growth	LPR-D-298	The area strategies all reference the need to support the local economy.
Spatial Strategy	There is no policy setting out the overall strategy for scale and distribution of development, recommends its inclusion. The plan fails to recognise opportunities on previously developed land	LPR-D-203	Policy COM 1 sets out the district-wide housing distribution. A number of the allocated sites are on previously developed land. Policy CSD 25 addresses the need for the effective and efficient use of land, including previously developed (brownfield) land.
Spatial Strategy	The plan is not justified by proportionate evidence so there is nothing to test the spatial strategy against. Makes recommendations	LPR-D-282	Disagree. The Council is of the view that the Plan is supported by proportionate evidence.
Spatial Strategy	The plan should state which policies are strategic	LPR-D-203 LPR-D-210	Noted. Appendix 11 of the Plan lists which policies are strategic and non- strategic.
Spatial Strategy	The plan period should be amended to 2024-2045. There is no clear articulation of the plans strategy and	LPR-D-210	Government advice is that local plans should cover a period of at least 15 years post adoption. We expect the Local Plan to be adopted in 2026. An end date of 2042 will meet that requirement. There is therefore no justification for extending

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	questions the change in strategy for Heyford Park. There should be further growth here		the plan period to 2045. The Plan's strategy for Heyford is to ensure the delivery of the committed growth set out in saved Policy Villages 5 of the 2015 adopted Cherwell Local Plan. It supports the development of a new settlement at Heyford Park comprising approximately 2,400 dwellings and approximately 120,000sqm of employment land. A masterplan was approved in 2022 to guide the delivery of the 2015 Local Plan allocation and ensure the planned new settlement responds sensitively to the environmental and heritage context of the site. To date approximately 1,100 new dwellings have been completed and a further circa 1500 homes are committed.
Spatial Strategy	Objects as it only meets 63% of the new standard method. The plan period is inappropriate and questions the lack of Duty to Cooperate evidence with Oxford City	LPR-D-210	The Council's Duty to Cooperate Statement of Compliance details the duty to cooperate evidence with Oxford City Council. The transitional arrangements set out in paragraph 234(a) of the NPPF have been met as the draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the December 2024 standard method. The housing requirement figure should include any unmet needs from neighbouring authorities. (NPPF, para 69).
Spatial Strategy	Questions if future growth needs for housing and economic growth have been fully considered for the next 15 years. There is a need for a proper Green Belt review. There is no mention of the Oxford-Cambridge corridor	LPR-D-233	The future growth needs for housing and economic growth are supported by proportionate evidence. A detailed green belt review was undertaken to support the Cherwell Local Plan Partial Review - Oxford's Unmet Housing Needs adopted 2020. A focussed update to this study was undertaken in 2022/23. These studies form part of the local plan evidence base.
Spatial Strategy	The plan should acknowledge the international significance and heritage potential of Heyford Park	LPR-D-004	Policy HEY 1 and Paragraphs 7.10 – 7.14 acknowledge the heritage significance of the site.
Spatial Strategy	Notes concern regarding a lack of physical and social infrastructure. The plan should strengthen transport infrastructure	LPR-D-186	Noted. The Plan requires additional infrastructure, including transport, to support the delivery of the Plan.
Spatial Strategy	Questions the lack of provision for high-value sectors. Suggests amendments to the text. Questions lack of allocations around Kidlington	LPR-D-193	The local plan provides employment land to meet the needs of a range of employment sectors. Policy LEC 1 sets out how the plan meets business needs. In Kidlington, this includes 14.7 hectares of land at Begbroke Science Park as well as delivery of high value employment uses at London Oxford Airport. There are no

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			exceptional circumstances to release further land from the Green Belt in the Kidlington area.
Spatial Strategy	The plan period should be amended to match the housing need period, and the timetable should be reconsidered	LPR-D-165 LPR-D-210	Government advice is that local plans should cover a period of at least 15 years post adoption. We expect the Local Plan to be adopted in 2026. An end date of 2042 will meet that requirement.
Spatial Strategy	Questions if future growth needs for new housing and additional economic growth have been fully considered for the next 15 years	LPR-D-341	The Plan meets the objectively assessed needs for housing and employment upto 2042.
Spatial Strategy	Concern about active travel schemes for the elderly and impaired. Questions how transport infrastructure can be strengthened	LPR-D-186 LPR-D-239	The local plan is supported by extensive transport related evidence. Policies CSD 22 and 23 address transport issues.
Spatial Strategy	There is more affordable and social housing needed	LPR-D-327	The Plan acknowledges that there is a pressing need for additional affordable and social housing. The level of affordable housing required in new developments has been informed by viability evidence.
Spatial Strategy	Considers that Thames Water cannot cope with additional sewerage or additional water needs	LPR-D-011	Thames Water has been consulted on the level of growth proposed in the Plan. Some upgrades are required.
Spatial Strategy	Supports active travel improvements and reductions in congestion and pollution	LPR-D-073	Noted and welcomed.
Spatial Strategy	All new sites should have mandatory habitat creation. Suggests amendments to the wording	LPR-D-157	The plan has a suite of policies that address the protection and enhancement of biodiversity, including biodiversity net gain.
Spatial Strategy	There is not enough to show that traffic congestion will have reduced	LPR-D-339	The local plan is supported by extensive transport related evidence. Policies CSD 22 and 23 address transport issues.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-161 (Islip	Support is noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
		Parish Council) LPR-D-102 LPR-D-134 LPR-D-185 (Sibford Ferris Parish Council) LPR-D-193 LPR-D-221 LPR-D-223 LPR-D-240 (Fritwell Parish Council) LPR-D-266	
		LPR-D-269 LPR-D-297	
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	The Key Diagram should show the already allocated sites in the adopted plans	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted. The Key Diagram shows the sites allocated in this Plan. Saved policies are shown on the Policies Map.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	The Settlement Hierarchy is clearly defined	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports the designation of Banbury as a Main Town and Nethercote as a Category C village	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports Banbury's classification as a Main Town	LPR-D-299	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports Bicester's classification as a Main Town	LPR-D-165	Support is noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports Banbury and Bicester's classification as Main Towns but questions the low amount of growth proposed for Banbury	LPR-D-091	Additional sites for development are proposed at Banbury. These reflect the topographical, landscape and rural character constraints of the town's edge.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to Bicester receiving a greater proportion of housing than Banbury when they are both Main Towns	LPR-D-311	Additional sites for development are proposed at Banbury. These reflect the topographical, landscape and rural character constraints of the town's edge.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Welcomes the provision of BIC H1 in the policy. Suggests the red line edge of the strategic housing site allocation should be clearly visible around the whole allocation on the policies map	LPR-D-321	Comments noted and welcomed. Changes to the Policies Map have been proposed to show the edge of the strategic housing allocation.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports the identification of Heyford Park as a Local Service Centre	LPR-D-258	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports Caversfield's classification as a Category B Village	LPR-D-107	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to Caversfield's classification as a Category B village and questions the criteria used to classify	LPR-D-135 (Caversfield Parish Council) LPR-D-037 LPR-D-040 LPR-D-062 LPR-D-257	The evidence to support Caversfield's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category B villages are defined as settlements that are geographically close to, or with good transport links to, villages and towns with a good range of services and facilities. Caversfield is in close proximity to Bicester therefore it is considered that the village classification is correct.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects as Caversfield should be recognised as part of the built-up area of Bicester	LPR-D-211	Caversfield is a village distinct from Bicester.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the classification of Bloxham as a Category A Village	LPR-D-202 (Bloxham Parish Council)	The evidence to support Bloxham's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category A villages are defined as larger villages, outside the Green Belt, that have essential local services and facilities and often serve nearby smaller villages. They have regular

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			public transport to main towns or local service centres. Bloxham is the largest Category A settlement in the district.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects as development should be directed towards Bloxham	LPR-D-164 LPR-D-236	Bloxham is categorised as a Category A village. Policy RUR 1 states that the emerging Bloxham neighbourhood plan should allocate sites for 75 dwellings to 2042.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports Drayton's classification as a Category C Village	LPR-D-095 (Drayton Parish Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports Kirtlington's classification as a Category B Village	LPR-D-271 (Kirtlington Parish Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports Hook Norton's classification as a Category A Village but notes that the plan should either make rural site allocations, allow sites to come forward flexibly to meet rural housing needs or make a clear commitment to a part 2 plan	LPR-D-255	Support is noted and welcomed. There is an emerging Hook Norton Neighbourhood Plan which will consider allocating sites.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports the classification of Deddington as a Category A Village	LPR-D-283	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Supports Fritwell's categorisation as a Category C village	LPR-D-240	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to Wendlebury's classification as a Category B Village	LPR-D-219 (Wendlebury Parish Council) LPR-D-325	The evidence to support Wendlebury's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category B villages are defined as settlements that are geographically close to, or with good transport links to, villages and towns with a good range of services and facilities. Wendlebury is in close proximity, and accessible, to Bicester therefore it is considered that the village classification is correct.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the classification of Middleton Stoney as a Category B Village	LPR-D-201 (Middleton Stoney Parish Council)	The evidence to support Middleton Stoney's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category B villages are defined as settlements that are geographically close to, or with good transport links to, villages and towns with a good range of services and facilities. Middleton Stoney is in close proximity, and accessible, to Bicester therefore it is considered that the village classification is correct.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the classification of Great Bourton as a Category B Village	LPR-D-103 (Bourtons Parish Council)	The evidence to support Great Bourton's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category B villages are defined as settlements that are geographically close to, or with good transport links to, villages and towns with a good range of services and facilities. Great Bourton is in close proximity, and accessible, to Banbury therefore it is considered that the village classification is correct.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to Finmere's classification as a Category C village, and that it should be reclassified as a higher category	LPR-D-050 LPR-D-137 LPR-D-210	The evidence to support Finmere's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category C villages are generally smaller villages containing only a limited number of services and facilities. They usually have poor/irregular access to public transport and are some distance from the main towns, local service centres of Category A villages.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to Upper Heyford's classification as a Category B Village	LPR-D-114 (Upper Heyford Parish Council) LPR-D-246 (Somerton Parish Council)	The evidence to support Upper Heyford's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category B villages are defined as settlements that are geographically close to, or with good transport links to, villages and towns with a good range of services and facilities. Upper Heyford is in close proximity and accessible to Heyford Park therefore it is considered that the village classification is correct.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the limited development at Heyford Park	LPR-D-210 LPR-D-258	The Plan's strategy for Heyford is to ensure the delivery of the committed growth set out in saved Policy Villages 5 of the 2015 adopted Cherwell Local Plan. It supports the development of a new settlement at Heyford Park comprising approximately 2,400 dwellings and approximately 120,000sqm of employment land. A masterplan was approved in 2022 to guide the delivery of the 2015 Local Plan allocation and ensure the planned new settlement responds sensitively to the environmental and heritage context of the site. To date approximately 1,100 new dwellings have been completed and a further circa 1500 homes are committed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to Wardington's classification as a Category B village	LPR-D-061 LPR-D-167	The evidence to support Wardington's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category B villages are defined as settlements that are geographically close to, or with good transport links to, villages and towns with a good range of services and facilities. Wardington is accessible by public transport, to Banbury therefore it is considered that the village classification is correct.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to Sibford Ferris and Sibford Gower's classifications as Category B villages	LPR-D-097	Both Sibford Ferris and Sibford Gower are proposed as Category C villages.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the classification of Fringford as a Category C Village	LPR-D-153	The evidence to support Fringford's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category C villages are generally smaller villages containing only a limited number of services and facilities. They usually have poor/irregular access to public transport and are some distance from the main towns, local service centres of Category A villages.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to Shenington's classification as a Category C Village	LPR-D-250	The evidence to support Shenington's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category C villages are generally smaller villages containing only a limited number of services and facilities. They usually have poor/irregular access to public transport and are some distance from the main towns, local service centres of Category A villages.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to Milcombe's classification as a Category B Village	LPR-D-254	The evidence to support Milcombe's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category B villages are defined as settlements that are geographically close to, or with good transport links to, villages and towns with a good range of services and facilities. Milcombe is in close proximity and accessible to Bloxham therefore it is considered that the village classification is correct.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the classification of Hempton as a Category B Village	LPR-D-257	The evidence to support Hempton's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Category B villages are defined as settlements that are geographically close to, or with good transport links to, villages and towns with a good range of services and facilities. Hempton is in close proximity and accessible to Deddington, a Category A village, therefore it is considered that the village classification is correct.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects as Cropredy should be classified as a higher order village	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-303	The evidence to support Cropredy's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). It does not have all the essential services and facilities, most notably a regular bus service, to meet the criteria for a Category A village.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to Claydon being the same category as Cropredy when Cropredy has more amenities	LPR-D-328 (Claydon with Clattercote Parish Council)	The evidence to support both Claydon's and Cropredy's village classification is set out in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper (Updated February 2025). Cropredy does not have all the essential services and facilities, most notably a regular bus service, to meet the criteria for a Category A village. It is acknowledged that it does have more facilities than Claydon, but given that they are both relatively distant from higher order settlements their classification as Category C villages is considered correct.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the classification of Islip as a Category C Village	LPR-D-289	Islip has a good range of services and facilities, but is washed over by the Oxford Green Belt, therefore it is considered appropriate to classify it as a Category C village.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Wendlebury lacks the services and public transport to be considered Category A	LPR-D-015	Wendlebury is not proposed to be a Category A settlement.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Needs to factor in flood risk from Wendlebury Brook and Gaggle Brook into the policy	LPR-D-325	Noted. Policy CSD 7 addresses flood risk management.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	The policy is more restrictive in the rural areas than in the adopted Local Plan	LPR-D-297	Noted.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	The vision cannot be achieved through the emerging spatial strategy and policies	LPR-D-288	Disagree. The policies and proposals in the plan will enable delivery of its vision and objectives.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Suggests defining 'minor' and 'major' in the settlement hierarchy	LPR-D-209 (Ambrosden Parish Council)	Major development is defined in the Plan's Glossary.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	The policy should allow flexibility at the DM stage to enable sustainable development	LPR-D-214	The policies in the Local Plan seek to meet Cherwell's identified needs.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	The type of development for each category should be clearly defined	LPR-D-220	It is considered that the Policy does clearly define the type of development for each category.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	There is no difference between the type of development in the Main Towns and the Local Service Centres	LPR-D-223	Policy SP 1 defines the settlement hierarchy. Most of the proposed development is directed to the Main Towns.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	There needs to be greater flexibility in permitting development at the edge of Category A Villages	LPR-D-226	Disagree. The policies in the Local Plan seek to meet Cherwell's identified needs.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	The type of development supported should include redevelopment of previously developed land outside the built-up limits of all settlements where the sites are well related and connected to the existing settlement. Also questions the need to limit the size of sites at Category B Villages to 1 hectare	LPR-D-234	Policy CSD 25 encourages the re-use of previously developed land in sustainable locations. Category B villages are generally small and less sustainable locations for development relative to higher order settlements. Development should only meet local needs and be proportionate in scale and be sympathetic to the form and character of the settlement.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the policy as some of the criteria in the settlement hierarchy topic paper are incorrect and no consideration is given to water, electricity and sewage capacities	LPR-D-126	The settlement hierarchy only considers services and facilities. The recorded services and facilities in the Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper are at a point in time. Corrections/updates where new information is available will be considered.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects as the settlement hierarchy does not conform with paragraph 83 of the NPPF	LPR-D-064	Disagree. The Plan reflects the role and function of our settlements, with the two main towns and then local service centres supporting these. The potential for our rural communities to grow and thrive, including through supporting smaller villages, is expressly set out in the settlement category in Policy SP1.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the term 'built up limits' as this needs to be clearly defined	LPR-D-090 LPR-D-221 LPR-D-328 (Claydon with	The Local Plan does not define settlement boundaries, although some villages have boundaries defined in neighbourhood plans. It is considered that the term 'built up limits' is widely understood.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
		Clattercote Parish Council)	
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects due to the unnecessary restriction on development in the larger/Category A villages	LPR-D-155 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-322	The spatial strategy focusses new development at the district's main towns of Banbury and Bicester, and to a lesser extent in the Kidlington area. These are the most sustainable locations in the settlement hierarchy. The strategy for the rural areas is to provide for limited development to meet local community and business needs.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects as the housing needs are greater than identified in the Local Plan and therefore a more positive and specific spatial strategy is required	LPR-D-263	Disagree. The transitional arrangements set out in paragraph 234(a) of the NPPF have been met as the draft housing requirement meets at least 80% if local housing need calculated using the December 2024 standard method. The housing requirement figure should include any unmet needs from neighbouring authorities. (NPPF, para 69).
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects as development should be allowed beyond the built-up limits	LPR-D-269 LPR-D-322	The Local Plan seeks to meet the objectively identified needs of the district to 2042. The proposed policies and allocations achieve this. Unplanned development beyond the built-up limits of settlements would undermine the Plan's strategy.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the term 'local employment' under the Local Service Centre description	LPR-D-282	Disagree. The Plan seeks to meet Cherwell's needs therefore the term is appropriate.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects as there is no flexibility for development in the lower hierarchy settlements	LPR-D-315	The settlement hierarchy has the objective of directing development to the most sustainable locations. It is considered that the policy strikes an appropriate balance based on evidence.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects as the policy does not propose meaningful new growth at Adderbury	LPR-D-320	Adderbury is categorised as a Category A village. Policy RUR 1 states that the emerging Adderbury neighbourhood plan should allocate sites for 75 dwellings to 2042.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Objects to the 'type of development' allowed in the policy as it is too restrictive	LPR-D-283	The settlement hierarchy has the objective of directing development to the most sustainable locations. It is considered that the policy strikes an appropriate balance based on evidence.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Notes concern that the approach is overly restrictive	LPR-D-226	Disagree. The settlement hierarchy has the objective of directing development to the most sustainable locations. It is considered that the policy strikes an appropriate balance based on evidence.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Raises concern with errors in calculating the village categorisations	LPR-D-037	Noted. An updated Settlement Hierarchy Paper has been prepared to include any necessary corrections.
Policy SP1: Settlement Hierarchy	Raises concern that all villages are categorised as 'rural areas'. This fails to recognise the individual characteristics of villages.	LPR-D-072	Individual settlements are recognised in the settlement hierarchy. It is also appropriate to use the term rural areas when grouping policies, particularly when addressing the spatial strategy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Theme 1 - Generic	The comment climate change is the greatest long-term challenge facing society is inaccurate and should be described as urgent. The plan should reduce demand for heat by limiting new building to limited numbers of two-bedroom terraces. The strategy for meeting housing needs should rely on reducing under-occupation through sub-division, supporting conversion and building almost exclusively from timber. All new housing should be limited to that which meets affordable housing/housing for older people and special cases e.g. self build plots	LPR-D-004	Comments on climate change noted. Disagree on proposed restrictions.
Theme 1 - Generic	Supports the aspirational approach but considers that policies should be amended to provide flexibility in meeting specified targets	LPR-D-151	Disagree. The policies provide flexibility where appropriate.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Theme 1 - Generic	The emphasis on the role of encouraging and enabling sustainable modes of transport is welcomed, as is the explicit and positive references to the shift of freight from road to rail	LPR-D-298	Noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Supports the policy but notes concern with criterion iv	LPR-D-165	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Strongly supports the policy principle. Wishes to see amendments to identify specific locations, place greater emphasis on active travel and make reference to net zero	LPR-D-222	Support noted and welcomed. There are other policies in the Plan that provide greater focus on active travel and net zero.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Supports the overall intention but comments that it is important to state that these requirements do not apply to sites where planning permission has already been secured	LPR-D-225	Noted.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Supports the policy but notes that net zero by 2030 will be challenging and that climate policies are overly technical for a public document and should be simplified	LPR-D-237	Noted and support welcomed. The policies have been drafted as simply as possible, but as they cover a technical subject this is sometimes difficult to achieve. The Council will consider producing supplemental guidance to assist with the implementation of these policies.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Supports the policy but suggests more text is on flood risk	LPR-D-327	Support for the policy is welcomed. Policy CSD 7 specifically relates to flood risk.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Objects as the policy does not reflect an evidence-based strategy and suggests rewording of the policy	LPR-D-093 (Kidlington Parish Council)	Disagree. The policy has been supported by proportionate evidence.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Objects as it does not cover the effects of wind damage from severe storms	LPR-D-001	The policy requires development to be designed to be resilient to climate change impacts. Wind is not specifically referenced but increased frequency of storms could be argued to be an impact of climate change.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Objects as the plan fails to base policies on the rates of under-occupancy of existing housing in the district. There is evidence of significant levels of under-occupation of existing housing stock that has the potential of meeting most if not all the housing needs. The housing strategy should encourage and enable low carbon conversions and subdivisions and limit new building to particular housing needs that would otherwise go unmet ie social rent, housing for the elderly and self/custom building.	LPR-D-004	The approach advocated is not consistent with the NPPF's calculation of objectively assessed need.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Objects to the wording of the policy and suggests various amendments to be made	LPR-D-157 LPR-D-214 LPR-D-221 LPR-D-222 LPR-D-309	The suggested amendments are not agreed.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Objects as the policies should be clear in stating that compliance with the latest national standards for sustainable design and construction is acceptable	LPR-D-236	Disagree. Policy CSD 1 is grounded in the statutory requirement under Section 19(1A) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, which requires development plans to include policies designed to secure that the development and use of land contributes to the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change. It also aligns with the Climate Change Act 2008 (amended) and the legally binding UK net-zero by 2050 target, the Seventh Carbon Budget (2038-2042), which requires near-zero emissions from new buildings. Additionally, the policy aligns with the 2023 NPPF, particularly paragraph 157, which emphasises planning's critical role in facilitating the transition to a low-carbon economy and paragraph 162, which requires planning applications to address the full range of climate change impacts, including energy use and resilience to extreme weather. Whilst CSD 1 addresses many of the same matters that Building Regulations addresses, the aim of the policy is to ensure climate mitigation and adaptation are holistically considered for all development proposals within Cherwell, a framework that is not cohesively provided by Building Regulations. The Local Plan takes a proactive approach to mitigating and adapting to climate change.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Would have commented on the soundness of the policy but this is a matter for the statutory water company	LPR-D-102	Noted.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	The policy does not reflect evidence based strategy and considers that the key target is not measurable	LPR-D-093	Disagree. Policy CSD 1 is grounded in the statutory requirement under Section 19(1A) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, which requires development plans to include policies designed to secure that the development and use of land contributes to the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change. It also aligns with the Climate Change Act 2008 (amended) and the

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			legally binding UK net-zero by 2050 target, the Seventh Carbon Budget (2038-2042), which requires near-zero emissions from new buildings. Additionally, the policy aligns with the 2023 NPPF, particularly paragraph 157, which emphasises planning's critical role in facilitating the transition to a low-carbon economy and paragraph 162, which requires planning applications to address the full range of climate change impacts, including energy use and resilience to extreme weather. Whilst CSD 1 addresses many of the same matters that Building Regulations addresses, the aim of the policy is to ensure climate mitigation and adaptation are holistically considered for all development proposals within Cherwell, a framework that is not cohesively provided by Building Regulations. The Local Plantakes a proactive approach to mitigating and adapting to climate change.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Questions the climate change evidence	LPR-D-078	The climate change evidence is considered to be proportionate and robust.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	Future Homes Standard 2025 should be adopted by the council for the construction standard	LPR-D-321	Disagree. Policy CSD 1 is grounded in the statutory requirement under Section 19(1A) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, which requires development plans to include policies designed to secure that the development and use of land contributes to the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change. It also aligns with the Climate Change Act 2008 (amended) and the legally binding UK net-zero by 2050 target, the Seventh Carbon Budget (2038-2042), which requires near-zero emissions from new buildings. Additionally, the policy aligns with the 2023 NPPF, particularly paragraph 157, which emphasises planning's critical role in facilitating the transition to a low-carbon economy and paragraph 162, which requires planning applications to address the full range of climate change impacts, including energy use and resilience to extreme weather. Whilst CSD 1 addresses many of the same scopes that Building Regulations addresses, the aim of the policy is to ensure climate mitigation and adaptation are holistically considered for all development proposals within Cherwell, a

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			framework that is not cohesively provided by Building Regulations. The Local Plan takes a proactive approach to mitigating and adapting to climate change.
Policy CSD1: Mitigating and Adapting to Climate Change	The policy is wide ranging and deals with matters for building control, therefore it should be more focussed	LPR-D-223	Policy CSD 1 is grounded in the statutory requirement under Section 19(1A) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, which requires development plans to include policies designed to secure that the development and use of land contributes to the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change. It also aligns with the Climate Change Act 2008 (amended) and the legally binding UK net-zero by 2050 target, the Seventh Carbon Budget (2038-2042), which requires near-zero emissions from new buildings. Additionally, the policy aligns with the 2023 NPPF, particularly paragraph 157, which emphasises planning's critical role in facilitating the transition to a low-carbon economy and paragraph 162, which requires planning applications to address the full range of climate change impacts, including energy use and resilience to extreme weather.  Whilst CSD 1 addresses many of the same scopes that Building Regulations addresses, the aim of the policy is to ensure climate mitigation and adaptation are holistically considered for all development proposals within Cherwell, a framework that is not cohesively provided by Building Regulations. The Local Plan takes a proactive approach to mitigating and adapting to climate change.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Seeks clarity on council approved equivalent local schemes to demonstrate how schemes will be identified and how developers would expect to engage with them. Suggests changes to wording	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	The proposed amendments are noted, but it is considered more appropriate for these details to be included in supplementary guidance.
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Welcomes the policy but objects to the space heating and energy intensity targets being optional. Consideration should be given to strengthening the policy	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	Noted. The standards required by this policy are consistent with national policy, including the December 2023 Written Ministerial Statement (WMS) on Local Energy Efficiency Standards, as well as the interpretation of that WMS outlined in the legal opinion provided by Cornerstone Barristers (February 2024). The standards are also in line with the legal advice on Energy Policy and Building Regulations commissioned by the County Council (available on the Essex County Council website).
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-238 LPR-D-327	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Supports the policy but believes it should be achieved through a national approach	LPR-D-136	Noted. The standards required by this policy are consistent with national policy, including the December 2023 Written Ministerial Statement (WMS) on Local Energy Efficiency Standards.
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Objects and questions the evidence to justify the Building Regulations with respect to efficiency standards	LPR-D-050	The standards required by this policy are consistent with national policy, including the December 2023 Written Ministerial Statement (WMS) on Local Energy Efficiency Standards, as well as the interpretation of that WMS outlined in the legal opinion provided by Cornerstone Barristers (February 2024). The standards are also in line with the legal advice on Energy Policy and Building

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			Regulations commissioned by the County Council (available on the Essex County Council website).
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Objects to the policy as it is written due to the economic implications/ impact on deliverability and viability	LPR-D-078 LPR-D-210 LPR-D-221 LPR-D-221 LPR-D-225 LPR-D-226 LPR-D-259 LPR-D-262	The local plan is supported by a viability assessment. The costs of this policy requirement were factored into the viability modelling.
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Objects and considers the policy should be deleted as it is not justified, not consistent with national policy and does not robustly assess the consequences of the policy	LPR-D-138	The standards required by this policy are consistent with national policy, including the December 2023 Written Ministerial Statement (WMS) on Local Energy Efficiency Standards, as well as the interpretation of that WMS outlined in the legal opinion provided by Cornerstone Barristers (February 2024). The standards are also in line with the legal advice on Energy Policy and Building Regulations commissioned by the County Council (available on the Essex County Council website).
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Objects as it goes beyond the Building Regulations and does not conform with the Written Ministerial Statement	LPR-D-165 LPR-D-227 LPR-D-236 LPR-D-321	The standards required by this policy are consistent with national policy, including the December 2023 Written Ministerial Statement (WMS) on Local Energy Efficiency Standards, as well as the interpretation of that WMS outlined in the legal opinion provided by Cornerstone Barristers (February 2024). The standards are also in line with the legal advice on Energy Policy and Building Regulations commissioned by the County Council (available on the Essex County Council website).

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	The targets are broadly in line with what will be achieved by the Future Homes Standard and so questions if it is necessary as a policy	LPR-D-211 LPR-D-221 LPR-D-226 LPR-D-263 LPR-D-288	The government has released several possible versions of the Building Regulations that are due to come into force in 2025 (the Future Homes Standard/FHS, or Future Buildings Standard/FBS). Analysis has shown that the FHS will not deliver the level of energy efficiency that is necessary for the achievement of the UK's carbon budgets.  The previous Government's latest FHS consultation (2023-24) shows that the FHS might not improve fabric at all, whereas the 2019-20 consultation had indicated that fabric would improve (albeit not to the level necessary for carbon budgets as noted above). The national FHS consultation 2023-24 shows that heating bills in one of the FHS options would be double that of a home built today, due to the failure to improve fabric while switching to electric heating (despite the heating system being efficient) in combination with a potential lack of solar panels. This implies a justification for local plan policy on energy efficiency and carbon to exceed those of Building Regulations and the Future Homes Standard, as otherwise it will logically be unable to mitigate climate change in line with the Climate Change Act.  Local planning authorities have the legal power, established through the Planning and Energy Act 2008, to reasonably require in their policies:  Energy efficiency standards beyond those of Building Regulations, so long as these are 'not inconsistent with national technical standards'  A proportion of energy use at the development to be from renewable or low carbon sources 'in the locality of the development'  Given CSD 2 and 3 are primarily based upon metrics used in Building Regulations, both policies are entirely in accordance with the Planning and Energy Act 2008 and are therefore justified in exceeding the standards set out in Building Regulations.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	The policy should only relate to major development and should exclude some forms of building including agricultural/equine buildings	LPR-D-234	Disagree.
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	The policy needs to be fully evidenced	LPR-D-266	The policy is informed by robust evidence.
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	There is no mention to the use of sourcing sustainable materials in the objective of achieving net zero, and this should be encouraged	LPR-D-297	Policy CSD 1 references this.
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	Welcomes the option for applicants to submit detailed justification where full compliance is not feasible or viable	LPR-D-214	Noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD2: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development - Residential	The Local Plan should seek to achieve net zero carbon emissions from day one, by incorporating renewable energy provision and the integration of transport	LPRD-341	Noted. It is considered that the suite of policies taken as a whole achieve this ambition.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development, Non- Residential	Seeks clarity on council approved equivalent local schemes to demonstrate how schemes will be identified and how developers would expect to engage with them. Suggests changes to wording	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	The proposed amendments are noted, but it is considered more appropriate for these details to be included in supplementary guidance.
Policy CSD3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development, Non- Residential	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-238 LPR-D-327	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development, Non- Residential	Supports that the policy only includes regulated energy but finds the energy efficiency benchmarks and renewable energy targets very challenging	LPR-D-165	Noted. The standards required by this policy are consistent with national policy, including the December 2023 Written Ministerial Statement (WMS) on Local Energy Efficiency Standards.
Policy CSD3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development, Non- Residential	Welcomes acknowledgement that it may not always be possible to fully meet the renewable energy provision target onsite but notes the implications of the shortfall being offset have not been consulted on or tested for viability	LPR-D-316	Support noted and welcomed. The policy has been subject to viability testing. Offsetting should be no more onerous than providing mitigation on site.
Policy CSD3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development, Non- Residential	The policy should make clear that where saved allocations have been granted outline permission, future reserved matters applications should not be required to meet these new requirements	LPR-D-225	Future planning applications will need to be assessed against the relevant adopted policies applicable at the time.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development, Non- Residential	The policy should only relate to major development and should exclude certain forms of building	LPR-D-234	Disagree.
Policy CSD3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development, Non- Residential	The approach is based on energy use and is inconsistent with the approach in the Written Ministerial Statement	LPR-D-309	The standards required by this policy are consistent with national policy, including the December 2023 Written Ministerial Statement (WMS) on Local Energy Efficiency Standards, as well as the interpretation of that WMS outlined in the legal opinion provided by Cornerstone Barristers (February 2024). The standards are also in line with the legal advice on Energy Policy and Building Regulations commissioned by the County Council (available on the Essex County Council website). The WMS 2023 does not explicitly address renewable energy, and so it does not prevent local plans from adopting policies that require on-site renewable energy use. The Planning and Energy Act 2008 grants local authorities the power to require renewable energy use in new developments, as long as it is reasonable and feasible.  Furthermore, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2023 encourages local authorities to take a proactive approach to climate change, in line with the requirements of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.
Policy CSD3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development, Non- Residential	Considers the policy to be onerous, and much of it Is covered by the Building Regulations and Future Homes Standard	LPR-D-223	The government has released several possible versions of the Building Regulations that are due to come into force in 2025 (the Future Homes Standard/FHS, or Future Buildings Standard/FBS). Analysis has shown that the FHS will not deliver the level of energy efficiency that is necessary for the achievement of the UK's carbon budgets.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD3: Achieving Net Zero Carbon Development, Non- Residential	Suggests rewording to the policy	LPR-D-321 LPR-D-327	The standards required by this policy are consistent with national policy, including the December 2023 Written Ministerial Statement (WMS) on Local Energy Efficiency Standards, as well as the interpretation of that WMS outlined in the legal opinion provided by Cornerstone Barristers (February 2024). The standards are also in line with the legal advice on Energy Policy and Building Regulations commissioned by the County Council (available on the Essex County Council website).
Policy CSD4: Improving Energy and Carbon Performance in Existing Buildings	Support for the retention of buildings should be included and also acknowledgment that adapting historic buildings requires a whole building approach	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Noted. The suggested changes are accepted and are included in the list of proposed changes.
Policy CSD4: Improving Energy and Carbon Performance in Existing Buildings	Welcomes the focus on retrofitting and improving the efficiency of existing buildings	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD4: Improving Energy and Carbon Performance in Existing Buildings	Supports the policy	LPR-D-165 LPR-D-238	Support is noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD4: Improving Energy and Carbon Performance in Existing Buildings	Notes that the policy does not consider to relate to housing but that the greatest improvements would be residential sub- divisions	LPR-D-004	Noted. Residential sub-division cannot be required by local plan policy.
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	Objects as it is unsound and inconsistent with national policy	LPR-D-138 LPR-D-210 LPR-D-227 LPR-D-236	While it is correct that the Planning and Energy Act 2008 does not specifically reference embodied carbon, this does not preclude local planning authorities from acting on this issue. The Act sets a framework for local authorities to adopt policies that promote energy efficiency and carbon reduction, and there is nothing in legislation or national policy that prevents LPAs from addressing embodied emissions as part of a broader response to the climate emergency.  While national planning policy and Building Regulations do not currently mandate limits on embodied carbon, CSD 5 is consistent with the direction of travel in both planning and climate policy and reflects acknowledged best practice in the industry.  CSD 5 requires developers of major non-residential and residential developments to assess and reduce embodied carbon through a Whole Life Carbon Assessment, using established methodologies such as the RICS Whole Life Carbon Assessment guidance. There is no national regulation yet governing embodied carbon, but CSD 5 is a logical and necessary response to fill that current policy gap and enable the council to act on national climate commitments at the local level.  Furthermore, the policy is consistent with the UK's national climate commitments, particularly those set out in the Climate Change Act 2008 and subsequent legislation, which includes ambitious carbon reduction targets for the construction industry. However, the UK's current building regulations primarily focus on operational energy use and do not explicitly address embodied carbon, which is why this local policy initiative is significant.  The approach reflects robust evidence gathered by Essex County Council, which tested the feasibility of applying whole-life carbon limits through a detailed viability study and model policy. CSD 5 reflects the broad structure and ambition of that model policy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			Moreover, this policy goes beyond the current scope of the Building Regulations, which focus solely on operational energy and do not account for emissions associated with material extraction, processing, transport, construction, maintenance, and end-of-life stages. By incorporating embodied carbon, CSD 5 ensures that the total climate impact of development is addressed, in line with the UK's legally binding net-zero goal.
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-238	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	Supports the policy but considers the targets are challenging	LPR-D-165	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	Objects due to the costs and/or additional burdens placed on developers	LPR-D-221 LPR-D-234 LPR-D-288	Costs have been included in the Viability Assessment for climate change policies references CSD2 (achieving net zero carbon development residential), CSD3 (achieving net zero carbon development non-residential), and CSD5 (embodied carbon).
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	Objects to applying the whole life carbon assessment for all major development as it is not viable for flatted developments	LPR-D-259	Costs have been included in the Viability Assessment for climate change policies references CSD2 (achieving net zero carbon development residential), CSD3 (achieving net zero carbon development non-residential), and CSD5 (embodied carbon).
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	It is not clear in the LPVA that the cost of meeting the proposed embodied carbon requirement has been included in the cost of the development. This should be made clear	LPR-D-138	The costs of the climate change policies have been included within the viability assessment work to support the Plan.
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	Questions the evidence to justify the policy	LPR-D-050	Costs have been included in the Viability Assessment for climate change policies references CSD2 (achieving net zero carbon development residential), CSD3 (achieving net zero carbon development non-residential), and CSD5 (embodied carbon).

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	This should be achieved through a national approach	LPR- D-136	Noted.
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	Improvements in technical building standards should be delivered through building regulations	LPR-D-211	Noted.
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	The ability to undertake accurate whole life carbon assessment is impinged by the lack of data across building materials	LPR-D-225 LPR-D-227 LPR-D-309	There is now a robust and rapidly growing body of data and established methodologies that make Whole Life Carbon Assessments (WLCAs) an effective and credible tool for minimising embodied carbon in new developments.  Whole Life Carbon Assessments are supported by well-recognised industry standards, most notably the RICS Whole Life Carbon Assessment guidance. This provides a consistent framework for assessing emissions across the full life cycle of a building—covering raw material extraction, manufacturing, transport, construction (modules A1–A5), in-use stages (B1–B5), and end-of-life (C1–C4), with optional consideration of benefits beyond the building's life cycle (module D). These modules form the basis of current best practice and are widely used across the industry.  While it is recognised that the level of data confidence varies across life cycle stages, particularly for future in-use emissions and end-of-life impacts. Embodied carbon assessments offer a variety of detail on where the carbon quantity of a material is derived from, ranging from specific product data to more generalised material data based on industry assumptions. Therefore, even if a procured material does not have specific data available, data will always be available for the material more generally, which therefore does not prohibit completion of assessments due to a lack of data availability.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	These targets cannot be a requirement of development, suggests amendments to the policy	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	While it is correct that the Planning and Energy Act 2008 does not specifically reference embodied carbon, this does not preclude local planning authorities from acting on this issue. The Act sets a framework for local authorities to adopt policies that promote energy efficiency and carbon reduction, and there is nothing in legislation or national policy that prevents LPAs from addressing embodied emissions as part of a broader response to the climate emergency.  While national planning policy and Building Regulations do not currently mandate limits on embodied carbon, CSD 5 is consistent with the direction of travel in both planning and climate policy and reflects acknowledged best practice in the industry.
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	The target should be the 2025 target and suggests it should be applied to Reserved Matters applications	LPR-D-321	Noted.
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	A whole life carbon assessment should apply to all major developments	LPR-D-341	Noted.
Policy CSD5: Embodied Carbon	Suggests any larger dwellings or house extensions should require special justification. The policy should insist on timber products and lime mortars	LPR-D-004	Such a policy would be contrary to national planning policy. The plan is required to provide for a range of housing to suit the community, including for larger families.
Policy CSD6: Renewable Energy	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-206 LPR-D-327	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD6: Renewable Energy	Support the approach to the historic environment.	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD6: Renewable Energy	Objects to the policy	LPR-D-078 LPR-D-238	Noted.
Policy CSD6: Renewable Energy	Objects to solar-farms encroaching on Green Belt land and local communities	LPR-D-217 (Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council)	Noted. The Policy is required to be in general conformity with national policy.
Policy CSD6: Renewable Energy	Military Aviation and Defence interests should be added to the issues under the policy	LPR-D-069	Noted.  A new policy and supporting text for the safeguarding of aerodromes (including military ones) has been added to the list of proposed changes.
Policy CSD6: Renewable Energy	The policy does not allow for a balanced judgement	LPR-D-221 LPR-D-223	Disagree
Policy CSD6: Renewable Energy	The evidence base needs to be updated	LPR-D-210	Disagree. The policy is supported by proportionate evidence.
Policy CSD6: Renewable Energy	Considers the policy should be reconsidered to respond to the Secretary of State's decision on the Botley West Solar Farm	LPR-D-282	The Botley West Solar Farm is an NSIP proposal. No decision has yet been made on this application.
Policy CSD6: Renewable Energy	Renewable energy sites should not be treated as previously developed land and should be restored to greenfield if no longer in use, to also achieve a BNG over 10%	LPR-D-256	Noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Change and Ensuring Sustainable Development (CHAPTER 3: Theme 1)  Officer Response
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Recommends policy changes regarding wording on functional flood plain and flood zone incompatibility and on flood plain compensation. Suggests updating to the Level 1 SFRA, Sequential Test and Exception Test	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Agreed. Changes proposed to text. Updates have been prepared to the Level 1 and Level 2 SFRA.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Schemes to improve river flows and flood plains may affect heritage assets and therefore desk based assessments may be required	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	These can be sought at a planning application stage. No change.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-217 (Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council) LPR-D-041 LPR-D-221	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Supports reference to sewer flooding. The policy should reference that flooding can occur away from the flood plain due to development where off site sewerage is not in place ahead of development	LPR-D-079	Support is noted and welcomed. It is considered that the policies in the Plan address these concerns.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Generally, supports the policy. Seeks assurance that the sequential test is rigorously applied, consideration is given to cumulative flood impacts, surface water drainage and climate change. Notes concern over Islip's existing drainage issues	LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council)	Noted. It is considered that the policies in the Plan address these concerns.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Objects as flooding is more likely to occur from a lack of dredging and overdevelopment	LPR-D-078	Flooding from all sources arises from a number of factors. Dredging is not a matter for the Local Plan to address. Development is directed to those areas at least risk of flooding and appropriate mitigation measures sought where necessary.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Objects due to changes in the 2024 NPPF	LPR-D-258 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320	Plan has been prepared under 2023 NPPF.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Objects as development should not be allowed in areas of flood risk	LPR-D-327	Flooding from all sources arises from a number of factors. Development is directed to those areas at least risk of flooding and appropriate mitigation measures sought where necessary.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Objects due to the failure to address flood problems at Canalside, The Mill and Banbury FC	LPR-D-186	These sites are in known flood risk areas and have been subject to appropriate SFRAs.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Concern over flooding in Wendlebury which will be under more strain due to new development	LPR-D-219 (Wendlebury Parish Council)	Development is directed to those areas at least risk of flooding and appropriate mitigation measures sought where necessary.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Raises concern over flooding due to increased development and requests that new developments must factor in mitigation	LPR-D-150	Noted. It is considered that the policies in the Plan address these concerns.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	The policy needs to fully eliminate flood risk	LPR-D-007	Flood risk will not be fully eliminated. The Local Plan can direct development to those areas at least risk of flooding and include policies which help reduce flood risk and provide mitigation where necessary.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Suggests changes to wording	LPR-D-221	Disagree that the insertion of the word 'built' before development is necessary.
Policy CSD7: Sustainable Flood Risk Management	Questions if the policy is needed as it is covered in the NPPF	LPR-D-223	Disagree, consider the policy is a useful addition to the Plan.
Policy CSD8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	Supports the policy and suggests amendments	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Welcome support. In the event that an archaeological assessment be required, this can be requested at a planning application stage.
Policy CSD8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	Generally supportive but concerns over the implementation of the policy. Seeks assurance that the sequential test is rigorously applied, consideration is given to cumulative flood risk impacts, surface water drainage and climate change. Requests consideration of existing drainage infrastructure capacity, the impact of East-West rail, the need for investment in prevention/mitigation and maintenance requirements. Notes concern over Islip's existing drainage issues	LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council)	Disagree, consider the policy can be delivered effectively

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	Suggests changing to the wording to include specific reference to protecting groundwater quality and prevent infiltration into SuDs where the depth to groundwater is insufficient to provide attenuation	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Suggested wording has been accepted.
Policy CSD8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	Objects as SuDS should be considered from the earliest stage and suggests rewording to the policy	LPR-D-221	The policy does refer to SuDS being considered at the earliest stage of site design and this is incumbent upon developers to do so.
Policy CSD8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	It is the responsibility of the developer to make proper provision for drainage to ground, watercourses or surface water sewage and requests amendments to the wording	LPR-D-079	It is the developer's responsibility to make provision in consultation with the drainage company to ensure connection.
Policy CSD8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	Measures to prevent flooding in Caulcott should be included	LPR-D-113	Specific flood management schemes would lie outside the remit of the Local Plan, but brought forward by the Environment Agency.
Policy CSD8: Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS)	Measures to achieve slow release of surface waters should include renaturalising watercourses	LPR-D-256	Using natural barriers and re-naturalising watercourses is one of a number of measures that can be employed to slow down water flow. it is not considered necessary to add this into the policy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Change and Ensuring Sustainable Development (CHAPTER 3: Theme 1)  Officer Response
Policy CSD9: Water Resources and Wastewater Infrastructure	Supports the policy	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-041 LPR-D-052 LPR-D-225 LPR-D-238 LPR-D-327	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD9: Water Resources and Wastewater Infrastructure	How the council will manage levels of growth greater than that in the Thames Water WRMP needs to be understood. All policies need to be future proofed	LPR-D-349 (Environment Agency)	Thames Water and the Environment Agency have been consulted throughout the preparation of the Local Plan including higher levels of growth.
Policy CSD9: Water Resources and Wastewater Infrastructure	Welcomes recognition that Cherwell sits in an area of serious water stress. Suggests inclusion of a requirement for new homes to be designed to a water efficiency standard of 110litres/head/day. Notes there are no mentions of the impact of climate change	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Noted. Suggested changes have been accepted. Climate change impacts have been well recognised by the Plan.
Policy CSD9: Water Resources and Wastewater Infrastructure	Supports the policy and suggests improvements to the policy due to waste water facilities being a county matter	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Welcome support. Policy accords with County Plan and provides useful clarification

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD9: Water Resources and Wastewater Infrastructure	The Local Plan should seek to ensure there is adequate water and wastewater infrastructure to serve all new developments and suggests amendments to the policy	LPR-D-079	Consider the policy is sufficiently robust and provides clarity to developers.
Policy CSD9: Water Resources and Wastewater Infrastructure	It is for the council and water company to determine the water and wastewater capacity, not the housebuilder. Recommends paragraphs in the policy are deleted	LPR-D-138 LPR-D-211 LPR-D-263	Agree that it is not for the developer to determine water and wastewater capacity.  Consider the policy is sufficiently robust and provides clarity to developers.
Policy CSD9: Water Resources and Wastewater Infrastructure	There is insufficient capacity at the existing wastewater treatment works to process the wastewater from the proposed houses	LPR-D-157 LPR-D-257	The relevant water companies and Environment Agency have been aware of the level of growth proposed for some time and their upgrades should be prioritised so as not to prevent development taking place.
Policy CSD9: Water Resources and Wastewater Infrastructure	Concern over the water utility infrastructure in Wendlebury	LPR-D-219 (Wendlebury Parish Council)	The relevant water companies and Environment Agency have been aware of the level of growth proposed for some time and their upgrades should be prioritised so as not to prevent development taking place.
Policy CSD9: Water Resources and Wastewater Infrastructure	The policy is not sufficient to ensure demands are met and flood risk is minimised	LPR-D-325	Disagree, consider this and other policies, and supporting evidence all contribute to minimising flood risk
Policy CSD9: Water Resources and Wastewater Infrastructure	The intention to prevent development coming forward until water infrastructure has been put in place is unsound	LPR-D-316	Disagree that this is a soundness issue. Getting infrastructure in early is an important consideration.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC	Supports the policy	LPR-D-238 (BBOWT)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC	The policy makes no mention of the possibility of SANG land being provided as a result of housing development in areas which could impact the SAC	LPR-D-256	Query noted.  Majority of development within Cherwell will be at a distance greater than 5km from the site. Five kilometres is the general figure utilised for inland SACs to define the area in which it is likely for significant numbers of recreational visits by car. The CLPR HRA identifies no adverse effect on the integrity of any European sites either alone or in combination with other plans and projects.
Policy CSD10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC	Suggests addition of mitigation measures on fluvial flood risk.	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Agree.  Changes included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy CSD10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC	Suggest changes to the policy requirement to demonstrate preapplication engagement with relevant statutory organisations.	LPR-D-210 LPR-D-223	Agree. Change included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy CSD10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC	The policy should be tightened to reflect that only some development close to or likely to have an impact on the meadows should be caught	LPR-D-223	Agree. Change included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy CSD10: Protection of the Oxford Meadows SAC	Supports the policy, suggests improvements regarding water quality and quantity and benchmarks for run off rates.	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Disagree. The Plan should be read as a whole. These matters are covered by Policy CDS9. Policy CSD10 alongside supporting HRA addresses hydrological impact pathways related to the Oxford Meadows SAC.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Theme1: Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change and Ensuring Sustainable Development	The plan should include a policy on nature conservation and watercourses and makes recommendations regarding additional wording.	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Agreed. CLPR nature conservation and biodiversity policies CSD 11 and CSD 13 encompass watercourses and make specific reference to Green and Blue Infrastructure. A new policy on Nature Conservation and Watercourses is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan to address matters including buffer zones and future proofing in relation to emerging Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy regarding watercourses.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Welcome the plan's inclusion of many references to the importance of watercourses and inclusion of some of the ways that they might be positively affected by development.	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Schemes for the restoration or enhancement of habitats may affect heritage and archaeological assets and therefore archaeological desk-based assessments may be required. Notes that bird bat provision may not be the most appropriate in all situations	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted. The Plan should be read as a whole. The planning balance between heritage and ecology policies will be applied at decision making stage.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Supports the policy	LPR-D-041 LPR-D-052 LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-161 LPR-D-165 LPR-D-165 LPR-D-238 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Development that threatens areas of land that have a rich biodiversity from suitable Nature Recovery zones should not be supported. The potential enlarged Nature Recovery zone should be recognised and given status for protection purposes in the Local Plan. Biodiversity should be protected from fragmentation caused by infrastructure such as new roads.	LPR-D-256	Noted. Policy CSD 11 addresses all these comments including biodiversity fragmentation. Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy is under preparation. In March 2025, Oxfordshire and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation. This is listed in proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Supports the clear protection given to ancient woodland. Considers that the policy is not consistent with national policy as it needs explicit reference to ancient and veteran trees. Recommends adding suitable wording on the requirement for buffers	LPR-D-082 (Woodland Trust	The policy refers to irreplaceable habitats which includes ancient and veteran trees.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Suggest alteration to the policy phrasing so damaging certain sites/habitats/species would only be permitted if impacts can be fully mitigated or compensated for.	LPR-D-035	It is considered that the policy is in accordance with national policy.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Suggests 'all development proposals will be expected to incorporate features to enhance biodiversity' will not always be possible/appropriate. Suggests changing the wording to include 'where appropriate'	LPR-D-094	Disagree. There is a statutory requirement for all development to achieve at least 10% biodiversity net gain.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Welcomes the majority of the policy but notes some elements are not clearly drafter or unduly replicate national policies.	LPR-D-316	Support noted but consider that the policies are clear.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Restricts/hinders significantly the implementation of the Bicester Motion plan and is at odds with the established land uses.	LPR-D-265	Disagree.  Permitted development is outside the scope of Policy CSD 11 and will be delivered in accordance with the agreed S106 and conditions attached to the permission.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Suggests there should be explicit references to swift bricks in the policy	LPR-D-088 LPR-D-184 LPR-D-192	Disagree. The policy addresses appropriately the integration bird and bat provision within development proposals.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Questions the viability of implementing the policy	LPR-D-050	Noted. The Plan as a whole and plan policies have been viability tested.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Supports the policy but highlights that some forms of environmental improvements/enhancements may not be compatible with aviation safety	LPR-D-069 (DIO/MoD)	Noted.  The Plan should be read as a whole. The planning balance between environmental objectives and aviation safety will be applied at decision making stage.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Considers the policy to be unsound, unjustified and inconsistent with national policy. Suggests changing of point iv to be changed from 'fully mitigated' to 'adequately mitigated', or as a last resort compensated for	LPR-D-309	Disagree. The policy is consistent with national policy.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Objects as development cannot protect/enhance protected sites whilst also developing them	LPR-D-157	Disagree. The policy is consistent with national policy.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Objects to land at Buckingham Road, Bicester being designated as a District Wildlife Site	LPR-D-079	Disagree.  District Wildlife Sites in Cherwell were identified by TVERC between 2013-15 following a robust assessment of the sites' importance for wildlife in Cherwell.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	The town is not big enough for development and there is insufficient infrastructure	LPR-D-187	Noted. Unclear which town is being referred to in relation to this District wide policy. The Plan should be read as a whole, including Policy SP1 on settlement hierarchy. Policy SP1 has the objective of directing development to the most sustainable locations. It is considered that the Plan strikes an appropriate balance based on evidence including the consideration of infrastructure to support new development.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	There is not a clear distinction between the sites considered to be of importance at differing scales. Terminology used is poor and there is a need for clarification. Mitigation hierarchy and BNG should not be in the policy, and rather covered by CSD12	LPR-D-210	Disagree. Policies are complementary in some respects but the specific requirements for Biodiversity Net Gain are more clearly expressed within a stand-alone policy.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	The policy is unnecessary as it is covered by the Wildlife and Countryside Act	LPR-D-221	Disagree. Local Plans are required to set out policies for the conservation and enhancement of the natural, built and historic environment.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Concern over the requirement to provide integrated bird/bat provision at a minimum of one per new dwelling	LPR-D-225 LPR-D-321	Noted.  The planning balance between environmental requirements and viability of proposals can be applied at decision making stage if these arise and are justified and following relevant and proportionate habitats and species supporting the planning application.
Policy CSD11: Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity	Queries why the LNRS is not mentioned in the plan	LPR-D-291	Disagree. LNRS is addressed in the Plan. Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy is under preparation. In March 2025, OXON and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Supports the policy	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-069 LPR-D-082 LPR-D-083	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
		(Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-165 LPR-D-217 (Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council)	
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Welcomes the majority of the policy but objects to the 20% BNG requirement, and considers it to be unachievable	LPR-D-316 LPR-D-266	Partial support noted and welcomed.  The policy has been subject to viability appraisal. The scale and nature of strategic sites offer greater potential for habitat creation, enhancement, or restoration integrated within the site proposal. The planning balance between environmental requirements and viability of proposals can be applied at decision making stage if these arise and are justified.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Objects to the policy and lack of supporting evidence for it. Suggests a 10%+ BNG policy	LPR-D-210	Disagree. This ambition is already embedded in the policy with the 10% requirement expressed as a 'minimum' requirement and 20% required in strategic sites and sites within the Core and Recovery zones.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Restricts/hinders significantly the implementation of the Bicester Motion plan and is at odds with the established land uses.	LPR-D-265	Disagree.  Permitted development is outside the scope of Policy CSD 12 and will be delivered in accordance with the agreed S106 and conditions attached to the permission.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Note that the LNRS is still in draft form. Query whether strategic is the same as major.	LPR-D-282	Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy is under preparation. In March 2025, the Oxfordshire authorities and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.  Policy CSD12 makes clear these are the strategic allocations in this Plan. Amendments to Appendix 11 making clearer strategic and non-strategic policies (including sites) are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Objects to the policy due to lack of justification for exceeding national 10% requirement, inconsistency with PPG, and viability concerns.  Unnecessary, as already covered by the Wildlife and Countryside Act,	LPR-D-138 LPR-D-210 LPR-D-214 LPR-D-221 LPR-D-258 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263 LPR-D-266 LPR-D-278 LPR-D-285 LPR-D-285 LPR-D-285 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-301 LPR-D-309 LPR-D-320	Disagree.  The PPG is clear on the Government's objective to achieve at least 10% BNG relative to pre-development. It also notes that plan makers can complement the statutory framework having regard to Local Nature Recovery Strategies. The scale and nature of strategic sites offer greater potential for habitat creation, enhancement, or restoration integrated within the site proposal. The policy has been subject to viability appraisal. The planning balance between environmental requirements and viability of proposals can be applied at decision making stage if these arise and are justified.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Objects to the policy and considers it to be unsound and unjustified, including the requirement for 20% BNG.	LPR-D-138 LPR-D-278 LPR-D-282	Disagree.  The scale and nature of strategic sites offer greater potential for habitat creation, enhancement, or restoration integrated within the site proposal. The policy has been subject to viability appraisal. The planning balance between environmental requirements and viability of proposals can be applied at decision making stage if these arise and are justified.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Supports the requirement for long term ecological enhancement to deliver BNG. Recommends the policy encourages a BNG greater than 10% with ambition to achieve 20%	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Disagree. This ambition is already embedded in the policy with the 10% requirement expressed as a 'minimum' requirement and 20% required in strategic sites and sites within the Core and Recovery zones.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Considers the policy should not require more than the statutory 10% BNG	LPR-D-226 LPR-D-227 LPR-D-237 LPR-D-165	Disagree. The policy has been subject to viability appraisal. The scale and nature of strategic sites offer greater potential for habitat creation, enhancement, or restoration integrated within the site proposal.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	The 20% risks viability issues	LPR-D-234	Disagree. The policy has been subject to viability appraisal. The planning balance between environmental requirements and viability of proposals can be applied at decision making stage if these arise and are justified.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	The policies maps should be much clearer to identify the different zones, so it is clear what level of BNG is required in different areas	LPR-D-236	Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy is under preparation. In March 2025, the Oxfordshire authorities and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Recommends a reporting system is established to track progress and hold developers accountable. They should be monitored for at least 30 years post development	LPR-D-217 (Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council)	Noted. Local Plan annual monitoring report will progress against this policy. Oxfordshire County Council is the Responsible Authority for the emerging Local Nature Recovery Strategy and work closely together with Oxfordshire Local Nature Partnership and the Oxfordshire authorities. A monitoring framework is expected to be established as the Strategy progresses.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Queries if 20% BNG has been evidenced	LPR-D-223 LPR-D-321	Noted. The policy has been subject to viability appraisal.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	20% BNG should be applied across the entire district	LPR-D-100 LPR-D-238 LPR-D-257	Disagree. The policy sets out requirements informed by viability appraisal. The 10% is expressed as a 'minimum' requirement and 20% required in strategic sites and sites within the Core and Recovery zones.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	20% BNG should be applied across the whole of the Cotswold National Landscape Area within Cherwell	LPR-D-195	The rare and nationally important habitats in the Cotswolds National Landscape Area are acknowledged and their protection and enhancement addressed in Policies CSD11 'protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity' and Policy COM 10 Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape. Only a small portion of the Cotswolds National Landscape falls within the Cherwell district, specifically around the village of Epwell (a Category A village). If development falls within the Nature Recovery Network Core or Recovery Zones, 20% BNG will be sought.  Please note that Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy is under preparation. In March 2025, Oxfordshire County Council and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Notes that the policy still refers to Nature Recovery Network Core and Recovery zones and does not fully align with national legislation.	LPR-D-165	Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy is under preparation. In March 2025, Oxfordshire County Council and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Considers it unjustified to propose a policy which seeks at least 20% BNG from the strategic allocations	LPR-D-136 LPR-D-237 LPR-D-321	Disagree. The policy has been subjected to viability appraisal. The scale and nature of strategic sites offer greater potential for habitat creation, enhancement or restoration integrated within the site's proposal.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Some forms of environmental improvement/enhancement may not be compatible with aviation safety	LPR-D-069 (DIO/MoD)	Noted.  The Plan should be read as a whole. The planning balance between environmental objectives and aviation safety will be applied at decision making stage.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Considers the Plan not to be in compliance with NPPF 2024 conservation and natural environment principles and requests a specific map of what pathways the council have designated green lanes or as part of wider ecological networks	LPR-D-043	Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy is under preparation, including mapping. In March 2025, OXON and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.
Policy CSD12: Biodiversity Net Gain	Notes repetition between CSD12 and CSD14 and recommends they are merged	LPR-D-165 LPR-D-214	Disagree. Policies are complementary in some respects but the specific requirements for Biodiversity Net Gain are more clearly expressed within a stand-alone policy.
Policy CSD13: Conservation Target Areas	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-238 LPR-D-141	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD13: Conservation Target Areas	Suggests the CTA's are listed in the policy for clarity.	LPR-D-282	Disagree.  Conservation Target Areas are identified in the Policies Map and Appendix 8 of the Plan.
Policy CSD13: Conservation Target Areas	Requests for the boundaries of designated conservation areas to be reviewed and in some cases extended	LPR-D-149	The designation and extension of conservation areas is undertaken outside the local plan.
Policy CSD13: Conservation Target Areas	Notes that guidance from the government is expected imminently on the LNRS which may supersede CTA's.	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted. CTAs form an integral part of the emerging Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS). In March 2025, OXON and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.
Policy CSD13: Conservation Target Areas	Notes the Gavray Drive Local Wildlife Site is being deteriorated and 'biodiversity restoration' cannot be achieved, therefore suggests amendments to wording to include the benefit of enabling development.	LPR-D-141	Disagree. The planning balance between nature conservation and development needs will be applied at decision making stage when enabling development could be considered.
Policy CSD13: Conservation Target Areas	The policy lacks mention as to how contributions in CTAs will count to a developments BNG %	LPR-D-165	Noted. BNG is addressed in Policy CSD12. CTAs form an integral part of the emerging Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS). In March 2025, OXON and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.
Policy CSD13: Conservation Target Areas	Objects to the inclusion of land north and south of Milton Road, Bloxham as part of the CTA	LPR-D-288	Oxfordshire's Local Nature Partnership in collaboration with the local authorities and other partners is responsible for the designation of nature-enhancement opportunity areas such as CTAs which form an integral part of the draft Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS). Consultation on the LNRS took place in December 2024.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	Welcomes the policy and suggests supporting text includes a definition of 'environmental net gain' and further details on the requirements.	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed. Supporting paragraphs 3.95 and 3.96 cross refers to the relevant evidence report and resource to support the policy's implementation. Reference to Oxfordshire's Nature Finance Strategy and emerging delivery framework for natural capital investment in Oxfordshire and glossary definition of environmental net gain are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy CSD14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	Supports the policy	LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council)	Noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	Supports the policy as long as it does not undermine biodiversity policies. Notes concern about the natural capital approach and that more information is required in relation to this	LPR-D-238	Noted. Cherwell's Natural Capital Assets Report (2021) provides further information. The Policy reflects the aims of the Oxfordshire Local Nature Partnership (OLNP) Nature Finance Strategy. Reference to Oxfordshire's Nature Finance Strategy and emerging delivery framework for natural capital investment in Oxfordshire within the policy supporting text is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy CSD14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	Supports the policy aims.	LPR-D-165	Noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	Objects to the policy and considers it should be removed from the plan	LPR-D-288	Disagree. The policy reflects the aims of the Oxfordshire Local Nature Partnership Nature Finance Strategy and is consistent with the requirement in the NPPF for policies to recognise the wider benefits from natural capital and ecosystem services.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	The requirement for a natural capital assessment appears onerous	LPR-D-165 LPR-D-221 LPR-D-282	Disagree. The policy reflects the aims of the Oxfordshire Local Nature Partnership Nature Finance Strategy and is consistent with the requirement in the NPPF for policies to recognise the wider benefits from natural capital and ecosystem services.
Policy CSD14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	Finds the policy poorly worded, lacking clarity and not supported by the NPPF	LPR-D-221	Disagree. The policy reflects the aims of the Oxfordshire Local Nature Partnership Nature Finance Strategy and is consistent with the requirement in the NPPF for policies to recognise the wider benefits from natural capital and ecosystem services.
Policy CSD14: Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services	The natural capital assessment requirement appears onerous. The policy requires more information which would add little to the process beyond what is already required. The Natural Capital Map needs refining	LPR-D-282 LPR-D-234 LPR-D-316	Noted. Reference to Oxfordshire's Nature Finance Strategy and emerging delivery framework for natural capital investment in Oxfordshire is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy CSD15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-052 LPR-D-082 LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-126 LPR-D-165 LPR-D-271 (Kirtlington Parish Council)	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	Supports the policy and suggests cross reference to Natural England's Green Infrastructure Framework, following the principle of right tree in the right place. GBI management and monitoring is essential.	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed. Cherwell's GBI Strategy builds on Natural England's GI Framework and provides specific guidance for tree planting as part of GBI principles for incorporation into new development. CLPR Appendix 3 sets out the LP monitoring framework with indicators and targets for each policy.
Policy CSD15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	Suggests amendments to the wording of the policy requirement development to demonstrate how it affects off-site G&BI, protection and enhancement.	LPR-D-065 (the Canal and River Trust)	Disagree.  Protection and enhancement of G&B off site is clear in the policy. The requirements in list form are intended to ensure the inclusion of 'meaningful and integrated' G&BI as part of the design of development proposals.
Policy CSD15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	Supports the policy and considers it to be sufficiently flexible but suggests amending the wording in relation to BNG.	LPR-D-165	Support noted and welcomed. The amendment is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy CSD15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	The policy is not effective in its current form and emphasis is needed about the quality of greenspace and its benefits for health. Recommends additions to the next	LPR-D-238	Disagree. Other policies in the Plan address quality of green space and its health value.
Policy CSD15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	The first paragraph appears onerous and recommends criteria viii is deleted	LPR-D-282	Disagree. Protection of G&B infrastructure is consistent with NPPF requirements for strategic policies to make sufficient provision for green infrastructure and with NPPF nature conservation objectives. Criteria viii is consistent with NPPF objective to promote positive uses of the Green Belt.
Policy CSD15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	Objects to the vague definition- 'meaningful GBI' and notes that offsite BNG provision would more likely be required on the majority of sites	LPR-D-309	Disagree. List of criteria is aimed at ensuring 'meaningful provision'. Note on BNG noted.
Policy CSD15: Green and Blue Infrastructure	Blue infrastructure has no protection and is not properly mapped, requests a map showing connections between	LPR-D-043	Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy is included in Policy CSD 11 'Protection and Enhancement of Biodiversity'.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	green spaces and those suitable for human walkers		The Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy scope and content is appropriate to support the objectives and policies in the CLPR. The strategy is supported by an online interactive map.  Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy is under preparation, including mapping. In March 2025, OXON and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.
Policy CSD16: Air Quality	Supports the policy, notes continuous measurement of air quality, pollution and noise needs to be considered	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD16: Air Quality	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-041 LPR-D-164	The comments are noted. Developers must meet the requirements of national and local policies which include the provision of sustainable transport measures and active travel e.g. public transport, cycling and walking.
Policy CSD16: Air Quality	Objects to the requirement of all development proposals being air quality neutral	LPR-D-236 LPR-D-240 (Fritwell Parish Council)	The comments are noted.
Policy CSD16: Air Quality	Suggests alterations to wording	LPR-D-257	The comments are noted. We do not agree to change air quality neutral to improve air quality as this lessens the standard that the Council are seeking to achieve.
Policy CSD16: Air Quality	The first sentence (s) are not consistent with the rest of the policy, recommends deletion	LPR-D-221 LPR-D-321	The comments are noted.
Policy CSD16: Air Quality	Queries the wording of 'air quality neutral' and notes all development proposals should be designed to improve air quality	LPR-D-100	The comments are noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD16: Air Quality	Concern about the level of air and noise pollution in Wendlebury and suggests implementation of low-emission <b>vehicle</b> zones and greater investment in public transport and green infrastructure	LPR-D-219 (Wendlebury Parish Council)	National and local policy supports the provision of public transport and the provision of green infrastructure including its facilitation for walking and cycling. Oxfordshire County Council are the local highways authority, and they have set out their policies and investment priorities in their Local Transport and Connectivity Plan. Both Oxfordshire County Council and Cherwell District Council support the provision and use of public transport and active travel.
Policy CSD16: Air Quality	It is unclear how requirements will be demonstrated and assessed by the LPA when an application is made, a proportionate approach should be taken	LPR-D-234	The comments are noted. The relevant documentation is already referenced within the policy. This relates to the pre app and planning application stage and will also be subject to the Council's validation checklist. As per best practice a prospective developer will need to undertake pre application discussions with the Council's Development Management Team and Environmental Protection Team. Applicants will need to undertake their own transport modelling assessment which will generate the traffic data which will be required to undertake an air quality assessment. In terms of mitigation, any required planning conditions and planning obligations will have to meet the legislative requirements.  Proposed changes will be put forward to reference the requirement for pre application discussions with the Council and a reference will be added to obtaining the latest air quality monitoring data from the council.
Policy CSD17: Pollution and Noise	Supports the policy, notes continuous measurement of air quality, pollution and noise needs to be considered	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD17: Pollution and Noise	Support the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD17: Pollution and Noise	Supported in principle. Clarity is needed regarding potential odour impacts from sewage works in relation to development proposals nearby.	LPR-D-079	The comments are noted. Minor modifications are proposed for improvement.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	Recommends additional text in the policy		
Policy CSD17: Pollution and Noise	Objects as the policy does not define 'unacceptable risk' regarding risks to public health and safety, the environment, general amenity or existing uses	LPR-D-221	The comments are noted.
Policy CSD17: Pollution and Noise	The policy does not properly incorporate the agent of change principles or guidance	LPR-D-234	The comments are noted. The Agent of Change principle means whoever introduced a change is responsible for managing any negative impacts on existing uses or activities in the area. The developer would be responsible for minimising and mitigating the impact of any development and this would be controlled via planning conditions and may also include a legal agreement.
Policy CSD18: Light Pollution	Supports the policy. Notes continuous measurement of air quality, pollution and noise needs to be considered. Suggests improvements to the policy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support is noted and welcomed. The policy is proposed to be modified.
Policy CSD18: Light Pollution	Support the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-238 LPR-D-256	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD18: Light Pollution	Objects as the text does not recognise the important benefits to people from sports lighting or the importance of ensuring safe active travel routes.  Additional text should be added to address this	LPR-D-191	Support is noted and welcomed. The policy is proposed to be amended in the form of proposed modifications.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD18: Light Pollution	Objects as light pollution is mentioned in CSD17 and therefore CSD18 should be deleted	LPR-D-221	The comments are noted.
Policy CSD18: Light Pollution	Would like this policy imposed at Heyford Park	LPR-D-113	The comments are noted.
Policy CSD18: Light Pollution	Suggests tree planting to mitigate light pollution from new housing and roads	LPR-D-187	The comments are noted. Landscaping can be used but it only provides coverage during the later spring and summer months. Landscaping can take years to get established and to reach a height that would reduce, and block light pollution and landscape mitigation is less during autumn and winter months.
Policy CSD18: Light Pollution	Seeks clarification that the policy refers to private land and not to the detriment of safety of guests. Notes that Oxfordshire County Council require lighting to adoptable standards, differing from this policy	LPR-D-222	The comments are noted. The policy relates to development and any land. Oxfordshire County Council are the Local Highways Authority, and they would need to be consulted on lighting proposals which impacted the highways and they have legislation and adoptive standards which they need to follow.
Policy CSD18: Light Pollution	Support the intention of the policy. Needs to demonstrate minimum light used. Seeks flexibility to ensure the most appropriate lighting for the proposed uses can be brought forward. Needs to ensure safe communities.	LPR-D-225	The comments are noted. The policy is proposed to be amended.
Policy CSD19: Soils, Contaminated Land and Stability	Recommends the policy is amended to add reference of risks to groundwater and surface waters	LPR-D-349 (Environment Agency) LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	It is not considered necessary to refer to all types of flooding in this policy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD19: Soils, Contaminated Land and Stability	Support the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD19: Soils, Contaminated Land and Stability	Concern regarding reference to viability and recommends the policy is amended to remove these criteria	LPR-D-203	There may be instances where development viability is a factor in the redevelopment of land, linked to its use. The inclusion of this text provides flexibility.
Policy CSD20: Hazardous Substances	Recommends the policy is amended to add reference of risks to groundwater and surface waters	LPR-D-349 (Environment Agency) LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	It is not considered necessary to refer to all types of flooding in this policy.
Policy CSD21: Waste Collection and Recycling	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-165	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD21: Waste Collection and Recycling	There should be more flexibility in the wording of the policy	LPR-D-165	Consider the policy is sufficiently flexible.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Supports the policy and recommends the movement hierarchy is adopted for all development	LPR-D-200 (National Highways)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Supports the policy and suggests improvements to make the wording clearer and stronger. Suggests liveable and healthy streets should be referred to and the provision of EV charging should be required in line with the Oxfordshire Electric Vehicles Strategy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed.  Suggested improvements are not considered necessary; the Plan should be read as a whole.  Policy CSD 22 is unambiguous and supportive of the Plan's vision and objectives. Policy CSD2 alongside Policy CSD23 on Assessing Transport Impact are clear on what is required from development while maintaining flexibility and being reflective of the Local Transport Plan and NPPF.  Policies in Theme 3 'Building Healthy and Sustainable Communities' address the broader aim of liveable neighbourhoods including residential space standards, well designed places, active travel, healthy communities, open space sport and recreation, services and facilities. Policy COM 14 requires new development to adopt 20 minute neighbourhood principles and those set out in the Manual for Streets and Streets for a Healthy Life.  Part S of Building Regulations on Electric vehicles Infrastructure is now in place.  CDC will work with OCC to ensure that emerging Oxfordshire Movement and Place Strategies for Cherwell's areas reflect these principles.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Supports the policy	LPR-D-041 LPR-D-052 LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-223 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263 LPR-D-271 (Kirtlington Parish Council) LPR-D-298	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Generally, supports the policy but notes there are some issues regarding trip rates and the vehicles category	LPR-D-321	Support noted and welcomed.  Modelling evidence has been reviewed in response to Regulation 19 consultation in consultation with National Highways.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Concern regarding new sites and the impact on the A41 corridor including increased traffic levels from Bicester towards Aylesbury. Requests a more strategic technical study of the impacts of development on the A41 corridor.	LPR-D-108 (Buckinghamshire Council)	Noted.  OCC commissioned the A41 Corridor Study, July 2022 and are progressing a Corridor Travel Plan for the A41 and a Movement and Place Strategy (MAPS) for Bicester. Transport evidence supporting the CLPR include the Transport modelling and Bicester Transport Topic Papers published for consultation in December 2024.  A number of measures on the A41 have already been delivered including Ploughley Road/A41 junction improvements and the widening of the A41 (Oxford Road corridor). The role of the proposed South East Peripheral Road and measures on the Eastern Peripheral Corridor is to reduce vehicular movements along the A41 and wider area. We will continue to work with Buckinghamshire Council as the CLPR progresses to adoption and during the preparation of OCC's emerging corridor strategies and Bicester MAPS.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Supports the aims of the policy but concerned that the allocation southeast of Woodstock does not align with them and will likely lead to strong car reliance	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	Disagree. The aim of the policy is to ensure that transport improvements are secured, that the CLPR and Local Transport Plan transport hierarchy is reflected in development proposals address the CLPR and Local Transport Plan and that strategic development provides direct bus access.  Land South East of Woodstock is located within walking distance to primary and secondary schools, within cycling distance to a major employment area and served by direct bus services to Kidlington and Oxford. A Park and Ride is also planned opposite the site.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Objects to the part of the policy that expects all strategic developments to provide direct bus access.	LPR-D-221	Disagree.  CLPR allocates strategic development in locations where development is or can be made accessible by means other than the private car. The requirement is justified and deliverable.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Objects to the use of the Local Transport Connectivity Plan as a reference	LPR-D-316	Disagree. Cherwell operates in a two-tier local government structure. It is appropriate that the CLPR supports and reflects Oxfordshire's Local Transport and Connectivity Plan.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Does not consider the policy to be consistent with national policy, seeks amendments including removal of reference to a sustainable transport hierarchy	LPR-D-050	Cherwell operates in a two-tier local government structure. The CLPR supports and reflects Oxfordshire's Local Transport and Connectivity Plan and its transport area strategies.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Suggest amendments to the policy including adding reference to car sharing to criterion v, change 'rapid' to 'appropriate' in the text below the criteria, and make reference to OCC Parking Standards. Wishes to see exception for viability to be added to the policy	LPR-D-222	Noted  Agree with the addition of 'car sharing' to criteria v. It is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan. The rest of the suggestions are considered unnecessary. The operational viability of charging points at an specific location is a matter best addressed through the planning application process. Reference to OCC parking standards already covered in the policy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Local Plan identifies many potentially beneficial improvements, for connectivity for those in rural areas, enabling reduction of private car use, improved air quality and better sustainability, but what is less clear is the role that CDC will play in how these are to be achieved.	LPR-D-271 (Kirtlington Parish Council)	Cherwell operates in a two-tier local government structure. The CLPR supports and reflects Oxfordshire's Local Transport and Connectivity Plan and its transport area strategies. Development allocations in the CLPR are proposed in areas that are accessible or can be made accessible by means other than the private car.  The transport evidence supporting the CLPR has been prepared jointly with Oxfordshire County Council and informed by discussions with National Highways including the assessment of potential transport impact from the CLPR proposals.  At application stage, development will be assessed against the CLPR policies and transport mitigation identified in consultation with Oxfordshire County Council to address the potential transport impacts of the proposed scheme.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	The requirement for strategic development to provide car and electric vehicle community sharing clubs and mobility hubs in accessible locations is onerous and may affect viability	LPR-D-225	Noted. All developer contributions sought by the Council will need to be compliant with the CIL regulations. The Plan and its proposals are supported by viability appraisal.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	The policy should recognise the importance of Main Towns in supporting sustainable development	LPR-D-165	The Plan and its evidence reflect the importance of Cherwell's towns. Place specific transport topic papers have been prepared for Banbury, Bicester and the Kidlington area published for consultation in December 2024 and published for consultation in December 2024.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Development in Category A villages supports opportunities for alternative travel modes	LPR-D-214	Noted.  The Plan should be read as a whole, including Policy SP1 'Settlement Hierarchy'. Policy CSD 22 applies districtwide. When a requirement applies only to strategic sites, this is explicit in the policy text.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Concerned regarding traffic levels in areas of the district	LPR-D-157 LPR-D-171 LPR-D-201 (Middleton Stoney Parish Council) LPR-D-219 (Wendlebury Parish Council)	Noted. Transport evidence including transport modelling and sustainable transport strategy was prepared jointly with Oxfordshire County Council to support the growth planned for in the CLPR. The transport evidence supporting the CLPR has been prepared jointly with Oxfordshire County Council and informed by discussions with National Highways including the assessment of potential transport impact from the CLPR proposals. At application stage, development will be assessed against the CLPR policies and transport mitigation identified in consultation with Oxfordshire County Council to address the potential transport impacts of the proposed scheme.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	There is a need for investment in local transport infrastructure	LPR-D-217 (Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council)	Noted. This is addressed in the Transport Topic Papers prepared in collaboration with Oxfordshire County Council (published for consultation in December 2024), and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP). The IDP includes further information on infrastructure costs, funding and delivery.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Requests transparency on the taxpayer subsidised bus services in Oxfordshire. Disagrees with the 15mins mobility concept as it reduces freedom of movement	LPR-D-007	Noted. Cherwell operates in a two-tier local government structure. Cherwell and Oxfordshire Councils publish yearly in their respective websites an Infrastructure Funding Statement detailing funding secured and spent from developer contributions. Information on Oxfordshire's Bus Service Improvement Plan and partnering with local bus operators is available in the County Council's website. The CLPR supports 20-minute neighbourhood principles to enable people to meet their daily needs without relying on cars, it does not propose specific transport schemes in this regard. It is important that new development proposals facilitate active and sustainable travel. Oxfordshire County Council plans for and designs 20-minute neighbourhood schemes in consultation with local communities.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Managing travel demand by reducing the need to travel should include Sustainable Travel Modes Plans.	LPR-D-093 (Kidlington Parish Council)	Noted. OCC are preparing a Movement and Plan Strategy for the Kidlington area.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	The policy ignores reference to the effects of increased electric vehicles on CO2 emission reductions. Objects to car movements being at the bottom of the list of priorities. Suggests amendments to the wording.	LPR-D-155	Disagree. The policy reflects the vision and environmental objectives of the CLPR and is consistent with the NPPF overarching objectives to promote sustainable travel.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	Questions the implications for Islip regarding the East West Rail development	LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council)	Noted. This is a nationally significant railway project. Land is safeguarded in the CLPR following a Secretary of State safeguarding direction. Consultation with local communities and Councils on the proposals and potential implications is led by East-West Rail. Outcomes of consultation in November 2024 - January 2025 are awaited.
Policy CSD22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	There are no mentions of HGVs for B8 schemes	LPR-D-309	This is addressed in Policy CSD 24.
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	Support measures to reduce travel demand, in particular design led measures at new developments	LPR-D-200 (National Highways)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	Supports the policy and suggests improvements to guard against the risk of worst-case scenarios to determine decision-making. A consistent monitoring approach to transport monitoring should be considered	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed.  Reference to 'worst case scenario' was added at the request of National Highways. Note that OCC guidance on how to implement 'decide and provide' include regard to worst case scenario. Suggested change on this matter is accepted and included in the list of proposed changes.  Transport monitoring is a matter for the Local Transport Plan (LTCP) annual monitoring report. Monitoring information provided by OCC officers is included in Cherwell's yearly updates of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-223 LPR-D-321	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	Supports the policy principle. Seeks amendments to enable compliance and focus on the delivery of infrastructure. Requests removal of road danger from criterion iii. Replace 'comply' with 'have regard to' in criterion iv	LPR-D-222	In principle support noted and welcomed. Disagree with the suggested changes. Addressing 'road danger' to create environmental where everyone feels safe is a key element of Oxfordshire's Local Transport and Connectivity Plan road safety vision (Vision Zero). Compliance with Oxfordshire Guidance on design cycle and car provision is considered appropriate.
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	Supports the policy but concerned with the suggestion that that Transport Assessments "include all development in this Local Plan".	LPR-D-321	Noted. Transport modelling should include committed and not yet delivered development and planned development to provide a true assessment of road network conditions. This is a specific requirement by National Highways.
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	Supports the principle of a vision-led approach to transport planning but considers the approach in its current form is not fit for purpose	LPR-D-316	Disagree. The policy has been prepared having regard to NPPF, latest Oxfordshire Local Transport Plan and developed in consultation with the two Highways Authorities.
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	Object to the policy and considers there is no statutory basis for it	LPR-D-221	Disagree. The policy has been prepared having regard to NPPF, latest Oxfordshire Local Transport Plan and developed in consultation with the two Highways Authorities.
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	Notes the policy ignores reference to the effects of increased electric vehicles on CO2 emission reductions. Suggests bullet iii is removed	LPR-D-155	Disagree. The policy reflects the vision and environmental objectives of the CLPR and is consistent with the NPPF overarching objectives to promote sustainable travel. Electric vehicles play a role in reducing CO2 emissions and this is relevant to many elements of the plan particularly on Air Quality and Pollution. The Plan is clear on its requirements for electric

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			vehicle infrastructure. The main objective of CSD 23 is to help deliver improved safety as well as reduction in traffic and congestion.
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	The local plan makes no mention of the current traffic capacity and what it would potentially need to cope with the percentage increase in homes directly accessing it	LPR-D-339	The CLPR has been informed by transport evidence consistent with national guidance on 'Transport evidence bases in plan making and decision taking'. Matters addressed include assessment of existing conditions, cumulative impact of existing and proposed development, capacity of transport infrastructure and identification of transport proposals. Table 1 of the Transport Existing Conditions Topic Paper published in December 2024 provides an overview of transport evidence prepared to inform the December 2024 CLPR consultation and the matters covered. In addition, the Council also published for consultation place specific transport topic papers focused on Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington.
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	The policy ignores reference to the effects of increased electric vehicles on CO2 emission reductions and justification for an urban based strategy needs to be amended to allow a better spread of development.	LPR-D-155	Disagree. The CLPR supports transport decarbonisation in its climate and transport policies and requires provision of electric charging infrastructure. Private electric cars use of the road network. Policy CSD23 supports Oxfordshire's 'decide and provide' approach to transport planning which decides on a preferred vision of the future and then provides the means to work towards that vision and help implement the LTCP and CLPR transport user hierarchy. Focusing development in areas that are accessible or can be made accessible by means other than the private car is justified. The CLPR, including its vision and strategy, was informed by extensive consultation and consideration of reasonable alternatives as shown in the Statement of Consultation and Sustainability Appraisal.
Policy CSD23: Assessing Transport Impact/ Decide and Provide	Requests transparency on the taxpayer subsidised bus services in Oxfordshire and disagrees with the 15-minute mobility concept as it reduces freedom of movement	LPR-D-007	Noted.  Cherwell operates in a two-tier local government structure. Cherwell and Oxfordshire Councils publish yearly in their respective websites an Infrastructure Funding Statement detailing funding secured and spent from developer contributions. Information on Oxfordshire's Bus Service Improvement Plan and partnering with local bus operators is available in the County Council's website.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			The CLPR supports 20-minute neighbourhood principles to enable people to meet their daily needs without relying on cars, it does not propose specific transport schemes in this regard. It is important that new development proposals facilitate active and sustainable travel. Oxfordshire County Council plans for and designs 20-minute neighbourhood schemes in consultation with local communities.
Policy CSD24: Freight	Supports the policy but requests inclusion of reference to logistics proposals.	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed.  CLPR employment policies address logistic proposals. Policy CSD24 cross refers to Oxfordshire's Freight and Logistics Strategy and HGV routing and supports provision of local delivery hubs to enable sustainable last-minute movements within the context of the Oxfordshire-wide strategy and the Local Transport and Connectivity Plan.
Policy CSD24: Freight	Concern over the new sites and the impact on the A41 corridor including increased traffic levels from Bicester to Aylesbury. Notes the current road condition is poor and acknowledges that some of the traffic is attributable to the employment sites in Buckinghamshire and HS2 traffic. Would welcome discussion on how HGV traffic can be more safely managed and requests a more strategic technical study of the impacts of development on the A41 corridor	LPR-D-108 (Buckinghamshire Council)	Noted.  OCC commissioned the A41 Corridor Study, July 2022 and are progressing a Corridor Travel Plan for the A41 and a Movement and Place Strategy (MAPS) for Bicester. Transport evidence supporting the CLPR include the Transport modelling and Bicester Transport Topic Papers published for consultation in December 2024.  A number of measures on the A41 have already been delivered including Ploughley Road/A41 junction improvements and the widening of the A41 (Oxford Road corridor). The role of the proposed South East Perimeter Road and measures on the Eastern Peripheral Corridor is to reduce vehicular movements along the A41 and wider area. We will continue to work with Buckinghamshire Council as the CLPR progresses to adoption and during the preparation of OCC's emerging corridor strategies and Bicester MAPS.
Policy CSD24: Freight	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-298	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD24: Freight	There is a shortfall of lorry parking facilities, would welcome identification of a site to do so.	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-091	Noted. As part of the Oxfordshire Freight and Logistics Strategy (2022), OCC will review current rest stops and lorry parking facilities (Action 16). The A34 and M40 are strategic roads and will require the engagement with National Highways.  Neither of the two Highways Authorities quantified need nor requested provision as part of the CLPR.
Policy CSD24: Freight	The policy should have a broader more strategic scope which supports the shift to more sustainable modes of travel for the movement of freight in general. The principle of Rail Freight Interchanges should be acknowledged and supported	LPR-D-298	Disagree. Cherwell operates in a two-tier local government structure. The CLPR supports and reflects Oxfordshire Freight and Logistics Strategy and the policies in the Local Transport and Connectivity Plan.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Support the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-020 LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-297	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Objects to the policy, recommends its deletion	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	The comments are noted. The Council will not be deleting Policy CSD25. The representations acknowledge the national policy to make the most efficient use of land. The Council is required to follow the Government's national planning policies and to ensure housing supply and delivery. To note the Reg 19 CDC Local Plan was produced under the requirements of the Dec 2023 NPPF. Para 129 of the 2023 NPPF refers the use of minimum density standards, a significant uplift to average densities to ensure the efficient use of land. As stated in the policy the minimum densities will need to be met unless specific local circumstances indicate otherwise.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Objects as the policy sets out minimum densities on all new housing developments, not in compliance with the NPPF	LPR-D-258 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320	The comments are noted. The Council will not be deleting Policy CSD25. The representations acknowledge the national policy to make the most efficient use of land. The Council is required to follow the Government's national planning policies and to ensure housing supply and delivery. To note the Reg 19 CDC Local Plan was produced under the requirements of the Dec 2023 NPPF. Para 129 of the 2023 NPPF refers the use of minimum density standards, a significant uplift to average densities to ensure the efficient use of land. As stated in the policy the minimum densities will need to be met unless specific local circumstances indicate otherwise.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Objects to the minimum densities of 35 dwellings/ hectare in the rural areas and recommends the policy is revised to discourage reliance on minimum densities	LPR-D-103 (Bourtons Parish Council)	The comments are noted. The Council will not be deleting Policy CSD25. The representations acknowledge the national policy to make the most efficient use of land. The Council is required to follow the Government's national planning policies and to ensure housing supply and delivery. To note the Reg 19 CDC Local Plan was produced under the requirements of the Dec 2023 NPPF. Para 129 of the 2023 NPPF refers the use of minimum density standards, a significant uplift to average densities to ensure the efficient use of land. As stated in the policy the minimum densities will need to be met unless specific local circumstances indicate otherwise.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Objects to the proposed density for Kidlington due to conflict with green spaces, BNG and GBI policies and recommends amendments	LPR-D-282	The comments are noted. The Council will not be deleting Policy CSD25. The representations acknowledge the national policy requires the most efficient use of land. The Council is required to follow the Government's national planning policies and to ensure housing supply and delivery. To note the Reg 19 CDC Local Plan was produced under the requirements of the Dec 2023 NPPF. Para 129 of the 2023 NPPF refers the use of minimum density standards, a significant uplift to average densities to ensure the efficient use of land. As stated in the Policy CSD25 the minimum densities will need to be met unless specific local circumstances indicate otherwise. As part of any development, residential developers will be required in their planning application submissions to demonstrate that they have made provision for green space, will need to meet the BNG requirements (refer to CDCLP Policies CSD12) and will need to provide green infrastructure (refer to CDCLP Policies CSD15 & COM 24).

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	The policy is legally compliant but requires critical developments. Wants more brownfield land to be developed in Banbury which would reduce the amount of greenfield plots. Suggests Banbury's quota should be moved to Bicester	LPR-D-194	The comments are noted. The support for brownfield development and the support for development at Banbury and Bicester is noted. In relation to existing and future traffic and highway infrastructure, CDC have worked with conjunction with the Local Highways Authority Oxfordshire County Council who have undertaken transport modelling of the proposed site allocations in the CDC Local Plan. This modelling also informs the required mitigations. CDC has also produced an Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Infrastructure Schedule which details the required infrastructure including transport that needs to be funded and delivered over this plan period. This evidence-based documentation is available on the Council's website and this formed part of the recent local plan consultation.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	The principles of the policy are not followed through in the plan and it ignores the largest brownfield opportunity in the district- Heyford Park	LPR-D-210	The comments are noted. The Council's position on Heyford Park is set out in Chapter 7 on pages 280 to 285 including in Policy HEY1 which includes the delivery of the 2022 approved masterplan. Policy Villages 5 from the adopted Cherwell Local Plan is saved for a mixed-use allocation. Some elements of Heyford Park have planning permission and the associated legal agreements which will assist in the delivery of the associated required infrastructure.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	The plan should give proper consideration and re-evaluation of the many brownfield sites in Cherwell that have currently been ignored	LPR-D-121	In considering potential allocations brownfield sites have been considered, including those on the Brownfield Register. A number of the proposed allocations are on brownfield land.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Does not object to the policy itself. The principles of the policy should be applied to other policies within the Plan. The plan and plan's strategy <b>ignores</b> the benefits of sustainably located previously developed land and doesn't allow sufficient flexibility for rural previously developed land to come forward. References 123 and 124 of the NPPF.	LPR-D-203	The comments are noted.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Suggests clarification/ rewording of the policy	LPR-D-234 LPR-D-288	The comments are noted. In relation to rep LPR-D-288 a minor modification to the text has been proposed.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	The policy should clarify that the housing densities are a minimum 'average'	LPR-D-165	The comments are noted. The housing densities are a minimum (net) unless local circumstances indicate otherwise.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Suggest the policy should be enforced more	LPR-D-041	The comments are noted.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Suggests that the policy should include clarification as to how larger sites are expected to achieve the minimum densities when the overall allocation is developed by a number of developers, brought forward through separate planning applications	LPR-D-237	Disagree. Larger sites are required to develop masterplans which will consider issues such as overall densities.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Suggests an increase of development density at PR6B North Oxford, CDC Partial Review LP allocation.	LPR-D-142	The comments are noted. The policy already has scope for development to come forward at higher densities. At the pre application and planning application stages the applicant can propose a higher density for their proposed development within their planning application submission.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	Questions the requirement of a design panel review and recommends its removal from the policy	LPR-D-050	The comments are noted. This policy states "where there are significant concerns about unnecessary use of land, a design panel review paid for by the applicant may be sought". This relates to the planning application stage.
Policy CSD25: The Effective and Efficient Use of Land- Brownfield Land and Housing Density	The net densities are embedding private car use	LPR-D-256	The comments are noted. The Council's Local Plan meets the requirements of Oxfordshire County Council who are the Local Highways Authority. The Local Plan supports the provision of and improvements to sustainable transport in Policy CSD22 and supports infrastructure to accommodate active travel including walking, cycling and wheeling in Policy COM15 and Policy COM14 sets out the design requirements for developments including sustainable methods of travel and adopting principles of 20-minute Neighbourhoods where appropriate. The Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Infrastructure Schedule include sustainable travel projects.
•	Requests that new policy on safeguarding of RAF Weston on the Green, RAF Bardford St John and RAF Croughton in the plan	LPR-D-069 (DIO/MoD)	Agree.  New non-strategic policy is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Theme 2 Title	Recommends the addition of 'inclusive' to the title of theme 2	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted.  CLPR supports an inclusive local economy. Policy LEC 5 on Community Employment Plans reinforces the update of local income and employment opportunities and seeks to increase access to skills and training to remove

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			barriers to local employment.  Minor editorial amendments can be addressed following the CLPR's examination.
Theme 2 Title	Suggests removing 'local' from the description to closer align with paragraph 3.72 of the Autumn Budget 2024	LPR-D-193	Disagree. The Local Plan seeks to meet Cherwell's needs therefore the use of the term 'local' is appropriate.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	There is a large amount of need identified but supply remains outstanding. More needs to be done to secure long term economic growth around Kidlington/knowledge spine	LPR-D-198 (South Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-199 (Vale of White Horse District Council)	Local Plan does reference Knowledge Spine and there is committed development still to be built out in the Kidlington area. Acknowledge that there could be more clarity on supply and the Plan will be amended to reflect that. A greater level of detail is set out in the Employment Background Paper.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Welcomes the provision of additional employment	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	The comments are noted and welcomed
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Supports the sites at Canalside and Higham Way	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	The comments are noted and welcomed
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Objects to the new and retained employment allocations	LPR-D-039	The approach to the selection of sites is set out in the site selection background paper. The sites have been sourced from those submitted and assessed through the Council's HELAA. These sites have been tested and assessed and are those considered most appropriate by the Council.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Objects as it fails to deliver employment land needs over the plan period	LPR-D-152 LPR-D-320 LPR-D-319	Disagree. Alongside the completions and commitments, the employment allocations provide sufficient land.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Objects and requests evidence to justify the overall quantum of employment land in the immediate hinterland of Oxford, given the substantial investment in infrastructure and innovation that is underway	LPR-D-310	The evidence is published. There is development consented yet to be built out north of Oxford where land has been removed from the Green Belt.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	There is insufficient deliverable employment land	LPR-D-258 LPR-D-288 LPR-D-293	Disagree. Alongside the completions and commitments, the employment allocations provide sufficient land.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Concern regarding the increase of employment from Regulation 18	LPR-D-257	The increase in employment land is supported by the updated evidence base which shows a higher level of employment need.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Criticises the employment associated with warehouses and requests more quality well-paid jobs	LPR-D-150	The employment allocations seek to achieve a range of jobs.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Questions the retained focus on existing employment sites and only seeking limited additional employment development. Recommends the evidence is reviewed due to the publication of the Strategic Economic Plan for Oxfordshire	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	Disagree, there are a number of new employment allocations proposed within the Plan in addition to those already committed/completed.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	The plan fails to pan for further growth in R&D and recommends amendments to Policy LEC 1 to include a mixed-use development in Policy PR6C	LPR-D-247	Disagree, the Plan seeks to provide land for a range of employment land according to the evidence base on need. Policy PR6C relates to an adopted Plan and has not been revisited within this Plan.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Delivery of Canalside will be a challenge, and there are limited unconstrained locations to accommodate growth needs	LPR-D-091	Recognise that the delivery of Canalside will not be without its challenge, but that is not a reason to not include the site to support and guide its delivery. This is a key brownfield site in the heart of Banbury.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Finds procedural errors with the evidence base which the policy is based on. There should be a greater focus on Kidlington. There is no acknowledgment of type or location of employment land opportunities to be prioritised. Suggests a new ENA should be undertaken	LPR-D-193	Disagree, the Plan seeks to provide land for a range of employment land according to the evidence base on need. There is existing employment land in Kidlington to be built out which has been removed from the Green Belt.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	The policy fails to reflect the Oxford- Cambridge growth corridor	LPR-D-223	Disagree. The Oxford Cambridge growth corridor passes through the district, and this is referenced in the Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Questions the consideration of the economy in the draft local plan, due to no consideration of the ministerial statement, OxLEP Strategy or Strategic Economic Plan	LPR-D-223	The Plan has not explicitly mentioned every document in its preparation, but this does not mean that they have not been considered.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	There is no reference to the Oxford Industrial Strategy and concern that there is not enough employment in Banbury. There should be greater land identified to realise growth ambitions in respect of the Oxford-Cambridge Growth Corridor and the needs of the logistics sector. The ENA update did not refresh the Banbury Area or consider market intelligence as required by PPG	LPR-D-253	The Plan has not explicitly mentioned every document in its preparation, but this does not mean that they have not been considered. Employment land has been allocated at Banbury drawing from available sites and recognising constraints.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Fails to consider the specific requirements of the logistics sector	LPR-D-316	Disagree, the plan allocates land for a range of uses including logistics.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Suggest available development should be 'at least 6ha' for land at BIC E5	LPR-D-317	Disagree, policy will be amended to reflect 'approximately'.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Suggest available development should be 'at least 30ha' for land at BIC E51	LPR-D-318	Disagree, Policy will be amended to reflect 'approximately'.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Questions the delivery of retained allocations as well as Canalside and Higham way. Recommends Banbury 6,11, M/U1 and E1 and removed from the plan	LPR-D-319	Recognise that the delivery of brownfield sites are not without their challenges but that is not a reason to not include the site to support and guide its delivery. These are key sites in Banbury, some of which are in previously examined and adopted Plans.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	There is not enough discussion of the economy in the plan. Notes there is no reference to the Oxford-Cambridge Corridor	LPR-D-341	Disagree. There is specific reference of the Oxford Cambridge Arc at 3.150
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Notes the consultation draft proposes the allocation of 14.7ha employment land to support the expansion of Begbroke Science Park, has no objection to this	LPR-D-142	Noted and welcomed.
Policy LEC1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	Questions the removal of Begbroke Science Park and suggests it should be reinstated	LPR-D-346	Begbroke Science Park is a commitment with outline consent and is counted towards the overall supply of employment land.
Policy LEC2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	Supports the policy	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-165	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	Objects to the policy, pending clarification in the policy to allow growth and/or an update of the supporting map to identify the extent of the employment area	LPR-D-310	Existing and allocated employment site extents are shown on the adopted policies maps for the relevant plans or in the approved plans accompanying a planning application.
Policy LEC2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	Concern about the proposed employment allocation at J9 due to pressure on traffic infrastructure. Objects to BIC E5 due to coalescence with Ambrosden	LPR-D-327	Recognise that a number of employment allocations at Bicester will load onto J9 M40, however the Plan is supported by a full Transport Assessment and Modelling with mitigation as appropriate. It is considered that this remains the best location for employment uses.
Policy LEC2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	It is important that the saved allocations with planning permission can deliver their approved uses rather than being bound by the draft policy. There should be more flexibility in the policy to allow future reserved matters to comply with the outline consent, even if conflict with the new policy	LPR-D-225	It is not considered that there would be conflict between saved allocations and the emerging policy. This can be reviewed on a case-by-case basis as necessary through a planning application.
Policy LEC2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	The policy should promote redevelopment of existing commercial sites for modern commercial buildings at a mixture of sizes which sites for should be defined in the plan	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Redevelopment of existing employment sites is supported through the Plan. It is not necessary to identify such sites as they would be existing employment sites to satisfy the Policy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	There should be flexibility in the policy to ensure an employment land review would be triggered immediately if an allocated employment site is no longer coming forward, and recommends reserve sites are identified	LPR-D-152	Consider the policy does provide flexibility. Some sites will take longer to come forward than others and the Plan period is over 20 years, so this would be a matter for a later Local Plan review.
Policy LEC2: Development at Existing or Allocated Employment Sites	The glossary terms are misleading. Notes the policy uses 'employment site' but the glossary only uses 'employment land'. The wording should actively encourage redevelopment and intensification of employment, and the glossary needs to be clear	LPR-D-234	Do not consider this is confusing. The policy supports redevelopment of existing sites for employment uses.
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-152 LPR-D-293 LPR-D-240 (Fritwell Parish Council)	The comments are noted and welcomed
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Supports the inclusion of a criteria-based policy to deliver additional employment land. Suggests improvements to support science and technology clusters around North Oxford/Kidlington	LPR-D-247	Welcome support. The policy covers the whole district and does not seek to identify particular areas or sites so that it can be applied flexibly.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Objects to the policy, pending clarification to allow growth and/or an update of the supporting map to identify the extent of the employment area	LPR-D-310	It is not considered that further clarification is needed.
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Objects as there is too much encouragement given to such development, therefore in conflict with Policy COM13	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Disagree that there is conflict with Policy COM13. The Policy provides flexibility with certain criteria to guide and support appropriate uses in the right locations.
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Due to the lack of new allocations, the council should expect numerous employment planning applications.	LPR-D-091	Disagree. The Council has identified sufficient employment land to meet its needs.  Additional land can come forward through the application of Policy LEC3.
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Policy LEC 3 should make reference to LEC 1 regarding requiring a range of unit sizes	LPR-D-100	Policy LEC1 refers to specific allocations of land and whilst a range of unit sizes and types would be welcomed, this may not always be appropriate on unidentified, unallocated sites. This will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	Welcomes the flexibility afforded for appropriate employment development on unallocated sites across the district	LPR-D-216	Noted and welcomed.
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	The policy is unclear if it only addresses new buildings, or where jobs are created. It is unclear if redevelopment for other employment uses is covered and requires clarity	LPR-D-234	Policy LEC3 specifically refers to Use Classes E(g), B2 or B8. Consider the Policy LEC3 is sufficiently clear.
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	The text for the policy should be more supportive and make reference to large scale B8 uses. There should be no differentiation for smaller villages and open countryside having additional criteria, in particular for sites on the Strategic Road Network	LPR-D-319	Consider the policy does provide flexibility. Small villages and the open countryside have additional criteria to ensure that such new sites are appropriate.
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development on Unallocated Sites	The policy fails to recognise the locational and sector requirements of the logistics market and prevents the delivery of suitable unallocated sites.	LPR-D-316	Disagree. The Plan provides employment allocations which meet identified needs.
Policy LEC3: New Employment Development	Suggest changes to wording	LPR-D-257	Policy LEC1 refers to specific allocations of land and whilst a range of unit sizes and types would be welcomed, this may not always be appropriate on unidentified, unallocated sites. This will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
on Unallocated Sites			
Policy LEC4: Ancillary Uses on existing or allocated Employment Sites	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy LEC5: Community Employment Plans	Welcomes the policy and suggests lowering the residential threshold of 500 dwellings to secure training and employment opportunities in more circumstances	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	Welcome support. Note comment, though consider that 1,000 dwellings as a threshold is proportionate
Policy LEC5: Community Employment Plans	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-223	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy LEC5: Community Employment Plans	Supports in general but questions the definition of 'local'. Cycle path links from Bicester to Baynards Green should be considered	LPR-D-240 (Fritwell Parish Council)	Do not consider it necessary to define 'local produce'. Cycle paths can be considered for all types of development - it is not considered necessary to identify specific routes.
Policy LEC5: Community Employment Plans	Objects to the wording and seeks clarification as to how this would apply when sites are being brought forward by a number of developers	LPR-D-237	If this was being brought forward by a number of developers, there would be an expectation that they would work collaboratively to deliver what the policy requires.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC5: Community Employment Plans	The policy should be seen as complementary to policies that encourage establishment of off-site, prefabricated construction and development	LPR-D-256	Comments noted.
Policy LEC6: Supporting a Thriving and Resilient Farming Sector	Smart technologies rely on seamless network and therefore the construction of network infrastructure in rural areas and farms needs to be considered	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Agree, recognise importance of network infrastructure which needs to be delivered and support for broadband in the rural areas.
Policy LEC6: Supporting a Thriving and Resilient Farming Sector	Support the policy	LPR-D-103 (Bourtons Parish Council) LPR-D-256	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy LEC6: Supporting a Thriving and Resilient Farming Sector	Due to poor wording, it is unclear if the 20% gain only applies to the stewardship element and why development proposals will be required to demonstrate how they will measurably support a significant reduction in net carbon emission relative to the likely emissions from existing buildings and operations, which appears inflexible	LPR-D-234	The 20% gain applies to all aspects not just environmental stewardship. The reduction of net carbon emissions is a key aim for this plan, though it is recognised that there may be instances where this is not viable, hence the flexibility in the policy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	The policy sets out a very high bar including the requirement to demonstrate an essential need that is in the public interest	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	The policy does set a high bar, given the importance of best and most versatile agricultural land in accordance with NPPF2023 at paragraphs 180 and 181 the importance of food production at paragraph 124 and in footnote 62.
Policy LEC7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	Support the policy	LPR-D-041	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy LEC7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	Supports the policy but suggests amendments to rectify minor concerns on the application to unplanned development	LPR-D-321	Disagree with proposed amendment. Unplanned is unallocated development.
Policy LEC7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	Questions the evidence to justify the policy. Recommends amendments	LPR-D-050	The policy does set a high bar, given the importance of best and most versatile agricultural land in accordance with NPPF2023 at paragraphs 180 and 181 the importance of food production at paragraph 124 and in footnote 62. The Cherwell Food Action Plan seeks to promote the local food economy and environmentally friendly farming efforts. The policy accords with the NPPF which seeks to recognise the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land.
Policy LEC7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	The requirement for the applicant to pay for independent verification of the report is unmerited and should be covered by the application fees if inhouse expertise does not exist. Queries why the policy does not protect BMV land from BNG proposals. Recommends a size threshold should be included to ensure the policy is applied proportionately	LPR-D-234	Disagree. The applicant should bear the cost of this. It is not the case that the best and most versatile agricultural land would not be protected from BNG proposals. The policy accords with the NPPF which seeks to recognise the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC7: Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	The policy goes beyond the NPPF and should refer to national policy tests regarding the loss of BMV land	LPR-D-236	The policy does set a high bar, given the importance of best and most versatile agricultural land in accordance with NPPF2023 at paragraphs 180 and 181 the importance of food production at paragraph 124 and in footnote 62. The Cherwell Food Action Plan seeks to promote the local food economy and environmentally friendly farming efforts. The policy accords with the NPPF which seeks to recognise the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land.
Policy LEC8: Rural Diversification	Rural diversification will lead to previously farmed land being repurposed which may have impacts on heritage assets. Recommends the policy is amended to recognise the requirement for heritage/archaeological assessment ahead of a scheme	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Recognise that rural diversification could have impacts on heritage assets, but a heritage/archaeological assessment can be requested to support any planning application.
Policy LEC8: Rural Diversification	The policy should refer to heritage significance not just the character and appearance of buildings. Recommends amendments to the wording	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Consider this is required by Policy COM14 and not necessary to repeat here.
Policy LEC8: Rural Diversification	Support the policy	LPR-D-041	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy LEC8: Rural Diversification	Objects to wording within the policy, and recommends amendments	LPR-D-299	Partly disagree. The character and appearance of buildings is important. Remove criterion ii
Policy LEC8: Rural Diversification	The policy is good, but to prove a viability case the threshold should be set at a high level	LPR-D-256	The policy does not specify a level of viability, rather that it needs to be positive.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC8: Rural Diversification	The policy title refers to rural diversification but changes tack halfway through to refer to agricultural undertakings. Not all rural businesses are agricultural, and it is unclear if all criteria must be met to achieve compliance. Recommends alterations to wording	LPR-D-234	Agree. Remove criterion ii
Policy LEC9: Tourism	Supports the policy but suggests improvements and alterations to wording	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Welcome support. Comments noted.
Policy LEC9: Tourism	Supports the policy	LPR-D-082 LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-222	The comments are noted and welcomed
Policy LEC9: Tourism	Objects to the term 'functional link' as it is vaguely worded and in possible conflict with the NPPF. Recommends amendments to the policy	LPR-D-299	Disagree, provides flexibility in policy.
Policy LEC9: Tourism	There is no mention of enhancing Banbury as a tourist destination	LPR-D-020	There is no specific mention of any location in the district. It applies across the district.
Policy LEC9: Tourism	Reusing existing buildings often is not the most appropriate way to pursue sustainable development. The policy should recognise that small scale rural proposals for tourist development do not need to follow the town centre first approach. It is also unclear if all the	LPR-D-234	If the proposal is in a village or open countryside, all parts of the latter part of the policy will apply. Those proposals need to show that they are not deliverable within or adjacent to a town or service centre.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	criteria in the policy needs to be met or only some		
Policy LEC9: Tourism	Concerns that Bicester Village visitors could put pressure on local housing supply	LPR-D-256	Disagree. It is not considered that those visiting Bicester Village would purchase a second home purely for the sole reason of destination shopping. It is considered that whilst the attraction of the retail at this location could be a factor in people's reason to relocate from elsewhere it would be alongside numerous other factors. Relocation or second home ownership purely for a retail offer that can be met through day trips or occasional overnight stays.
Policy LEC10: Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses	Town centres are frequently of high archaeological importance. Supports the sustainability of town centres but there should be careful consideration to ensure town centre developments conserve and enhance the historic environment	LPR-D-302	The comments are noted and proposed changes to the text have been proposed.
Policy LEC10: Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses	Support the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-222 LPR-D-240 (Fritwell Parish Council)	The comments are noted and welcomed
Policy LEC10: Town Centre Hierachy and Retail Uses	Supports the policy but needs clarity on town centre in strategic developments. Requests amendments	LPR-D-321	The comments are noted. Disagree, the strategic developments are not creating new town centres.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy LEC10: Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses	Supports the aspirations to see new local centres in allocated strategic sites	LPR-D-237	The comments are noted and welcomed
Policy LEC10: Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses	Welcomes Policy. Questions on guiding incoming businesses to take units in the town centre area	LPR-D-020	The comments are noted. Incoming businesses will decide where they wish to locate, depending on their specific business requirements and this may or may not require planning permission. In terms of their consideration of the use of an out of town unit this will depend on the current planning consent of an individual unit or whether it requires planning permission for a change of use. Some businesses require larger units and additional facilities that may not be able to be accommodated in a town centre.
Policy LEC10: Town Centre Hierarchy and Retail Uses	The wording makes no reference to Heyford Park as a Local Service Centre and is inconsistent with the strategy statement. The policy should be redrafted to ensure this is recognised	LPR-D-210	The comments are noted. Disagree. The policy does not make specific reference to any particular named Local Service Centre and the policy does not need to list all of the Local Service Centres that are within the district.
Policy LEC11: Primary Shopping Areas	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy LEC11: Primary Shopping Areas	The policy does not offer enough flexibility to allow the highstreets to thrive and adapt. The requirement to meet all three criteria in the policy is overly restrictive. Local neighbourhood is not defined and therefore is difficult to interpret. The policy should be amended to address this	LPR-D-234	Noted. It is considered that the policy is not overly restrictive as it seeks to protect 'E Class' uses within Primary Shopping Areas.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response	
Policy LEC12: Outdoor Markets	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	The comments are noted and welcomed	
Policy LEC13: Shopfronts and Signage	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-083	The comments are noted and welcomed	

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Housing Requirement	The proposed housing supply for Banbury is supported	LPR-D-134	Support is noted and welcomed.
Housing Requirement	The use of standard method rather than the former HENA is sound. The inclusion of unmet need within the housing requirement is also considered to be sound	LPR-D-198 (South Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-199 (Vale of White Horse District Council)	Noted and welcomed
Housing Requirement	Welcomes the inclusion of 4400 homes for Oxford's unmet need to 2036 but questions the delivery of this including not bringing forward partial	LPR-D-251 (Oxford City Council)	Noted. The 4,400 homes to meet Oxford's unmet housing needs will be met by saving the relevant adopted policies from the 2020 Partial Review Cherwell Local Plan. The plan proposes one housing requirement figure in accordance with Government guidance. A separate overall supply for Oxford's needs is therefore

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
	review sites into this plan and finds this unsound. It is unclear how Oxford's needs will be met as there is no breakdown of need due to the two needs being put together making monitoring difficult. Disappointed that Cherwell has used the old standard method under transitional arrangements which will vastly underestimate housing need		not included. However, the delivery of the specific sites allocated to meet Oxford's needs will be monitored. The comments relating to the progression of the Plan under the transitional arrangements are noted.
Housing Requirement	Objects to rolling forward the 4400 unmet need beyond 2031 and considers this not justified. Questions how the current 5YHLS shortfall will be solved	LPR-D-268	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify an alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
			The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met.
Housing Requirement	The proposed number of houses is unnecessary	LPR-D-012 LPR-D-022	Disagree. The Plan meets Cherwell's objectively assessed needs and provides for Oxford's unmet housing needs. Additional housing has been included to help deliver further social/affordable housing, support placemaking and provide contingency.
Housing Requirement	Notes concern over the increased housing in Bicester	LPR-D-339	Bicester, together with Banbury, is the most sustainable location within the district therefore it is appropriate to consider it for additional housing allocations.
Housing Requirement	The housing requirement cannot provide sufficient supply and mix of sites due to issues with housing completion and previous failures to ensure a 5YHLS	LPR-D-054	Disagree. The Plan addresses objectively assessed needs. The trajectory shows that delivery will ensure a 5yhls for at least the first five years of the Plan following adoption.
Housing Requirement	Believes that it is only compliant with paragraph 234 requirements of the NPPF by including Oxford's unmet need and without this the plan can only demonstrate 63.5% of local housing need. Therefore additional sites should be considered for allocation	LPR-D-230 LPR-D-231 LPR-D-232 LPR-D-137 LPR-D-165	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify an alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
			The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met. No further housing is required.
Housing Requirement	The plan falls short of legal housing requirement under the new NPPF	LPR-D-151 LPR-D-164	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify an alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
			Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met.
Housing Requirement	Housing numbers should follow the 80% of the Standard Method (894) under the new NPPF. Notes the majority of housing is likely to come forward no earlier than 2029. Another housing site should be allocated	LPR-D-299	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify an alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Housing Requirement	There is no evidence that at least 10% of housing needs can be delivered on sites less than 1ha. This evidence is needed	LPR-D-138	Disagree. It is expected that at least 100 homes will be delivered annually on windfall sites. This figure is over 10% of the 911 annual requirement. Evidence to support the windfall figure is set out in the Council's Annual Monitoring Reports (AMR)
Housing Requirement	The draft Local Plan should consider the issue of the current shortfall in delivery that the Local Plan Review needs to respond to given the 2.3 year supply	LPR-D-233	Disagree. This Plan will set a new annual requirement against which the 5 YHLS will be calculated.
Housing Requirement	There is insufficient evidence that the plan will establish a 5-year supply on adoption	LPR-D-255 LPR-D-341	A trajectory of housing delivery is provided at Appendix 2 of the Plan. This is being updated based on the latest monitoring data. Further details will be provided in a Delivery Background Paper.
Housing Requirement	Concern regarding the existing supply assumptions and the windfall assumption going forward	LPR-D-275	A trajectory of housing delivery is provided at Appendix 2 of the Plan. This is being updated based on the latest monitoring data. Further details will be provided in a Delivery Background Paper.
Housing Requirement	There remains a considerable housing need which the council should meet so far as is practical	LPR-D-224	Disagree. The Plan meets the objectively assessed need under the NPPF transitional arrangements.
Housing Requirement	The previous 2015 and 2020 plans have failed to deliver sufficient homes and Regulation 19 restricts development further	LPR-D-153	Disagree. The Plan provides a strategy to meet housing needs.
Housing Requirement	The plan fails to take any consideration of the development that has already occurred in and around	LPR-D-121	Disagree. The Plan has considered existing development. Bicester, together with Banbury, is the most sustainable location within the district.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
	Bicester or other developments which have now gained planning permission		
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-102	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The level 1, level 2 SFRAs and the sequential and exception tests do not justify the proposed housing growth in the policy	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Disagree, the SFRAs and sequential test do support the level of growth set out in the Plan.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Agrees that the plan meets the transitional arrangements in terms of being within 80% of the standard method figure. Notes the AMR reports less than a 5 year housing land supply and therefore supports progressing the plan so there is less threat of unplanned developments	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	The comments are noted and welcomed
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The plan proposes an additional 4000 homes and therefore encourages the consideration of a stepped approach	LPR-D-198 (South Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-199 (Vale of White Horse District Council) LPR-D-301	The comments are noted and welcomed

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The reliance on 3 new strategic sites is unsound as they will take time to deliver. Reference to Oxford City Local Plan should be removed as it has been withdrawn. There is no annual need requirement which should be included in the policy. Clarification is needed regarding what is to cover Oxford City's unmet need, which should be met by 2036. The tables require clarification	LPR-D-251 (Oxford City Council)	The Policy makes it clear that there is already a significant supply pipeline from existing allocations in adopted local plans and through existing commitments. Where appropriate these site allocation policies are being 'saved'. The three new strategic sites are in addition to this pipeline. The annual requirement is set out in paragraph 3.209, but it is accepted that this should also be referenced in the Policy. A proposed change to this effect is suggested. On 26 September 2016, the Oxfordshire Growth Board (now the Future Oxfordshire Partnership) considered a report summarising the output of countywide work in the interest of assisting Oxford with its unmet housing need. The Growth Board decided on an apportionment of 14,850 homes to the district and city councils. Cherwell District was asked to consider the accommodation of 4,400 homes in addition to its existing Local Plan commitments and the countywide apportionment was embedded in a Memorandum of Cooperation signed by five of the six Oxfordshire Councils in 2016.  Oxford's existing adopted Local Plan (2016-36) is informed by a roll-forward of a Strategic Housing Market Assessment in 2018 – a need of some 1,346 homes per annum to meet its affordable housing needs in full. Its current need figure from applying the Standard Method is 1087 homes per annum. The City are now progressing a new Local Plan which will cover the period 2022 – 2042.  There remains, based on the most current evidence available, an unmet housing need to meet and we are continuing with our commitment to meet the identified need for 4,400 homes previously identified by saving relevant Local Plan policies. No homes have yet been delivered to meet this need. We do not consider that we need to accommodate additional housing for Oxford in this Local Plan.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Welcomes the focus of provision being placed at Bicester as well as the proposed extension to North West Bicester/ Considers that delivery of 3,200 homes at North West Bicester during the plan period is achievable and realistic	LPR-D-321	Comments noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Does not object to the housing requirement of 911/annum as long as the Local Plan ensures adequate healthcare provision and capacity	LPR-D-272 (BOB ICB)	The comments are noted and welcomed
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Objects to the policy. The draft Plan does not meet the transitional arrangements for plan-making in NPPF paragraph 234. The draft plan should not proceed to Examination because it fails the requirements of paragraph 36 of the NPPF.	LPR-D-305	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify an alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Objects as the plan fails to meet the transitional arrangements	LPR-D-155 LPR-D-050 LPR-D-214 LPR-D-267 LPR-D-236	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify ar alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1:	Objects to the housing requirement	LPR-D-136	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the
District Wide	number	LPR-D-229	December 2023 NPPF.
Housing	277.67	LPR-D-237	NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed,
Distribution		LPR-D-137	strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted
		LPR-D-255	using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify ar
		LPR-D-258	alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point
		LPR-D-262	for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need
		LPR-D-263	figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into
		LPR-D-265	account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.
		LPR-D-282	Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing
		LPR-D-287	requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified
		LPR-D-288	housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met
		LPR-D-300	over the plan period.
		LPR-D-320	The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan
		10.500	making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19
			(pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement
			meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in
			national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.
			The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice
			Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing
			requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local
			housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Objects to not using the 2024 Standard Method	LPR-D-311	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify ar alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Objects as it is unfair that Cherwell is forced to take on the housing needs of Oxford.	LPR-D-041	On 26 September 2016, the Oxfordshire Growth Board (now the Future Oxfordshire Partnership) considered a report summarising the output of countywide work in the interest of assisting Oxford with its unmet housing need.  The Growth Board decided on an apportionment of 14,850 homes to the district and city councils. Cherwell District was asked to consider the accommodation of 4,400 homes in addition to its existing Local Plan commitments and the countywide apportionment was embedded in a Memorandum of Cooperation signed by five of the six Oxfordshire Councils in 2016.  Oxford's existing adopted Local Plan (2016-36) is informed by a roll-forward of a Strategic Housing Market Assessment in 2018 – a need of some 1,346 homes per annum to meet its affordable housing needs in full. Its current need figure from applying the Standard Method is 1087 homes per annum. The City are now progressing a new Local Plan which will cover the period 2022 – 2042.  There remains, based on the most current evidence available, an unmet housing need to meet and we are continuing with our commitment to meet the identified need for 4,400 homes previously identified by saving relevant Local Plan policies. No homes have yet been delivered to meet this need. We do not consider that we need to accommodate additional housing for Oxford in this Local Plan. Moreover, on 15th October 2024, under the Duty to Cooperate, Cherwell and Oxford City Council reached an understanding that the 4,400 dwellings would be brought forward through this emerging Plan to meet unmet need. No additional accommodation was requested.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Object to the roll forward of Oxford's unmet undelivered need	LPR-D-303 LPR-D-304	On 26 September 2016, the Oxfordshire Growth Board (now the Future Oxfordshire Partnership) considered a report summarising the output of countywide work in the interest of assisting Oxford with its unmet housing need.  The Growth Board decided on an apportionment of 14,850 homes to the district and city councils. Cherwell District was asked to consider the accommodation of 4,400 homes in addition to its existing Local Plan commitments and the countywide apportionment was embedded in a Memorandum of Cooperation signed by five of the six Oxfordshire Councils in 2016.  Oxford's existing adopted Local Plan (2016-36) is informed by a roll-forward of a Strategic Housing Market Assessment in 2018 – a need of some 1,346 homes per annum to meet its affordable housing needs in full. Its current need figure from applying the Standard Method is 1087 homes per annum. The City are now progressing a new Local Plan which will cover the period 2022 – 2042.  There remains, based on the most current evidence available, an unmet housing need to meet and we are continuing with our commitment to meet the identified need for 4,400 homes previously identified by saving relevant Local Plan policies. No homes have yet been delivered to meet this need. We do not consider that we need to accommodate additional housing for Oxford in this Local Plan. Moreover, on 15th October 2024, under the Duty to Cooperate, Cherwell and Oxford City Council reached an understanding that the 4,400 dwellings would be brought forward through this emerging Plan to meet unmet need. No additional accommodation was requested.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Objects to the inclusion of Oxford's unmet need as it is a different period to the draft Local Plan	LPR-D-204 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263 LPR-D-269	On 26 September 2016, the Oxfordshire Growth Board (now the Future Oxfordshire Partnership) considered a report summarising the output of countywide work in the interest of assisting Oxford with its unmet housing need.  The Growth Board decided on an apportionment of 14,850 homes to the district and city councils. Cherwell District was asked to consider the accommodation of 4,400 homes in addition to its existing Local Plan commitments and the countywide apportionment was embedded in a Memorandum of Cooperation signed by five of the six Oxfordshire Councils in 2016.  Oxford's existing adopted Local Plan (2016-36) is informed by a roll-forward of a Strategic Housing Market Assessment in 2018 – a need of some 1,346 homes per annum to meet its affordable housing needs in full. Its current need figure from applying the Standard Method is 1087 homes per annum. The City are now progressing a new Local Plan which will cover the period 2022 – 2042.  There remains, based on the most current evidence available, an unmet housing need to meet and we are continuing with our commitment to meet the identified need for 4,400 homes previously identified by saving relevant Local Plan policies. No homes have yet been delivered to meet this need. We do not consider that we need to accommodate additional housing for Oxford in this Local Plan. Moreover, on 15th October 2024, under the Duty to Cooperate, Cherwell and Oxford City Council reached an understanding that the 4,400 dwellings would be brought forward through this emerging Plan to meet unmet need. No additional accommodation was requested.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Objects the retention of PR6b	LPR-D-068	This site is an allocation in the adopted Cherwell Local Plan Partial Review to meet Oxford's Unmet Housing Need. The Policy is saved.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The approach is flawed as it draws together Cherwell's need and Oxford's unmet need to meet the minimum requirements	LPR-D-226 LPR-D-227 LPR-D-229 LPR-D-248 LPR-D-315	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify ar alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Oxford's unmet need should be delivered by 2031 meaning at least 1,339dpa is required between 2024 and 2031 and therefore there is a shortfall in delivery over the 1st five years of the plan.  Recognises that the joint HENA was considered not robust by the inspector at the EIP in Oxford and that the standard method does not take account of ambitions for economic growth	LPR-D-138	On 26 September 2016, the Oxfordshire Growth Board (now the Future Oxfordshire Partnership) considered a report summarising the output of countywide work in the interest of assisting Oxford with its unmet housing need.  The Growth Board decided on an apportionment of 14,850 homes to the district and city councils. Cherwell District was asked to consider the accommodation of 4,400 homes in addition to its existing Local Plan commitments and the countywide apportionment was embedded in a Memorandum of Cooperation signed by five of the six Oxfordshire Councils in 2016.  Oxford's existing adopted Local Plan (2016-36) is informed by a roll-forward of a Strategic Housing Market Assessment in 2018 – a need of some 1,346 homes per annum to meet its affordable housing needs in full. Its current need figure from applying the Standard Method is 1087 homes per annum. The City are now progressing a new Local Plan which will cover the period 2022 – 2042.  There remains, based on the most current evidence available, an unmet housing need to meet and we are continuing with our commitment to meet the identified need for 4,400 homes previously identified by saving relevant Local Plan policies. No homes have yet been delivered to meet this need. We do not consider that we need to accommodate additional housing for Oxford in this Local Plan. Moreover, on 15th October 2024, under the Duty to Cooperate, Cherwell and Oxford City Council reached an understanding that the 4,400 dwellings would be brought forward through this emerging Plan to meet unmet need. No additional accommodation was requested.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Questions Oxford City's unmet need requirement due to the withdrawal of the Oxford City Plan	LPR-D-346	On 26 September 2016, the Oxfordshire Growth Board (now the Future Oxfordshire Partnership) considered a report summarising the output of countywide work in the interest of assisting Oxford with its unmet housing need.  The Growth Board decided on an apportionment of 14,850 homes to the district and city councils. Cherwell District was asked to consider the accommodation of 4,400 homes in addition to its existing Local Plan commitments and the countywide apportionment was embedded in a Memorandum of Cooperation signed by five of the six Oxfordshire Councils in 2016.  Oxford's existing adopted Local Plan (2016-36) is informed by a roll-forward of a Strategic Housing Market Assessment in 2018 – a need of some 1,346 homes per annum to meet its affordable housing needs in full. Its current need figure from applying the Standard Method is 1087 homes per annum. The City are now progressing a new Local Plan which will cover the period 2022 – 2042.  There remains, based on the most current evidence available, an unmet housing need to meet and we are continuing with our commitment to meet the identified need for 4,400 homes previously identified by saving relevant Local Plan policies. No homes have yet been delivered to meet this need. We do not consider that we need to accommodate additional housing for Oxford in this Local Plan. Moreover, on 15th October 2024, under the Duty to Cooperate, Cherwell and Oxford City Council reached an understanding that the 4,400 dwellings would be brought forward through this emerging Plan to meet unmet need. No additional accommodation was requested.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	It is unclear if the transitional arrangements allow for a proportion of the housing need to be meeting unmet needs of another area	LPR-D-164 LPR-D-165	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify an alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The policy is not positively prepared or effective regarding its approach to several core themes including the plan period, accounting for Oxford City's unmet need, the significant need for affordable housing and the requirement to support economic growth. Suggests amendments	LPR-D-105	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify an alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1:	Additional sites should be allocated to	LPR-D-137	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the
District Wide	meet a greater housing need	LPR-D-215	December 2023 NPPF.
Housing		LPR-D-224	NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed,
Distribution		LPR-D-236	strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted
		LPR-D-248	using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify an
		LPR-D-250	alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point
		LPR-D-282	for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need
		LPR-D-287	figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into
		LPR-D-299	account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.
		LPR-D-305	Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing
		LPR-D-315	requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified
		LPR-D-341	housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.
			The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.
			The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met. No further housin is required.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The proposed housing requirement is not capable of meeting the housing needs of the district and so the spatial strategy is insufficient in meeting the housing needs.	LPR-D-137 LPR-D-211	Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.  NPPF paragraph 61 states that in determining the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a local housing need assessment conducted using the standard method, unless there are exceptional circumstances which justify an alternative approach. The outcome of the standard method is an advisory starting point for establishing a housing requirement for the area. In addition to the local housing need figure, any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas should also be taken into account in establishing the amount of housing to be planned for.  Paragraph 67 states that strategic policy making authorities should establish a housing requirement figure for their whole area, which shows the extent to which their identified housing need (and any needs that cannot be met within neighbouring areas) can be met over the plan period.  The December 2024 NPPF at paragraph 234(a) states that for the purposes of plan making the transitional arrangements apply where the plan has reached Regulation 19 (pre-submission stage) on or before 12 March 2025, and its draft housing requirement meets at least 80% of local housing need calculated using the standard method in national planning practice published on 12 December 2024.  The local housing need figure, calculated in accordance with the Planning Practice Guidance published on 12 December 2024, is 1118 dwellings per year. The housing requirement in the Local Plan is 911 dwellings per year. That is 81.5% of the local housing need figure. The transitional arrangements are therefore met. No further housing is required.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The policy is not positively prepared as it proposes a lower housing figure than in the adopted Local Plan	LPR-D-203 LPR-D-210	Disagree. The housing requirement has been prepared in accordance with Government advice.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	There should be greater growth in the villages/ rural areas	LPR-D-137 LPR-D-220 LPR-D-254 LPR-D-283 LPR-D-297 LPR-D-322	The Plan's spatial strategy is to direct most development to the most sustainable locations. The relative sustainability of our villages and rural areas is low. It is considered that the spatial strategy is appropriate and consistent with national policy.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The plan should seek to deliver a wider choice of homes to accommodate local needs	LPR-D-155	It is considered that the Plan does provide the opportunity to provide a wide choice of homes to accommodate local needs.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	There is no robust justification for the proposed windfall allowance	LPR-D-211	The Council produces an Annual Monitoring Report which reports on housing delivery. Based on past windfall trends the allowance in the local plan is considered to be appropriate and justified.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The windfall allowance should be increased	LPR-D-220	The Council produces an Annual Monitoring Report which reports on housing delivery.  Based on past windfall trends the allowance in the local plan is considered to be appropriate and justified.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The windfall assumption is too high when considered against the AMR	LPR-D-287 LPR-D-314	The Council produces an Annual Monitoring Report which reports on housing delivery.  Based on past windfall trends the allowance in the local plan is considered to be appropriate and justified.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The policy does not support government ambition to boost housing delivery	LPR-D-223	The Local Plan accords with the transitional arrangements set out in the December 2024 NPPF.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	There needs to be an immediate review following adoption of the plan	LPR-D-208 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	Government advice states that local plans should be reviewed at least every five years.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The plan period should be amended	LPR-D-138 LPR-D-164 LPR-D-203 LPR-D-210 LPR-D-248	Disagree. There is a requirement that the Plan should cover at least a 15 year period post adoption. We anticipate that the Plan will be adopted in 2026. An end date of 2042 is 16 years post adoption.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The council has failed to provide a robust trajectory showing how and when sites will be delivered. The housing supply set out is flawed	LPR-D-091	Disagree. Appendix 2 of the Plan provides information on housing supply and the proposed housing trajectory. This will be updated based on updated monitoring for Submission.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The Partial Review sites do not have a 'good pipeline of housing supply'	LPR-D-282	Disagree.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Concern regarding overreliance on strategic sites in the Green Belt	LPR-D-211	Disagree. The Plan does not rely on any strategic sites within the Green Belt.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Question the evidence to support the 4,300 dwellings to be delivered post planperiod	LPR-D-305 LPR-D-308 LPR-D-341	The 4,300 dwellings proposed post 2042 wholly relates to the major strategic extension to NW Bicester (Policy BIC 1).

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Questions the deliverability of North West Bicester and states there are insufficient deliverable sites to achieve a 5 year housing land supply	LPR-D-233	Development at NW Bicester has commenced and planning applications are being determined. The Council is confident the site will be delivered.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	There is no reason why the allocation at North West Bicester could not be delivered largely during the plan period	LPR-D-237	It is considered that the trajectory for delivery at NW Bicester is reasonable.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	There is an overreliance on delivery at North West Bicester	LPR-D-276	Disagree. The Plan proposes a range of sites across the District in addition to saved allocations in existing adopted Plans.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Development in accordance with the settlement hierarchy should not be limited and figures for delivery at North West Bicester should be expressed as minimums. This would add flexibility to the anticipated supply.	LPR-D-266	The site specific housing figures in the Plan are based on developer information and site capacity assessments including the HELAA and are considered reasonable. If a scheme were to come forward proposing a higher housing figure then this would be considered having regard to all relevant local plan policies. The figure is not intended to be a cap.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	There is an overreliance on larger scale developments which have longer timeframes for permission and delivery and do not help in delivery of housing	LPR-D-054	Disagree. There are a range of development sites. An allowance is also made for small site windfalls.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The plan fails to acknowledge the insufficient housing land supply of 2.3 years	LPR-D-190 LPR-D-276	The Plan sets a new annual housing requirement.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Justification for the departure from the HENA is required	LPR-D-227 LPR-D-295	The Council has withdrawn the HENA as evidence supporting the Local Plan.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	There is no full assessment of housing needs provided	LPR-D-276 LPR-D-282	A summary assessment of housing need is provided at para 3.200 of the Plan. Further details will be provided in a background paper.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The housing requirement does not reflect the evidence base or the findings of the OGNA	LPR-D-288	The OGNA was prepared to support the preparation of the Oxfordshire Plan work on which ceased in 2023.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	A Green Belt Review should be conducted	LPR-D-341	The Plan is supported by appropriate green belt assessments.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	Seeks clarification on the impacts of the policy on Islip and the policies implementation	LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council)	Islip is Category C settlement as it is washed over by the Green Belt.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The policy should be to build homes where demand is highest dictated by the highest house prices. Allocating housing in the poorest districts will entrench social inequity. S106 monies to the county council are not being spent in Banbury, and rather, spent in Oxford	LPR-D-007	Disagree. The Plan provides for homes in accordance with Government guidance.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	There is no specific mention to flats above shops, considers this should be encouraged	LPR-D-020	The policies in the Plan encourage residential units within town centres.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	The plan envisaged 565 homes will be delivered through allocations in Neighbourhood plans but there is no guarantee such plans will come forward	LPR-D-064	Disagree. There is confidence that these sites will be allocated in neighbourhood plans. Many are well advanced.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	There is no discussion in the plan about the potential for uplifts in housing needs figure to help meet specific housing needs of the local community	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	The standard method figure includes factors such as affordability and need.
Policy COM1: District Wide Housing Distribution	It is unclear what additional housing is provided to help deliver further social and affordable housing as a contingency	LPR-D-221	The additional housing is both a contingency and also helps to deliver further social and affordable housing.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Supports the policy	LPR-D-020 LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-134 LPR-D-297	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Supports the 35% affordable housing requirement in rural areas. Suggests at least 30% of the 70% affordable rented homes should be social rent and in villages where few qualify to go on the housing register, the number of first	LPR-D-089 (Deddington Parish Council)	Support noted and welcomed.  The policy proposes the greatest proportion of affordable housing (70%) to be the most affordable tenures (social rent and affordable rent) with a 35% minimum requirement for social rent homes. The reminder 30% is required for other forms of affordable housing

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
	homes/shared ownership should be raised to 50%. The plan should explicitly state that people with a strong local connection and key workers should be prioritised for affordable accommodation in that area		including intermediate housing. The Council is prioritising social and affordable rent in response to local housing need evidence.  Cherwell Housing Allocations Scheme (2025) explain the allocation of affordable housing in Cherwell including rural letting schemes. This includes mechanisms to provide priority to those with connections with the village.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Supports the policy but suggests improvements. The 50% requirement for saved Local Plan Partial Review sites should be clearer. The requirement for developments to be tenure-blind should be added	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed. Suggested improvements are unnecessary. Saved LPPR (2020) site policies are listed in LP Appendix 1. Paragraph 3.2.19 supporting Policy COM2 makes clear that the 50% requirement applies to those saved site policies. Tenure blind development is a design principle. Policy COM 14 requires proposals to demonstrate high quality, inclusive and sustainable design. Cherwell Residential Design Guide (2018) provides further advice including affordable housing to be indistinguishable from market sale homes.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	There is no clarity whether all elements of the policy should be excluded from the partial review sites. The requirement of 60 extra care units should be removed from the policy	LPR-D-251 (Oxford City Council)	Disagree. Policy COM 2 makes clear that 'Housing to meet Oxford's unmet housing needs will be considered against the saved policies in the Local Plan Partial Review (2020)'. Saved LPPR (2020) policies are listed in LP Appendix 1.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	No concern with the 35% affordable housing requirement but notes West Oxfordshire requires 50% affordable housing at Woodstock and the surrounding areas	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	We note that West Oxfordshire Local Plan (2018) Policy H3 requires 50% affordable housing in the Woodstock area with no policy specification of the tenure split. Policy COM 2 affordable housing requirement was set following a viability appraisal range up to 50%. Policy COM2 prioritises the provision of social or affordable rented housing and has been informed by up-to-date evidence on housing need and viability appraisal. We will continue to engage with West Oxfordshire County Council to address and resolve relevant matters as we progress the CLPR to adoption including understanding the percentage of affordable housing currently being achieved in the Woodstock area.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Supports the policy but requests clarification regarding elements of the policy, including relationship with Policy RUR 2 and references to 'sufficient benefit' and exceptional circumstances.	LPR-D-161 (Isip Parish Council) LPR-D-165 LPR-D-214	Support noted and welcomed. Rural exception sites will be required to comply with Policy RUR 2 not Policy COM2. Improvements to policy text are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Supports the policy but suggests the policy should allow for flexibility to consider circumstances where a reduction of affordable housing is necessary.	LPR-D-237	Disagree. The affordable housing requirement has been informed by a viability appraisal and the policy text establishes what is expected when it is demonstrated that requirements cannot be met. As per NPPF advice, it is up to the applicant to justify the need for a viability assessment at application stage.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Generally, in support but questions the impact of the Building Safety Levy in viability. Suggests an uplift to the housing requirement and the proportion of affordable housing in each site development.	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	Noted.  Government confirmed in March 2025 that policy proposals in their technical consultation on the Building Safety Levy (BSL) November 2022/December 2023 will be taken forward. This will require the preparation and approval of secondary legislation. The consultation outcome paper published in March 2025 includes the levy rate per square-metre of chargeable floorspace for works per local authority. We are reviewing the effect of the newly published BSL rates on the CLPR viability appraisal.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Objects to the policy as evidence does not support 30-35% affordable housing on brownfield land	LPR-D-138	Disagree. Viability appraisal indicates that under current economic conditions some brownfield sites may need some relaxation of policy requirements. Policy COM 2 sets out mechanisms where review may be appropriate. This provides flexibility to address current economic circumstances as well as 'upward reviews' in legal agreements if circumstances change.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Objects to increasing the % of affordable housing required when the council are proposing a lower overall housing requirement than in the adopted LP	LPR-D-203	Disagree. The CLPR plans for the objectively assessed needs in Cherwell in accordance with NPPF transitional arrangements. The affordable housing requirement is based on a percentage requirement to be applied on a site-by-site bases and informed by viability appraisal.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Delivery of this policy for some sites is likely to be limited due to viability concerns. There should be flexibility in the policy	LPR-D-091	Disagree. The affordable housing requirement has been informed by viability appraisal and the policy text establishes what is expected when it is demonstrated that requirements cannot be met. As per NPPF advice, it is up to the applicant to justify the need for a viability assessment at application stage.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	There should be more allocations to meet affordable housing needs. Cherwell faces a significant need for affordable housing in the plan period	LPR-D-137 LPR-D-224	The CLPR plans for the objectively assessed needs in Cherwell in accordance with NPPF transitional arrangements.  The Council provided 4,4477 new homes from 2020-2024, has land supply for another 12.525 homes and has planned for 4,400 homes to meet Oxford's needs. A total of

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
			21,402 homes. A proportion of which has been secured and will continue to be secured as affordable homes.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Questions the social housing requirement	LPR-D-041	The social rent requirement is justified by Cherwell's housing need in the Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (November 2024) and the CLPR viability assessment.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Objects to the term 'affordable housing' as it is a smokescreen to avoid providing homes for social rent	LPR-D-073	Disagree.  The NPPF provides the definition of affordable housing. Policy COM2 makes clear the requirement for the different tenures of affordable housing. It notes that social rented housing must be considered before affordable rent and amount to a minimum of 35% of the affordable housing requirement.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Questions what defines affordable housing	LPR-D-026	The NPPF provides the definition of affordable housing, also shown in the CLPR Glossary (Appendix 10).
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Work needs to be done to justify the costs used. The Building Safety Levy needs to be taken into account	LPR-D-138	Noted. Section 4 and Appendix 2 of the Viability Assessment (2024) provides a detailed account of costs used. Government confirmed in March 2025 that policy proposals in their technical consultation on the Building Safety Levy (BSL) November 2022/December 2023 will be taken forward. This will require the preparation and approval of secondary legislation.  The consultation outcome paper published in March 2025 includes the levy rate per square-metre of chargeable floorspace for works per local authority. We are reviewing the effect of the newly published BSL rates on the CLPR viability appraisal.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Recommends increase in affordable housing and ensuring that 'affordability' is defined to ensure this is met.	LPR-D-150	Noted. Policy COM 2 affordable housing requirement was set following a viability appraisal range up to 50%. Policy COM2 prioritises the provision of social or affordable rented housing and has been informed by up-to-date evidence on housing need and viability appraisal. Policy COM 2 and supporting text provides information on how the requirement will be applied. Further information on ensuring 'affordability' can be found in the Developer Contributions Suplemmentary Planning Document, Cherwell's Housing Strategy (2025) and Cherwell Housing Allocations Scheme (2025).

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	There is no information on the detail about requirement or demand for First Homes, this requirement should be removed as per the 2024 NPPF	LPR-D-204	Noted. There is no requirement in the CLPR for First Homes.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	As per the AMR, affordable housing completions are below target and with a lower housing requirement now, this will not support affordable housing  Questions the affordable housing need, as it is a very sizeable proportion of the current annual housing requirement	LPR-D-210 LPR-D-255	Disagree. The CLPR plans for the objectively assessed needs in Cherwell in accordance with NPPF transitional arrangements. The affordable housing requirement is based on a percentage requirement to be applied on a site-by-site bases and informed by viability appraisal. Whilst the Council cannot currently demonstrate a five-year housing supply, it is expected that the Council will have a positive land supply on adoption of the Plan as shown in CLPR Appendix 2 'Housing Supply and Trajectory'.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Cherwell need to ensure that any affordable housing completions on sites serving Oxford City's needs are not counted towards meeting Cherwell's needs	LPR-D-221	Noted. The CLPR proposes 4,400 homes to meet Oxford's unmet needs in the 2020 Local Plan Partial Review saved allocations. The Council will monitor delivery against Oxford's unmet needs on these saved allocations until that requirement is met.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	A number of the saved allocations benefit from planning permission with the affordable housing mix and quantum established through the S106 agreement. Suggests text is added to address this	LPR-D-225	Disagree. The suggested text is unnecessary. Permitted development is outside the scope of Policy COM2 and will be delivered in accordance to the agreed S106 and conditions attached to the permission.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Application of the policy should relate to 'net' increase in dwellings to encourage the redevelopment of existing sites. The change in threshold should be supported by viability work	LPR-D-234	Noted.  Calculation of housing need, requirements and monitoring of delivery is undertaken on net figures. The policy was subject to viability appraisal.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Welcomes the recognition that social rent is the only truly affordable option for many people, suggests strengthening to the	LPR-D-257	Support noted and welcomed. Improvement requested is already clearly stated within Policy COM 2.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
	wording in supporting text (paragraph 3.215)		
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Questions the viability for housing for older people. Suggests older persons housing should be exempted from delivering affordable housing	LPR-D-259	Disagree. The requirement for affordable older persons accommodation is justified in the Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (November 2024) and the CLPR Viability Assessment.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	The 35% social rent requirement is onerous and unlikely to be viable, especially in Grey Belt sites where 50% affordable will be required	LPR-D-282	Disagree. Identified needs for residential, commercial and other development have been accommodated without the need to release further Green Belt land. The policy requirements have been justified by local housing evidence in Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (November 2024) and tested in the viability appraisal. The policy allows for some flexibility on requirements if that it is justified at site specific level.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	The tenure split is not flexible and there is no justification for this so it should be removed.	LPR-D-288	Disagree. The tenure split justified by up-to-date evidence of need in Cherwell in the Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (November 2024) and the CLPR viability assessment. Policy COM 2 sets out mechanisms where review may be appropriate. This provides flexibility to address site specific economic circumstances if justified
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	It is unclear where the data has come to support the mix in table 4. There should be reference to the report in the evidence base	LPR-D-297	Agreed. Reference to the Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (November 2024) is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Considers it not justified to seek the provision of social rented housing before affordable rent and for the tenure to be a minimum of 35% of the affordable housing provided. Suggest the affordable housing mix is best negotiated on local information and needs. Notes inconsistency with table 4 paragraph 3.222	LPR-D-300	Disagree. The policy requirements have been justified by local housing evidence in Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (November 2024) and tested in the viability appraisal. The policy allows for some flexibility on requirements if that it is justified at site specific level.  Factual error in Table 4 has been corrected and included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Suggests deletion of 'at least' from the policy. Considers there is insufficient evidence to justify the percentage. Suggests amendments to the wording	LPR-D-321	Disagree. The policy requirements have been justified by local housing evidence in Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (November 2024) and tested in the viability appraisal. The policy allows for some flexibility on requirements if that it is justified at site specific level.
Policy COM2: Affordable Housing	Questions how the policy will ensure 30% affordable housing provision can happen	LPR-D-339	Noted. Policy COM 2 and supporting text provides information on how the requirement will be applied. Further information on securing affordable housing can be found in the Developer Contributions Suplemmentary Planning Document, Cherwell's Housing Strategy (2025) and Cherwell Housing Allocations Scheme (2025).
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-297 LPR-D-327	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	Generally supports the policy, but suggests greater flexibility is included to allow for housing size/types to be justified on viability considerations and local housing needs.	LPR-D-288	Disagree. Policy COM3 is sufficiently flexible. It indicates proposals will be expected to provide evidence responding to need, local market conditions and locational/site characteristics.
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	Welcomes providing an appropriate housing mix according to need but seeks these percentages are separated for social rented and affordable rented homes with both categories requiring the same percentage mix	LPR-D-257	Noted.  Table 4 in paragraph 3.222 provides the mix of housing by size and type for market and affordable housing.
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	Recommends including a policy for community-led housing	LPR-D-256	This is covered in the Rural Areas Strategy by Policy RUR 5: Community Led Housing.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	There is no clarity if the elements of this policy should be excluded from the Partial Review sites	LPR-D-251 (Oxford City Council)	Disagree. Saved LPPR (2020) policies including PR2 Housing Mix, Tenure and Size are listed in LP Appendix 1. All LPPR 2020 sites but one benefit from permission or resolution to approve. We will continue to engage with Oxford City Council to address and resolve relevant matters as we progress the CLPR to adoption and deliver the site allocations in the LPPR 2020.
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	Objects to the policy, and considers it is not clearly written and is ambiguous it does not provide worked examples on a mix of homes to meet needs and create socially mixed vibrant and inclusive communities.	LPR-D-258 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320	Disagree. The Plan should be read as a whole including Policies COM 14 'Achieving Well Designed Places and COM 18 ' Creating Healthy Communities'.
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	Does not consider the policy to be consistent with national policy or justified as note supported by evidence on local needs for the size and types of homes.	LPR-D-050	Disagree. The mix of housing types and sizes is informed by local housing need in the Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (November 2024) published alongside the CLPR for consultation.
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	Questions the anti-social behaviour/ public safety issues associated with flats	LPR-D-020	Noted.  Design policies in the CLPR and the Residential Design Guide help address these matters from a planning perspective. The Council's Environmental Health department has been involved in the preparation of the CLPR.
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	There is an overdominance of 1/2 bed properties, which is against the HENA 2022 evidence	LPR-D-091	Noted. The Council has withdrawn the HENA from its evidence base. The mix of housing types and sizes is informed by local housing need in the Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (November 2024).
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	The policy should be clearer in confirming that housing mixes should respond not only to the most up-to-date assessment of local housing needs but also the characteristics of the site and locality, evidence of demand and viability and feasibility	LPR-D-236	Disagree. Policy COM3 indicates proposals will be expected to provide evidence responding to local market conditions and locational/site characteristics.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	The policy should not ignore the opportunity to provide residential accommodation close to employment and/or social and shopping activities	LPR_D-239	Noted. The sustainable location of development is addressed in other plan policies including Policy SP1 and area strategies.
Policy COM3: Housing Size/Type	There is an error in wording between 'housing mix' title and 'housing size/type' policy title	LPR-D-165	Noted. Correction of section title to match the policy title has been added to the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	Welcomes the policy assuming there is no inconsistency with COM2	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed.  There is no inconsistency, Policy COM 4 supports provision of specialist housing while Policy COM2 sets affordable housing requirements including those for specialist housing.
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	There is no clarity whether all of the elements of the policy should be excluded from the Partial Review sites. The policy includes a requirement for extra care housing which is also in COM2 which is confusing. The policy refers to C3 where it should refer to C2	LPR-D-251 (Oxford City Council)	Noted The focus of the LPR 2020 saved policies listed in LP Appendix 1 is specific to Oxford's unmet needs for self-contained dwellings. Specialist housing can fall within C2 and C3 use classes if the units can be used as independent dwellings irrespective of whether an element of care is provided. Factual correction regarding use class within Policy text and clarification to supporting text regarding saved LPPR 2020 saved policies. These are included in the list of proposed changes.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	Policy should apply to all housing sites when there is an element of specialist housing.  Objects to the policy unless it is amended to require a Health Impact Assessment and agree provision with the ICB if onsite healthcare will provide NHS GP services.	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Disagree. The Plan should be read as a whole, Policy COM 18 requires all major developments to be supported by a Health Impact Assessment. Policy COM 17 requires the provision, extension and colocation of health facilities to be informed by discussion with health providers. Health care provided at residential institutions for extra care of residents and any interrelationship with NHS health provision is a matter for the NHS providers' contractual obligations such as registering of patients, patients visits outside practice premises and level of care.  The policy does not preclude provision of an element of specialist housing being provided as part of housing sites. Minor editorial amendments are listed in the Proposed Changes to make this clear.
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	Fully supports the focus regarding extra care units within the overall housing mix, however saved allocation sites with planning permission should not be required to provide specialist housing in addition to what they already have permission for. Suggests amendments to the text	LPR-D-225	Support noted and welcomed.  The suggested text is unnecessary. Permitted development is outside the scope of Policy COM2 and will be delivered in accordance with the agreed S106 and conditions attached to the permission.
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	Welcomes the inclusion in the supporting text to the number of homes that are required to meet the specialist housing needs of the community. Suggests the council identifies and allocated self-contained sites that could contribute towards meeting these needs	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	Support noted and welcomed.  The CLPR plans for the objectively assessed needs in Cherwell in accordance with NPPF transitional arrangements. The policy supports the provision of an element of specialist housing being provided as part of housing sites and standalone specialist housing proposals. Minor editorial amendments are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan to make this clear.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	Objects to the policy as it is not clearly written and is ambiguous. Regarding the 400 dwellings, there should be an assessment of need and deliverability	LPR-D-258 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320	Disagree. The policy supports the provision of an element of specialist housing being provided as part of housing sites and standalone specialist housing proposals. Minor editorial amendments are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan to make this clear. The Policy has been informed by viability appraisal.
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	The policy is overly restrictive, suggests it should be more flexible regarding the requirement for housing sites of 400 dwellings and above.	LPR-D-165	Disagree.  On sites of 400 dwellings and above there is flexibility on the proportion of units to be provided and subject to agreement alternative specialist housing could be provided.
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	Questions including downsizing for older people	LPR-D-041	Noted.  Managing potential under occupancy is an important area of Council policy covered in Cherwell's Housing Strategy 2025. The CLPR supports this and other strategies by planning for a mix of housing sizes and types that meet identified local needs.
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	Does not believe the policy will actually see the delivery of the numbers of specialist housing required and suggests there should be stronger emphasis and flexibility to ensure smaller and standalone sites will be supported to deliver specialist housing	LPR-D-091	Disagree.  The policy supports the provision of an element of specialist housing being provided as part of housing sites and standalone specialist housing proposals. On sites of 400 dwellings and above there is flexibility on the proportion of units to be provided and subject to agreement, alternative specialist housing could be provided. Minor editorial amendments are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan to make this clear. The Policy has been informed by viability appraisal.
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	The policy imposes specific requirements on large developments which could be restrictive if viability challenges or other site constraints arise	LPR-D-214	Disagree.  The policy supports the provision of an element of specialist housing being provided as part of housing sites and standalone specialist housing proposals. On sites of 400 dwellings and above there is flexibility on the proportion of units to be provided and subject to agreement, alternative specialist housing could be provided. Minor editorial amendments are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan to make this clear. The Policy has been informed by viability appraisal.
Policy COM4: Specialist Housing	Specialist housing needs should be included in the policy text to align with census data and OCC Specialist and Supported Housing Needs Assessment	LPR-D-259	Disagree.  OCC's Specialist and Supported Housing Needs Assessment and Cherwell's need is listed in the supporting text to Policy COM 4.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM5: Residential Space Standards	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-165	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM5: Residential Space Standards	Supports the external residential space section. Requests clarity on the percentage for M4(2) standards and M4(3) dwellings	LPR-D-297	Noted.  Amendments providing greater clarity are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy COM5: Residential Space Standards	Objects to the policy as is not clearly written and is ambiguous. No explanation of M4(s) building regulations standards is encouraged	LPR-D-258 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320	Noted.  Amendments providing greater clarity are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy COM5: Residential Space Standards	Does not consider the 10% requirement for M4(3) to be justified. The policy needs to be amended	LPR-D-138 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263 LPR-D-050	Cherwell's Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (December 2024) provides clear evidence justifying the delivery of 'accessible and adaptable' homes. It recommends all dwellings to meet M4(2) standards and 10%+ of homes to meet M4(3) standards. The Policy has been subject to viability appraisal.
Policy COM5: Residential Space Standards	M4(3) standards will increase costs and give the buildings a limited market reducing price and availability. Suggests the requirement only applies to affordable and older persons housing, not market	LPR-D-094	Disagree. Those with a disability or long-term health problem may continue to live at home with family, independently or choose to move to supported housing. Increased cost has been accounted for in the CLPR viability appraisal.
Policy COM5: Residential Space Standards	The policy should be re-worded to clarify that the internal and external space standards will not be applied to the conversion of existing buildings. Suggests rewording by including 'unless special circumstances pertain'.	LPR-D-234	Disagree. The change is unnecessary.  It applies to works to existing buildings, but the extent to which requirements must be complied with will depend on the type of building and the works that are being carried out.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM5: Residential Space Standards	Questions the evidence to justify the policy's requirements for outdoor amenity space. Considers this requirement should be removed	LPR-D-050	Disagree. Policy COM 5 does not set a specific outdoor amenity standard but sets design requirements on amenity space to support health and well-being. This is supported by NPPF requirements for plan and decision making on provision of a high standard of amenity for existing and future users. The Policy is not prescriptive on the form or size of the amenity space.
Policy COM6: Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing	Supports the policy	LPR-D-297	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM6: Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing	Supports the policy, subject to the retention of the clause that allows for the release of the site for market housing if plots have not sold and there is unlikely to be interest in the plots after an appropriate marketing period	LPR-D-134 LPR-D-301	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM6: Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing	Supports a specific policy on self and custom build housing but recommends it remains flexible to aid delivery	LPR-D-091	Support noted and welcomed.  The aim of the policy is to support a diverse housing market and housing choice.  Amendments to encourage rather than prescribe a percentage of Self-build and Custom Build on sites of 100 units or more are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy COM6: Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing	It is unclear if the policy applies to sites linked to the 2031 plan. This should be made clear.	LPR-D-251 (Oxford City Council)	All LPPR 2020 sites but one benefit from permission or resolution to approve.  We will continue to engage with Oxford City Council to address and resolve relevant matters as we progress the CLPR to adoption and deliver the site allocations in the LPPR 2020.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM6: Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing	Object that large scale major residential developments will be required to provide 5% of the residential units for self-build homes due to a lack of evidence. Self-build should focus on identifying specific sites rather than including it as part of other sites delivering market and affordable housing.  Self-build should not be prioritised above efficient delivery of housing, suggests improvements.	LPR-D-258 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263 LPR-D-301 LPR-D-165 LPR-D-225 LPR-D-227 LPR-D-236 LPR-D-237 LPR-D-288 LPR-D-211	Agree. The aim of the policy is to support a diverse housing market and housing choice. Amendments to encourage rather than prescribe a percentage of Self-build and Custom Build on sites of 100 units or more are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy COM6: Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing	Considers the policy should be flexible and provide for plots as either self-build or custom-build.	LPR-D-050	Disagree. The policy enables that flexibility by noting 'Proposals for custom and self-build'.
Policy COM6: Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing	Marketing times should be clearly defined Reference to a 12-month timeframe for the plots to be made available and marketed is encouraged	LPR-D-211 LPR-D-214 LPR-D-165 LPR-D-50	Noted.  Consider that application stage is the appropriate stage to define the marking time.
Policy COM6: Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing	The policy should be amended to allow for new self-build plots on the edge of the built-up limits of Category A/B settlements	LPR-D-234	Disagree. The suitability of a development location is assessed against other policies in the CLPR including Policy SP 1 Settlement Hierarchy.
Policy COM6: Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing	Recommends the policy is strengthened to provide more support for affordable Custom and Self-Build Housing through RPS/Community Groups	LPR-D-256	Disagree. The aim of the policy is to support delivery of self-build and custom build housing. Proposals can deliver affordable housing provided is consistent with NPPF definitions and local plan policies. Grant funding is available for certain form of affordable housing.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM6: Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing	Questions the viability of self-build housing	LPR-D-321	Disagree. CLPR policies including Policy COM 6 are informed by viability appraisal.
Policy COM7: Sub-Division of Dwellings and Homes in Multiple Occupation	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM7: Sub-Division of Dwellings and Homes in Multiple Occupation	Encourages reference to heritage significance as a consideration in the sub-division of historic buildings	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Agree. Supporting text highlighting heritage significance as a consideration in subdivision of historic buildings is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan
Policy COM7: Sub-Division of Dwellings and Homes in Multiple Occupation	Suggests a reference to Kidlington in the text	LPR-D-282	Disagree. Higher levels of sub-division is a matter that affects all Cherwell's urban areas as noted in the supporting text to Policy COM 7.
Policy COM7: Sub-Division of Dwellings and Homes in Multiple Occupation	The policy should state planning permission will be granted where there is no demonstrable harm to the amenities or privacy of neighbours. Reasonable alterations and extensions to allow for such changes of use should be allowed. The policy should be reworded as such	LPR-D-234	Disagree. The change is unnecessary. The policy supports subdivision of dwellings and HMOs subject to addressing matters including amenity, privacy and parking standards.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM8: Residential Caravans	No comments were received on this policy		N/A
Policy COM9: Travelling Communities	Supports the policy	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM9: Travelling Communities	Suggests amendments to specify exclusion of sites where there would be an impact to the nearby settlement, its character and landscape.	LPR-D-257 (CPRE)	Disagree. The Plan should be read as a whole; the suggested amendments are addressed by the application of other plan policies including Policies COM 10 on protection and enhancement of landscape and COM 14 on achieving well designed places. Policy COM 9 criteria vi addresses potential harm to historic and natural environment.
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-095 (Drayton Parish Council) LPR-D-160 LPR-D-161 LPR-D-240 (Fritwell Parish Council) LPR-D-344 (Hanwell Parish Council)	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Supports how the Cotswolds National Landscape is addressed in the policy	LPR-D-195	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Suggests the text is amended from 'reduce' to 'harm'	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Agree. Suggested amendment is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Supports the policy and suggests amendments regarding tree planting and landscaping schemes due to the potential impact on historic environment assets	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed. The suggested changes are considered unnecessary. The policy includes criteria to prevent harm to landmark features and historic significance. The Plan should be read as a whole including consideration of Policies COM 14 on achieving well designed places and COM 26 on the historic environment.
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Objects to the requirement for all major development proposals to be accompanied by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment.	LPR-D-221 LPR-D-316	Disagree. Policy COM 10 is consistent with the NPPF and justified by evidence and Sustainability Appraisal. Landscape and Visual Impact Assessments are necessary to identify, assess and address the potential impact of proposals on landscape and visual character.
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Objects to point iv which cites that causing coalescence between settlements is a potential reason for not permitting development	LPR-D-321 LPR-D-322	Disagree.  Landscape elements/characteristics can have a role in preserving visual and/or physical settlement separation and identity. It is appropriate that coalescence is a consideration within this policy.
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Compliance with the policy is impossible as any built development will to some extent result in a change to local character	LPR-D-309	Disagree.  Development should be sympathetic to its landscape setting. The policy objective is to achieve a balance between development needs and environmental objectives.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	The NPPF only seeks to protect and enhance "valued" landscapes. It should be recognised that the council's landscape character assessment is likely to be updated over the plan period. The Cotswolds AONB Management Plan should be examined	LPR-D-221	Disagree. Additional to 'valued landscapes' in NPPF 23 paragraph 180, the Framework requires policies and development proposals to address landscape protection and enhancement including in paragraphs 20 and 135.  It is inappropriate to examine the Cotswolds National Landscape Management Plan as part of the CLPR examination. The Cotswolds National Landscape Board is responsible for preparing and publishing the Management Plan including formal consultation during that process. The Plan was adopted on 25 February 2025.
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Would like landscaping to protected against wind and solar farms	LPR-D-095 (Drayton Parish Council)	Noted.  Landscape and visual impact is addressed when considering energy proposals.
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	The inclusion of figure 5 is unnecessary and misleading. Evidence base documents that use the CPRE 2007 Tranquillity Map are flawed and refers to more up to date evidence: Natural England's Light Pollution and Dark Skies map (2016). Suggests changes to wording and corrections.	LPR-D-210	Disagree.  Dark skies and light pollution are some of the contributing factors to 'tranquillity' but don't cover tranquillity in its entirety. Consultants preparing the landscape evidence use careful professional judgment to ensure that relative tranquillity takes account of local factors and character to provide a reliable baseline to inform decisions on landscape. Only 19 of the 24 landscape types in the OWLS study are present in Cherwell. Suggestion to add cross reference to figure 5 rather than include it in the supporting text to the policy is noted. Minor editorial amendments can be addressed following the CLPR's examination.
Policy COM10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	Several criteria are expressed in absolute terms without any consideration allowed for the extent to which any degree of harm would be acceptable/unacceptable. Reference to 'preservation' of all landscapes should be removed and replaced with NPPF consistent wording. Suggests other amendments to the text	LPR-D-229	Disagree.  NPPF requires policies and development proposals to address landscape protection and enhancement. The extent of conflict, the resulting impacts, and the weight that should be attributed to the policies in any planning balance exercise is a matter for decision making.  The policy does not apply absolute terms, it identifies how development should preserve landscape character.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations	Supports the policy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council) LPR-D-271 (Kirtlington Parish Council) LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-161	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations	Objects to the policy as it duplicates COM10 and fails to recognise the blanket approach taken to the identification of local landscape designations and opportunities for development. The policy should be deleted The designations provide an unwarranted level of additional constraint The policy proposed covers much of the district and asks that justification for this is explored through the examination process	LPR-D-221 LPR-D-227 LPR-D-234	Disagree. Policy COM 11 is distinct from Policy COM 10 and is consistent with the NPPF including the requirement for plans to distinguish between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites. The Policy is informed by landscape evidence which justifies the proposed local designations following an assessment of landscape characteristics considered to be of 'above ordinary' value. Policy COM 11 and its supporting evidence identify the attributes and qualities of the areas within the proposed local designations. Providing clarity for those developing proposals and for decision making.
Policy COM11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations	In policies COM11 and COM26-29 different landscape studies are referred to, which may result in confusion. Each policy should refer to the same study, or clarify which is relevant	LPR-D-100 LPR-D-257	The Plan should be read as a whole. Policies COM 26 to COM 29 are heritage/historic environment specific and reference is made to their main evidence base.
Policy COM11: Cherwell Local Landscape Designations	Contrary to the reference in the policy, the proposed local landscape designations are not identified clearly on the legend for the policy map. These should be clearly identified	LPR-D-298	The proposed landscape designations are identified in the Policies Map. Editorial improvements to make the Policies Map clearer are listed in the Proposed Changes.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM12: The Oxford Green Belt	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-256 LPR-D-206	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM12: The Oxford Green Belt	Supports the policy and the gap between Oxford and Kidlington	LPR-D-206	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM12: The Oxford Green Belt	Supports decision not to allocate further land in the Green Belt	LPR-D-338	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM12: The Oxford Green Belt	Objects that the Oxford Airport is within the Green Belt, requests its removal	LPR-D-293	Disagree. Identified needs for residential, commercial and other development have been accommodated without the need to release further Green Belt land. London Oxford Airport benefits from permitted development rights for airport related development on its operational land. Policy KID 2 supports the continued use of LOA for commercial aviation and ancillary uses and guides proposals affecting the airport's operations.
Policy COM12: The Oxford Green Belt	The policy should refer to Grey Belt as per the 2024 NPPF	LPR-D-223	Disagree, Under the transitional arrangements, the Local Plan is to be examined against the December 2023 NPPF.
Policy COM12: The Oxford Green Belt	Questions why a comprehensive Green Belt Review hasn't been undertaken	LPR-D-268	The CLPR strategy meets identified needs for residential, commercial and other development without the need to release further Green Belt land.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM12: The Oxford Green Belt	The policy refers to preventing coalescence which is helpful	LPR-D-256	Noted.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Supports the policy and suggest minor editorial amendment to criteria iii.	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Support noted and welcomed.  Minor editorial improvements can be addressed following the CLPR's examination.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Supports the policy and notes some gaps may have been lost or severely infringes. Roads and employment can be intrusive and affect the perception of a gap. It is important that site allocations take account of the characteristics of the gaps	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed.  The matters noted have been fully assessed in the Green Gaps Study 2024. Land that, in accordance to the study, no longer perform a settlement separation function have not taken forward to CLPR Appendix 4.  Comment on allocations noted, key characteristics and guidance in CLPR Appendix 4 will be applied as per Policy COM 13 requirements.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Supports the policy and suggests the use of one single term to refer to the gaps to avoid confusion.  Propose word amendments and additional criteria to the Heyford-Caulcott gap, the Banbury - Hanwell gap  Propose the extension of the Heyford - Ardley and Fewcott gap and the Banbury - Adderbury and Twyford	LPR-D-21 LPR-D-113 LPR-D-126 (Mid-Cherwell NP Forum) LPR-D-344 (Hanwell Parish Council)	Support noted and welcomed. Minor amendments to supporting text to improve clarity can be addressed following the CLPR's examination.  Disagree with the proposed amendments which stray beyond the purpose of the policy and its supporting evidence.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Supports the policy and appendix 4.	LPR-D-343 (West Northamptonshi re Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Support the policy and Appendix 4 and considers it consistent with the emerging Mid Cherwell NP but would wish to see	LPR-D-201 (Middleton Stoney Parish Council)	Support noted and welcomed.  Disagree with the intent to prevent development as contrary to the intention of the policy and its evidence.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
	them strengthened to prevent development in those areas.		
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Supports the policy, suggests supporting text to the Policy should address perception of coalescence. Coalescence can occur when development is screened.	LPR-D-160	Support noted and welcomed.  This matter is addressed comprehensively in the Green Gaps study 2024 and the key characteristics and guidance in CLPR Appendix 4.  Minor changes for factual improvements can be addressed following the CLPR's examination.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Supports the policy but a more detailed criteria is needed to provide assurance/protection to the villages	LPR-D-256 LPR-D-271 (Kirtlington Parish Council)	Support noted and welcomed.  Disagree that more detailed criteria is needed. Policy COM 13 and supporting evidence are proportionate and directly relevant to the CLPR strategy, and the issues addressed in the plan. The Plan should be read as a whole including Policy SP1 Settlement Hierarchy.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Acknowledges it is intended as a permissive policy that will still allow suitable development to come forward in settlement Gaps. It suggests a minor editorial improvement.	LPR-D-316	Noted.  Minor editorial amendment is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	There is inconsistency in the strategic gaps 'key characteristics' between Bicester and Ambrosden due to BIC E5	LPR-D-152	Noted. Factual correction is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Notes concern regarding the policy. Considers Strategic Gaps should prevent all development including managed green spaces and that gaps should require noise and light pollution buffers	LPR-D-012 LPR-D-047	Disagree. The proposed amendments stray beyond the purpose of the policy and its supporting evidence.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response	
Part of Doc. Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Supports the policy	LPR-D-062 LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-095 (Drayton Parish Council) LPR-D-103 (Bourtons Parish Council) LPR-D-135 (Caversfield Parish Council) LPR-D-160 LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council) LPR-D-186 LPR-D-201 (Middleton Stoney Parish Council) LPR-D-209 LPR-D-240 (Fritwell Parish Council)	Officer response Support noted and welcomed.	

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	The EWR project potentially interfaces with a number of the Bicester gaps. While EWR Co will seek to address potential impacts, the EWR Project should override any relatively small-scale localised impacts.	LPR-D-243	Noted. The extent of conflict, the resulting impacts, and the weight that should be attributed to the policies in any planning balance exercise is a matter for decision making.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Suggests it should be made clear that the mapping shows villages into West Northamptonshire by way of identifying the strategic gap and does not seek to impose policies on the bordering	LPR-D-257	Noted. Guidance in Appendix 4 is explicitly worded to address development proposals within Cherwell. Exclusion of land in West Northamptonshire from Appendix 4 maps is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	There should be a clear and unambiguous definition of the strategic gap between Bucknell and any new development preventing provision of development green requirements and addressing noise and pollution.	LPR-D-012 LPR-D-022 LPR-D-023 LPR-D-025 LPR-D-123 LPR-D-119	Disagree. The proposed amendments stray beyond the purpose of the policy and its supporting evidence.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	The language in the policy is too vague, suggests amendments to the wording with 'must' and 'should'. Suggests delivery of development obligations within the gap should be prevented.	LPR-D-260 (Bucknell Parish Council)	Disagree. The proposed amendments stray beyond the purpose of the policy and its supporting evidence.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Commends the approach but suggests in relation to the Bicester - Bucknell gap strengthening the wording in relation to intervisibility and preventing the delivery of development obligations within the gap.	LPR-D-339	Disagree. The proposed amendments stray beyond the purpose of the policy and its supporting evidence.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Suggests alterations to the proposed Banbury - Adderbury and Twyford gap.	LPR-D-227	Disagree. Review submitted with the representation concludes that Policy COM 13 does not preclude development within the land in question. Policy COM 10 and Policy COM 11 address the value of landscape. Policy COM 13 and associated Appendix 4 is distinct from landscape policies.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Suggests alterations to the proposed Bicester - Bicester - Bucknell (NW Bicester) and Bicester - Middleton Stoney gaps.	LPR-D-266	Disagree.  Review submitted with the representation seems to indicate that Policy COM 13 does not preclude development within the land in question.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	The strategic gap between Adderbury and Bloxham should be extended to provide visual screening for Bloxham.	LPR-D-332	Disagree. The proposed amendments seem to stray beyond the purpose of the policy and its supporting evidence.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	The policy is unsound as it is not consistent with national policy and is not justified.	LPR-D-054 LPR-D-138 LPR-D-211 LPR-D-227 LPR-D-229 LPR-D-234 LPR-D-258 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263 LPR-D-320 LPR-D-322 LPR-D-329 LPR-D-298 LPR-D-299 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-300	Disagree. Policy COM 13 is a positively prepared criteria-based policy introduced following the CLPR establishing its strategy to meet objectively assessed needs to 2042. It is justified by evidence (Green Gaps Study 2024) and Sustainability Appraisal. The concept of 'settlement gaps' is consistent with the NPPF overarching environmental and sustainable development objectives. Policy COM 10 and Policy COM 11 address the value of landscape. Policy COM 13 and associated Appendix 4 is distinct from landscape policies. It addresses the role of landscape in maintaining the separation of settlements.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Considers that the policy is not justified, effective or consistent with national policy and that the lack of clarity on the intended purpose conveys an absence of justification for the policy. Considers it should not be presumed that separation is instrumental or necessary to ensure protection or enhancement of settlement identity or distinctiveness. Suggests the policy should be deleted, and if retained, recommends a series of amendments	LPR-D-321	Disagree. Policy COM 13 is a positively prepared criteria-based policy introduced following the CLPR establishing its strategy to meet objectively assessed needs to 2042. It is justified by evidence (Green Gaps Study 2024) and Sustainability Appraisal. The concept of 'settlement gaps' is consistent with the NPPF overarching environmental and sustainable development objectives
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Suggested amendments to the guidance for the Banbury - Williamscot and Chacombe gap amended in line with Inspector directions in the LP 2015	LPR-D-257	Disagree. The proposed gap is justified by up-to-date evidence specific to the CLPR.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Welcomes the Heyford Park- Caulcott strategic gap but notes concern that the definition should be stronger to make it more defensible. Objects to the B4030 having a boundary role	LPR-D-113	Disagree. The proposed amendments stray beyond the purpose of the policy and its supporting evidence.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Suggests extending gap one field south of Adderbury to the Bloxham Road to address Milton and environs.	LPR-D-021	Disagree. The proposed amendments stray beyond the purpose of the policy and its supporting evidence.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Objects to the policy including land in West Northamptonshire	LPR-D-138 LPR-D-203	Noted. West Northamptonshire Council supports Policy COM 13 and Appendix 4. Guidance in Appendix 4 is explicitly worded to address development proposals within Cherwell. Exclusion of land in West Northamptonshire from Appendix 4 maps is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Query exclusion of Islip and Kidlington.	LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council) LPR-D-282	Disagree. Policy COM 13 does not duplicate Green Belt Policy which already performs the function of preventing settlement coalescence.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	The policy duplicates heritage protection in Policy COM 26. Suggest the removal of the former airfield and Flying Field given the built form and commercial uses as confirmed by the 2022 planning approval.	LPR-D-210	Disagree. The extent of the proposed gap and proposed key characteristics and guidance is justified within the Green Gaps study having had regard to the approved masterplan for Heyford Park. Policy COM 26 addresses special character and significance of heritage assets. Policy COM 13 and associated Appendix 4 is distinct from heritage policies.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Objects to the policy as it is covered by landscape policies including COM 10	LPR-D-136 LPR-D-190 LPR-D-226	Disagree. Policy COM 10 and Policy COM 11 address the value of landscape. Policy COM 13 and associated Appendix 4 is distinct from landscape policies. It addresses the role of landscape in maintaining the separation of settlements.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Objects as it creates uncertainties and suggests a criteria-led policy instead. Raises particular concern with the Hanwell gap	LPR-D-248	Disagree. Policy COM 13 is a criteria-based policy. The land identified for each gap is necessary to apply locally specific guidance based on the key characteristics of each gap.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Objects due to the omission of strategic gaps from the draft policies map. The plan does not provide a map/figure that presents the comprehensive cumulative extent of the proposed strategic gaps	LPR-D-203	Disagree.  Appendix 4 shows the extend of the gap alongside the key characteristics and guidance which will apply. Appendix 4 is clearly referenced within the policy wording.  Policy COM 13 is not a preventative policy. Development is assessed against Appendix 4 guidance on the basis of the specific context where is proposed and this is locally distinct for each gap. There is no cumulative effect applied as consequence of the policy.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	The settlement gap boundaries should be identified on the policies map.	LPR-D-243 LPR-D-253 LPR-D-211	Disagree.  Appendix 4 shows the extend of the gap alongside the key characteristics and guidance which will apply. Appendix 4 is clearly referenced within the policy wording.
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Objects to the settlement gap between Bicester and Stratton Audley and	LPR-D-265	Disagree.  Green Gaps Study informing Appendix 4 has considered committed development including forth coming development at former RAF Bicester.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
	proposes amendments to Appendix 4 guidance.		
Policy COM13: Settlement Gaps	Objects to the Banbury-Bloxham gap on account of permitted development at Ell's Lane.	LPR-D-091	Disagree. Green Gaps Study informing Appendix 4 has considered committed development at Ell's Lane.
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council) LPR-D-165	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Supports the policy, particularly the reference to adopting principles of 20-minute neighbourhoods. Supports inclusion of 'microclimate' in the policy wording. Suggest improvements including reference to Natural England's Green Infrastructure Framework and the emerging Oxfordshire LNRS	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed. Cherwell's GBI Strategy builds on the Natural England Framework and provides specific guidance for tree planting as part of GBI principles for incorporation into new development. Policy CSD 15 on Green and Blue Infrastructure sets out development requirements including tree planting. Oxfordshire LNRS is covered under the nature conservation policies.
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Supports the policy but questions the weight of Neighbourhood Plans to design codes	LPR-D-256	The comments are noted. In relation to the points raised in relation to management and maintenance we are proposing the provision of an additional bullet point. To also note that another policy in the Local Plan, Policy COM24 that requires the submission of maintenance plans in relation to management and long term management. Para 2 of the policy details of national and local guidance developers need to take account of.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Objects to bullet xvi and suggests its deletion as supporting the use of locally sourced materials with consideration to embodied materials is not considered to be directly related to the principles of the policy	LPR-D-321	The comments are noted. Disagree with the deletion of bullet point xvi and we consider the bullet point to be sufficiently flexible as it includes the text "wherever possible"
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Recommends the policy is updated to include reference to Sport England's Active Design Document	LPR-D-191	The comments are noted. Some proposed changes for an additional bullet point are proposed to be added to reference Sport England. Sport England as a statutory consultee can advise an applicant at pre application and planning application stage/s for applicants to refer to the Sport England website and any related documentation.
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	There is repetition of other policies	LPR-D-165	The comments are noted.
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	Questions the effectiveness of the policy as it lacks flexibility. Objects to the requirement to prepare masterplans and design codes for major developments in collaboration with the council and other stakeholders	LPR-D-221	The comments are noted. Disagree with the comments. It is considered that the policy will be effective in order to ensure and achieve high quality designed developments, and this is supported in national policy and guidance.
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	The policy is unclear	LPR-D-223	We note the comments. We disagree as this policy is a useful addition to the Local Plan and it allows for flexibility. In terms of the principles of the 20-minute Neighbourhoods the policy states "where appropriate" and in terms of the use of locally sourced sustainable materials the policy states "where possible".

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	The policy adds little to NPPF policies	LPR-D-234	The comments are noted. Disagree as the policy accords with and complements the NPPF including in Chapter 12 and we consider it adds further detail in order to deliver high-quality well-designed places.
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	It is not sound or achievable. It is impossible for all new development to achieve secured by design accreditation. Suggests amendments to the wording	LPR-D-241	The comments are noted. The bullet point xiv. is a useful addition to the Local Plan and is considered to allow sufficient flexibility. Secured by Design (SBD) is a nationally recognised police security initiative that seeks to ensure safety and to reduce opportunities for crime.
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	The policy is lengthy, and not all development can adopt 20-minute neighbourhood principles and use locally sourced sustainable materials	LPR-D-257 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320	We note the comments. We disagree this policy is a useful addition to the Local Plan, and it allows for flexibility. In terms of the principles of the 20-minute Neighbourhoods the policy states, "where appropriate" and in terms of the use of locally sourced sustainable materials the policy states "where possible".
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	The policy is lengthy and there is possibility for overlap with other parts of the plan. There should be reference to support for and the use of developer led design guides	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	We note the comments. We disagree with some of the comments, we consider that the policy is clear and will clearly inform future decision making. To note this Local Plan was prepared under the 2023 NPPF. In relation to developer led design guides we have proposed some additional text to Policy COM14.
Policy COM14: Achieving Well- Designed Places	The requirement to prepare design codes and masterplans has an unreasonable effect on viability and the deliverability of small-scale housing	LPR-D-050	Good design should be fundamental to all proposals. The policy only requires masterplans and design codes for major developments.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM15: Active Travel- Walking and Cycling	Support the policy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council) LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-282	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM15: Active Travel- Walking and Cycling	General support but suggests amendments regarding realignment of PRoWs	LPR-D-321	Disagree.  NPPF requires plan policies and decisions to protect and enhance PRoW. Changes to PRoW need to meet certain legal tests under the Highways Act 1980. Planning balance will apply to address development needs and other plan objectives.
Policy COM15: Active Travel- Walking and Cycling	The policy should be enforced more	LPR-D-041	Noted.
Policy COM15: Active Travel- Walking and Cycling	It is presumed the requirement does not apply to existing allocations carried from the previous Local Plan. Requests deletion of paragraph v	LPR-D-094	Permitted development is outside the scope of Policy COM 15 and will be delivered in accordance with the agreed S106 and conditions attached to the permission.
Policy COM15: Active Travel- Walking and Cycling	Broadly Supports the policy. Query how the policy links to other policies in the plan. Suggests wording amendments to criteria iv and vi	LPR-D-222	Partly agree. Improvements to the wording for clarity are included in the list of proposed changes. Addressing 'road danger' to create environmental where everyone feels safe is a key element of Oxfordshire's Local Transport and Connectivity Plan road safety vision (Vision Zero).

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM15: Active Travel- Walking and Cycling	Criteria vii should be removed, where works are identified in LCWIPs and are necessary to support planned development they should be included as part of the IDP. Criteria viii should be removed or reworded. The Oxfordshire County Council parking standards and design guidance should be critically applied	LPR-D-316	Disagree. LCWIP schemes are included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Development contributions are sought in compliance with the NPPF and CIL Regulations planning obligations tests. The application of parking standards will respond to the transport and movement objectives in the Plan and to the location and type of development proposed. Planning balance is applied at decision making stage.
Policy COM16: Public Rights of Way	Support the policy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council) LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM16: Public Rights of Way	The plan should indicate what measures will be taken to ensure PRoWs remain safe, secure and available	LPR-D-239	Disagree.  OCC is the responsible authority for PRoWs including their maintenance in collaboration with stakeholders including landowners. Supporting text to Policy COM 16 cross refers to OCC's Rights and Way Management and Improvement Plans for more detail on maintenance and improvements.
Policy COM16: Public Rights of Way	The policy sets out an exceptional circumstances test that is not warranted. There is not justification to apply a stricter policy	LPR-D-278	Disagree.  NPPF requires plan policies and decisions to protect and enhance PRoW. Changes to PRoW need to meet certain legal tests under the Highways Act 1980. Policy COM 16 does not apply a set of exceptional circumstances; it reflects the importance awarded to PRoW in national guidance and legislation.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM17: Health Facilities	Supports the policy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council) LPR-D-218 LPR-D-262	Support is noted and welcomed.
Policy COM17: Health Facilities	Object to the policy unless it is amended to include text proposed. Supports the dedicated policy and welcomes the concept of co-location but states the policy does not make clear how this can be achieved	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Support is noted and welcomed. We agree with some of the suggestions and we have proposed some changes in the interests of improvement and clarification. We will not state the name of specific organisations as the names and structures of organisations may change over the Local Plan period. Developers will need to mitigate their impact but there will need to be some aspects of funding from future statutory health care facilitators and providers.
Policy COM17: Health Facilities	There is too much trust in OUHFT which has fallen short of key services	LPR-D-140	We note the comments. The Horton Hospital is being retained and we support and recognise the range of services it provides for the local community and its wider catchment.
Policy COM18: Creating Healthy Communities	Supports the policy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council) LPR-D-343 (West Northamptonshi re Council) LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-218 LPR-D-282	Support is noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM18: Creating Healthy Communities	The policy is too prescriptive. Considerations should be given to the requirement for a Health Impact Assessment to be submitted for all major developments	LPR-D-221	The comments are noted. We have made some proposed changes in the interests of improvement and for clarification.
Policy COM18: Creating Healthy Communities	The threshold is too small and onerous. The 10 homes threshold should be removed and Health Impact Assessments should only be provided for new strategic sites which do not benefit from full or outline permission at the time of adoption	LPR-D-225	The comments are noted. We have made some proposed changes in the interests of improvement and for clarification.
Policy COM18: Creating Healthy Communities	The policy refers to place shaping but does not mention stewardship mechanisms. Suggests amendments	LPR-D-256	The comments are noted.
Policy COM19: Hot Food Takeaways	Restriction of hot food takeaways is now more evident with NPPF including wording on this area. Proposes policy changes including exclusion zones	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	The comments are noted. Changes are proposed in the interests of improvement and for clarification.
Policy COM19: Hot Food Takeaways	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	The comments are noted and welcomed.
Policy COM19: Hot Food Takeaways	Objects as the policy allows proposals within the village centre or established shopping centres within walking distance of schools and playgrounds. Suggests this should be deleted from the policy	LPR-D-093 (Kidlington Parish Council)	Disagree. It would not be reasonable to have a blanket ban on hot food takeaways in established shopping centres.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM19: Hot Food Takeaways	Suggests revision of section 3.340 in line with the residential proposals for the town centre such as Calthorpe Street. Suggests any further hot food outlet applications should be refused as a clear policy	LPR-D-180	Disagree. It would not be reasonable to have a blanket ban on hot food takeaways in established shopping centres.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Welcomes the inclusion of a dedicated policy on infrastructure. Objects as the IDP does not contain the required previous ICB submission. Notes that the council are looking to adopt CIL and proposes that the ICB should receive 20% of CIL for primary care. Requests amendments	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	The comments are noted.  The ICB were consulted and engaged with on multiple occasions during the production of this Local Plan and they were given multiple opportunities to provide the details of their infrastructure requirements in relation to planned health infrastructure and required health infrastructure.  The Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire and West Berkshire Integrated Care Board did not respond to the previous Regulation 18 consultation of the Cherwell Local Plan during Autumn 2023. The BOB ICB was also given multiple opportunities between July to September 2024 to the Councils' consultants and they were provided in late October 2024 which was too late for them to be incorporated into the Regulation 19 stage of the Cherwell Local Plan. The Council has held multiple meetings with the BOB ICB between 2022 to 2024 and the Council's IDP consultants met with them in 2024. The Council does not currently operate CIL.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Supports the policy	LPR-D-218 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263 LPR-D-321	The comments are noted and welcomed
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Support the policy and suggests efforts should be made to advance the timing of infrastructure provision	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	The comments are noted, and the support is welcomed. The relevant Councils do seek to encourage developers to provide on-site infrastructure as and when required and where it is set out in a legal agreement this enables triggers and controls as to when infrastructure should be provided and / or when part or full payments are triggered. This is subject to the developer's cash flow and the phasing and sales of their development. The Council also enters into Planning Performance Agreements where appropriate in order to fund and speed up the delivery of specific planning applications where

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
			appropriate Some infrastructure delivery is outside of the council's control but the Council will seek to engage, meet and lobby those providers to encourage quicker delivery. Delay can often be associated with financial positions and availability vs the cost of the actual infrastructure.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Supports the policy but requests clarification on the policies implementation	LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council)	A developer should assess the capacity of local infrastructure and should undertake pre application engagement with the relevant infrastructure providers and facilitators including statutory consultees e.g. for example including the Oxfordshire County Council who are the local highways authority and who are the local education authority. In relation to improved transport connectivity, Oxfordshire County Council supports the provision of public transport in their Local Transport and Connectivity Plan which developers need to consider. Developers are required to consider the existing services and to undertake and submit transport assessments of their proposals as part of their planning application submissions including transport modelling and they are required to propose and provide the appropriate mitigation including provision for sustainable modes of travel. With public transport it depends on the existing routes, capacity and if potential new routes and services are financially viable and potentially what external funding is available. In terms of protection and engagement of existing community facilities, a developer is required to mitigate the impact of their development.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Supports the principle of shared contributions from development. Requests clarity regarding the content and timing of developer contributions and/or a CIL charging schedule	LPR-D-286	The comments are noted. Developer contributions are controlled via the use of legal agreements, these include Section 106 and Section 278 Agreements. In the case of residential developments, these legal agreements payments will be in stages, and they have a set of triggers based on the number of houses built which will trigger a payment which relates to the cash flow from the housing sales on the site and payment amounts are subject to indexation to allow for inflation. Section 106 contributions and Section 278 contributions are very specifically defined within a legal agreement. Developers can apply to vary a legal agreement and change a trigger. The Council does not currently have a Community Infrastructure Levy and has not yet made a decision about CIL at this current time.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	The policy should make clear that infrastructure requirements should be fair, reasonable, directly related to the new development and pass the CIL test	LPR-D-221	The comments are noted. Council officers follow the required legislative tests at the planning application stage. We have proposed some changes in the interests of improvement in terms of referencing the 3 legislative tests for planning obligations.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Questions the practicalities of the policy and seeks clarity about how it would operate. New development needs to be justified regarding cumulative transport impacts and relevance in relation to any future CDC CIL charging schedule.	LPR-D-222	The comments are noted, and we note that the rep welcomes the concept of developers working together. The practicalities in relation to that will be the developers to communicate with each other at the design and costing stages and to engage at the early pre application stages with the relevant infrastructure providers and facilitators in relation to what is required and when it needs to be delivered by. Developers will also need to cross refer to the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan and the Infrastructure Schedule. The Council currently do not have a CIL and they have not yet made a decision about CIL at this current time. In terms of transport the proposed allocations have been modelled by the Oxfordshire County Council and at pre application stage developers will need to engage with the local highways authority to obtain a license to use their transport model in order to model their proposals which includes the cumulative impact of other committed proposals at that point in time.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Questions if the policy provides certainty for what infrastructure is provided alongside development proposals.  Requests clarity regarding how off-site infrastructure requirements will come forward alongside developments	LPR-D-225	The comments are noted. The Council has produced an Infrastructure Delivery Plan and an Infrastructure Schedule which formed part of the Regulation 19 Local Plan consultation, and the documents were available on the Council's website under the evidence-based section. Developers will need to undertake pre application discussions in relation to their proposals with the relevant infrastructure facilitators and providers and at that point in time they will be provided with details of the required on and off site mitigation that is required, further details on this will be provided during the planning application stage when the infrastructure facilities and providers provide their responses as to what is specifically required on and off site.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	It will not be appropriate for all development proposals to demonstrate that full regard has been paid to the IDP and all other relevant policies	LPR-D-258 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320	The comments are noted. Developers will need to have regard to the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Infrastructure Schedule at the pre application stage when designing and costing their proposals. The onus is on developers to undertake the required assessments of their proposals and to undertake proactive early pre application engagement with the relevant Councils and infrastructure providers and facilitators in order to obtain details as to what will be required on and off site to serve and mitigate their development proposals. Then further formal consultation is undertaken at the planning application stage which developers are required to address if they have not already done at the pre application stage.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Suggests all allocated sites are viability tested, and promoters are given a clear indication of the S106 costs	LPR-D-282	The comments are noted. The Plan is supported by a robust viability assessment. The Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Infrastructure Schedule set out the main infrastructure requirements.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Questions why the infrastructure schedule does not suggest that KID H1 will be expected to contribute towards the A44 Mobility Hub	LPR-D-346	The comments are noted. Policy KID 3 references the transport hub and makes it clear that all development within Kidlington is required to contribute to schemes in the policy. These requirements are not duplicated in the site-specific policy.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	A specific amount needs to be stated in the LPVA for healthcare mitigation	LPR-D-218	The comments are noted. The Plan's viability work has been undertaken using recognised assumptions and methodology.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Requests increased infrastructure provision to meet the needs stemming from allocated housing	LPR-D-150	Noted. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan provides detailed information on the infrastructure requirements from allocations.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Requests a clear commitment in the plan to involve parish councils as those closest to local communities in the negotiation of S106 agreements as a consequence of new development	LPR-D-201 (Middleton Stoney Parish Council)	Disagree. This is a Development Management matter.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	Considers it is unclear how the realignment of Howes Land solves the issue of mitigating the traffic impact for the 3,200 homes built in that part of Bicester. The statement that a maximum 50% of all journeys from these homes will be made by the car is not justified by current evidence. Questions why travel plans and mode assessments count electric vehicles in the same category as petrol/diesel cars	LPR-D-339	The realignment of Howes Lane has been shown by relevant transport modelling to be necessary for the development of NW Bicester. Transport modelling and assumptions follow industry standards.
Policy COM20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	There is no evidence of any action being taken for Cherwell to secure investment in new energy and water connections at the county level	LPR-D-341	Disagree. The Council is working closely with infrastructure providers. Details are provided in the IDP.
Policy COM21: Meeting Education Needs	Supports the policy and anticipates that education needs can be accommodated without additional school sites. Additional special education capacity is required	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	The comments are noted and welcomed, and we have noted Oxfordshire County Council's 'Guide to developer contributions' on their website which includes a specific section on Education. The Education Authority has been consulted on the proposed site allocation, and they have fed into the production of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Infrastructure Schedule.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM21: Meeting Education Needs	Supports the policy but suggests a site should be identified for an additional secondary school in Banbury which should be protected against alternative development	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	The comments are noted. The Local Education Authority has been consulted on the Council's draft Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 Cherwell Local Plan, the proposed site allocations and including the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Infrastructure Schedule which contains the County's Education requirements for the proposed site allocations.
Policy COM21: Meeting Education Needs	The policy should state that developments would not be expected to meet any additional or alternate expectations at the time a reserved matters application is subsequently made for school development. Suggests additional wording	LPR-D-225	The comments are noted. Disagree with the comments. It depends how long ago the outline planning application received consent and if the housing mix has and if existing pupil capacity has changed between the outline and reserved matters application as this may result in changes to the required educational provision e.g. number of pupil places, amount of contributions, size of extension or school required.
Policy COM22: Public Services and Utilities	Some services and utilities work requires archaeological monitoring	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	The comments are noted.
Policy COM22: Public Services and Utilities	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM23: Local Services and Community Facilities	Requests clarification and for commitment to further separate guidance on relation if they need to be relocated to a different location or in the event they are no longer needed for the original purpose and/or loss of facilities.	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	The comments are noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM23: Local Services and Community Facilities	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-240 (Fritwell Parish Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM23: Local Services and Community Facilities	Paragraph 3.366 should include strengthened protection against loss of amenities. Supports seeking to protect against change of use for pubs without a 'robust marketing exercise' and suggests text additions. Detrimental alterations made to premises should not be used in determination of viability	LPR-D-162	The comments are noted.
Policy COM23: Local Services and Community Facilities	The second part of the policy that sets out the criteria for assessing the loss of facilities should be amended to have 'or' in-between the criteria as it would be almost impossible to meet both criteria	LPR-D-234	The comments are noted. Disagree. The existing policy is appropriately worded.
Policy COM23: Local Services and Community Facilities	The focus of the policy is on loss rather than need, design, operation and funding of facilities. Facilities when provided should be supported by a substantial stewardship mechanism that caters for the management and maintenance of facilities	LPR-D-256	The comments are noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Welcomes reference to growing spaces and their impact on health and wellbeing	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Supports the policy	LPR-D-244 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Supports the policy but clarification is needed on the option to enhance existing provision and if this is secured via S106. Where new facilities are required these should be set out in site allocation policies	LPR-D-165	The comments are noted.
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Welcomes the intention to consult Town and Parish councils to ensure proposals meet local needs	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Noted and welcomed.
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Object to retained operation land at Bretch Hill Reservoir as existing green space. It should be removed from this designation	LPR-D-079	The comments are noted and we disagree with the comments.
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Concern over loss of green space for recreation in Kidlington. There should be a greater mention of recreational opportunities and greater protection for existing facilities	LPR-D-002	The comments are noted. The Plan is supported by a green and blue infrastructure strategy. The levels of protection are consistent with the NPPF.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Evidence related to the policy is flawed, and requests the policy is reconsidered in response to the needs of Kidlington Cricket Club and Stratfield Brake	LPR-D-282	Disagree. The Plan is supported by an up to date Playing Pitch Strategy.
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	LAP provision and greenspace requirements can be met, but notes there is no requirement for new formal sports provision or allotments, in contrary with RUR H1- requests clarification	LPR-D-213	The comments are noted.
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	It should be stated that developments would not be expected to meet any additional or alternate expectations as set out within COM24 at the time a reserved matters application is subsequently made. Suggests additional wording is included	LPR-D-225	The comments are noted. We disagree the proposals could change at the reserved matters stage, which may also result in amendments to the Section 106 Agreement.
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	The focus of the policy is on loss rather than need, design, operation and funding of facilities. Facilities when provided should be supported by a substantial stewardship mechanism that caters for the management and maintenance of facilities	LPR-D-256	The comments are noted. When new facilities and / or open space are provided the details of their management and maintenance and the mechanisms and future roles in relation to those are detailed in a Section 106 legal agreement and controlled via the Section 106 Agreement and via the use of planning conditions.
Policy COM24: Open Space, Sport and Recreation	Requirements for open space exceed the Fields in Trust standards. The requirements for an on-site NEAP for developments of 50 dwellings or more also exceeds the Fields in Trust benchmark which could impact viability	LPR-D-050	Disagree. The open space requirements have been considered in viability testing. The Council has a very good track record of delivering to its standards.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Supports the inclusion of natural surveillance in policy wording. Objects designation of Hudson Street site as it is not in accordance with NPPF 106/107 and would prevent Oxfordshire County Council from redeveloping its land to address statutory needs. Hudson Street designation should be deleted from the proposed list of Local Green Spaces and the Policies Map	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Oxfordshire County Council as landowner and Local Education Authority has provided comments on the designation of Local Green Spaces on land in their ownership. They object to the allocation of land at Hudson Street, Bicester and provide evidence to support the position:  The site previously formed part of the adjacent Bicester School and was retained for education use in the event of needing to expand Bicester School, Brookside Primary School or any of the other local schools within Bicester. The proposed Local Green Space designation would infringe upon OCC's ability to redevelop its land and property assets the address statutory needs.  The IDP supporting the CLPR identifies the need for special needs education in Cherwell Officers consider that the designation of Hudson Street as a Local Green Space fails to meet the PPG requirement as it would prevent the Local Education Authority from providing identified educational needs.  'Designating any Local Green Space will need to be consistent with local planning for sustainable development in the area. In particular, plans must identify sufficient land in suitable locations to meet identified development needs and the Local Green Space designation should not be used in a way that undermines this aim of plan making.'  Therefore, we agree with the proposed change that Hudson Street, Bicester is not designated as a Local Green Space, and this is included in the list of proposed changes.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Does not object to the council identifying local nature reserves within the Local Plan however there is an issue with the proposed designation of land south and west of Stratfield Brake Sports Ground. There is no mention of the intention of Oxford United FC to move their stadium to land at The Triangle and identifying the area adjacent as a nature reserve is likely to prejudice the opportunity to resolve this issue. Stratfield Brake is also expected to be the location of a new artificial pitch, and the presence of a nature reserve could mean lighting is not permitted. Requests a buffer is placed between the Nature Reserve and Stratfield Brake and that the location of an artificial pitch with sports lighting should be identified. If an accessible nature reserve is proposed, a large area of parking should be proposed alongside it. The plan should identify where the new Oxford United stadium will be located.	LPR-D-191	The proposed LGS at Stratfield Brake meets the NPPF requirements for designation. The desire for an artificial pitch for Oxford Utd is not within the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy and no detailed proposals have been received. The proposed stadium is the subject of a planning application which has yet to be determined. It is not a facility to meet Cherwell's needs. It is not necessary to include within the local plan.
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Supports the policy	LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Support the policy as The Moors is not being proposed as a Local Green Space	LPR-D-282	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Supports the policy but noted concern that only three proposed by CPRE has been approved. Questions why only three allotments have been included. Support and endorses designation of Banbury Lane, Nethercote as a Local Green Space	LPR-D-257	Noted. All proposed LGS have been assessed. Only those that meet the necessary criteria have been included within the Plan.
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Welcomes the designation of Gavray Drive Meadows but suggests rewording of the policy and the land management objectives. Queries the land use frameworks	LPR-D-146	Noted. No change is proposed to the policy.
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Promotes Land Behind the Moors, Kidlington for designation as a Local Green Space	LPR-D-038 LPR-D-115 LPR-D-116 LPR-D-117 LPR-D-120 LPR-D-122 LPR-D-127 LPR-D-158 LPR-D-197 LPR-D-277 LPR-D-348	Noted. All proposed LGS have been assessed. Only those that meet the necessary criteria have been included within the Plan.
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Objects to the rejection of Bury Moor Fields, Land West of the footpath from mill-end to St Mary's Church and Stratfield Brake Playing Fields as Local Green Spaces. These should be designated.  Notes that the 'green ring' proposal is not included and should also be designated as a Local Green Space	LPR-D-093 (Kidlington Parish Council) LPR-D-338	Noted. All proposed LGS have been assessed. Only those that meet the necessary criteria have been included within the Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Objects to the rejection of Banbury Lane, Nethercote as a Local Green Space	LPR-D-100	Noted. All proposed LGS have been assessed. Only those that meet the necessary criteria have been included within the Plan.
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Designation of Stratfield Brake Nature Reserve is a small, limited area and the designation should be extended in the Kidlington Area	LPR-D-002	Noted. All proposed LGS have been assessed. Only those that meet the necessary criteria have been included within the Plan.
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Requests discussion over council owned sites for designation	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	The views of the Town Council are noted. There has been ample opportunity over multiple stages of the plan making process for the TC to propose potential local green space.
Policy COM25: Local Green Space	Supports no further building on the Green Belt	LPR-D-277	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	The policy should be amended to reflect significance rather than character. Reference should be made to non-designated rather than undesignated. Suggests wording amendments	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Agree to amend policy in line with NPPF.
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	Supports the policy and suggests improvements. The last paragraph could read as contradictory and suggests improvements	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Welcome support. Disagree that last paragraph is contradictory.
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	Supports the policy. Suggests amendments and requests a new site- specific policy on Broughton Castle	LPR-D-299	Welcome support. Consider the policies in the Plan can be used to consider Broughton Castle. No new specific policy is required.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	Objects to the wording- 'clear public benefit to outweigh' in the policy. Supports requirement for heritage statements and suggests the Local Plan could mandate Parishes to maintain a Heritage Asset Register	LPR-D-020	Comments noted, no change. The NPPF refers to a clear and convincing justification with reference to any harm to, or loss of, the significance of a designated heritage asset. It goes on to reference 'substantial public benefits' at paragraph 207. Note suggestion regarding the Local Plan to mandate Parishes to maintain a Heritage Asset Register though consider this is more appropriately undertaken at a District level or through the Historic Environment Record.
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	The need for separate policies for each type of heritage asset is questioned. If there are going to be separate policies, they should be set out in the order of the statutory hierarchy	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	Recognise that there are a number of policies, but the length of criterion/matters to be addressed are better separated into separate policies.
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	The policy objectives are supported but considers it is excessively detailed. Policies COM 26, 27, 38 and 29 should be deleted and replaced with a single policy	LPR-D-210	Welcome support. Recognise that there are a number of policies, but the length of criterion/matters to be addressed are better separated into separate policies to ensure clarity. The separate policies provide greater clarity and enable detailed policy requirements to be set out.
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	Two different landscape studies are referred to. Each policy should either refer to the same study or both	LPR-D-257	Different landscape studies form part of the evidence base and had different briefs. Both or one will apply depending on the circumstances of the application rather than the policy itself.
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	The policy largely replicates national policy and adds little more. Therefore, it could be removed from the plan. The council could provide a single register identifying non-designated heritage assets	LPR-D-234	Consider the policy is helpful to guide development in Cherwell and provide certainty to developers.
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	The final paragraph introduces a new test which is confusing and contradictory. Reference to 'substantive tangible public benefits' and the requirement for those to 'clearly and convincingly outweigh harm are inappropriate. Recommends amendments to the text	LPR-D-229	Disagree that last paragraph is contradictory.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM26: Historic Environment	It would be helpful if the policy or supporting text explained that proposals that do not deliver a building's optimum viable use will not normally be allowed. Suggests changes to wording and requests the inclusion of non-designated assets	LPR-D-172	Comments noted. This is set out at paragraph 208 of the NPPF, though it is recognised that 'where appropriate' has been added as part of a balancing exercise in less than substantial harm.
Policy COM27: Conservation Areas	Support the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-020 LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-095 (Drayton Parish Council) LPR-D-160 LPR-D-161 (Islip Parish Council) LPR-D-240 (Fritwell Parish Council) LPR-D-344 (Hanwell Parish Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM27: Conservation Areas	Makes suggestions to the wording in reflection of their Regulation 18 representation	LPR-D-172	Disagree, the point is that where a building is capable of repair or is capable of beneficial use then this should be explored prior to demolition.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM27: Conservation Areas	The policy is expressed in absolute terms with its requirement that proposals must conserve or enhance the relevant conservation areas. This does not allow for the balancing principles to be used. Requests amendments to the text	LPR-D-229	The policy does not preclude a balanced judgement being made.
Policy COM27: Conservation Areas	Largely replicates national policy and adds little more, so recommends its removal. The council could provide a single register where non-designated heritage assets are identified	LPR-D-234	Consider the policy is helpful to guide development in Cherwell and provide certainty to developers.
Policy COM27: Conservation Areas	The policy objectives are broadly supported but feels is excessively detailed. Policies COM 26, 27, 38 and 29 should be deleted and replaced with a single policy	LPR-D-210	Welcome support. Recognise that there are a number of policies, but the length of criterion/matters to be addressed are better separated into separate policies to ensure clarity. The separate policies provide greater clarity and enable detailed policy requirements to be set out. Consider the policy is helpful to guide development in Cherwell and provide certainty to developers.
Policy COM27: Conservation Areas	Requests the boundaries of designated conservation areas are reviewed and, in some places, extended	LPR-D-149	There is a programme of Conservation Area Character Appraisals which are being undertaken by the Council.
Policy COM27: Conservation Areas	Paragraphs 3.285 and 3.390 refer to different evidence studies	LPR-D-257	Noted. A change to the text to correct this is proposed.
Policy COM28: Listed Buildings	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM28: Listed Buildings	The policy is supported, suggests amendments to the text and inclusion of a new site-specific policy on Broughton Castle	LPR-D-299	Welcome support. Consider the policies in the Plan can be used to consider Broughton Castle. No new specific policy is required.
Policy COM28: Listed Buildings	The policy is too weak, and suggests amendments to the wording	LPR-D-172	Disagree, consider the policy is clear whilst providing flexibility.
Policy COM28: Listed Buildings	The policy objectives are broadly supported but feels it is excessively detailed. Policies COM 26, 27, 38 and 29 should be deleted and replaced with a single policy	LPR-D-210	Recognise that there are a number of policies, but the length of criterion/matters to be addressed are better separated into separate policies. Consider the policy is helpful to guide development in Cherwell and provide certainty to developers.
Policy COM28: Listed Buildings	Largely replicates national policy and adds little more, so recommends its removal. The council could provide a single register where non-designated heritage assets are identified	LPR-D-234	Consider the policy is helpful to guide development in Cherwell and provide certainty to developers.
Policy COM29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	There is a single register of Historic Battlefields. Suggests amendments to the wording	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Agree.
Policy COM29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	Generally supports the policy but notes the policy should also promote the historic interest, character or setting of those assets recognised to be of significance by the LPA. Suggests wording amendments	LPR-D-160	Amended wording is proposed to align with Historic England suggestions.
Policy COM29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	Supports the policy, suggests amendments. Requests addition of a new site-specific policy on Broughton Castle	LPR-D-299	Welcome support. Consider the policies in the Plan can be used to consider Broughton Castle. No new specific policy is required.
Policy COM29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	The policy does not add anything beyond COM 26 and should be deleted. The policy does not mention provision of NPPF paragraph 215 for decision makers to weigh harm against public benefits	LPR-D-064	Consider the policy is helpful to guide development in Cherwell and provide certainty to developers.
Policy COM29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	The policy objectives are broadly supported but feels it is excessively detailed. Policies COM 26, 27, 38 and 29 should be deleted and replaced with a single policy	LPR-D-210	Recognise that there are a number of policies, but the length of criterion/matters to be addressed are better separated into separate policies. Consider the policy is helpful to guide development in Cherwell and provide certainty to developers.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer response
Policy COM29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	Largely replicates national policy and adds little more, so recommends its removal. The council could provide a single register where non-designated heritage assets are identified	LPR-D-234	Consider the policy is helpful to guide development in Cherwell and provide certainty to developers.
Policy COM30: The Oxford Canal	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy COM30: The Oxford Canal	The heritage of the Oxford Canal should be enhanced in any development proposal	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted.
Policy COM31: Residential Canal Moorings	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Considers the Level 1&2 SFRAs and the sequential and exception test does not justify the proposed housing and employment site growth. Notes a new national model is to be published in Spring 2025 which may impact some of the sites	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Disagree. The Plan is supported by extensive flood risk evidence, including updates to reflect the new national model.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-301	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	In support of the strategy but requests that specific sites are identified for BUFC safeguarding and an additional secondary school	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Noted. A site for the relocation of BUFC and an additional secondary school is addressed by saved policies BANBURY 12 and BANBURY 4.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Supportive of the vision to create new attractive public space for the town and canalside	LPR-D-052	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Supportive of the vision and strategy but suggests the reduction of bus fares	LPR-D-073	Noted. Bus fares are beyond the scope of the local plan.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Support significant growth in Banbury but considers the strategy to be high risk given that all housing growth in Banbury is in the form of larger scale SUE sites or regeneration areas. There is a heavy reliance on these for delivery	LP-D-091	Noted. The Plan policies allow for windfall sites to come forward in the town. Opportunity areas for development are also identified.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Objects to the planned housing for Banbury	LPR-D-299	Noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Considers there is potential to have a new healthcare facility in Banbury however any new provision must be financially and operationally viable to the ICB. The ICB would raise objection if any proposed provisions are not financially and operationally viable. Naming of the ICB in paragraph 4.34 is incorrect and should be corrected	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Noted. All developer contributions sought by the Council will need to be compliant with the CIL regulations. Paragraph 4.34 has been corrected.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Banbury has a rich history. Town centre redevelopment has the potential to reveal medieval remains and its role in the English Civil War	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted. Bus fares are beyond the scope of the local plan.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	The strategy lacks ambition and will restrict growth. It incorrectly draws on the landscape character as a development constraint	LPR-D-248	Disagree. The Plan expects 6,477 new homes to be delivered at Banbury during the Plan period.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Different road infrastructure proposals planned over the years have not been implemented resulting in congestion in and around the town. Roof top solar panels should be encouraged particularly on industrial buildings. Support for improving the hospital. Considers relocating the bus station is not practical unless units on the Tramway are demolished. Housing close to the town centre is supported but parking is essential unless bus services are sufficient	LPR-D-031	Noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Supports the vision to create new attractive public space for the town and linear park along the Canalside. Notes additional housing will increase demand on transport, education and health services thus requiring investment. There is currently insufficient provision of outdoor sports facilities for young people	LPR-D-052	Noted.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	There are just two housing sites allocated at Banbury, notes the allocation of Canalside but that this is subject to numerous freehold interests and tenancy leases meaning delivery in Banbury would be slow, and lack variety and flexibility. States a range and mix of housing sites at different sizes in/around Banbury would be supported	LPR-D-054	The Plan proposes a mix of sites in Banbury. A number of sites are also saved from the adopted Local Plan.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Sufficient employment is needed to support the allocated housing development	LPR-D-150	It is considered that the Plan balances the provision of housing with employment across the district.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	The policy should identify and recognise the importance and social/economic benefits of delivering the proposed allocations in the short-medium term	LPR-D-134	Noted. It is not considered necessary to include this wording within the Policy.
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Additional land is required for a balanced supply, at present it does not align with the NPPF or meet Cherwell's housing needs	LPR-D-215	Disagree.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN1: Banbury Area Strategy	Regarding the relocation of Banbury football club, if amends are proposed to the existing site allocation, they should be shared with Sport England	LPR-D-191	Noted. No changes are proposed to the existing allocation.
Policy BAN2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	Strongly objects to the omission of a junction on the M40 north of Banbury as this is necessary to reduce traffic congestion and reduce air pollution  A South-East link road needs to be developed, and the plan is inadequate without the inclusion of an additional road connecting the M40 at J11	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-186	Noted. The Policy is informed by transport modelling undertaken in collaboration with OCC and consultation with National Highways. Transport evidence supporting the CLPR include the Transport modelling and Banbury Transport Topic Papers.  A number of measures have already been delivered including the signalisation of M40 J11 and OCC are progressing a Movement and Place Strategy (MAPS) for Banbury which will include the review of how traffic along Hennef Way could be reduced and redistributed.  National Highways are responsible for the strategic road network including the M40. We will continue to work with OCC and National Highways as the CLPR progresses to adoption and during the preparation of OCC's emerging Banbury MAPS.
Policy BAN2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	Questions the spine road between A361 and A4620	LPR-D-060	This is an approved scheme. Delivery has commenced.
Policy BAN2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	This should be rewritten and properly detailed to permit realistic comment by consultees	LPR-D-239	Noted. The CLPR is supported by place-based transport topic papers with the wider reasoning supporting CLPR policies published for consultation in December 2024.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	Providing further housing to the north of the town will reduce the pressure to create direct transport links and promote sustainable development	LPR-D-221	Noted.  No development is proposed for allocation north of the town.
Policy BAN2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	There is no specific mention of areas being improved for the development north of Southam Road. Improved and safe walking, wheeling and cycling routes are required	LPR-D-168	Noted. Policy BAN 2 supports the delivery of the Banbury Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP), this includes improved active travel connections in the Hanwell Fields and Southam Road area (Route 5 A361/A423 Southam Road, Route 8 Meadow Drive and Route 18 Canal Towpath).
Policy BAN2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	The requirement for transport infrastructure at Banbury must be developed and coordinated through early engagement with Catesby Estates and Oxfordshire County Council	LPR-D-134	Disagree. The integration of land use and transport plans is necessary to promote sustainable development. Liaison between development promoters and OCC does not replace the requirements to demonstrate integration placed on district and county councils by the NPPF.
Policy BAN2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	Notes support for implementing a footpath linking the railway and bus stations	LPR-D-031	Noted

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	Supports paragraph 4.15 on improving connectivity and delivering infrastructure improvements. Proposes CDC campaign for reduction of bus fares and investigates 'on demand' bus provision	LPR-D-073	Noted
Policy BAN2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Banbury Area	Considers work is needed on Queensway to mitigate traffic	LPR-D-171	Noted.
Policy BAN3: Development in the Vicinity of Banbury Railway Station	Relocating the bus station is not practical unless units on the Tramway are demolished	LPR-D-031	Noted.
Policy BAN4: Green and Blue Infrastructure in the Banbury Area	Supports the policy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council) LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN4: Green and Blue Infrastructure in the Banbury Area	Welcomes the development of the country park to the north of the town and the recognition of Dame Sylvia Crowe.  Notes concern on the issues of land ownership, access, funding, and management. Suggests naming the country park	LPR-D-186	Noted. Issues raised are beyond the remit of the Plan.
Policy BAN4: Green and Blue Infrastructure in the Banbury Area	There is an opportunity to focus on the importance of more peripheral blue and green areas. Suggests delivery to the north of the town connecting the area around Hanwell Brook with the playing fields adjacent on Dukes Meadow Drive	LPR-D-221	Noted.  Cherwell's Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy provides further and more detailed information supporting Policy BAN4 including improved green and blue corridor connections and ecological connectivity to Hanwell Book Wetland and improved footpaths and cycle routes to Hanwell.
Policy BAN5: Horton Hospital Site	Notes the policy and support for primary care facilities in Banbury and at the Horton Hospital. The ICB has no plans for any onsite primary care provisions at the Horton Hospital. And will continue to work with their NHS Partner (OUHT) to see if there is any potential to have onsite primary care provision at the improved Horton Hospital	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Noted.
Policy BAN5: Horton Hospital Site	Supports the policy but recommends the inclusion of a further statement within the policy stating that no part of the site will be disposed of to fund/facilitate medical development and that the site should be retained for future hospital needs	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	The proposed amendments are not supported as considered unnecessary.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN5: Horton Hospital Site	Supports expanding the hospital, particularly reinstating the maternity ward	LPR-D-031	Noted and welcomed
Policy BAN5: Horton Hospital Site	There appears to be no protection from speculative housing development plans which could encourage OUHFT to dispose of parts of the Horton Hospital	LPR-D-140	Disagree. Any proposals would need to relate to the hospital, but could potentially include housing for NHS staff.
Policy BAN6: Banbury Opportunity Areas	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BAN6: Banbury Opportunity Areas	Supports the policy but believes the identification of an acceptable alternative site for the bus station should be a prerequisite for development being approved	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Noted.
Policy BAN6: Banbury Opportunity Areas	Policy support should be added for car free development at town centre sites	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Any town centre developments will provide car parking in accordance with the County Council's car parking policy.
Policy BAN6: Banbury Opportunity Areas	Notes contradiction with BAN 2 which suggests the current conditions are substandard whereas BAN 6 would imply the closure of the bus station with no replacement suggested	LPR-D-186	Any relocation would be subject to feasibility work.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	Consider the Level 1&2 SFRAs and the sequential and exception test does not justify the proposed housing and employment site growth in the policy. A new national model is to be published in Spring 2025 which may further impact some of the sites. The Banbury Flood Alleviation Scheme was completed in 2012 with a design life of 100 years, so considering that the lifespan of residential dwellings are 100 years, they will outlive the scheme. It cannot be guaranteed that the Environment Agency will be able to continue to maintain flood defences in Banbury. Finished floor levels should be set at the design flood level in the undefended scenario, and breaching of defences should be considered before the site is allocated	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Noted. Additional flood risk assessments have been undertaken which take account of the new national model.
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	Does not object to the allocation but notes concern about wording which loses the opportunity to benefit from the Council's HIA. Recommends amendments to the wording	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Noted. Amendments proposed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	Objects to the policy and requests amendments so as to withdraw the objection. The ICB would not agree to any proposed onsite healthcare provision if it is not financially and operationally viable. The ICB does not consider that 700 dwellings could support a new standalone healthcare facility unless it is the relocation of an existing GP practise or co-location of GP practices. The ICB expects a 'turnkey' healthcare facility	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Noted. Discussions are ongoing with the ICB to provide additional primary care capacity within the town.
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	The requirement for car-free development is supported. As it is located in the historic Canal Wharfs of Banbury there is high potential for remains related to post industrial development and possible earlier remains. Notes waste management facilities can co-exist with other employment uses. An archaeological desk-based assessment must be prepared. Use classes listed should be amended to include Sui Generis	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted.
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	Support the policy	LPR-D-218 LPR-D-052	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	Welcomes the policy especially regarding the retention of large mixed use businesses and the green walkway along the banks of the river. Notes the green	LPR-D-019	Noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	walkway could be achieved without taking land from light industrial businesses		
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	Supports the policy and considers Cherwell District Council should take the lead in the redevelopment of the site by providing a masterplan	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Noted.
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand what drainage requirements are required on and off site, clarity on what loading/flow from the development is anticipated, water supply requirements on and off site. Developers should work alongside Thames Water and recommend developer attach information Thames Water provide on their planned applications.	LPR-D-079	Noted.
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	Questions if the site is deliverable, having been included in the 2031 plan but not yet delivered	LPR-D-091	This is an important brownfield site immediately adjacent to the town centre. It is acknowledged that there are some issues around delivery. However, the Council is a significant landowner and is facilitating delivery through various mechanisms and with the support of Homes England.
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	There is no reference to the overlap of land allocations or how conflict should be resolved. There should be a further requirement to protect the Local Green Space	LPR-D-100 LPR-D-257	The policy is clear that the site is suitable for mixed use development. There are other policies in the plan
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	The proposal fails to address flood problems	LPR-D-186	Disagree. The site is supported by a Level 2 SFRA.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	Canalside development should account for health and safety issues	LPR-D-031	Pollution and contamination is recognised in the policy requirements.
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	Supports the design requirement but recommends liaison with the council's archaeological adviser on the approach to remains. Recommends the inclusion of a landscape buffer to protect the setting of the Grade II listed Wykham Farmhouse and that the developable area is pulled back from areas of archaeological interest	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Noted. The policy requires a landscape buffer to protect the setting of the farmhouse.  The site has been subject to a heritage impact assessment.
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	Objects to the policy and proposes new text. The proposed development would generate significant pressure on existing local GP practices. Supports the point related to primary health care	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Noted. The Council is continuing to engage with the ICB on ways to improve primary care provision in the area that is compliant with CIL regulations.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	Suggests amendments regarding education provision. Supports the development being pulled back from areas of archaeological interest and details the level of archaeological investigation required for the site.  Supports the position on no vehicular access from Wykham Lane and the proposed buffering including that related to the listed farmhouse. Archaeological predetermination works are required starting with a geological survey. The applicant should be responsible for the implementation of an archaeological field evaluation prior to determination. It should be ensured that the proposed site and the approved development to the north complement each other regarding green infrastructure and open space provision	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted.
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council) LPR-D-134 LPR-D-218	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	Supports the allocation, but recommends the key diagram is updated so BAN H2 does not appear to be floating	LPR-D-091	Noted
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	Agrees with the allocation but as phase 1 will take years to complete, before phase 2 can commence means it is a very long term strategy and as such smaller and more immediately deliverable sites are required	LPR-D-091	The expected delivery of this site is consistent with landowner/developer advice.
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	The site is a logical and sustainable extension but queries the removal of an area of the site proposed previously as open space/green space. Requests the council notes that the site can accommodate 625 dwellings rather than 600 and that the removed land is reinstated	LPR-D-134	Noted, particularly site capacity. It is not considered appropriate to include the land in question within the site allocation. The character of the area is described in paragraph 4.52.
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	Objects as the area is prone to flooding and it is a risk to have a link road/rat run traffic past homes	LPR-D-007	Disagree. The allocation has been subject to an appropriate flood risk assessment. The site will be accessed from the new development currently under construction to the north.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	Objects as there is not provision for sports pitches and due to the provision of the SEFC relocation	LPR-D-235	No new pitches are proposed on the site. In line with the Council's Playing Pitch Strategy financial contributions are being sought to improve other local facilities.
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	Objects and considers the policy to be unsound. Alternative locations are available with significantly less impact on the natural environment. Notes concern on the proximity to the Northern Valleys Conservation Target Area, that the site would bring major urban development closer to Sor Brook and comments that the CTA and Sor Brook including habitats and species present should not be negatively impacted. The site should not be taken forward and if it is extra text should be included to address these concerns	LPR-D-238	Disagree. The site allocation is supported by the Plan's evidence base, and SA.
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	The site is not justified through the Sustainability Appraisal process. There is a lack of infrastructure and landscape buffer. The site and policy should be deleted	LPR-D-057	Disagree.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	The site does not have good access to the town centre. A new bus service should be implemented	LPR-D-060	The policy requires public transport links.
Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Salt Way East- Phase 2)	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand the drainage requirements on and off site, clarity on what loading/flow from the development is anticipated, water supply requirements on and off site. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water and recommends that developers attach information provided to them to their planning application.	LPR-D-079	Noted
Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	Considers that the level 1&2 SFRAs and the sequential and exception tests do not justify the proposed housing and employment growth in the policy. Notes a new national model is to be published in Spring 2025 which may impact the site	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Consider the Level 1 and 2 SFRA alongside the Sequential and Exception test do justify the proposed growth set out in the Plan. Acknowledge new data is published and the Council has commissioned an update to the Levels 1 and 2 in light of this to help address the specific concerns raised by the EA in respect of flood data. The flood evidence and other documents such as the HELAA, have helped to filter the potential allocations of land for housing and employment. The flood evidence has also helped influence the policy requirements for specific sites in accordance with national policy.
Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	The policy needs greater clarity on its approach to heritage assets. Suggests wording and amendments to achieve this	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Noted. Proposed changes suggested.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	Objects to the policy unless the text is amended to the text proposed by the ICB. Notes the proposed development would result in significant pressure on existing local GP practises and does not cross reference to Policy COM17 which it should.	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Noted. The Council is continuing to engage with the ICB on ways to improve primary care provision in the town that is compliant with CIL regulations.
Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	Support should be added in the policy for car free development at town centre sites. Notes the site is in an area of archaeological interest and if permission is granted, a staged program of archaeological investigation is required	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted. This is addressed by Policy COM 26. Parking will be provided in accordance with the County's requirements.
Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand drainage requirements on and off site, clarity on the anticipated loading/flow from the development and water requirements on and off site. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water and recommends that developers attach information provided from Thames Water to their planning applications	LPR-D-079	Noted.
Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	Supports the policy	LPR-D-301	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	Considers that the site is suitable but not for 170 dwellings due to the constraints on the site	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	Questions if the site will come forward in line with the councils trajectory	LPR-D-091	The trajectory for this site is informed by information provided by landowners/developers and recent planning history, amongst other evidence.
Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	Comments concern due to the loss of public car parking. Notes the text requires amendments to reflect a more appropriate balance between the Local Planning Authority and communities' aspirations	LPR-D-186	The Council will ensure that there is adequate public car parking in the town.
Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road	Requests the policy is edited to consider the impact of the development on the setting of adjacent heritage assets. Notes and archaeological desk based assessment will be required and potentially a field evaluation to avoid and minimise harm to archaeological remains	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Noted. This is addressed by Policy COM 26.
Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road	Objects unless the policy text is amended to that proposed by the ICB. Notes the proposed development would create significant pressure on existing local GP practises and that the policy does not cross refer to Policy COM 17.	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Noted. The Council is continuing to engage with the ICB on ways to improve primary care provision in the town that is compliant with CIL regulations.
Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road	Support for car free development at town centre sites should be added to the policy. Notes the site lies within an area of archaeological interest and provides information indicating a strong likelihood of medieval remains on the site. Requests an archaeological desk based assessment and field evaluation	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted. This is addressed by Policy COM 26. Parking will be provided in accordance with the County's requirements.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand drainage requirements on and off site, clarity on the anticipated loading/flow from the development and water requirements on and off site. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water and recommends that developers attach information provided from Thames Water to their planning applications	LPR-D-079	Noted.
Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road	Questions if the site is deliverable, having been included in the 2031 plan but not fully delivered	LPR-D-091	There is an extant permission on part of the site. The Council is confident that the allocation is deliverable. It owns a substantial area of the site.
Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road	Concerned with the loss of public car parking due to the proposed allocation. Suggests the text requires amending to reflect a more appropriate balance between the Local Planning Authority and the communities' aspirations	LPR-D-186	The Council will ensure that there is adequate public car parking in the town.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN E1: Land at Higham Way	Considers that the level 1&2 SFRAs and the sequential and exception tests do not justify the proposed housing and employment growth in the policy. Notes there is a new national model to be published in Spring 2025 which may impact the site. Does not consider there is sufficient space on the site to deliver the proposed allocation without increasing flood risk elsewhere. Suggests the allocation should be reduced with key findings from the SFRA pulled in	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Consider the Level 1 and 2 SFRA alongside the Sequential and Exception test do justify the proposed growth set out in the Plan. Acknowledge new data is published and the Council has commissioned an update to the Levels 1 and 2 in light of this to help address the specific concerns raised by the EA in respect of flood data. The flood evidence and other documents such as the HELAA, have helped to filter the potential allocations of land for housing and employment. The flood evidence has also helped influence the policy requirements for specific sites in accordance with national policy.
Policy BAN E1: Land at Higham Way	Notes that Banbury Castle is within 500m of the site and therefore there could be medieval remains in the area. Requests an archaeological desk-based assessment and written scheme of investigation to agree the scope of assessment	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted. This is addressed by Policy COM 26.
Policy BAN E1: Land at Higham Way	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand drainage requirements on and off site, clarity on the anticipated loading/flow from the development and water requirements on and off site. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water and recommends that developers attach information provided from Thames Water to their planning applications	LPR-D-079	Noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BAN E1: Land at Higham Way	Supports the policy	LPR-D-083 (Banbury Town Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BAN E1: Land at Higham Way	Notes the majority of the site is already in active employment	LPR-D-039	Noted. The site could be more intensively used.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Considers that the level 1&2 SFRAs and the sequential and exception tests don't justify the proposed housing and employment site growth in the policy.  Notes a new national model is to be published in Spring 2025 which may further impact some of the sites. Whilst flood risk is mostly to remain in bank, sites BIC H1 and BIC E2 should be assessed through a level 2 SFRA. Bic E1, Bic E3, and Bic E4 should be assessed as ordinary watercourses run through them	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Consider the Level 1 and 2 SFRA alongside the Sequential and Exception test do justify the proposed growth set out in the Plan. Acknowledge new data is published and the Council has commissioned an update to the Levels 1 and 2 in light of this. Additional Level 2 SFRAs have been commissioned for BIC E1, BIC E2 and BIC E3 given the change in flood risk.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-321	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Supports the retention of Bicester 13	LPR-D-145 LPR-D-146	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Supports the retention of Bicester 12	LPR-D-225	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Supportive, however considers that additional employment sites should be allocated. Notes tables in Policy BIC 1 and LEC 1 do not correlate	LPR-D-152	Welcome support. Policy LEC 1 will be updated for clarity
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Supports the policy but considers additional allocations are needed at Bicester	LPR-D-165	Welcome support, consider sufficient allocations are provided in the district.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Supports the strategic objectives for the Bicester area. Notes it is unclear whether the allocations proposed to be retained are saved or if they will be replicated in the 2042 plan, this should be made clear. Supports the 7500 homes for North West Bicester but objects to the delivery rates as they could be delivered almost entirely in the plan period	LPR-D-237	The existing allocations are to be retained. Policy BIC H1 replaces the existing NW Bicester allocation. The delivery rate at NW Bicester is considered to be realistic and should the rate increase, those houses would simply be added to the supply.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	There is potential to have a new healthcare facility at North West Bicester. Any new provision must be financially and operationally viable to the ICB. The ICB would object to any proposed developments if they are not financially and operationally viable	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	BOB ICB were consulted as part of the IDP regarding health care needs and the overall strategy for Cherwell. Only BIC H1 refers to a GP surgery and dental surgery.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Bicester centre has high potential for archaeological remains. Notes that Alchester Roman Town is south west of the town and that Bicester's heritage is rich and can be enhanced	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Comments noted. The allocations require archaeological investigations as appropriate.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Generally positive regarding the Local Plan, suggests that walking, cycling and the building of services are prioritised	LPR-D-014	Comments welcomed and recognise that walking, cycling and service provision is set out clearly for each allocation and for the wider area.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Notes that the Local Plan lacks mention to Bicester's Garden Town principle. Suggests this should be reassessed. Notes shortcomings in meeting broader environmental standards. Notes the impacts of flooding in Wendlebury	LPR-D-015	Bicester's Eco-Town principles remain. Do not consider the Plan has shortcomings in environmental standards and elsewhere in the Plan, high standards are set for all development.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Queries the aspiration to become a Garden Town	LPR-D-123 LPR-D-119	There has been a shift away from Garden Town aspirations, whilst still valid, to foster Eco Town principles at NW Bicester.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Additional housing should be focussed at Bicester	LPR-D-223 LPR-D-105 LPR-D-107	Consider that the focus of housing development is at Bicester within this plan with existing commitments yet to be built out during the plan period.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Highlights the case for exploring a higher growth scenario to support economic growth and housing to support this.  Promotes a site to be allocated for residential development	LPR-D-224	Comments noted. The local housing need is considered an appropriate basis upon which to plan for future growth. Note omission site.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Objects to more development in Bicester	LPR-D-042 LPR-D-028 LPR-D-044 LPR-D-056 LPR-D-085	Comments noted.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Objects to more development in Bicester for reasons including a lack of infrastructure, services, jobs, pollution, housing and traffic levels	LPR-D-059 LPR-D-081 LPR-D-104 LPR-D-129 LPR-D-261 LPR-D-337 LPR-D-087	Comments noted. The level of development being planned for has been considered in the context of infrastructure required. The supporting evidence has assessed wildlife, flooding and drainage risk and traffic levels. Mitigation will be required where necessary.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Objects due to concerns over road infrastructure, wildlife, drainage, flooding and the expansion of North West Bicester eco-town	LPR-D-124	Comments noted. The level of development being planned for has been considered in the context of infrastructure required. The supporting evidence has assessed wildlife, flooding and drainage risk and traffic levels. Mitigation will be required where necessary.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Comments concern over the lack of infrastructure, flooding, sewage systems and wildlife and how these issues will worsen with new development	LPR-D-125	Comments noted. The level of development being planned for has been considered in the context of infrastructure required. The supporting evidence has assessed wildlife, flooding and drainage risk and traffic levels. Mitigation will be required where necessary.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Comments concern with bottlenecks at J9 from the A34, A41 and M40 and the creation of a rat run through Wendlebury. Considers the allocated employment land will exacerbate the issue.	LPR-D-219 (Wendelbury Parish Council)	The Plan is subject to transport modelling which will identify any necessary mitigation measures.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Considers the scale of proposed employment sites is concerning due to traffic levels and the impact on traffic infrastructure. The impact on Chesterton, Little Chesterton and Wendlebury must be considered	LPR-D-327	The Plan is subject to transport modelling which will identify any necessary mitigation measures.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Roads are unmanageable for what is planned for Bicester	LPR-D-245	Comments noted. The supporting evidence has assessed traffic levels. Mitigation will be required where necessary.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Concerned regarding the deliverability of North West Bicester, the 5 year housing land supply, lack of infrastructure and the Puy du Fou proposal	LPR-D-257	Comments noted. Whilst the Council cannot currently demonstrate a five year housing supply, it is expected that the Council will have a positive land supply on adoption of the Plan. Consider that the supporting Infrastructure Delivery Plan seeks to ensure that the necessary infrastructure is defined and how this will be provided to support the development. Planning applications which have not yet been determined, will not have been taken into account in the assessment of infrastructure and capacity on services.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Considers that the first phase of BIC H1 (500 homes) could be deliverable within the plan period and requests the policy is expressed as a minimum. Notes that neither the plan or the topic paper provide a detailed breakdown of the supply and requests anticipated delivery is included on the retained policies	LPR-D-266	The Plan has sought to identify a realistic delivery capacity within the Plan period up to 2042. It is not viewed as a maximum figure and any capacity above this would simply be added to the overall supply.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	The vision is too reliant on leisure and retail for employment opportunities.  Notes concerns regarding congestion	LPR-D-080	Disagree. The Plan provides sufficient employment land which is focussed on more traditional employment opportunities.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Strongly supports the policy. Needs to ensure that adequate strategic infrastructure is delivered alongside new development	LRP-D-222	Agree. Consider that the supporting Infrastructure Delivery Plan seeks to ensure that the necessary infrastructure is defined and how this will be provided to support the development.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Paragraph 5.11 mentions the role of Bicester Motion in the area's economic growth, but that central government support is required for it to be internationally competitive	LPR-D-026	Noted
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Objects due to impacts of traffic, lack of infrastructure and the focus on low paid retail employment. Notes concern over crime rates and littering. Suggests there should be more elderly apartment blocks and suggests solar panels on roofs of factories rather than on agricultural land	LPR-D-081	Points noted. The Plan seeks high levels of design which should assist with crime rates. Policies provide for elderly persons accommodation.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Objects to paragraph 5.11 and considers it to be unreasonable to lose Bicester's market town identity and heritage. The town centre needs a range of local independent shops with reduced rents and rates to thrive. Suggests all buildings have solar panels and an attractive design. Suggests amendments to the policy	LPR-D-111	Comments noted. There is a town centre first approach, but retail centres across the country are suffering. Rent levels are beyond the scope of the plan, though regeneration initiatives can be used to support and encourage the vitality of towns. The Plan cannot require solar panels to be provided retrospectively.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	The council's Playing Pitch Strategy includes a number of recommendations for Bicester including ensuring that if Bicester Rugby Club cannot continue to be accommodated at Whitelands Farm than an alternative site is allocated within the plan. Notes additional changing provision is required to support the rugby club. Requests that infrastructure allocations are included in the evidence base for Bicester.	LPR-D-191	There is nothing to prevent a planning application coming forward for an alternative site in line with the Plan policy on the loss of sports provision.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	There is the potential for an interface between the land allocated for Graven Hill and land potentially required to support the delivery of EWR	LPR-D-243	Comments noted. This can be considered further through the site specifics of a planning application and how the site is orientated.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Notes Ambrosden is identified within this area strategy but is also part of the rural areas, requests clarification as to what the proposed status of the land identified as a committed housing site is as it is not proposed as an allocation within the Local Plan. Promotes site off Ploughley Road for residential development	LPR-D-300	The strategy for development at Bicester may include land at Ambrosden and within other parishes.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	The policy should acknowledge Caversfield as a suburb that plays a critical role in the town	LPR-D-211	Disagree. Caversfield is a separate settlement.
Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	Concern regarding impact on air pollution and highways safety in Islip due to the proposed development. Objects to the stance that development would cluster homes/work/services and reduce car journeys	LPR-D-157	The Plan does not propose development in the Islip area.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Supports the policy	LPR-D-298	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Supports the policy and suggests improvements including greater connections between the town centre and Bicester Village	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed.  CLPR's Bicester Area Strategy p 11 and paragraph 5.35 p 214 support strengthening connections and legibility between the town centre and Bicester Village. Supporting text Policy BIC 3 indicates the package of measures identified in the policy may be further refined through development of the Movement and Place Strategy being developed by OCC.  This can then be added to the yearly update of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Supports recognition that all development will contribute to necessary infrastructure. Requests recognition of the need for contributions to be necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms, directly related to the development and reasonably related in scale. Suggests that safeguarded routes in the Oxfordshire County Council Bicester Area Strategy are included in the Local Plan	LPR-D-286	Support noted and welcomed.  Development contributions are sought in compliance with the NPPF and CIL Regulations planning obligations tests.  Safeguarding of transport infrastructure has been informed by OCC's advice including the safeguarding of Bicester's SEPR.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Welcomes the clarification regarding development providing financial contributions but requests further clarification as to whether contributions are for certain infrastructure projects or only those relevant to the development site	LPR-D-165	Noted.  Contributions will be sought to support funding of infrastructure needed to deliver the Local Plan. Some contributions will be directly related to a specific development proposal while other maybe a contribution to identified strategic infrastructure needed to deliver and/or mitigate growth planned in a wider area.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	The need for all development to contribute towards transport improvement schemes is welcomed, but notes there are existing constraints which need to be mitigated	LPR-D-222	Support noted and welcomed.  The Council has worked in collaboration with infrastructure providers and prepared an Infrastructure Delivery Plan setting out the infrastructure needed to deliver the CLPR strategy including mitigation, when it is needed, how much it would cost and how it will be delivered.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Notes that the Local Plan Review does not appear to consider how bus service improvements could operate on the A41 east of Bicester towards Aylesbury, which they would welcome. Comments concern about the impact of new development on the A41 corridor to Aylesbury. Notes there is a need for an A41 Corridor Technical Study to consider the cumulative impact of planned and speculative proposals. Would like to work closely on sustainable transport options and cohesive networks including footpaths and cycle routes	LPR-D-108 (Buckinghamshir e Council)	Noted.  OCC commissioned the A41 Corridor Study, July 2022 and are progressing a Corridor Travel Plan for the A41 and a Movement and Place Strategy (MAPS) for Bicester.  Transport evidence supporting the CLPR includes the Transport modelling and Bicester Transport Topic Papers published for consultation in December 2024.  A number of measures on the A41 have already been delivered including Ploughley Road/A41 junction improvements and the widening of the A41 (Oxford Road corridor). The role of the proposed South East Peripheral Road and measures on the Eastern Peripheral Corridor is to reduce vehicular movements along the A41 and wider area. Capacity improvements are aimed at improving the operation of public transport. We will continue to work with Buckinghamshire Council as the CLPR progresses to adoption and during the preparation of OCC's emerging corridor strategies and Bicester MAPS.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Comments that there are no upgrades to the existing roads	LPR-D-029	Disagree. Policy BIC 2 includes priority measures on the road network to facilitate public transport and corridor improvements. Bicester Transport Topic Paper published for consultation in December 2024 provides further details.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Objects to the omission of the Strategic Link Road, safeguarding for this should be included	LPR-D-237	Disagree.  Delivery of this transport scheme is appropriately addressed in Policy BIC H1 North West Bicester and supporting evidence.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	The policy is unsound as there is no guarantee that this significant infrastructure will be delivered within the Plan Period	LPR-D-152	Disagree. The Council has worked in collaboration with infrastructure providers and prepared an Infrastructure Delivery Plan setting out the infrastructure needed to deliver the CLPR strategy, when it is needed, how much it would cost and how it will be delivered.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	The need for all development to contribute towards transport improvement schemes is welcomed, but notes there are existing constraints which need to be mitigated	LPR-D-222	Noted. Cherwell operates in a two-tier local government structure. Cherwell and Oxfordshire Councils work collaboratively to ensure the integration of land use and transport plans and identification, and delivery of infrastructure needed to support the CLPR. This is detailed in the CLPR Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Explicit wording should be included to identify that policies such as BIC 2 would not be applicable to sites where planning permission has already been secured.	LPR-D-225	Disagree. The suggested change is unnecessary. Permitted development is outside the scope of Policy COM2 and will be delivered in accordance with the agreed S106 and conditions attached to the permission.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Generally supports the policy, suggests wording to capture the full extent of the works for the realignment of Howes Lane. Objects that North West Bicester should contribute towards 4 transport schemes	LPR-D-321	Noted Development contributions are sought in compliance with the NPPF and CIL Regulations planning obligations tests. The policy provides an appropriate level of detail while design work for the Howes Lane realignment progresses. Scheme ID 141 of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan prepared in collaboration with Oxfordshire County Council provides the details for this scheme.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	The priority of completing the Howes Lane project should be emphasised. The South East Perimeter Road should be considered in light of policies to manage future transport demand and the routing of the road should be carefully considered to minimise the impact on Wendlebury and Merton	LPR-D-321	Noted. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan makes clear the timing for infrastructure delivery including Howes Lane Diversion/Strategic Link Road. Measures are included to address traffic impact on rural roads.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	The role of Heyford Park and Bicester as key centres of growth are welcomed, as is the intention for Bicester to expand and continue transforming into a 'Garden Town'. The significant level of housing and population growth for Bicester is welcomed. The reference to efforts to improve connectivity at M40 J9 and J10 is noted	LPR-D-298	Noted and welcomed.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	The policy will have a detrimental effect on the population outside Bicester. The proposed developments will increase the traffic on rural roads	LPR-D-273 (Weston on the Green Parish Council)	Noted.  Measures are included to address traffic impact on rural roads.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Most new allocations will rely on road traffic. Considers there is a failure to address traffic bottlenecks e.g. J9 and that there are no provisions for new rail, cycleways or other active travel	LPR-D-015	Disagree. Plan policies and in more detail the Infrastructure Deliver Plan address road and public transport and active travel infrastructure required to deliver the Plan.
Policy BIC 2: Delivery of Strategic Transport Schemes within the Bicester Area	Notes there is no specific mention of areas being improved for the development north of Southam Road. Improved and safe routes into the town from Hanwell Fields is required	LPR-D-168	This policy relates to Bicester not Banbury. Plan policies and in more detail the Infrastructure Deliver Plan address road and public transport and active travel infrastructure required to deliver the Plan.
Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area	Doesn't object to the safeguarding of land for the Bicester South East Perimeter Road but expresses concern about potential impacts of the road on Scheduled Monuments Associated with Alchester.	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Noted.  OCC are currently progressing the Bicester Movement and Place Strategy. Historic England's views have been shared with OCC to inform next stages of scheme development regarding the SEPR.  The Council will continue to work with Historic England on CLPR matters and heritage evidence.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area	Supports the policy, suggests editorial corrections. Notes that the role of the South East Peripheral Road will be confirmed through Movement and Place Strategies work. The provision of a new road would need to be delivered alongside transformational changes to the existing network. Comments the SEPR is referred to in the plan as both 'perimeter' and 'peripheral', requests consistency	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed. South East Perimeter Road is the title given in the current transport area strategy for Bicester. Editorial changes are included in the Proposed Changes list to reflect new referencing to the SEPR (South East Peripheral Road). Minor editorial improvements can be undertaken following the CLPR examination.
Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area	The South East Perimeter Road safeguarding is identified on the policies map and crosses the existing railway line and land safeguarded for EWR. No further details are provided in the IDP or Transport Topic Paper. This issue should be explored further	LPR-D-243 (East-West Rail)	The NPPF requires policies to identify and protect sites and routes which could be critical in developing infrastructure.  Improvements to the peripheral routes serving Bicester have been and continue to be an integral part of Oxfordshire County Council's policy requirements for Bicester. The provision of a South East Peripheral Road (SEPR) is one of a number of long term schemes identified by the County Council within the Transport Strategy for Bicester as being essential in order to facilitate the town's future growth.  The SEPR is identified in the Oxfordshire Local Transport and Connectivity Plan area strategy for Bicester (Policy BIC1). The scheme is clearly identified in the CLPR and its transport and infrastructure evidence and a vehicular bridge over the railway is already in place.  Safeguarding of the route in the CLPR will ensure land is available while the County Council continue to refine transport improvements in the eastern peripheral corridor of Bicester and undertake the necessary engagement with stakeholders including East-West Rail.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area	Comments concern over the deliverability of the South East Perimeter Road during the plan period	LPR-D-152	Noted. Infrastructure Delivery Plan provides details on costs, timeframes and delivery of the SEPR.
Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area	Considers the plan should improve the ring road on the west of Bicester. Notes support for the realignment of Howes Lane and the bridge under the railway	LPR-D-014	Noted.
Policy BIC 3: Safeguarding of Land for Strategic Transport Schemes in the Bicester Area	Considers the proposed perimeter road north of Wendlebury would isolate the village and prevent access to the countryside. Considers Wendlebury will experience more rat-running and noise/air pollution.	LPR-D-015 LPR-D-219 (Wendlebury Parish Council)	Noted.  Mitigation measures have been identified to address potential rat running through the villages and maintaining Wednesbury's connectivity. The CLPR safeguards land for the road with further road planning and feasibility to be undertaken by Oxfordshire County Council.
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	Supports the policy but considers that the creation of an urban edge park should be included in the policy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	It is included in the first bullet point of Policy BIC 4.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	Notes there is intention to create an urban edge park by protecting existing green spaces and securing new specific open space. Suggests the intended or potential route should be shown and requests clarification on how it will be implemented	LPR-D-291	Noted. Policy BIC 4 provides a clear description of the elements that would comprise the route. Following CLPR adoption, with the place strategies and allocations in place, the Council can review green and blue infrastructure alongside the emerging Local Nature Recovery Network and the yearly updates to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	Objects to the omission of Bucknell and North West Bicester in the policy	LPR-D-023	Policy BIC 4 bullet point 4 includes the extension and enhancement of green and blue infrastructure connections outwards from Bicester including connections to Ardley cutting and Quarry SSSI along the railway line within Bucknell and North West Bicester.
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	Notes that the Bicester Strategy document refers to Landford Meadows and Bicester fields as both being in the same place. It was renamed Langford Park last year and requests amendment to the wording	LPR-D-111	Noted.  Minor editorial improvements can be addressed following the CLPR examination.
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	The Gavray Drive allocation includes a LWS, CTA and proposed LGS however the emphasis on re-naturalisation in BIC 4 is not fully aligned with the saved policy Bicester 13. Suggests amendments to the wording	LPR-D-145	Disagree. The planning balance between nature conservation and development needs will be applied at decision making stage when enabling development could be considered.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	It is essential that any schemes are carefully designed to ensure deliverability where their ownership is required	LPR-D-222	Noted.
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	It should be considered how explicit wording can be incorporated into the emerging Local Plan to identify that policies, including BIC 4, would not be applicable to sites where planning permission has already been secured. Suggests amendments to the wording	LPR-D-225	Disagree.  Permitted development is outside the scope of Policy BIC 4 and will be delivered in accordance with the agreed S106 and conditions attached to the permission.
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	The plan fails to ensure sufficient green infrastructure provisions north of Bicester. Requests amendments to the policy to include a requirement for green infrastructure implementation before development begins	LPR-D-228	Disagree. The Plan should be read as a whole including the requirements of Policy CSD 11, CSD 12 CSD 13, CSD 14 and CSD 15 on protection and enhancement of biodiversity, biodiversity net gain natural capital and green and blue infrastructure, and site-specific requirements in the CLPR site allocations policies. The Infrastructure delivery Plan provides information on infrastructure funding and delivery.
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	A specific map of pathways the council has designated green lanes or as part of wider ecological networks is needed. Notes connectivity isn't identified, and blue infrastructure has no protection and is improperly mapped. Considers the proposed relief road south of Graven Hill could isolate Local Wildlife sites and	LPR-D-043	The Green & Blue Infrastructure Strategy maps the G&BI proposals. Following CLPR adoption, with the place strategies and allocations in place, the Council can review green and blue infrastructure alongside the emerging Local Nature Recovery Network and the yearly updates to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.  The CLPR safeguards land for the South East Peripheral Road, design and feasibility work from Oxfordshire County Council will address potential ecological and heritage impacts.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	therefore wildlife crossings are required. Queries if the duty to cooperate includes consultation with Wildlife Trusts		
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	The plan fails to deal with the proposals for burial lands at North-West Bicester	LPR-D-121	Policy BIC H1 North West Bicester requires cemetery provision.
Policy BIC 4: Delivery of Green and other Strategic Infrastructure in the Bicester Area	The policy unduly limits the delivery of The Reserve in respect of land use.	LPR-D-265	Disagree.  The Policy supports restoration and use of the Quarry for outdoor recreation subject to proposals being compatible with the Local Wildlife Site designation and partial SSSI. It will be for the proposals to demonstrate compatibility. The planning balance between heritage and ecology policies and development needs will be applied at decision making stage.
Policy BIC 5: Bicester Opportunity Areas	Considers that the levels 1&2 SFRAs and the sequential and exception tests do not justify the proposed housing and employment growth	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Consider the Level 1 and 2 SFRA alongside the Sequential and Exception test do justify the proposed growth set out in the Plan. Acknowledge new data is published and the Council has commissioned an update to the Levels 1 and 2 in light of this to help address the specific concerns raised by the EA in respect of flood data. The flood evidence and other documents such as the HELAA, have helped to filter the potential allocations of land for housing and employment. The flood evidence has also helped influence the policy requirements for specific sites in accordance with national policy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 5: Bicester Opportunity Areas	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy BIC 5: Bicester Opportunity Areas	Notes for site 3: London Road Area that the text infers that new parking could be provided as part of the upgrades to East-West Rail and if required further information would be needed as to why this is requested. Considers that there is opportunity to include new waiting and w/c facilities in the area	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted
Policy BIC 5: Bicester Opportunity Areas	Comments that the option of a new crossing would not be provided due to the nature and extent of the land take. Includes evidence and requests the issue is explored with the council	LPR-D-243	This is a matter to be explored, the Plan has been written in such a way that its provision should be considered as part of any development.
Policy BIC 5: Bicester Opportunity Areas	Objects to the lack of proposed parking for Market Square as this would reduce custom for traders. Considers potholes and road resurfacing should be a financial priority	LPR-D-026	Noted. The Council is progressing a scheme to enhance Market Square which has been subject to separate consultation and engagement.
Policy BIC 6: Former RAF Bicester	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC 6: Former RAF Bicester	Suggests the policy is renamed 'Bicester Motion'. Suggests the policy enables the provision of residential development. Notes that the policy and supporting text refer to Elm Farm Quarry rather than Stratton Audley Quarry	LPR-D-265	No reference in the CLPR to Elm Farm Quarry.  Renaming of Policy BIC 6 is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policy BIC 6: Former RAF Bicester	Opposes conservation at ex-RAF Bicester if this entails noise and air pollution from old cars	LPR-D-026	Noted.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Notes that the proposed allocation area is larger than that assessed in the Heritage Impact Assessment but that the requirements for HIA in criterion 26 are helpful. Requests amendments to the key design requirements including retention and respect of existing buildings and heritage assets, reinforcement and identification of opportunities to connect with the wider landscape, minimise urbanising effects on the Grade II listed Manor House to the north of the site and consideration of the impacts on rural communities including Bucknell. States that an archaeological desk-based assessment will be required and potentially a field evaluation	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Comments noted. Consider that the policy addresses these concerns.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	States the need for an onsite primary healthcare facility to be built due to the further 4300 dwellings to be delivered beyond the plan period, to ensure an adequate GP service. Objects to the policy unless it is reworded as per text suggested by the ICB to recognise that the ICB has already secured a site to provide healthcare services and some developer contributions at the Himley Village site. Due to the economic climate, the ICB does not consider it would be able to support a new facility that is financially and operationally viable. Requests a turnkey facility to be built.	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Comments are noted. BOB ICB were consulted upon the health care requirements for this site as part of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan to understand BOB ICB's long term health provision strategy for Cherwell. It is recognised that there have been some contributions sought as part of a planning application. However, retention of the reference for healthcare services is essential to ensure that this policy requirement exists for any changes in circumstances and the Council will continue its dialogue with BOB ICB to ensure that the appropriate health provision can be achieved.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Requests the addition of a requirement to the policy to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages. It should be ensured that development responds positively to amenity and use of Gagle Brook Primary School, and development proposals should be accompanied by technical reports regarding this. The site is in an area of archaeological interest, notes that surveys and partial evaluations recorded a low level of archaeological remains but further archaeological investigations may be required. Supports policy requirements and suggests improvements to the strategic gap and on green and blue infrastructure	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Mitigation measures for the surrounding countryside are included within the policy and additional proposed policy text to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages. Agree that further archaeological evaluations may be required and will add to policy. The consideration of Gagle Brook Primary School can be addressed through the planning application stage.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand what drainage requirements are required on/off site, clarity on what loading/flow from the development is anticipated, water supply requirements on/off side. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water so they can build a detailed picture of what will be built where, gain confidence when development will start and what the phasing will be. Recommends that the developers attach the information Thames Water provide to their planning applications. Notes specific concerns regarding waste water/ water supply network capacity and the need to liaise with Thames Water to determine if a detailed drainage/water infrastructure strategy is required	LPR-D-079	The policy requires the developer to engage with Thames Water so consider this places sufficient emphasis on this element. The Council has liaised with Thames Water and the Environment Agency about the level and location of development through the plan making process.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Supports the policy	LPR-D-218	Support noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Supports the site allocation in principle, but objects to the current wording. Notes that with the increased site area, there is scope to deliver more than 7,500 homes. In support of a comprehensive approach to delivery but the requirement to submit masterplans and design codes with each application is inappropriate and unrealistic as many of the parcels are significantly progressed. Disagrees with the assumed delivery rates and considers a higher number of homes can be achieved by 2042. Notes there is no supporting text requiring comprehensive collaboration across the land parcels to deliver transport requirements and no mention of the SLR. Requests clarification regarding the 40% green infrastructure provision. Supportive of the community facilities and education requirements. Seeks clarity on the community management organisation mechanism. The adopted illustrative masterplan should be carried forward	LPR-D-237	Masterplan and design codes are an appropriate requirement for a strategic allocation of 7500 homes. Acknowledge points around delivery, although the trajectory assumes a realistic rate of delivery and there is no maximum figure within the plan period. If a greater level of development can be achieved, this will add to the overall housing supply. The community management organisation has been discussed at the Bicester Develope Forum in May 2025. The requirement for 40% Green Infrastructure is a key part of the North West Bicester Eco –Town and the vision for the site to promote healthy lifestyles and a high quality of place.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	The policy is not legally or procedurally complaint or justified/ consistent with National Policy. Notes, however, that it is positively prepared and effective. Objects to the inclusion of areas of ancient woodland within development sites or allocations that adversely affects ancient woodland and other priority wooded habitats. Notes the site includes 0.5ha of ancient semi-natural woodland and unless this irreplaceable habitat is suitably protected from loss or harm, the policy cannot be legally compliant, justified or sound. States that point 25 wording is insufficiently specific, clear and robust. Notes the site also includes 0.06ha of traditional orchard and several areas of priority deciduous woodland which have protection in the NPPF.  Recommends that point 25 wording is amended to retain and protect the ancient woodland with a buffer of at least 15m and utilise new planting to enhance connectivity. Also recommends a new point 26 to enhance and strengthen the traditional orchard, including introduction of additional fruit trees and provide appropriate buffers	LPR-D-082	The site boundary has been drawn to follow natural boundaries, such as field patterns and has not sought to exclude pockets of woodland. That is not to say that these areas would be developed. For example, the retention and protection of Grunthill Copse is se out at Criterion 25. Consider this is an appropriate response to this habitat. It would no be necessary to duplicate the NPPF in this policy to refer to 0.06 hectares of traditional orchard.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	The policy is considered to be unsound, not justified and states that alternative approaches could be taken by requiring inclusion of policy and spatial planning as set out within the North West Bicester Masterplan and SPD. The policy is not consistent with national policy and does not comply with the NPPF paragraph 192(b). It is unclear as to whether the policy replaces or adds to the requirements of the masterplan and SPD. They should be retained in full and referenced in the policy. There is need for additional mapping and the need for additional policies for wildlife and habitat creation. The recommendations of the 'Ecological Assessment of the Potential Site Allocations' study should be added to the specific policy wording	LPR-D-238	The intention is that a new masterplan be produced to cover the full extent of the allocation. Additional policies for wildlife and habitat creation are not considered necessary, the policy adequately addresses this matter.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects to the allocation	LPR-D-036	Comments noted.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects to the allocation due to the impact on Bucknell, traffic congestion, utilities and infrastructure, flooding and the focus on employment	LPR-D-101 LPR-D-104	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic, flooding, utilities and other infrastructure.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects to the allocation due to a lack of infrastructure, services and traffic issues	LPR-D-056 LPR-D-059	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic, flooding, utilities and other infrastructure.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects to the allocation due to concern over consequences to transport, infrastructure, utilities, green space and wildlife	LPR-D-070	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic, flooding, utilities, wildlife and other infrastructure. Where necessary, mitigation measures have been required within policy to address and overcome any impacts of development.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects due to increased urban sprawl, insufficient infrastructure, flooding concerns, impact on wildlife, and a lack of provision for the proposed Theme Park	LPR-D-313 LPR-D-030	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic, flooding, utilities, wildlife and other infrastructure. The proposed theme park is not committed development and as such has not been assessed in terms of cumulative impact.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects to the housing numbers proposed for the site	LPR-D-047 LPR-D-125	Comments noted.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects to the additional numbers proposed for North West Bicester due to a lack of adequate infrastructure	LPR-D-051 LPR-D-058 LPR-D-022 LPR-D-047	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic, flooding, utilities, wildlife and other infrastructure.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects to the expansion to 7,500 homes due to a lack of road infrastructure, proximity to Bucknell, and the impacts on wildlife, drainage and flooding	LPR-D-109 LPR-D-025	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic, flooding, utilities, wildlife and other infrastructure.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects to the increasing numbers for the allocation due to the lack of infrastructure. Notes there is conflict with the map in appendix 4, as the allocation is a part of the strategic gap. Suggests wording changes to the policy relating to light pollution	LPR-D-260 (Bucknell Parish Council)	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic, flooding, utilities, wildlife and other infrastructure. Where necessary, mitigation measures have been required within policy to address and overcome any impacts of development. Light pollution potentially arising through any planning application can be assessed using Policy CSD18 and it is not considered necessary to duplicate this here.  There is no conflict between Policy BIC H1 and Appendix 4. It is considered appropriate and justified that where allocations contain large areas which are likely to remain as green space and play an important role in preserving distinction between settlements, these are included in the defined strategic gap.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			This approach is proposed for the strategic gap between North-West Bicester and Bucknell. Given the scale of the development proposed, the area of the defined strategic gap is shown in the policy map for Policy BIC H1. The application of Policy COM 13 in this area is no different to other strategic gaps.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects as specific details of the development are not included	LPR-D-080	The site specific will be presented as part of any planning application. The policy is intended to guide development and set out key requirements.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects due to insufficient measures to prevent coalescence with Bucknell and does not consider adequate road infrastructure	LPR-D-284	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic. Separation with Bucknell is specifically referenced in criterion 23.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Objects to the site being categorised as a housing allocation, it should be changed to mixed-use	LPR-D-316	Disagree, this is a predominantly residential led development with limited employment relative to its scale.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Infrastructure is needed for new residents, otherwise car journeys will increase	LPR-D-067	The developments will be required to deliver appropriate infrastructure to meet the needs of the new residents.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	The housing number proposed for the site is too high for reasons including impacts on air, light and noise pollution	LPR-D-023	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic and air quality. Light and noise pollution will be considered through any planning application.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Notes concern with the site due to inadequate infrastructure and the impact on Bicester and Caversfield	LPR-D-327	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic and other forms of infrastructure.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Concern regarding the overlap between the allocation and strategic gap. Recommends the policy is deleted or the strategic gaps are amended so it would not encroach into the allocation	LPR-D-321	Note concerns. Strategic gaps focus on separation and do not prevent development.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	There are more appropriate sites, such as Heyford Park. Notes concern over lack of rail improvements, insufficient sustainable transport provisions, and a likely increase in car dependency which undermines the plan's alignment with national sustainability policies. States that the policy has not proven to offer a 'vision-led' are for growth	LPR-D-210	Disagree, this is an existing strategic allocation which has been expanded beyond that already examined and adopted. The site provides for walking, cycling and public transport opportunities alongside car usage.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	The allocated site has the same outline as planning application 21/04275/OUT and suggests this may point to undue developer influence on the allocation. Suggests an independent examination is required into the transparency of the plan-making process	LPR-D-023	The Plan will be subject to independent examination.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Suggests masterplans and design codes are submitted with each application to show how it will connect with neighbouring development. Queries how the 40% green infrastructure/open space requirement can be met. Notes concern regarding transport infrastructure and viability. Suggests wording amendments	LPR-D-266	Comprehensive masterplan(s) and design code(s) are required through this policy. The 40% Green Infrastructure requirement is in the adopted policy for NW Bicester and this extension is expected to adhere to that level of provision. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic and viability.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Suggests buffers for development are needed and the strategic gap is ill-defined and needs a clear definition	LPR-D-047	Note concerns. Strategic gaps focus on separation and do not prevent development.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Notes concern regarding the strategic gap and considers this should prevent all development including managed green spaces/playing fields. Also comments that gaps should require noise and light pollution buffers. The plan should include measures to prevent traffic exiting onto Bucknell Road or Bainton Road	LPR-D-012	Disagree with the proposed amendments which stray beyond the purpose of Green Gaps and its supporting evidence.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Economic development should be balanced with genuine carbon-neutral development	LPR-D-014	Noted.
Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco- Town	Access to Bucknell Road and Bainton Road should be prevented	LPR-D-047	Noted.  Mitigation measures will address impact on rural roads and rat running via rural settlements.
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Notes this is the largest employment allocation and that more likely to impact the SRN. Welcomes the safeguarding of land for M40 J9 capacity improvements	LPR-D-200 (National Highways)	Comments noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Welcomes the requirement for a LVIA and HIA but considers these should be separate, not combined. Recommends amendments to the wording to require proposals to be accompanied and influenced by a landscape/visual impact assessment and a heritage impact assessment	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	The requirements are considered to be clear and are set out in the policy.
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Welcomes the reference to traffic calming measures but requests improvements.  Notes the SEPR is covered well in the supporting text but the requirement should be made explicit in the policy.  Notes the site sits in an area of considerable archaeological interest.  Supports policy criteria 29 but suggests improvements including evaluation rather than excavation at this stage. Notes the allocation will adversely affect local character and views/ approach from the M40. Notes the capacity improvements to J9 of the M40 and notes the site will affect the local Public Rights of Way. Criteria 11 should be amended by replacing traffic calming measures with measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages. Suggests BIC E1 should be limited in extent to its southern section where it adjoins already permitted development	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Consider that the traffic calming measures are appropriate. The policy and text should be read together but agree this could be made clearer. The consultation with the County archaeologist may result in evaluation rather than excavation - it simply is not known at this point.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand what drainage requirements are required on/off site, clarity on the anticipated loading/flow from the development and water supply requirements on/off site. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water so they can build a detailed picture of what is being built, where to get confidence when that development will start and what the phasing will be. Recommends that the developers attach Thames Water's information provided to their applications.	LPR-D-079	The policy requires the developer to engage with Thames Water so consider this places sufficient emphasis on this element. The Council has liaised with Thames Water and the Environment Agency about the level and location of development through the plan making process.
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Objects to the inclusion of areas of ancient woodland within the development sites or any allocation that adversely impacts ancient woodland and other priority wooded habitats. Notes the site includes 0.4ha of unnamed ancient seminatural woodland and a site of unnamed wood, approximately 2.3ha which is a priority habitat deciduous woodland. Requests the protection for ancient woodland by specifying a minimum 15m buffer but would favour a 50m buffer. Recommends wording amendments to better protect the deciduous woodland	LPR-D-082	The site boundary has been drawn to follow natural boundaries, such as field patterns and has not sought to exclude pockets of woodland. That is not to say that these areas would be developed, the policy makes specific reference to priority habitats and their preservation and enhancement. It would not be necessary to duplicate the NPPF in this policy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Considers the policy to be unsound, not justified and not effective. Accepts there is a need for some level of commercial development but considers the overall level of loss of greenfield sites to commercial development to be excessive and not justified. Greater clarity is needed regarding the requirements for wildlife habitat provision. There needs to be a requirement to create a wildlife rich LNR, which the size of should be specified.	LPR-D-238	The detail of a Local Nature Reserve can be worked up to support a planning application and does need to be fully detailed for plan making. Disagree that the loss of greenfield sites is excessive as the sites allocated are to meet identified employment need.
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Objects to the site due to traffic, creation of a rat-run, noise and light pollution and flooding	LPR-D-017 LPR-D-018	Traffic impact has been considered, individually and cumulatively, though transport modelling across the district. Noise, light and flooding issues are to be addressed through the policy and specifically through any planning application.
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Objects to the allocation due to the potential impact on Chesterton's character, issue of highways access and infrastructure provision. Objects to B8 use as the large buildings don't provide many jobs. The overall developable area should be reduced	LPR-D-281 (Chesterton Parish Council)	The potential impact on Chesterton has been considered and has informed the developable area within this Policy. The inclusion of B8 uses on this site reflects the high need for this type of employment land in the evidence.
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Supports the site allocation. Suggests inclusion of 'at least 30 hectares' in the policy. Objects to the requirement to submit a comprehensive strategic masterplan. Suggests deletion of criteria 7-12, 19, 25-32.	LPR-D-318	This is a significant strategic allocation for Bicester and should be subject to a comprehensive masterplan to guide development and provide clarity and certainty. Alongside the adjacent sites this will provide an employment gateway into Bicester.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	The proposed sites do not contain any assessment or mitigation for the additional traffic and impact of waste water and effluent disposal from the sites. The policy should be revised to cover this	LPR-D-006	The individual and cumulative impact of the sites allocated in this plan have been assessed in terms of traffic impact. In addition, there is a range of evidence that assesses these sites and notes the need for mitigation as appropriate. One of these studies is the water cycle study which considers water resources.
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Suggests the design and access is amended to increase safety for pedestrians	LPR-D-045	Consider that the pedestrian and cycling facilities have been included with safety in mind in consultation with the Highways Authority.
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Considers the site is too far from Bicester and undermines sustainable development principles	LPR-D-039	Disagree. The site is within cycle distance of Bicester and benefits from public transport links.
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	BIC E1 should be removed from consideration of the approach to BIC E2 and E3	LPR-D-285	Disagree, these sites collectively provide an employment gateway into Bicester and will all load traffic onto the same corridor.
Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	The relationship between employment development plans and policies for CSD9 would fail Wendlebury due to sewage and water issues	LPR-D-325	Disagree. These sites will need to address water issues.
Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Welcomes the reference to heritage assets but requests amendments to the wording in relation to the developable area's extent, the need for development proposals to be accompanied by a Landscape/visual impact assessment and heritage impact assessment, consideration of cumulative impacts of the site with Bic E3 on the Chesterton Conservation Area and the need for a desk based archaeological investigation and potential excavation	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Comments noted. Consider that the policy addresses these concerns.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Requests the addition of a requirement for measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages and a requirement to contribute towards the SEPR. Notes the site lies in an area of archaeological interest. Supports criteria 24 but requests it is amended to request evaluation rather than excavation at this stage. Agrees that BIC E1, E2, and E3 should be considered and designed comprehensively. Notes the allocation will change the character and views of the area. The impact on Chesterton and its setting is of concern. The impact on the PRoW and A41 should be considered and lighting should be kept to a minimum. A requirement that adjoining fields should be free from development should be restricted in areas close to the A41 to retain buffers and the more rural setting for Chesterton and Little Chesterton	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Consider that the traffic calming measures are appropriate. The policy and text should be read together but agree this could be made clearer. The consultation with the County archaeologist may result in evaluation rather than excavation - it simply is not known at this point. The potential impact on Chesterton has been considered and has informed the developable area within this Policy. It is agreed that the development should consider the relationship with the A41 and also to maintain a buffer with Chesterton and Little Chesterton. The cumulative impact of this and adjacent proposed site allocation or the Chesterton Conservation Area is specifically referenced at criterion 23. The detail of how this can best be achieved will need to be managed through the development management process.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand what drainage requirements are required on/off site, clarity on the the anticipated loading/flow from the development and water supply requirements on/off site. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water so they can build a detailed picture of what is being built, where to get confidence when that development will start and what the phasing will be. Recommends that the developers attach Thames Water's information provided to their applications.	LPR-D-079	The policy requires the developer to engage with Thames Water so consider this places sufficient emphasis on this element. The Council has liaised with Thames Water and the Environment Agency about the level and location of development throughout the plan making process.
Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Considers the policy to be unsound and not justified. Accepts the need for some level of commercial development in some areas but considers the overall level of loss of greenfield sites to commercial development to be excessive and not justified, especially considering recent commercial development. Suggests there are alternative approaches that could be taken including greater clarity in the requirements for wildlife habitat provision. States the policy needs to ask for the creation of a wildlife-rich LNR of which the size is specified	LPR-D-238	The detail of a Local Nature Reserve can be worked up to support a planning application and does need to be fully detailed for plan making. Disagree that the loss of greenfield sites is excessive as the sites allocated are to meet identified employment need.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Objects to the site due to the impact on traffic, creation of a rat-run, noise and light pollution and flooding	LPR-D-017 LPR-D-018	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of development in the plan has been assessed in terms of traffic, flooding, utilities, wildlife and other infrastructure. Where necessary, mitigation measures have been required within policy to address and overcome any impacts of development.
Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Objects due to the potential impact on Chesterton's character, issues of highways access and infrastructure provision. Objects to B8 use as they do not provide many jobs. The overall developable area needs to be reduced	LPR-D-281 (Chesterton Parish Council)	The potential impact on Chesterton has been considered and has informed the developable area within this Policy. The inclusion of B8 uses on this site reflects the high need for this type of employment land in the evidence.
Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Objects to the 9ha developable area. States that the masterplan requirement adds unnecessary complexity and restricts flexibility in delivery. Proposes amendments to the policy	LPR-D-285	Acknowledge that there is a considerable difference in size between the site area and developable area for the employment allocations along the A41 corridor. This reflects the consideration of heritage concerns, flooding, the setting of Chesterton and other relevant matters.
Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Notes that the proposed site does not contain any assessment or mitigation for the additional traffic and impact of wastewater and effluent disposal. The policy should be revised to mitigate any impact	LPR-D-006	The individual and cumulative impact of the sites allocated in this plan have been assessed in terms of traffic impact. In addition, there is a range of evidence that assesses these sites and notes the need for mitigation as appropriate. One of these studies is the water cycle study which considers water resources.
Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Considers the site is too far from Bicester and undermines sustainable development principles	LPR-D-039	Disagree. The site is within cycle distance of Bicester and benefits from public transport links.
Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton	Notes concern over the deliverability of the site as the site was promoted for residential development	LPR-D-152	The site is available for economic development.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	It has not been demonstrated that the allocation can be delivered outside of the flood areas and the site has not been addressed in the SFRA Level 2	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	A level 2 SFRA has been commissioned in response to this comment.
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Welcomes the reference to heritage assets but suggests wording amendments and alterations. Suggests key design requirement 12's developable area should be softened and pulled back in the northern and eastern extents of the site and management of building height and massing and use of landscaping to strengthen the separation with Chesterton lodge. Suggests planning application requirement 12 includes a requirement for development proposals to be accompanied by a landscape/visual impact assessment and a heritage impact assessment. Suggests key design requirement 13 is amended to ensure that the consideration of the cumulative impact of BIC E3 and E2 on the Chesterton Conservation Area form part of any planning application and on key design requirement 24 that a desk-based archaeological investigation and further investigation of archaeological potential through excavation are used	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Welcome support. Agree that the developable area should be pulled back and this is set out in criterion 12. The intention behind criterion 23 is for separate landscape and heritage impact assessments. Agree that the cumulative impact should be considered, and this is required by criterion 24. Archaeological potential also needs to be considered through the planning application process.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	A requirement for measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages should be added. There should be a requirement added for contributions towards SEPR. Notes the site is in an area of archaeological interest and supports criteria 25 but requests amendment to request an evaluation rather than an excavation at this stage. Agrees that BIC E1, E2, E3 should be considered and designed comprehensively. Notes that the allocation will change the character and views of the area and that the impact on Chesterton and its setting is of concern	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Criterion 22 does require development to prevent vehicular traffic adversely affecting surrounding communities. The excavation is subject to further consultation with the County archaeologist. Agree that contributions should be sought towards the SEPR and proposed changes to the policy will be provided.
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand what drainage requirements are required on/off site, clarity on the anticipated loading/flow from the development and water supply requirements on/off site. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water so they can build a detailed picture of what is being built, where to get confidence when that development will start and what the phasing will be. Recommends that the developers attach Thames Water's information provided to their applications.	LPR-D-079	The policy requires the developer to engage with Thames Water so consider this places sufficient emphasis on this element. The Council has liaised with Thames Water and the Environment Agency about the level and location of development through the plan making process.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Considers the policy to be unsound and not justified due to its impact on the natural environment when there are alternative sites with a lesser impact.  Objects to the proposed site allocation and the policy due to its major impact on the ability for wildlife to move between the rural areas on East- West Bicester by creating a continuous urbanised area stretching from the M40 corridor to the northern edge of Caversfield. Considers the area should be maintained as greenfield as an ecological corridor and as such the proposed allocation should be removed from the plan	LPR-D-238	Disagree. This site and the adjacent allocations provide the opportunity to o create a high quality employment corridor along the A41 into Bicester and is considered to be acceptable and positive in planning terms. Each of the policies refers to linkages with Priority habitats and wetland habitats along the edges of sites. They also refer to enhancements for biodiversity and connections between the sites.
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Objects to the site due to the impact on traffic, rat-runs, noise and light pollution and flooding	LPR-D-017 LPR-D-018	Comments noted. The individual and cumulative impact of the sites allocated in this plan have been assessed in terms of traffic impact. Light and noise pollution will be considered alongside any planning application in accordance with policies set out elsewhere in the Plan.
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Objects due to the potential impact on Chesterton's character, issue of highways access, and infrastructure provision. Objects to B8 as they do not create many jobs. Objects to the developable area which should be reduced	LPR-D-281 (Chesterton Parish Council)	The potential impact on Chesterton has been considered and has informed the developable area within this Policy. The inclusion of B8 uses on this site reflects the high need for this type of employment land in the evidence.
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Supports the allocation and its alignment with the Oxford-Cambridge Arc. Suggests a minor modification to the text relating to vehicular access and design/layout	LPR-D-278	Support welcomed. The vehicular access requirement is set out within the policy at criterion 3 and the connection o cycleways and pedestrian routes are set out at criterion 6.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	The proposed employment sites do not contain any assessment or mitigation for the additional traffic and impact of water and effluent disposal from the sites. The policy should be revised to mitigate any impact	LPR-D-006	The individual and cumulative impact of the sites allocated in this plan have been assessed in terms of traffic impact. In addition, there is a range of evidence that assesses these sites and notes the need for mitigation as appropriate. One of these studies is the water cycle study which considers water resources.
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Comments express concern on the entrance from the old Roman Road from Chesterton towards Kirtlington	LPR-D-045	Note comments. The policy has been informed by the Heritage Impact Assessment undertaken to consider the potential allocations contained within the Plan.
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Considers the location to be inappropriate due to it sitting adjacent to a category C village. Considers the constraints on the site will limit deliverability	LPR-D-039	It is not considered that deliverability will be compromised.
Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Given the geographical proximity and shared infrastructure dependencies of BIC E2 and E3 a cohesive policy would ensure coordinated development, consistency in design and sustainability standards and simplifies the planning process. Therefore, the council should produce a single policy that sets out clear and consistent principles for the development of BIC E2 and E3 which establishes a structured approach with flexibility in a defined framework	LPR-D-285	Whilst a joint policy was considered, there are a number of site-specific requirements for each site and it was considered that a separate policy for each allocation provided greater clarity and avoided confusion.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	It has not been demonstrated that the allocation can be delivered outside of the flood areas. Notes that an ordinary watercourse runs through the site. The site has not been addressed in the SFRA level 2. Within planning application requirements section additions are requested regarding a flood risk assessment being informed by suitable ground investigation, and a surface water management framework to maintain run off rates to greenfield rates and volumes with use of Sustainable Drainage Systems in accordance with CSD8	LPR-D-312 (Environment Agency)	Consider the Level 1 and 2 SFRA alongside the Sequential and Exception test do justify the proposed growth set out in the Plan. Acknowledge new data is published and the Council has commissioned an update to the Levels 1 and 2 in light of this to help address the specific concerns raised by the EA in respect of flood data. The flood evidence and other documents such as the HELAA, have helped to filter the potential allocations of land for housing and employment. The flood evidence has also helped influence the policy requirements for specific sites in accordance with national policy.
Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Comments express concern about bringing this site forward for employment development as the risk of significant residual harm is high. Considers that the site allocation does not form part of a positive strategy for the historic environment and raises concerns regarding the new perimeter road the site requires to be unlocked. States that the Heritage Impact Assessment considers the risk of residual harm to be high after the application of suitable mitigation strategies and the site risks the erosion of the ability to appreciate the Scheduled Monument.	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Comments noted. The evidence commissioned on heritage does not preclude development on this site and appropriate mitigation measures have been included within the policy. This site requires the peripheral road to unlock the site and to help relieve traffic congestion across Bicester.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Requires amendments and provides specific wording including the safeguarding of a corridor for the SEPR and a direct route to the M40. Notes that accessing the site only via the A41 would not be considered acceptable. Highlights that the site sites in area of high archaeological interest and supports criteria 23. States that a full archaeological DBA should be prepared however states that it is likely that significant areas would not be suitable for development. Notes that the allocation encroaches into the countryside and the introduction of an employment use would likely trigger further development in the area. States no development should take place until the SEPR is completed and open to traffic. A requirement should also be added to include measures to mitigate the impact of traffic on nearby villages and it is essential that the allocation is assessed comprehensively	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Policy BIC 2 refers to the South East Perimeter Road to connect to the A41 and this then would connect to Junction 9 of the M40. The SEPR would be required to provide the main access to unlock the site. Recognise the heritage constraints of the site and this is reflected in the site area and developable area set out in the policy, alongside other mitigation.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand what drainage requirements are required on/off site, clarity on the anticipated loading/flow from the development and water supply requirements on/off site. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water so they can build a detailed picture of what is being built, where to get confidence when that development will start and what the phasing will be. Recommends that the developers attach Thames Water's information provided to their applications.	LPR-D-079	The policy requires the developer to engage with Thames Water so consider this places sufficient emphasis on this element. The Council has liaised with Thames Water and the Environment Agency about the level and location of development through the plan making process.
Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Considers the policy to be unsound and not justified. It is not effective as it does not provide sufficient clarity on what is being provided and does not provide clarity on the Local Nature Reserve and the scale of what is required. Accepts the need for some level of commercial development but that the overall loss of greenfield sites for this is excessive. The policy needs to specify the need for creation of a wildlife-rich LNR with a specified size	LPR-D-238	The detail of a Local Nature Reserve can be worked up to support a planning application and does need to be fully detailed for plan making. Disagree that the loss of greenfield sites is excessive as the sites allocated are to meet identified employment need.
Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Supports the site allocation in principle but suggests a number of significant amendments including the criteria of the policy and the developable area	LPR-D-286	Welcome support. Recognise that the site area and developable area differ, though this is in response to constraints on the site.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Comments express concern regarding the deliverability of the proposed allocation for employment due to reliance on the provision of the SEPR and previous dismissal in the Sustainability Appraisal	LPR-D-152	Note comments and recognise that the site requires the SEPR as its main access. The Plan also includes a flexible approach to employment land on non-allocated sites.
Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Notes the site was assessed in Employment Land Review (2022) and considered unsuitable for development	LPR-D-039	The land was not available for employment use at the time of the 2022 Review.
Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Considers the proposed south-east link road complicates the deliverability of the site	LPR-D-039 LPR-D-152	Noted. The Link road is a key piece of infrastructure for Bicester.
Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Comments on the level of pollution at ex RAF Bicester	LPR-D-026	Comments noted.
Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Suggests amendments to criteria 19, so development proposals need to be accompanied and influenced by a landscape/visual impact assessment and a heritage impact assessment and to criteria 20 to ensure a desk-based archaeological investigation is conducted and further investigation through excavation occurs in consultation with the county archaeologist	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Consider the policy, at criterion 19, requires both a landscape and visual impact assessment as well as a heritage impact assessment. Consider criterion 20 requires appropriate archaeological assessment to support a planning application.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Supports the archaeological works requirements in policy criteria 20. Notes that paragraph 5.80 indicates that sui generis compatible waste sites would also be permitted on the site and therefore sui generis should be added to the list of use classes in this policy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Welcome support. The desired use of the site would be for B2, B8 and E(g) uses to help contribute towards the overall need of employment land as set out in the evidence base. There is a live planning application for B8 uses.
Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Comments express concern regarding the impact on the A41 corridor due to an increase in traffic levels including HGVs from Bicester to Aylesbury as the road has limited capacity and needs improving. Requests a more strategic technical study of the impacts of development on the A41 corridor which will also inform the required mitigations, improvements, dualling, widening, junction capacity, bus service improvements, and cohesive networks including footpaths and cycle routes	LPR-D-108 (Buckinghamshir e Council)	Recognise concerns and the ongoing discussions between Cherwell and Buckinghamshire Councils. The Council has commissioned transport modelling work which considers the loading of additional vehicles onto the highway network, including the A41 and any necessary mitigation will be delivered to support development.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand what drainage requirements are required on/off site, clarity on the anticipated loading/flow from the development and water supply requirements on/off site. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water so they can build a detailed picture of what is being built, where to get confidence when that development will start and what the phasing will be. Recommends that the developers attach Thames Water's information provided to their applications.	LPR-D-079	Criterion 22 requires the developer to engage with Thames Water so consider this places sufficient emphasis on this element. The Council has liaised with Thames Water and the Environment Agency about the level and location of development through the plan making process.
Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Supports the proposed allocation. States more sites should be promoted by the council along the A41	LPR-D-152	Welcome support. The sites have been selected from those promoted to the Council though its call for sites process.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Part of Doc. Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Objects to the allocation and considers the policy to be unsound, not justified and not effective. The policy does not provide clarity on the requirements for wildlife habitat provision. Comments express concern as to the continued eastwards expansion of Bicester towards the Upper Ray Conservation Target Area. States that the recommendations from the Ecological Assessment of Potential Site Allocations 2024 have not been fully transposed into the policy. The level of loss of greenfield sites to commercial development is excessive. Recommends that the allocation is removed and if it is not, then the recommendations of the Ecological Assessment need to be taken forward. There is a clear need for specific wording to specify that the development design should avoid build development on the on-site lowland meadow priority habitat and buffer this, that indirect impacts on the adjacent Local Wildlife Site and Conservation Target Area are avoided, that offsite solutions for farmland birds are identified, and unless the loss of good quality habitat on much of the site is compensated for on-site, then offsite	Rep. Number  LPR-D-238	Officer Response  Comments noted. Disagree that the level of greenfield loss is excessive. The Plan seeks to meet the identified level of employment land evidenced as needed. Consider that criterion 8 provides sufficient protection for the preservation and enhancement of habitats and species on site and also refers to the creation of new habitats on site specifically a new local nature reserve.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Objects to the site and feels it is inconsistent with COM 13	LPR-D-327	Disagree.
Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Suggests 'at least 6ha' should be the available development land. Also suggests other wording changes	LPR-D-317	Agree that there is inconsistency and will be amended. Amend this and other Bicester Employment policies.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	There is potential to have a new healthcare facility at Kidlington. Any new provision must be financially and operationally viable, otherwise the BOB-ICB would object	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Noted. The Council has engaged extensively and proactively with BOB ICB during the preparation of the CLPR and its supporting Infrastructure Delivery Plan as shown in Cherwell's Duty to Cooperate Statement of Compliance.  Developer contributions are an important source of funding to provide new and improved infrastructure in response to housing and population growth. These must be fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development proposal.  We will continue to engage with BOB ICB so that appropriate health provision can be secured and the necessary information included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Supports the strategy. Notes that the Local Plan Partial Review 2020 sites are proposed to be saved and indicates that the additional homes on these sites should be counted in relation to Oxford's unmet housing need. Notes the current planning application for Oxford United Football Club in the Kidlington area and that it is not mentioned in the plan, but does not seek a change on this. States that Kidlington and the surrounding parishes have a rich archaeological record and that all the partial review sites have been subject to initial archaeological surveys. Supports the position for the future of Blenheim Villa Scheduled Monument.	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Comments noted and welcomed. All LPPR 2020 sites but one benefit from permission or resolution to approve. The CLPR proposes 4,400 homes to meet Oxford's unmet needs in the 2020 Local Plan Partial Review saved allocations. The Council will monitor delivery against Oxford's unmet needs on these saved allocations until that requirement is met.  The proposed stadium is the subject of a planning application which has yet to be determined. It is not a facility to meet Cherwell's needs. It is not necessary to include within the local plan.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	It is unclear why land on the fringe of Woodstock has been included in the Kidlington Area Strategy and that inclusion in the Rural Area Strategy would be more appropriate. States that the allocation of 450 homes cannot be reasonably described as modest. The supporting text should explain policy context to the Cherwell Local Plan including that land to the south of Woodstock was previously proposed and rejected by the inspector	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	The area covered by Kidlington Area Strategy is noted in CLPR paragraph 6.2.  The site allocation has been justified within the context of the CLPR strategy, the Kidlington Area Strategy and based on up-to-date evidence to support it.  Reference to 'modest' is made within this context, the CLPR settlement hierarchy and the comparative scale of the allocations proposed within the CLPR to meet the plan's identified housing needs.  The Inspector's report for the adopted LPPR 2020 is set within the specific scope of the 2020 plan, Oxford's unmet needs. The Inspector 'fundamental concern' with the site being its separation from Oxford.  The Council has had due regard to all representations received in relation to this site including matters referred to by the 2020 LP Inspector in his wider comments regarding this site as shown in the supporting text to Policy KID 1 in paragraph 6.11 of the CLPR.  The site allocation was informed by up-to-date evidence on heritage impact and an addendum specific to the setting of the World Heritage Site including 'cumulative effects' in discussion with Historic England. The setting of Woodstock was considered in

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			the Site Landscape Assessment evidence, the study recommendations informed Policy KID H1.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Supports the policy and notes that there is a significant disconnect between Kidlington's position in the district and the track record of under delivery of housing in and around Kidlington. Therefore, highlights KID H1 is critical.	LPR-D-267	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Supports the retention of PR6b however notes that key aspects of it are outdated, including that the 670 dwellings represents a likely under-utilisation of the site, that the 50% affordable housing requirement should be subject to viability, that the requirement for an 80/20% split between social rented and intermediate affordable homes should be adjusted in line with Policy COM 2 and that the requirement to replace the golf course should be removed.	LPR-D-212	Disagree.  The adopted 2020 Local Plan Partial Part 1 Review 'Oxford Unmet Needs' exceptionally allows for development in the Green Belt having considered reasonable alternatives. The exceptionally high need for affordable homes in Oxford was central to the exceptional circumstances to remove the 2020 Local Plan sites from the Green Belt including PR6b. It will be for the applicant to provide at planning application stage a programme for the submission of proposals and the development of land at Frieze Farm (PR6c) as a replacement golf course before development of land at PR6b commences, or the submission of evidence to demonstrate that a replacement course is not required. Appendix 1 of the CLPR lists the saved policies of the 2020 Plan including all sites allocations and Policy PR2 'Housing Mix, Tenure and Size'. Policy PR2 provides for the mix of housing to be agreed with Cherwell and Oxford City Councils having regard to the most up-to-date evidence on Oxford's housing need and local market conditions. The 2020 Plan policies were subject to viability and was clear on the expected compensatory measures resulting from releasing land from the Green Belt. Policy PR6b does not preclude the provision of a greater number of dwellings subject to all other requirements being met.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Objects to the lack of clarity around development within and adjacent to Langford Lane/Technology Park	LPR-D-310	Disagree. There is no lack of clarity in this regard. The CLPR strategy reflects the Council's commitment to support an innovation district centred around Begbroke/Langford Lane/Oxford Airport. This entails the delivery of recently permitted applications at Oxford London Airport, delivery of the Oxford Technology Park (under construction) and the

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			expansion of Begbroke Science Park with 14.7 hectares recently released from the Green Belt for employment use.  Delivering on infrastructure commitments and provision of housing and affordable housing to balance homes and jobs creation in Kidlington and north Kidlington area in particular is central to this strategy and supporting a sustainable economy.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Suggests accommodating a larger proportion of the housing supply to Kidlington and objects that SE Woodstock should be viewed as a housing site in Kidlington as it is too far. Promotes the addition of bullet points to the policy to promote an enhanced role for Kidlington as a local service centre and develop the cultural and leisure/night-time economy. Also, that the local housing needs of Kidlington should be located in the most sustainable parts of the area.	LPR-D-282	Disagree. The CLPR strategy meets identified needs for residential, commercial and other development without the need to release further Green Belt land. KID H1 lies within the parish of Shipton on Cherwell and Thrupp, included within the Kidlington Area Strategy as noted in CLPR paragraph 6.2. The site allocation has been justified within the context of the CLPR strategy, the Kidlington Area Strategy and based on up-to-date evidence to support it. The site is located within walking distance to primary and secondary schools, cycling distance to a major employment area and on a bus route with frequent bus services to employment areas, the centre of Kidlington, Woodstock and Oxford.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Notes that no mention is made regarding the impact on infrastructure and the environment or on developments including OUFC's proposed stadium	LPR-D-196	The CLPR is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan prepared in consultation with infrastructure providers. The CLPR has generic and place specific policies addressing infrastructure provision.  The proposed stadium is the subject of a planning application which has yet to be determined. It is not a facility to meet Cherwell's needs. It is not necessary to include within the local plan.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Comments express concern over the OUFC proposed stadium and its impact on Kidlington. Queries why the Local Plan is published before the stadium application is determined	LPR-D-197	The proposed stadium is the subject of a planning application which has yet to be determined. It is not a facility to meet Cherwell's needs. It is not necessary to include within the local plan.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	The plan fails to mention the proposed OUFC stadium and the impact on traffic, or the traffic from a proposed 4000 new homes	LPR-D-333	CLPR addresses the needs identified for sport and recreation in Cherwell. The stadium is a current planning application. It is not considered that it needs to be allocated in the Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
			The 4,400 new homes to meet Oxford's needs were allocated in 2020. The CLPR proposed to save those allocations and secure the delivery of infrastructure identified in that Plan to support their delivery.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	The Local Plan should review if land should be released from the Green Belt. There will be a great deal of investment in infrastructure in the area from previous allocations and efficient use of this could be used to support the Oxford-Cambridge corridor further. The vision should be reworded, and text should be added to Policy KID 1 to recognise this. Comments that further ambitious strategic growth is required with new employment allocations.	LPR-D-223 LPR-D-193	Disagree. There are multiple references to the Oxford-Cambridge Corridor and its importance in the CLPR most noticeably in the Bicester Area Strategy and the supporting text to employment in Policy LEC1. The recent release of 14.7 has of Green Belt land in the Kidlington Area for employment uses and the employment allocations in Policy LEC1 support strategic employment growth in the Oxford-Cambridge Corridor.  The CLPR meets identified needs for residential, commercial and other development without the need to release further Green Belt land.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	There are potential interfaces between parts of PR6a, 6b, 6c, 7a and land safeguarded for EWR. Suggests this issue should be explored	LPR-D-243	Noted.  Land for East-West Rail has been safeguarded in the CLPR. The Council will continue engagement with East-West Rail to explore the interface with saved PR policies.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Comments on a direct route from Yarnton to Kidlington along Sandy Lane and the relocation of Oxford United Football Club	LPR-D-027	Noted. Transport connections between Yarnton and Kidlington are addressed in adopted Local Plan Partial Review 2020 Policy PR4a Sustainable Transport. The policy is proposed to be saved as shown in Appendix 1 of the CLPR. The infrastructure associated with this policy is included in the adopted and emerging Infrastructure Delivery Plans.  The proposed stadium is the subject of a planning application which has yet to be determined. It is not a facility to meet Cherwell's needs. It is not necessary to include within the local plan.
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	In relation to LEC 1, KID 1 allocates 14.7ha of employment land with Policy PR8, so has the effect of bringing forward the allocation of the land. This is strongly supported	LPR-D-346	Noted and welcomed.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy KID 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	The wording needs to be amended to remove any doubt. E.g. the plan refers to retained policies but the glossary only to saved policies. The plan must refer to them being saved	LPR-D-092	Noted. Wording has been amended to avoid ambiguity
Policy KID 2: London-Oxford Airport	The policy has not taken into account the comments submitted on behalf of the airport at Regulation 18 stage. States that the airport should be allocated employment land and Policy KID 2 should provide a greater degree of support for the development of aviation and other employment generating uses	LPR-D-293	Disagree. Identified needs for residential, commercial and other development have been accommodated without the need to release further Green Belt land. London Oxford Airport benefits from permitted development rights for airport related development on its operational land. Policy KID 2 supports the continued use of LOA for commercial aviation and ancillary uses and guides proposals affecting the airport's operations.
Policy KID 3: Delivery of Transport Schemes within the Kidlington Area	Welcomes the policy but notes that the Botley Farm DCO redline area and cable route appear to be adjacent to or overlapping Policies KID 3 and KID H1	LPR-D-302	Noted. Botley Farm cabling route does not prevent the delivery of these policies.
Policy KID 3: Delivery of Transport Schemes within the Kidlington Area	The policy provides no action plan to ensure active travel delivery is appropriate or acceptable. Cherwell District Council and Oxfordshire County Council should work with the parish to establish suitable transport schemes for the area. Notes infrastructure improvements are required to bus services, walking/cycling routes and a mobility hub should be duplicated at the Parkway Station	LPR-D-093 (Kidlington Parish Council)	Noted. Proposed transport schemes are shown in the Transport Topic Papers published in December 2024 and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) supporting the CLPR. The IDP provides further information on infrastructure costs, funding and delivery. A Movement and Place Strategy is being prepared by Oxfordshire County Council for the Kidlington Area and a Kidlington Framework being prepared by Cherwell's Growth and Economy Department.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy KID 3: Delivery of Transport Schemes within the Kidlington Area	Comments on the direct route from Kidlington to Yarnton along Sandy Lane and the implications if the level crossing were to close	LPR-D-027	Noted. Transport connections between Yarnton and Kidlington are addressed in adopted Local Plan Partial Review 2020 Policy PR4a Sustainable Transport. The policy is proposed to be saved as shown in Appendix 1 of the CLPR. The infrastructure associated with this policy is included in the adopted and emerging Infrastructure Delivery Plans.
Policy KID 4: Kidlington Area Strategy- Green and Blue Infrastructure	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England) LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy KID 4: Kidlington Area Strategy- Green and Blue Infrastructure	Notes that the 'green ring' proposal is not included and should also be designated as a Local Green Space	LPR-D-093 (Kidlington Parish Council)	All proposed LGS have been assessed (Local Green Space Study 2023 and addendum 2024). Only those that meet the necessary criteria have been included within the Plan.
Policy KID 4: Kidlington Area Strategy- Green and Blue Infrastructure	A 'green ring' of interconnected spaces around Kidlington should be included in the plan	LPR-D-338 LPR-D-148 LPR-D-093	Noted. Cherwell prepared a Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy (2022) in consultation with key stakeholders and available for comment alongside the Local Plan. It provides a sound evidence base to justify green and blue infrastructure requirements addressing challenges and opportunities specific to Kidlington. Following CLPR adoption, with the place strategies and allocations in place, the Council can review green and blue infrastructure alongside the emerging Local Nature Recovery Network and the yearly updates to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy KID 4: Kidlington Area Strategy- Green and Blue Infrastructure	Objects to the removal of Land at The Moors as a housing allocation with plans for the Cricket Club. This should be reinstated. The sports need at Stratfield Brake should also be amended	LPR-D-294	Disagree. The CLPR is able to meet housing, employment and other needs without further release of Green Belt land and justified by evidence. The CLPR has been informed by an up to date Playing Pitch Strategy. Although the strategy acknowledges the Cricket Club's desire to relocate, it does not identify a need.
Policy KID 5: Development within and adjoining Kidlington Village Centre	The plan makes no commitment to update and maintain the Kidlington masterplan and there is no SPD covering issues common to Kidlington and surrounding parishes due to the population increase in the area. A commitment to this should be added. Would like to see more emphasis on the development west of Oxford Road, north of redeveloped Exeter Close. The village centre boundary should be extended to include this	LPR-D-093 (Kidlington Parish Council)	Noted. The Council's town centre and retail study does not recommend the extension of the village centre boundary as suggested. A Movement and Place Strategy is being prepared by Oxfordshire County Council for the Kidlington Area and a Kidlington Framework being prepared by Cherwell's Growth and Economy Department.
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Supports the policy	LPR-D-218	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Notes that the site is in an area of considerable archaeological potential and interest. Agrees with the summary of archaeological potential of the site in the Local Plan.	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted and welcomed.
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	No objection to the site allocation subject to amendments to the key design and planning application requirements with	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Noted.  Modifications to improve the wording of the policy requirements can be addressed following the CLPR's examination. The Council will continue to work with Historic England on CLPR matters and heritage evidence.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	regards to Blenheim World Heritage Site and Blenheim Roman Villa.		
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Supports the allocation and considers it to be a sustainable location but notes disagreement with the requirements including the density, planning obligation and inflexible policies	LPR-D-267	Support noted and welcomed. Policy requirements are evidence led and reflect the need to respond to the site's heritage and landscape context.
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Considers a proper analysis of the site has been undertaken. Notes that the health and education contributions should be on site. Makes reference to February 2024 ICOMOS Technical Review to provide context for KID H1	LPR-D-350	Noted. Council aware of ICOMOS Technical Review, further heritage impact evidence commissioned in consultation with Historic England specific to Blenheim's World Heritage Site and its Outstanding Universal Value. Requirements have been informed by and assessment of need and the infrastructure providers' requests and their involvement in the CLPR Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand what drainage requirements are required on/off site, clarity on the anticipated loading/flow from the development and water supply requirements on/off site. The council and developers should work alongside Thames Water so they can build a detailed picture of what is being built, where to get confidence when that development will start and what the phasing will be. Recommends that the developers attach Thames Water's information provided to their applications.	LPR-D-079	Noted. Thames Water representation and input through the preparation of infrastructure evidence supporting the CLPR have been incorporated in the Plan's Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Refers to the February 2024 ICOMOS Technical Review to provide context for KID H1	LPR-D-349 (Environment Agency)	Noted.  Council aware of the Technical Review provided to the States Party (Historic England).  Further heritage impact evidence commissioned in consultation with Historic England specific to Blenheim's World Heritage Site.
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Objects to the policy unless it is reworded as per BOB-ICB proposed text. Considers that the proposed 450 dwellings would not be able to support a standalone new healthcare facility unless it was the relocation of an existing GP practise or a co-location of practices. Requires a turnkey healthcare facility to be built and transferred to the ICB, and would object if the facility is not financially and operationally viable	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	There has been no previous request from BOB ICB via Regulation 18 consultation, the DtC meetings held with the ICB during the preparation of the CLPR to date or the engagement with the ICB in the preparation of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.  Developer contributions are an important source of funding to provide new and improved infrastructure in response to housing and population growth. These must be fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development proposal.  We will continue to engage with BOB ICB so that adequate mitigation can be secured to serve this site either via the relocation of an existing practice or the co-location of practices and the necessary information included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Does not consider the policy to be legally compliant, sound or in compliance with the Duty to Cooperate	LPR-D-193	No information provided in the representation regarding Duty to Cooperate in regard to this Policy.  Duty to Cooperate has been met as demonstrated in the Council's Duty to Cooperate Statement.
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	There are no exceptional circumstances to justify the allocation of the site given the availability of other sites in Cherwell which could accommodate a large number of houses without the same level of harm to designated heritage assets of outstanding international historic significance. The policy should be deleted	LPR-D-242	Disagree. The site allocation has been justified within the context of the CLPR strategy, the Kidlington Area Strategy, informed by up-to-date evidence and subject to Sustainability Appraisal.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Objects to the site for reasons including a lack of infrastructure and services, flooding, a loss of character, over population, the impact on Blenheim Palace, its isolation from Woodstock and consideration that the site cannot overcome its previous reasons for rejection	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-008 LPR-D-009 LPR-D-143 LPR-D-010 LPR-D-106 LPR-D-110 (Woodstock Town Council) LPR-D-112 (Bladon Parish Council) LPR-D-324 LPR-D-335 LPR-D-335 LPR-D-336 LPR-D-336 LPR-D-327 LPR-D-326 LPR-D-143 LPR-D-143 LPR-D-170 (Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council)	Disagree. The site allocation has been justified within the context of the CLPR strategy, the Kidlington Area Strategy and informed by up-to-date evidence supporting it.  The site is located within walking distance to primary and secondary schools, cycling distance to a major employment area and on a bus route with frequent bus services to employment areas, the centre of Kidlington, Woodstock and Oxford.  The Inspector's report for the adopted LPPR 2020 is set within the specific scope of the 2020 plan, Oxford's unmet needs. The Inspector 'fundamental concern' with the site being its separation from Oxford.  The Council has had due regard to all representations received in relation to this site including matters referred to by the 2020 LP Inspector in his wider comments regarding this site as shown in the supporting text to Policy KID 1 in paragraph 6.11 of the CLPR. The site allocation was informed by up-to-date evidence on heritage impact and an addendum specific to the setting of the World Heritage Site including cumulative effects in discussion with Historic England. The setting of Woodstock was considered in the Site Landscape Assessment evidence, the study recommendations informed Policy KID H1. No concern raised from the County Council on archaeology and Historic England raised no objection to the site subject to word improvements to the policy. These are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.  Local and strategic infrastructure needs have been addressed in consultation with the infrastructure providers and their involvement in the CLPR Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Suggests that the site should be considered from a Woodstock/West Oxfordshire District Council view as opposed to a Shipton/Cherwell District Council view. Clause 6 should specifically relate to primary healthcare provision in Woodstock. Notes there does not appear to be any Duty to Cooperate with West Oxfordshire District Council. Finds paragraph 6.104 to be incorrect as 40-50 units are still to be built.	LPR-D-133	Disagree. The site allocation has been justified within the context of the CLPR strategy, the Kidlington Area Strategy and informed by up-to-date evidence supporting it. Compliance with the Duty to Cooperate between West Oxfordshire and Cherwell is evidenced in the Duty to Cooperate Statement and acknowledged in West Oxfordshire's representation (LPR-D- 290). This site is in Cherwell District Council's area and appropriately justified within the CLPR strategy and objectives for the Kidlington Area Strategy. Minor corrections can be addressed following the CLPR examination.
Policy KID H1: South-East of Woodstock	Makes reference to February 2024 ICOMOS Technical Review to provide context for KID H1. Considers a proper analysis of the site has been undertaken. Notes that the health and education contributions should be on site	LPR-D-350	Council aware of ICOMOS Technical Review, further heritage impact evidence was commissioned in consultation with Historic England specific to Blenheim's World Heritage Site and published alongside the CLPR for consultation in December 2024. Requirements have been informed by and assessment of need, infrastructure providers' requests and their involvement in the CLPR Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	Supports the policy and the approach to development at Upper Heyford. Would welcome clarity on policy villages 5 and the flying field, and suggests a line should be added on preserving the areas heritage significance. A new inset map is needed to avoid confusion	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	The various comments and proposed changes are noted. Proposed changes have been set out in the interests of improvement to the various sections of supporting text as requested by Historic England.
Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	Notes that the former airbase houses a number of Scheduled Ancient Monuments relating to the Cold War Era. Supportive of the summary in the policy	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	The comments are noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	Supports the policy in not allocating more development than already approved.  Notes a lack of traffic mitigation from existing development. Welcomes the proposed commuter cycle route to Bicester and encourages a link to Middleton Stoney	LPR-D-201 (Middleton Stoney Parish Council)	The comments are noted. Disagree. Policy HEY1 clearly sets out the strategy for Heyford which seeks to secure the delivery of Policy Villages 5 which is retained from the 2015 adopted Local Plan which still needs to be delivered. No new policy is proposed Heyford Park.
Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	Objects to the proposed strategy for Heyford Park as the evidence identifies it as a sustainable location but does not propose any additional growth. The previously proposed policy LPR42A: South of Heyford Park should be reincluded	LPR-D-258	The comments are noted. Disagree. Policy HEY1 clearly sets out the strategy for Heyford which seeks to secure the delivery of Policy Villages 5 which is retained from the 2015 adopted Local Plan which still needs to be delivered. No new policy is proposed Heyford Park.
Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	The draft plan contains no vision or additional role for Heyford Park beyond existing commitments and the strategy no longer acknowledges to continuing development at Heyford Park to fully establish the new settlement. The local plan review should provide for the continuing development of the site. It is essential that a policy framework is in place to encourage the efficient use of brownfield land	LPR-D-210	The comments are noted. Disagree. Policy HEY1 clearly sets out the strategy for Heyford which seeks to secure the delivery of Policy Villages 5 which is retained from the 2015 adopted Local Plan which still needs to be delivered. No new policy is proposed for Heyford Park.
Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	The plan fails the test of objectively assessed requirements due to the absence of feasibility studies recommended by the Structure Plan Panel when redevelopment was originally supported to conserve Cold War heritage. The plan appears to be concerned only	LPR-D-004	Disagree. There is an extensive Conservation Area covering the former airbase.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	with implementing existing permissions, which should remain the position as until the settlement has a population of 25,000 it will be unsustainable in terms of transport. The national and international heritage significance of the site would only be realised with improvements to public transport. A holistic approach is needed to recognise the international significance applies to the site not to the buildings		
Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	Concerned that inadequate measures are in place to prevent further development at Heyford Park. Notes further concern that given the constraints, it will not achieve the housing numbers suggested on the developable land without either an overdense style of development or exerting too much pressure to develop outside of the proposed development area. Suggests rewording to include mention of the sites existing boundaries. Requests all future development should use permeable driveways and notes there is no mention of improving the B4030	LPR-D-113	Policy HEY1 clearly sets out the strategy for Heyford which seeks to secure the delivery of Policy Villages 5 which is retained from the 2015 adopted Local Plan which still needs to be delivered. No new policy is proposed for Heyford Park.
Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	Concerned over the impacts of increased development on infrastructure, pollution, flooding and traffic. This should be considered before development begins to mitigate any impact	LPR-D-071	The comments are noted. The site allocations have been assessed and the details of this are included in the various evidence based documents that accompany the Regulation 19 Local Plan. At the planning application stage the proposals will be formally assessed including consultation of the relevant statutory consultees who are responsible for advising on the provision and mitigation that is required at the time.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	Sport England expected there to be a sports hub identified in the Heyford Strategy Map. Any new facilities required should be identified on the policies map and include appropriate references within the policy to reflect the information in the Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Sports Facilities Strategy	LPR-D-191	Noted. No new policy is proposed for Heyford Park. Adopted Policy Villages 5 is saved.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	The strategy notes greater emphasis on development being supported by sustainable transport and active travel. Illustrative cases should be included in the plan showing how sustainable transport and active travel could be supported	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted. It is not considered necessary to include illustrative cases within the Plan as overly detailed information.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Considers that developer contributions should be secured for offsite mitigations to accommodate additional GP services but not limited to improving, expanding or upgrading the existing GP practices in the local area. Contributions should be based on the Council's Developers  Contributions SPD or any successor to this document	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Supports the policy	LPR-D-020 LPR-D-089 (Deddington Parish Council) LPR-D-176 LPR-D-185 (Sibford Ferris Parish Council) LPR-D-240 (Fritwell Parish Council) LPR-D-281 (Chesterton Parish Council)	Noted and welcomed.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Generally supports the policy but should plan for higher housing in the rural areas	LPR-D-288	Noted. It is considered that the rural housing figure is appropriate and is in accordance with the spatial strategy.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Generally in support but it should be more positive in supporting the growth of the larger settlements. The number for the rural areas should be increased to 1265 homes at least. Specific settlements e.g. Deddington should be identified as the preferred location for rural growth	LPR-D-283	Noted. It is considered that the rural housing figure is appropriate and is in accordance with the spatial strategy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Welcomes the recognition to some planned development in the rural areas	LPR-D-315	Noted
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Supports the policy but not the reliance on Neighbourhood Plans	LPR-D-250	Noted. Neighbourhood planning is a recognised mechanism for delivering housing allocations.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Objects due to the reliance on neighbourhood plans as there is no certainty on them coming forward. More rural sites need to be allocated in the Local Plan	LPR-D-138 LPR-D-211 LPR-D-236 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	Disagree. It is considered that the rural housing figure is appropriate and is in accordance with the spatial strategy.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Handing responsibility to Neighbourhood Plans creates uncertainty	LPR-D-164	Neighbourhood planning is a recognised mechanism for delivering housing allocations.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Objects to 'limited housing development' in rural villages, primarily Category A Villages	LPR-D-155 LPR-D-269	It is considered that the rural housing figure is appropriate and is in accordance with the spatial strategy.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	A higher quantum of homes should be directed to the Category A villages	LPR-D-214 LPR-D-297 LPr-D-322	Disagree. It is considered that the rural housing figure is appropriate and is in accordance with the spatial strategy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	The policy contains no provisions for housing growth in the rural areas beyond the settlements listed, not complying with Policy SP1 and therefore a greater proportion of housing growth should be allocated to the rural areas	LPR-D-254	Disagree. It is considered that the rural housing figure is appropriate and is in accordance with the spatial strategy.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Objects as there needs to be a requirement to provide infrastructure and increased capacity prior to development. Additional surveys of natural assets should be carried out to inform development	LPR-D-096	These issues are addressed by other policies in the Plan.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Objects to the number of houses proposed due to flooding, poor infrastructure and traffic	LPR-D-025	The number of homes proposed in the rural areas is restricted, with most development directed to the more sustainable locations. Any allocations are informed by evidence that includes flood risk, transport considerations and other infrastructure requirements
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Objects to more development in the Caversfield/Bicester area	LPR-D-044	Bicester, together with Banbury is the most sustainable location within the district.  Development at Caversfield is limited as set out in Policy SP1.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Aside from allocated employment sites, Ambrosden should only accommodate infill development, conversions and minor development within the built up limits of the settlement	LPR-D-209	Agree.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Objects to the 75 houses allocated for Bloxham due to traffic and lack of services. The housing mix should prioritise 2 and 3 bedroom properties in Bloxham	LPR-D-024	Bloxham is one of the largest rural settlements. It is considered that it is able to accommodate some modest additional housing.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Objects to more housing in Hook Norton due to flood risk, building on agricultural land, the erosion of the village community. Suggests more bungalows should be built for older people to downsize into	LPR-D-016	Noted. Hook Norton is classified as a Category A settlement, one of the most sustainable in the rural areas. It is considered that it is able to accommodate some modest additional housing.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Suggests it should be ensured that the Category A villages do not go beyond the number indicated, that greenfield sites are protected and that developments meet village needs. Objects to the 75 houses for Hook Norton as it is not sustainable	LPR-D-041	Noted. Hook Norton is classified as a Category A settlement, one of the most sustainable in the rural areas. It is considered that it is able to accommodate some modest additional housing.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Objects to the housing number for Ambrosden	LPR-D-300	No specific housing number is proposed for Ambrosden.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Objects to the housing number for Adderbury	LPR-D-320	Noted. Adderbury is classified as a Category A settlement, one of the most sustainable in the rural areas. It is considered that it is able to accommodate some modest additional housing.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Objects to the exclusion of Shutford and Tadmarton from within the policy	LPR-D-299	Neither Shutford nor Tadmarton are designated neighbourhood areas.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Questions the 100 homes allocated to the Mid-Cherwell Neighbourhood Plan and suggests it should be changed to 71 as per the Neighbourhood Plan Consultation	LPR-D-126 LPR-D-271 (Kirtlington Parish Council)	Disagree. Mid Cherwell covers an area of 11 parishes, one of which is a Category A settlement. 100 homes is a modest requirement.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Questions the 100 home allocation to Mid-Cherwell as there is no explanation as to what Mid-Cherwell is and this should be defined	LPR-D-292 (Launton Parish Council)	Mid Cherwell is a designated neighbourhood area of 11 parishes.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Small housing developments should be supported in Category C Villages	LPR-D-208	Policy SP 1 permits infill and minor development within the built up limits of Category C settlements.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Supportive of revitalising urban centres, however it would be good to see proposals for leaving villages untouched rather than flooded with unwanted developments	LPR-D-005	The Plan has an urban focus with only very limited development proposed in the rural areas.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	It should be ensured that the Category A villages do not go beyond the numbers indicated and that greenfield sites are protected	LPR-D-041	Noted. Policy SP 1 will apply.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	The rural areas strategy does not conform to provisions of NPPF paragraph 83 regarding identifying opportunities for villages to grow and thrive and support local services	LPR-D-064	Disagree.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Rural expansion should always benefit the local community	LPR-D-162	Noted.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	The policy should reflect the need to mitigate transport impacts of housing development more clearly	LPR-D-201	Disagree. Other policies in the Plan address transport mitigation.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	The plan or evidence base has made no effort to understand localised housing needs in villages through conducting housing needs surveys or similar and therefore the growth distribution appears to be wholly arbitrary and have not followed a clear or objective process	LPR-D-255	The Plan is supported with appropriate evidence on housing needs. Some emerging neighbourhood plans are being informed by local assessments and these can be reflected in their policies.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Notes the villages are delivering more housing that Kidlington which is not in proportion	LPR-D-282	Noted. The Plan's spatial strategy is to direct development to the most sustainable locations. It has an urban focus.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Questions the village categorisation and the associated conservation area studies and protection. Objects as there needs to be a requirement to provide infrastructure and increased capacity prior to development	LPR-D-096	Policy SP 1 defines the settlement hierarchy. It is supported by evidence.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Notes concern over the impact on Lower Heyford, Caulcott and Upper Heyford. Notes the Rousham Conservation Area is not mentioned. Villages categorised as 'rural areas' undermines their individual characteristics and local needs. Requiring 100 houses in mid-Cherwell would go	LPR-D-072	Disagree. It is considered that a requirement for 100 homes within the Mid Cherwell area is achievable. The neighbourhood area consists of 11 parishes including both Cate A and B villages.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
	against the stated aim of protecting character and identity of villages		
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Questions how windfall/speculative development can be protected in Fringford. Promotes use of brownfield land at Heyford Park over rural expansion	LPR-D-329 (Fringford Parish Council)	Policy SP 1 defines Fringford as a Category C settlement where development is limited to infilling and minor development within the built-up limits of the village.
Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Seeks clarification on the impact to Islip and the implementation of the policy	LPR-D-161	Islip is not proposed for development as it is washed over by the Green Belt.
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	There is little opportunity for infiltration SuDs	LPR-D-349 (Environment Agency)	Comments noted. Infiltration SuDs will not be practicable in every instance.
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Wording should be amended to reflect that response to heritage assets should be sensitive. Suggests amendments to the text and that the developable area is pulled back in the east and northern extents of the site to ensure no adverse impacts	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Consider the policy requires development to respond to heritage assets. Reference is made to pulling back development in north and east.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	The site lies in an area of archaeological interest and potential. In support of criteria 19 but suggests improvements including requesting evaluation rather than excavation at this stage	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Consider the approach appropriate to include further investigation of archaeological potential.
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Supports the policy	LPR-D-218 LPR-D-176 LPR-D-213	Noted and welcomed.
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Supports the site as it is not in a conservation area or the Green Belt	LPR-D-177	Noted.
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Objects to the policy unless it is amended. Notes that the proposed developments would add pressure to the existing GP services. Requests an additional bullet point to be added to include an off site financial contribution towards primary care	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	BOB ICB were consulted as part of the IDP to help understand what was required to support GP services. Where a response was received this was included in policy requirements.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Part of Doc. Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Objects to the allocation for reasons including loss of sunlight, visual impacts, impact on wildlife, house values, traffic, water issues and safety issues	Rep. Number  LPR-D-032 LPR-D-033 LPR-D-034 LPR-D-049 LPR-D-063 LPR-D-076 LPR-D-128 LPR-D-130 LPR-D-131 LPR-D-139 LPR-D-144 LPR-D-159 LPR-D-181 LPR-D-271 LPR-D-307	Officer Response  The allocation of the site has been assessed through the sustainability appraisal and also reviewed against the various components of the evidence base. The potential impacts have been considered proportionate for a plan allocation and weighed against the benefits of providing residential development in the rural areas. Matters such as sunlight would normally be taken into account through the site specifics of any scheme that came forward rather than at a plan making stage. House values are not a planning consideration. Water resources, and indeed the risk of flooding, has been taken into account in the allocation of this site. Road safety matters, and whether a safe access could be made were considered by Oxfordshire County Council, as Highways Authority, who have advised, based on the promoter's latest traffic work, that they have no objections to the allocation of this site.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR H1:	Objects to the site and suggests	LPR-D-033	Note objection. The Station Road site is located within the Green Belt and prior to
Land west of	allocation of the Station Road site as an	LPR-D-048	considering the development of land within the Green Belt, national policy is clear that
Springwell Hill,	alternative	LPR-D-053	alternatives should be explored. If a site(s) cannot be found within non Green Belt land,
Bletchingdon		LPR-D-066	then the LPA should review the Green Belt. However, it is not the case that non Green
		LPR-D-073	Belt alternatives cannot be found.
		LPR-D-074	
		LPR-D-075	
		LPR-D-128	
		LPR-D-130	
		LPR-D-131	
		LPR-D-139	
		LPR-D-144	
		LPR-D-154	
		LPR-D-159	
		LPR-D-163	
		LPR-D-205	
		LPR-D-188	
		LPR-D-189	
		LPR-D-307	
		LPR-D-314	
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Objects to further development due to impact on the countryside and wildlife	LPR-D-147	Objection noted. The strategy of the Plan does distribute some development towards the rural areas, and for the most sustainable villages, the Council has sought to rely on Neighbourhood Plans to bring forward limited small scale development. In the case of Bletchingdon, there was a preference for the Council to make the allocation through the Local Plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Alternative sites and focus on development in larger villages should have been considered. States that the need would be met more appropriately through a Neighbourhood Plan. It fails to demonstrate that reasonable alternatives were considered and that assessments were based on proportionate evidence. The policy should be deleted	LPR-D-242	Objection noted. The strategy of the Plan does distribute some development towards the rural areas, and for the most sustainable villages, the Council has sought to rely on Neighbourhood Plans to bring forward limited small-scale development. In the case of Bletchingdon, there was a preference for the Council to make the allocation through the Local Plan. The Council uses the Strategic Housing Employment Land Availability Assessment from which to consider potential sites. In the case of Bletchingdon, part of the village lies within the Green Belt and alternative sites at Bletchingdon were not progressed on that basis. The allocation of this site is supported by evidence proportionate to plan making.
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	There was a lack of engagement with the local community	LPR-D-163 LPR-D-205	The engagement with the parish council took place before and leading up to the Regulation 19 publication stage. The wider community were consulted during the publication stage and these representations will be provided to the examination. The consultation accords with the Council's published Statement of Community Involvement.
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Suggests access is provided from Springwell Hill rather than Station Road	LPR-D-046	Access is proposed to be provided from Springwell Hill, where the landowner can make a safe access onto the highway on land within their control.
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	The site is a safety hazard as it is close to a bend at the top of an incline without speed restrictions and a lack of pedestrian paths. Recommends introducing traffic calming, road straightening/widening and safer pedestrian access	LPR-D-345	Road safety matters, and whether a safe access could be made were considered by Oxfordshire County Council, as Highways Authority, who have advised, based on the promoter's latest traffic work, that they have no objections to the allocation of this site.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	The site allocation needs to be clarified in the supporting text as it states that the site covers two agricultural fields but it only covers the southern part of a single field closest to the settlement. Questions the allocation for 44 dwellings and suggests flexibility on this. Suggests wording changes to bullet 8 on tree and hedgerow planting. The views entered in the Conservation Area Appraisal are not publicly accessible and have altered since this was undertaken. Queries the requirement of a conservation plan and this should be clarified	LPR-D-213	The allocation of this site is shown on the policies map. The capacity of this site is indicative and is used to guide development. It is not intended to be inflexible.  Conservation Area views should be publicly accessible and there is a footpath across the allocated site. A conservation plan is expected to inform the detail of a planning application on this site.
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Objects as there is no natural field or other boundary along the northern edge of the proposed allocation. Notes concern regarding the visual openness. Requests the key design requirements 4, 6 and 10 are strengthened	LPR-D-271 (Kirtlington Parish Council)	Noted. Proposed residential capacity and policy requirements enable a sympathetic response to the site's context with built development located away from western and southwestern parts of the site.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Early engagement between the developers and Thames Water would be beneficial to understand what drainage requirements are required on/off site, the clarity on what loading/flow is anticipated from the development, water supply requirements on/off site. The council should work alongside Thames Water and they recommend that developers attach the information provided to them to their planning applications	LPR-D-079	Agreed that early dialogue would be beneficial and should take place between the applicants and Thames Water.
Policy RUR H1: Land west of Springwell Hill, Bletchingdon	Request for longer consultation period and increased engagement with residents	LPR-D-074 LPR-D-075	The consultation period was extended beyond the 6 weeks required by the Regulations.
Policy RUR 2: Rural Exception Sites	Supports the policy but recommends 100% affordable housing within the Cotswolds National Landscape with 75% permitted in exceptional circumstances	LPR-D-195	Noted. Epwell is the only village in the district that is within the Cotswolds National Landscape.
Policy RUR 2: Rural Exception Sites	Generally supports the policy but suggests amendments as criteria are too restrictive or unnecessary.	LPR-D-086	Support noted. Changes are not proposed as the wording is appropriate.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR 3: New Dwellings in the Countryside	Objects as it is a restrictive policy that runs counter to the positive policy framework established in the adopted plan. The criteria-based approach of Policy Villages 2 should be reinstated in replacement of Policy RUR 3 or in addition. The plan should allocate all sites required in neighbourhood areas	LPR-D-211	Disagree. Policy SP1 provides the approach to development in or adjacent to settlements. Neighbourhood plans are a recognised way to allocate housing sites.
Policy RUR 3: New Dwellings in the Countryside	The current wording is too restrictive and conflicts with other policies in the Local Plan. Suggests paragraph 2 is reworded to 'isolated dwellings which are not essential for rural workers' to align with the NPPF	LPR-D-234	Disagree. It is considered that the policy wording conforms with the NPPF.
Policy RUR 4: Conversion of a Rural Building to a Dwelling	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Noted and welcomed.
Policy RUR 4: Conversion of a Rural Building to a Dwelling	Objects to the requirement to consider employment re-use of an existing building first as this is out of line with the NPPF. The policy is also out of line with the government's Permitted Development Rights that support the conversion of buildings to new dwellings. This requirement should be removed	LPR-D-234	Permitted development is beyond the scope of the policy. Where planning permission is required, the policy prioritises employment reuse over residential to promote the diversification of the rural economy.

Part of Doc.	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy RUR 5: Community-led housing development	Requests clarity on the benefits of community-led developments	LPR-D-096	The support for community led housing schemes is in conformity with the NPPF.
Policy RUR 5: Community-led housing development	Recommend including a policy on community-led housing	LPR-D-256	The Plan does include a policy on community housing.
Policy RUR 6: Replacement Dwellings in the Countryside	Supports the policy	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Support noted and welcomed.
Policy RUR 6: Replacement Dwellings in the Countryside	The requirement for replacement dwellings to be of a similar scale and within the same curtilage as that it is replacing is inflexible and will not allow the best environmental or other planning objectives to be achieved. This requirement should be removed, and this should be assessed on a case-by-case basis	LPR-D-234	Disagree. The protection of the character of the countryside is a primary objective, therefore substantially larger and more conspicuous dwellings should be resisted.

Part of Doc.	Comment/Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	Supports the policy but notes that the council should commit to going further to confirm that AMRs will be produced promptly following the close of a monitoring period and contingency plans should be set out with alternative sites identified	LPR-D-221	Disagree. The Plan includes a contingency. The Council produces an AMR on an annual basis.
Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	The policy is insufficient as it does not recognise that there will be an immediate shortfall in housing upon adoption.	LPR-D-136 LPR-D-287	Disagree. The Plan is required to demonstrate a five-year housing land supply from the point of adoption. This is calculated using the housing requirement set by the Plan.
Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	Recommends the inclusion of a commitment that the council will submit a new plan within 3 years of adoption	LPR-D-136 LPR-D-287 LPR-D-138	Disagree that this is needed. Plans are required to be reviewed within five years in any event.
Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	There is no measurable or deliverable action. There should be a mechanism and timescale to identify alternative deliverable sites if there is any future shortfall	LPR-D-258 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320	Disagree. If the Council cannot demonstrate a five-year housing land supply in the future, the presumption in favour of sustainable development will be triggered. The Plan also includes a contingency.
Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	A more positive trigger mechanism should be added to make clear that further development on the edge of sustainable settlements will be brought forward if delivery is not being achieved. Suggests additions to the text	LPR-D-283	Disagree. If the Council cannot demonstrate a five-year housing land supply in the future, the presumption in favour of sustainable development will be triggered.
Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	There are too many saved policies without explanation and therefore they need to be deleted	LPR-D-233	Disagree. It is legitimate to save adopted policies if required.
Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	Should the plan proceed, a review policy with consequences is essential to address a substantial housing deficit	LPR-D-211	Disagree. The Council is required to review local plan policies at least once every five years.

Part of Doc.	Comment/Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response	
Policy IMP 1: Delivery and Contingency	Suggests the inclusion of a robust monitoring framework to track the effectiveness of COM 13	LPR-D-344 (Hanwell Parish Council)	The Plan includes a monitoring framework for all policies.	

Part of Doc.	Comment/Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Supporting Text	Oxfordshire County Council have made suggestions for amended wording to paragraphs 3.9, 3.84, 3.79, 3.44, 3.401, 3.400, 3.399, 3.394, 3.391, 3.344, 3.341, 3.207, 3.140, 3.14, 3.137, 3.132, 3.13, 4.39, 5.39, 6.67 and 6.111	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Where appropriate changes are proposed.
Supporting Text	The Environment Agency made comments on paragraph 3.69	LPR-D-349 (Environment Agency)	Where appropriate changes are proposed.
Supporting Text	Sport England made comments on paragraph 4.28	LPR-D-191 (Sport England)	Noted.
Puy du Fou Proposal	Despite this not being addressed in the plan, a number of comments were received on this proposal	Multiple representations	This development is subject of a planning application which has yet to be determined. It is not necessary to include within the local plan.
Oxford United Football Club Proposal	Despite this not being addressed in the plan, a number of comments were received on this proposal	Multiple representations	The proposed stadium is the subject of a planning application which has yet to be determined. It is not a facility to meet Cherwell's needs. It is not necessary to include within the local plan.

Part of Doc.	Comment/Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 1- Notes there is no policy relating to Banbury Inner Relief Road and Hennef Way. TR13 needs to be amended to be retained, or a new policy should be created to address this	LPR-D-257	Noted
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 1- Requests clarification as to if existing policies that are identified to be retained are being offered for examination	LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320 LPR-D-258	This will be a matter for the inspector.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 1- Considers the wording needs to be amended. The plan refers to policies being 'retained' but in the glossary 'saved policies'. They must be referred to as 'saved'	LPR-D-092	Noted. This has been amended.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 1- There is inconsistency across TR14 and Policy BAN 3 which it has been replaced with	LPR-D-100	Noted. This has been amended
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 1- Requests more detail and guidance in the Partial Review Policies to ensure any permitted development does not harm Oxford's wider historic green setting. Recommends an independent policy focused on the significance of longer distance views from Oxford to the surrounding green setting to ensure sensitive development	LPR-D-206	The Partial Review policies are to be saved. No further development immediately to the north of Oxford is proposed.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 2- Would like a similar table setting out the expectations for each site	LPR-D-138	An updated trajectory has been prepared for submission based on the latest monitoring data.

Local Plan	Supports the maps showing the gap areas	LPR-D-095	Noted and welcomed.
Appendices	where development will not be allowed	Li ii D 000	Noted and wetcomed.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 2- Paragraph 3.201 is out of date as the district does not have a good pipeline of housing supply and cannot demonstrate a 5YHLS. Questions the lack of a separate trajectory for Oxford's unmet need to 2031 and questions the deliverability of the sites	LPR-D-210	An updated trajectory has been prepared for submission based on the latest monitoring data.
Local Plan Appendices	Objects to the housing supply and trajectory, considers that the plan does not put forward a positively prepared, sound strategy for necessary growth	LPR-D-299	An updated trajectory has been prepared for submission based on the latest monitoring data.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 4- The map is not clear and notes the strategic gap for Caulcott affects their property and should be amended so it is not included in it	LPR-D-264	Noted.  Discussed this plotting error with the representor during the consultation period.  Amendment is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 4 - Banbury – Nethercote, Overthorpe, Warkworth and Middleton Cheney Gap. Questions the urban boundaries for Banbury and the preservation and recognition of landscape features. Requests inclusion of ridge and furrow in the characteristics, and the A361 as the definition of Banbury's eastern edge which development should not extent past Should make clear that the strategic gap dos not seek to impose policies on adjoining authority.	LPR-D-100	Settlements gaps policies and evidence are distinct from landscape policies. It addresses the role of landscape in maintaining the separation of settlements. The settlement gaps identified are broad areas within which maintenance of settlement separation is a key consideration.  The intention of the policy is to guide development proposals and support development decisions based on the key characteristics and guidance of each gap identified in Appendix 4. These are based on a robust assessment of each gap, the methodology and detail assessment is contained in the Green Gaps Study published for consultation alongside the Plan.  Amendments removing land from West Northamptonshire are included in the proposed changes to the Plan.

Local Plan	Appendix 4- The Banbury-Nethercote-	LPR-D-257	Settlements gaps policies and evidence are distinct from landscape policies. It
Appendices	Overthorpe-Warkworth and Middleton Cheney gap, development should not extent east of the A361, north of the A422 to preserve the valley floor and the Overthorpe escarpment which are mutually dependent in landscape terms. Mapping should be clear that it does not seek to import policies on bordering counties. The Banbury- Williamscot and Chacombe gap is strong but to maintain distinction feels that new development should be avoided to preclude Banbury encroaching to the east of the Cherwell Valley, preclude any sense of Williamscot or Chacombe descending from their upper valley side settings and preclude views of development on the edge of Banbury east of the M40, on the approach from the north or from Chacombe		addresses the role of landscape in maintaining the separation of settlements. The settlement gaps identified are broad areas within which maintenance of settlement separation is a key consideration.  The intention of the policy is to guide development proposals and support development decisions based on the key characteristics and guidance of each gap identified in Appendix 4. These are based on a robust assessment of each gap, the methodology and detail assessment is contained in the Green Gaps Study published for consultation alongside the Plan.  Amendments removing land from West Northamptonshire are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 7- Notes that the draft Nature Recovery Network Map is likely to be replaced by the Oxfordshire Local Nature Recovery Network	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted. Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy is under preparation. In March 2025, OXON and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 7- Objects to the inclusion of the draft Local Nature Recovery Strategy within appendix 7 as it is draft and not yet adopted. This should be removed from the appendix as it is too difficult to interpret and has not been adopted	LPR-D-191 (Sport England)	Noted. LNRS is addressed in the Plan. Oxfordshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy is under preparation. In March 2025, OXON and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 8- Conservation Target Areas are likely to be replaced by the	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Noted.

	Oxfordshire Local Nature Recovery Network		Conservation Target Areas are an integral part of the emerging Local Nature Recovery Strategy. The LNRS is under preparation. In March 2025, OXON and Natural England agreed the approach for Local Plans which are at an advanced stage of preparation.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 9- Requests RAF Weston on the Green height development consultation zones and Birdstrike safeguarding zones are added. Notes MoD safeguarding requirements for RAF Bicester have been withdrawn	LPR-D-069	Noted and agreed. Changes are included in the list of proposed changes.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 10- Requests the full legal definitions of BOB-ICB and ICB to be included in the glossary.	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	Noted.  Minor improvements such as explanation of frequently used acronyms can be addressed following the CLPR's examination.
Local Plan Appendices	Appendix 11- all bar one of the 25 policies included in Theme 1 are identified in appendix 11 as strategic. Questions if all of them are in the context of the NPPF	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	Noted. Changes are included in the list of proposed changes.

PROPOSED SU	PROPOSED SUBMISSION: POLICIES MAP				
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response		
Policies Map	The colour coding needs to be checked, particularly regarding Scheduled Monuments	LPR-D-274 (Historic England)	Noted.  Mapping improvements are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.		
Policies Map	The legend and colouring of the map is unclear and several sites which have already been developed are identified and committed housing sites. They should be removed	LPR-D-275 LPR-D-287	Noted.  Mapping improvements are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.		
Policies Map	The map is poor quality, low resolution and does not include the settlement gaps	LPR-D-271 (Kirtlington Parish Council)	Noted.  Mapping improvements are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.		

Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Policies Map	The North West Bicester map's strategic gap is not justified. This policy should be deleted and the red line edge of the site should be clearly visible around the whole allocation	LPR-321	It is appropriate that where allocations contain large areas which are identified as remaining undeveloped and play an important role in preserving distinction between settlements, these are included in the strategic gap. Policy BIC H1 includes strategic gap criteria and thus the area of the proposed strategic gap within BIC H1 outline boundary is shown in the Policies Map. The application of Policy COM 13 is no different to other strategic gaps.  Mapping improvements are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policies Map	Land north of Pringle Drive and east of Oxford Road is designated as existing green space and it should be noted that there is extant planning permission for this land to be developed as a car and cycle parking hub	LPR-D-222	Noted.  Mapping improvements are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policies Map	Notes that the Chesterton site is shown as existing green space and is concerned that this is inaccurate and creates a misleading starting point	LPR-D-244	Noted.  Mapping improvements are included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.
Policies Map	Disagrees with the strategic gap placement and boundary line around Hawkwell (24/04275/OUT). Questions the overlap of the strategic gap and strategic housing allocation	LPR-D-080	Disagree. It is appropriate that where allocations contain large areas which are identified as remaining undeveloped and play an important role in preserving distinction between settlements, these are included in the strategic gap. Policy BIC H1 includes strategic gap criteria and thus the area of the proposed strategic gap within BIC H1 outline boundary is shown in the Policies Map. The application of Policy COM 13 is no different to other strategic gaps.
Policies Map	The Bicester Area and Policy BIC H1 maps are labelled incorrectly and conflict with appendix 4	LPR-D-260 (Bucknell Parish Council)	There is no conflict between Policy BIC H1 and Appendix 4. It is considered appropriate and justified that where allocations contain large areas which are likely to remain as green space and play an important role in preserving distinction between settlements, these are included in the defined strategic gap.  This approach is proposed for the strategic gap between North-West Bicester and Bucknell. Given the scale of the development proposed, the area of the defined strategic gap is shown in the policy map for Policy BIC H1. The application of Policy COM 13 in this area is no different to other strategic gaps.

PROPOSED SUBMISSION: POLICIES MAP					
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response		
Policies Map	There are no footpaths or green connections shown explicitly on the map. Requests a map to show this	LPR-D-043	Noted. The Interactive Policies Map will be able to show that level of detail.		

EVIDENCE DOC	UMENTS		
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Affordable and Specialist Housing Need Assessment	The calculation of gross affordable need is reasonable but does not account for stock losses, and therefore estimates that only 46.7% of affordable housing need would be met. Considers estimates of specialist housing for older people to be an underestimate. Recommends the council should maximise on the standard method	LPR-D-211	The level of affordable housing is justified by the Council's evidence.
Affordable and Specialist Housing Need Assessment	Due to the significant need for affordable and specialist housing, a greater consideration should be given to a wider range of sites to help meet this need	LPR-D-221	It is considered that the sites proposed together with saved allocations and windfall allowances provides a good range of sites.
Air Quality Action Plan	Notes the plan only focuses on Nox and not PM2.5 and PM10 which are more dangerous. Notes no actions are agreed and there is no assessment of the impact of HGVs and LGVs from construction of allocated employment sites. States that in Bicester PM2.5 levels are higher than the WHO's safety threshold. Recommends there should be a genuine assessment of current traffic issues and	LPR-D-015	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.

Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response	
	congestion hotspots as well as forecasting the impact of current and planned developments. A Plan should be made the manage the impact. Investment should be concentrated in congestion hotspots and there should be greater investment in active travel			
Bicester Forecasting and Modelling Report	Paragraph 4.3.3- References the traffic conditions around North West Bicester area and considers the Local Plan to be unsound as it does not recognise the need to substantially improve the road infrastructure here. Notes there is no plan to improve the B4100 or J10 of the M40 in the Local Plan, only in the report which is considered to be inadequate. There should be recognition that money needs to be allocated to fundamentally improve road infrastructure in the area and considers all proposals should be halted until this is complete	LPR-D-003	Updated Bicester Forecasting Report has been updated.	
Bicester Forecasting and Modelling Report	Queries the scenario testing set out in the report and that only two mitigation packages are included in the scenario tests, contrary to the NPPF and IDP. The report should align more closely with national policy and guidance, consider a greater range of mitigation measures, future scenarios and cumulative impacts	LPR-D-210	Updated Bicester Forecasting Report has been updated.	

Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Banbury Transport Topic Paper	Notes that the plan does not include regular access to the town centre via bus for the areas adjacent to the A361 which is already at the afternoon peak	LPR-D-060	Noted
CDC Statement following publication of NPPF	Considers that the plan does not meet 80% of the local housing need and would therefore not benefit from transitional arrangements. Considers North West Bicester could deliver the 7500 homes almost entirely within the plan period	LPR-D-237	Noted, Disagree.
Cherwell Landscape Sensitivity Assessment	Objects to the assessment of Banbury and Hanwell as having low-moderate sensitivity	LPR-D-160	Noted. All comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.
Cherwell Landscape Sensitivity Assessment	Notes that the assessment acknowledges the issue of separation between Banbury and Twyford but does not seek to prevent development in this location	LPR-D-227	Noted. All comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.
Consultation Statement	Regulation 18 representations LPR-C-512 and LPR-C-386 are missing. All representations should be in the Regulation 18 Consultation Responses Database unless they are intended to be withheld for specific reasons	LPR-D-057	These missing representations were belatedly published on 23 January 2025.

<b>EVIDENCE DO</b>	VIDENCE DOCUMENTS				
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response		
Duty To Cooperate	Considers the Duty To Cooperate Statement to be accurate and will collaborate on a Statement of Common Ground if this is sought	LPR-D-198 (South Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-199 (Vale of White Horse District Council)	Noted and welcomed.		
Duty To Cooperate	Notes Buckinghamshire Council may need to discuss Duty To Cooperate matters to accommodate part of Buckinghamshire's housing need but they are not yet advanced enough in their assessments and evidence based studies	LPR-D-108 (Buckinghamshir e Council)	Noted.		
Duty To Cooperate	Considers CDC has satisfactorily discharged its Duty To Cooperate	LPR-D-290 (West Oxfordshire District Council)	Noted and welcomed.		
Duty To Cooperate	National Highways continue to review the evidence and suggests the preparation of a statement of common ground	LPR-D-200 (National Highways)	Noted. Two further meetings with the two Highways Authorities on transport evidence have taken place since the closure of the Regulation 19 consultation. Cherwell and Oxfordshire County Council are preparing a SoCG with National Highways.		

Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Duty To Cooperate	Notes concern that no Statements of Common Ground have yet been published	LPR-D-136 LPR-D-138 LPR-D-190 LPR-D-203 LPR-D-210 LPR-D-214 LPR-D-227 LPR-D-252 LPR-D-258 LPR-D-268 LPR-D-275 LPR-D-287 LPR-D-287 LPR-D-295 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320	Where appropriate Statements of Common Ground are being prepared with relevant bodies.
Duty To Cooperate	There is no evidence that the matter of strategic gaps has been discussed with West Northamptonshire Council	LPR-D-253	West Northants Council have responded to the Regulation 19 consultation. They raise no objections. Other engagement is set out in the Statement of Compliance.
Duty To Cooperate	The evidence and the process behind the decision to remove support for the HENA which was jointly commissioned with Oxford City Council should be set out	LPR-D-138 LPR-D-190 LPR-D-252 LPR-D-258 LPR-D-287 LPR-D-300 LPR-D-320	The HENA was withdrawn following the criticism of the study by the Inspectors appointed to examine the Oxford City Local Plan in summer 2024.
Duty To Cooperate	Questions if this has been met due to a lack of meetings and Oxford City's failure to meet the Duty To Cooperate	LPR-D-165	Disagree. The evidence for this is set out in the updated Statement of Compliance.

<b>EVIDENCE DO</b>	CUMENTS		
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Duty To Cooperate	There is a lack of evidence to demonstrate engagement with Oxford City to help resolve their additional unmet housing need	LPR-D-211 LPR-D-255 LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263 LPR-D-303 LPR-D-304 LPR-D-305 LPR-D-308 LPR-D-311	Disagree. The evidence for this is set out in the updated Statement of Compliance.
Duty To Cooperate	Considers the duty has not been met as only one meeting has been held regarding Oxford City's unmet need in the 7 years since the figure was agreed	LPR-D-315	Disagree, as demonstrated in the Statement of Compliance.
Duty To Cooperate	Considers evidence of ongoing cooperation needs to be demonstrated	LPR-D-223	Disagree. See Statement of Compliance

EVIDENCE DO	ACAD CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR	1 24 24 24	Tames and a second second
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Duty To Cooperate	Considers that evidence has not yet been published to fully demonstrate that the legal duty to cooperate with neighbouring authorities has been discharged	LPR-D-269	Disagree. See Statement of Compliance
Duty To Cooperate	Questions how Cherwell and Oxford City have cooperated to agree the housing need for their respective areas following publication of the December 2024 NPPF	LPR-D-204	Disagree. The evidence for this is set out in the updated Statement of Compliance.
Duty To Cooperate	Considers the statement provides evidence of extensive consultation and that cooperation between neighbouring authorities is critical for a strategic approach	LPR-D-206	Noted
Duty To Cooperate	The statement lacks any substance around resolutions, compromises and commitments between the neighbouring authorities that would lead to a positively prepared and effective Local Plan. The evidence from West Oxfordshire contradicts statements made within Cherwell's Duty To Cooperate Statements.	LPR-D-282	Disagree. The evidence for co-operation is set out in the Statement of Compliance. It is not a duty to agree.
Duty To Cooperate	There has only been minimal engagement with investors and developers as part of the Local Plan Review	LPR-D-341	Disagree. There have been four rounds of consultation as the Plan has been prepared. Engagement with site promoters/developers has occurred where necessary.

EVIDENCE DO	CUMENTS		
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
ENA 2024	Finds this to be inadequate and questions the assumptions made on working styles and jobs growth as well as the sole focus on Bicester and Banbury	LPR-D-193	The most recently published ENA should be read alongside the 2021 ENA, and shows the change in working styles and people's preference for working at home - though this is not practicable for every sector. The distribution of employment is consistent with the spatial strategy which directs development to Banbury and Bicester as the two main towns as set out in the settlement hierarchy. Policy LEC 3 provides a flexible approach to employment development on unallocated sites. In Kidlington, there is existing committed employment development yet to be built out which was removed from the Green Belt.
ENA 2024	Considers that emerging data suggests employment land demand may rise further to 250-300ha for 2021-2042	LPR-D-211	Noted. The ENA presents a forecast-based scenario and a trend based scenario for Cherwell and considers this an appropriate range within which the Council can base its employment need.
ENA 2024	Objects that the amount of allocated employment land is at the lower end of the range recommended by the evidence	LPR-D-265	The ENA presents a forecast-based scenario and a trend based scenario for Cherwell and considers this an appropriate range within which the Council can base its employment need. The Council has allocated sufficient employment land and applies a flexible approach to non allocated land as set out in Policy LEC3
ENA 2024	The council should take a balanced approach to the amount of development needed and focus on the need arising from Cherwell itself, rather than the wider region	LPR-D-281	The ENA presents a forecast-based scenario and a trend based scenario for Cherwell and considers this an appropriate range within which the Council can base its employment need.
ENA 2024	There is no mention of the life sciences or tech sectors. States that an employment focus on Bicester and Banbury is not consistent with the spatial strategy or economic evidence and therefore it not sound	LPR-D-282	The most recently published ENA should be read alongside the 2021 ENA, which does refer to these sectors. The distribution of employment is consistent with the spatial strategy which directs development to Banbury and Bicester as the two main towns as set out in the settlement hierarchy. Policy LEC 3 provides a flexible approach to employment development on unallocated sites. In Kidlington, there is existing committed employment development yet to be built out which was removed from the Green Belt.

EVIDENCE DO	aren sen en e	ina and a second	Tana
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
ENA 2024	The scale of suppressed demand does not appear to be explicitly estimated.  Demand estimates do not have a buffer of vacant sites. There is a need for further allocation	LPR-D-319	The ENA presents a forecast-based scenario and a trend based scenario for Cherwell and considers this an appropriate range within which the Council can base its employment need.
Green Gaps Study	There are contradictions in the study and in the Cherwell Landscape Character Assessment for some 'high quality landscapes'. Relevant evidence does not seem to be taken into account for this study	LPR-D-227	Disagree. The studies are not comparable. The Green Gaps Study considered the nature of the intervening landscape and the role of intervening settlements in reducing perceived separation between settlements. Landscape quality is only a consideration if
Green Gaps Study	The land within the site north of Dukes Meadow Drive as this is identified as benefitting from planning permission but is still covered by the Green Gap zone. Queries inclusion of land in the Hanwell Gap	LPR-D-248	Noted. Residential Development at Land north of Dukes Meadow Drive (21/03426/OUT) is acknowledged in the study (page 118) and shown in the map in page 116.  Mapping error in the Banbury- Hanwell Appendix 4 map is included in the list of proposed changes to the Plan.

EVIDENCE DO	P. T. S.	Dia Manta	046
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Habitats Regulation Assessment	Agrees with the majority of the conclusions in the HRA. Expects that sufficient evidence should be provided through the SA and HRA to justify the site allocation process and ensure sites of least environmental value are selected. Findings on the Begbroke HRA appear to contradict the conclusions of the Local Plan HRA. There are concerns with the approach to 'in combination' taken across Oxfordshire. Requests the full air quality assessment used to support the HRA findings to understand how the conclusions were reached	LPR-D-249 (Natural England)	Noted. Further information has been provided and engagement with Natural England is on-going with further meetings taking place since Regulation 19 publication in February and May 2025. We will continue to engage with Natural England as we progress the CLPR to examination.
Habitats Regulation Assessment	The HRA should include an assessment of present and planned Minerals and Waste and all Regulation 3 developments when considering combined impacts	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Disagree. Oxfordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Part 1 (Core Strategy) provides a policy framework for identifying sites for new minerals and waste development and for making decisions on planning applications. The supporting HRA Screening Report 2015 sets out why an Appropriate Assessment is not necessary at the strategic level of the Core Strategy. Work on a Part 2 Plan (Site Allocations Document) has ceased and will not be given weight in future planning decisions. OCC are commencing work on a new Minerals and Waste Plan (2042). HRA considers 'in combination' effects of minerals and waste operations through considering the county minerals and waste plan. Existing operational facilities are part of our background for assessment. Specific waste planning applications unless there is an application currently live in Cherwell that is not captured by minerals and waste planning.

	IDENCE DOCUMENTS					
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response			
Habitats Regulation Assessment	Welcome working together on the document and wishes to continue to assess collective impacts on the Oxford Meadows SAC	LPR-D-198 (South Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-199 (Vale of White Horse District Council)	Noted and agreed.			
Health Impact Assessment	There have been no further amendments to the HIA submitted for Regulation 18	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	Incorrect. The HIA has been updated for the Regulation 19 Plan.			
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Land at Bloxham Road Is incorrectly listed as 'potentially contaminated land' , 'all wooded habitats' and 'relatively isolated'	LPR-D-091	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.			
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	The assessment of HELAA321 as suitable for development is supported. However, the statement that there is no further potential for development is not justified	LPR-D-097	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.			
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Objects in relation to HELAA108, which should be considered suitable for some development	LPR-D-107	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.			

Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Disputes the assessment of HELAA094 as unsuitable for development on the basis of the acceptability of building on greenfield sites, the proximity to Bloxham's built up limits, the potential impact on the PROW, implications of the sites location and implications of site development on primary school expansion	LPR-D-164	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	HELAA 504 is scored green and is considered to have development potential but the summary states that the site is not considered suitable for development. Clarity is required. Consider site would make a useful contribution.	LPR-D-164	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Objects to the scoring of HELAA 523	LPR-D-208	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Disagrees with the assessment of HELAA 531	LPR-D-216	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Disagrees with the conclusions of HELAA 511 and notes any development in Banbury is likely to be on a greenfield site outside of the built-up limits	LPR-D-227	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.

EVIDENCE DOCU	JMENTS		
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Disagrees with the HELAA findings for Land South of Milton Road and considers it to be in a sustainable location, with close proximity to several services and with limited relationship to the open countryside	LPR-D-242	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Objects that HELAA 086 is not suitable, achievable or available. Objects that HELAA 368 is not suitable, achievable or available and mentions the positive preapp outcomes	LPR-D-265	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Comments concern about assumptions for HELAA 387 and states the Flood Zone 3 area and landscape views have no impact on the proposed development	LPR-D-275	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Objects to the conclusions of HELAA 470	LPR-D-287	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Objects to the conclusion of HELAA 212 as unsuitable	LPR-D-295	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.

EVIDENCE DOCL	JMENTS		
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Objects to the conclusion that HELAA 400 and HELAA 401 are unsuitable	LPR-D-308	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Objects that the site is in the Green Belt and comments on differing assessments of HELAA 294 and HELAA 342	LPR-D-304	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Objects to the conclusion of Land of Hempton Road, Hempton in the HELAA	LPR-D-315	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Land east of Barford Road, Bloxham is identified in the HELAA under site reference HELAA504. However the summary for the site does not match the site assessment and therefore on this basis the HELAA is flawed.	LPR-D-269	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Questions the omission of the client's site in Cropredy from assessment in the HELAA	LPR-D-303	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.

<b>EVIDENCE DOCU</b>	VIDENCE DOCUMENTS				
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response		
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Questions why sites in Islip are not suitable	LPR-D-289	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.		
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Questions the omission of HELAA 394 and HELAA034 from the Local Plan	LPR-D-311	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.		
Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment	Questions the omission of LPR-A-078 and 071 from the Local Plan	LPR-D-329	We have considered all comments received on the HELAA and made appropriate adjustments when justified. An updated HELAA will be available for examination.		
HENA	Supportive of moving away from the HENA for housing purposes but comments that this does not mean the economic forecasting was inaccurate, or that there is not an 'in principle' reason to consider an uplift to support economic growth	LPR-D-262 LPR-D-263	Noted		
Heritage Impact Assessment	Agrees with the assessment that the risk of archaeological remains of significance on the allocated RUR H1 site is low	LPR-D-213	Noted		

Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Heritage Impact Assessment	Does not find the HIA to be legally compliant, sound or compliant with the Duty To Cooperate	LPR-D-193	No justification has been provided by the representation. The Council commissioned expert consultants to carry out a HIA in consultation with Historic England who reviewed the methodology. It is considered that the HIA is a robust part of the evidence base.
Heritage Impact Assessment	Disagrees that there is a 'medium level of effect' on the significance of the World Heritage Site arising from development on the proposed allocation	LPR-D-267	The consultants have assessed this and concluded that there is a medium level of effect.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Notes a number of schemes would potentially impact the Strategic Road Network including additional capacity at M40 J9. Requests to be kept engaged on the progress on-slip improvements at the A34 Pear Tree and the expansion of Bicester Park and Ride. National Highways have no plans for improvement capacity at M40 J9 and if transport modelling identifies the need for this improvement, funding and timescales for delivery will need to be demonstrated	LPR-D-200 (National Highways)	Noted. The Council is currently engaging with National Highways on a number of issues.
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	As 4300 dwellings are due to be delivered beyond the plan period, BOB-ICB reinstates the need for an onsite primary healthcare facility at North West Bicester. Notes that some ICB elements are out of date and that some of the updated text for the IDP and IFA provided to the consultants is not in the document. Therefore, considers the plan to be unsound until this additional text is added.	LPR-D-272 (BOB-ICB)	The Council is continuing to engage with the ICB to assist with the delivery of improved primary care.  An updated Infrastructure Delivery Plan will be presented for examination.

<b>EVIDENCE DOC</b>	EVIDENCE DOCUMENTS				
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response		
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Chapter 4- The IDP and Infrastructure Schedule have limited information regarding healthcare requirements, especially in relation to site allocations. Recommends engaging with BOB-ICB to ensure on site health care demands are properly assessed and that health mitigations align with NHS requirements and the approach to securing health care provision	LPR-D-218 (NHS Property Services)	The Council is continuing to engage with the ICB to assist with the delivery of improved primary care.  An updated Infrastructure Delivery Plan will be presented for examination.		
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Provides a number of comments and updates on the IDP and Infrastructure Schedule	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.  An updated Infrastructure Delivery Plan will be presented for examination.		
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Comments concern regarding new sites, the 17ha of employment at Graven Hill and the impact on the A41 corridor from Bicester to Aylesbury as this has limited capacity and needs improving. Notes the road condition is poor	LPR-D-108 (Buckinghamshir e Council)	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate. An updated Infrastructure Delivery Plan will be presented for examination.		
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Information needs to be changed in the Infrastructure Schedule regarding references, costs and other information	LPR-D-323	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.		
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	The reference to the IDP should include a date and document reference for clarity	LPR-D-282	An updated Infrastructure Delivery Plan will be presented for examination.		
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Questions why the infrastructure schedule does not suggest that KID H1 will be expected to contribute to the A44 Mobility Hub	LPR-D-346	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.		

Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Does not consider the link with OLTCP policies and ongoing projects in the IDP is enough to ensure that the Local Plan objectives are met	LPR-D-399	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.  An updated Infrastructure Delivery Plan will be presented for examination.
Landscape Evidence	Considers the landscape evidence to be, in the main, a good report but questions the impact of the visual aspect of BIC E1, E2 and E3 as a result of the height of any employment development. The east and southeast should be protected.	LPR-D-281 (Chesterton Parish Council)	Noted. The Landscape evidence provides appropriate advice to inform plan making including important views and areas of landscape sensitivity. Defining developable areas is a Local Plan matter having considered all relevant evidence. Site allocation polices BIC E1 to BIC3 include a requirement for proposals to be accompanied and influenced by a landscape/visual and heritage impact assessment.
Site Landscape Assessments	The assessment fails to assess all potential sites including land west of Southam Road and notes that landscape sensitivity for this has since reduced	LPR-D-221	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.
Site Landscape Assessments	Notes incorrect statements within the assessment and therefore disagrees with the study in relation in Banbury L1	LPR-D-248	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.

EVIDENCE DOC	Comment / Issue	Dan Mumbar	Officer Passage
Document	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Local Plan Viability Assessment	Comments on the omission of specification of an amount for healthcare mitigation. The S106 contribution of £14,000 per dwelling is sufficient but notes concern that there is no specific mention of healthcare mitigation in the viability assessment meaning it will compete with other planning obligations or be completely ignored resulting in unsustainable development. Requests a separate cost input specified for healthcare	LPR-D-218 (NHS Property Services)	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate
Local Plan Viability Assessment	It it unclear how costs were arrived at for net zero to achieve policy CSD2 and as to how much offsetting may be required as well as the likely costs of this. The LPVA may underestimate the cost of achieving net zero. There is no detailed evidence as to how the cost per dwelling for BNG was arrived at in relation to CSD 12, this needs to be demonstrated. The LPVA does not support 30% to 35% on brownfield land. Evidence for affordable housing needs to make clear costs for BNG and zero carbon homes as well as take into account the Building Safety Levy	LPR-D-165 LPR-D-138	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.
Local Plan Viability Assessment	Queries how costs have been calculated and what they include. It fails to consider the potential costs of CSD 5	LPR-D-165 LPR-D-321	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate.

Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Local Plan Viability Assessment	Concern regarding viability in relation to the robustness of the assumptions made particularly relating to CSD 12, CSD2, and BIC H1	LPR-D-266	All evidence comments have been considered and evidence reviewed when appropriate
Local Plan Viability Assessment	There is need for a viability assessment of the cumulative policy requirements of the draft Local Plan	LPR-D-276 LPR-D-341	Disagree. The CLPR viability assessment addresses the in-combination effect of polices.
Parish Profiles 2021	Considers Bloxham to be a sustainable settlement which should be a Category A with development directed to the village	LPR-D-164	Bloxham is categorised as a Category A settlement.
Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper	Supports the identification of Banbury as a Main Town	LPR-D-221	Welcomed
Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper	There are inaccuracies including inconsistent naming of the village and incorrect identification of key facilities meaning the village scores lower than the topic paper suggests. Objects to the statement that Great Bourton has good connectivity to Banbury. Great Bourton should not be classified as a Category B Village	LPR-D-103 (Bourtons Parish Council)	The evidence has been prepared at a point in time. Any inaccuracies have been corrected, however, this does not change the categorisation of Great Bourton as a Category B settlement.

Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper	Notes errors in the paper including that Deddington should score 7, not 9, for pubs, Bloxham should score 1 for Warriner School and that comments on ultrafast broadband are incorrect. Considers the evidence to be flawed	LPR-D-164	The evidence has been prepared at a point in time. Any inaccuracies have been corrected.
Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper	Seeks a review of the analysis to correct errors and, where necessary, reclassify settlements. Fully supports the comments on the paper by Bourtons Parish Council	LPR-D-257	The evidence has been prepared at a point in time. Any inaccuracies have been corrected, however, this does not change the categorisation of Great Bourton as a Category B settlement.
Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper	Requests corrections regarding the scoring	LPR-D-269 LPR-D-295	The evidence has been prepared at a point in time. Any inaccuracies have been corrected.
Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper	Questions why the Updated Topic Paper (February 2025) was not consulted on	LPR-D-308	The updated topic paper was published as a table in the appendix of the original paper was not reproduced correctly. This only related to an appendix which did not change the overall recommendations of the Paper.
Playing Pitch & Outdoor Sport Strategy	Mentions the strategy is based on no contact with Kidlington Cricket Club over the last 2.5 years. The Regulation 19 plan has omitted to set a strategy for Stratfield Brake	LPR-D-282	The cricket club was consulted in the preparation of the PPOSS. Stage E meetings are held with representatives of the Sports governing bodies, including the England and Wales Cricket Board. The PPOSS makes detailed recommendations for Stratfield Brake.
Playing Pitch and Built Facilities Strategy	Would like to see more of the recommendations from the strategy specifically referenced in the Local Plan	LPR-D-191 (Sport England)	Noted. It is considered that the level of detail is appropriate.

EVIDENCE DOC		1 2	Tuu.
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Sustainability Appraisal	Expects sufficient evidence to be provided through the SA and HRA to justify the site allocation process and ensure sites of least environmental value are selected	LPR-D-249 (Natural England)	Noted. SA outline reasons for selecting the preferred approach in light of alternatives assessment and of how environmental objectives and considerations are reflected in the draft plan. SA includes and considers the findings of HRA undertaken at Regulation 18 and Regulation 19 stage.
Sustainability Appraisal	Considers paragraphs 6.5.6 and 9.4.1 to be inaccurate as the Environment Agency did object to all site allocations at Regulation 18 stage. Regarding table 2, flood risk vulnerability and flood zone incompatibility in the guidance shows some development should not be permitted in certain flood zones. If any of the site allocations propose development in the floodplain that are incompatible with the flood plain, the Environment Agency would object to them also	LPR-D-349 (Environment Agency)	Comments noted. In the Council's understanding, the principle of the allocations was not objected to, rather it was suggested that the supporting evidence was not considered robust by the representor and further evidence was required. In any event, further work has been prepared following these comments in respect of flood risk.
Sustainability Appraisal	Welcomes that scenario 1 has been progressed due to reduced impact on biodiversity. Notes on scenario 3 that there is no potential to deliver built form within the Shipton Quarry LWS. On Bicester H1 the area of ancient woodland at Grunthill Copse should be acknowledged. There should be consideration and application of the mitigation hierarchy regarding Ardley Cutting and Quarry SSSI with Policies BIC H1, E1, E2, E3 E4 and E6	LPR-D-302 (Oxfordshire County Council)	The points raised regarding biodiversity sensitivity at two of the sites that feature as variables across the reasonable alternative growth scenarios are noted.

<b>EVIDENCE DOC</b>	VIDENCE DOCUMENTS				
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response		
Sustainability Appraisal	Notes that SA1 accompanying the Local Plan includes some unsupported assumptions about future Oxford unmet housing need (Paragraph 5.2.25) but the plan takes no action related to these assumptions	LPR-D-198 (South Oxfordshire District Council) LPR-D-199 (Vale of White Horse District Council)	The representation questions paragraph 5.2.25 of the SA Report, which states: "As discussed in the footnote below, there is a strong argument to suggest that there is no evidential reason to plan for further unmet housing need from Oxford City over-and-above the 4,400 homes already committed to. However, as discussed, this is on the basis of assumptions regarding Oxford City's need and supply figures. With regards to supply, there is little basis for questioning the 493 dpa figure discussed in the footnote, but there is a need to sensitivity test for a need figure above standard method (1,051 dpa)."  This discussion and the associated discussion in respect of uncertainties and ultimately risks around the question of unmet need from Oxford City was carefully considered and appropriate. Uncertainty/risk in respect of unmet need from Oxford City was one factor amongst many that fed into a decision to define (Section 5.5) and appraise (Section 6) higher growth scenarios.		
Sustainability Appraisal	Supports the approach to the Sustainability Appraisal but raises concern regarding their site. The SA should be updated to show Wendlebury is the most appropriate location for growth	LPR-D-287	The representation deals with one of the site options that is a variable across the reasonable alternative (RA) growth scenarios that area a focus of appraisal in the SA Report (Section 6). The suggestion is that the appraisal underplays the performance of the site in respect of flood risk, but we are clear that this is a significant constraint to growth in the area. There is also a suggestion that the appraisal underplays the 'communities' performance of the site, but this is without any reference to the appraisal findings. Finally, we would wish to make clear that information submitted by the site promoter at the Regulation 18 stage was taken into account.		
Sustainability Appraisal	Generally agree with the assessment but makes comments regarding landscape and heritage assessment	LPR-D-267	We are pleased that the consultee has been able to draw upon the SA Report as a source of evidence, and a means of framing discussion of some key issues. It is noted that the proposal is to deliver the scheme in the form of a new hamlet to the east of Woodstock. The suggestion that the primary school issue has now been resolved is also noted.		

Document Document	Comment / Issue	Don Number	Officer Decrease
7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		Rep. Number	Officer Response
Sustainability Appraisal	Welcomes the reference to comprehensive planning of the entire northern sector of the Banbury urban edge but considers this should be considered as part of the Local Plan Review rather than as a longer-term consideration	LPR-D-221	The concern is that a specific site at Banbury should have been taken forward to the reasonable alternative growth scenarios (Section 5.5) rather than being dismissed on the basis of the analysis presented in Section 5.4 (Sub-area scenarios) which, it is important to recall, was prepared drawing upon preceding analysis in Sections 5.2 and 5.3. The analysis is considered robust, although the point raised in the representation regarding landscape sensitivity now being potentially reduced on account of an adjacent site now being under construction (or having been constructed) is noted. Even were landscape sensitivity now reduced it is considered that there would still be sufficient justification for not progressing the site to the reasonable alternative growth scenarios (Section 5.5) for appraisal (Section 6).
Sustainability Appraisal	There is no evidence of systematic assessment against SA objectives for each of the sites. A comparative assessment of all options for allocations should have been undertaken through the SA process	LPR-D-057	The SA Report is required to present an appraisal of "the plan and reasonable alternatives" as explained on page 1 of the report.  The concern here is in respect of the appraisal of reasonable alternatives (RAs), which is dealt with in Part 1 of the SA Report, specifically: Section 4 defines RAs in broad terms; Section 5 reports a major process define RAs; Section 6 appraises RAs; and Section 7 presents the Council's response to the appraisal. This was the same for the previous ISA Report (2023).  Site options are not RAs (see Section 4) but were nonetheless given proportionate consideration as part of the process of defining RAs. In particular, Section 5.4 (Sub areas) presents detailed (but targeted/proportionate) analysis of site options, both in isolation and in combination, drawing upon preceding Sections 5.2 (Strategic factors) and Section 5.2 (Site options).  A specific concern is that proposed allocation BAN H2 has been "engineered" without sufficient analysis, but it was discussed in Section 5.4 of the SA Report before a decision was reached to hold it constant across the RAs ('growth scenarios') as defined in Section 5.5. Equally, this was the case at the time of preparing the ISA Report (2023). In both reports the site is then appraised in Part 2 (Section 9) which presents an appraisal of the plan as a whole.
Sustainability Appraisal	There is no clear basis for favouring the lower growth scenario over the highest	LPR-D-288	Detailed comments are presented on the appraisal of reasonable alternatives (RA) growth scenarios, as presented in Section 6 of the SA Report. The comments are welcomed, but having reviewed the comments we do not find that they identify any flaws in the appraisal.

Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Sustainability Appraisal	Suggests a higher growth scenario should be considered to support economic growth	LPR-D-137 LPR-D-165	This is a lengthy representation, but the following summary is helpfully provided: "We have identified two major concerns with the SA which are:  1. There has been a marked reduction in the quantum of new allocations in the Regulation 19 draft Plan in and around Bicester compared to the Regulation 18 Local Plan. Vistry consider these changes to be contrary to the Vision and draft Local Plan Objectives and the preferred spatial strategy proposed within both the Regulation 18 and 19 SA. As a result of the reduction in the quantum of homes in and around Bicester, the Regulation 19 SA should have allocated more sites in Bicester in order to maintain compliance with the Vision and Objectives; and  2. The reasons for rejection of the Vistry's site at Blackthorn Hill (LPR 21) are not based on any sound technical evidence which has been presented by the Council. The allocation of the client's site would be in strong alignment with the preferred spatial strategy."  On point (1), this misunderstands the role of SA, it is not to direct additional development to a location, it is to assess the proposals of the Plan.  On point (2), the site in question was taken forward to the reasonable alternative (RA) growth scenarios on the basis of the process set out across Section 5 of the SA Report, and ultimately features in RA growth scenarios 4, 5 and 6. It is then considered in detail through the appraisal of RA growth scenarios in Section 6, with around 17 appraisal discussions across the section. In light of the appraisal the Council then decided to support RA growth scenario 1, which does not involve allocation of the site in question.

<b>EVIDENCE DOC</b>	VIDENCE DOCUMENTS				
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response		
Sustainability Appraisal	Objects due to the reduction in new allocations around Bicester and questions reasons for rejection of the site at Blackthorn Hill	LPR-D-165	This is a lengthy representation, but the following summary is helpfully provided: "We have identified two major concerns with the SA which are:  1. There has been a marked reduction in the quantum of new allocations in the Regulation 19 draft Plan in and around Bicester compared to the Regulation 18 Local Plan. Vistry consider these changes to be contrary to the Vision and draft Local Plan Objectives and the preferred spatial strategy proposed within both the Regulation 18 and 19 SA. As a result of the reduction in the quantum of homes in and around Bicester, the Regulation 19 SA should have allocated more sites in Bicester in order to maintain compliance with the Vision and Objectives; and  2. The reasons for rejection of the Vistry's site at Blackthorn Hill (LPR 21) are not based on any sound technical evidence which has been presented by the Council. The allocation of the client's site would be in strong alignment with the preferred spatial strategy."  On point (1), this misunderstands the role of SA, it is not to direct additional development to a location, it is to assess the proposals of the Plan.  On point (2), the site in question was taken forward to the reasonable alternative (RA) growth scenarios on the basis of the process set out across Section 5 of the SA Report, and ultimately features in RA growth scenarios in Section 6, with around 17 appraisal discussions across the section. In light of the appraisal the Council then decided to support RA growth scenario 1, which does not involve allocation of the site in question.  Text from Section 5 is selectively quoted as part of an argument for higher growth. Section 5 reports a major process undertaken over a period of years to define the RA growth scenarios that are ultimately presented in Section 5.5 and appraised in Section 6. The process is introduced in Section 5.1, including with a flow diagram, and a whole comprises 50% of the SA Report. It should be read as a whole and all statements made should be read in context.  Section 5.4 (Sub-area scenar		

EVIDENCE DOC	UMENTS	V	
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Sustainability Appraisal	Considers that the council does not meet the transitional arrangements. The SA emphasises effective cooperation between neighbouring authorities but incorrectly assumes a small risk associated with accommodating Oxford City's unmet need. Questions inconsistencies and failure to consider more sites at Heyford Park	LPR-D-210	The representation refers to Section 6 of the SA Report, which presents the appraisal of reasonable alternatives growth scenarios, finding that Growth Scenario 1 – which is then taken forward as the preferred approach in Section 7 – is not "the most sustainable". It is important to be clear that this may be the interpretation of the objector, but it is not the conclusion reached in Section 6. Indeed, Section 6 does not reach a conclusion on which of the growth scenarios appraised is the most sustainable.  Similarly, the representation states that the SA Report "provides clear support" for higher growth, but this risks being highly misleading. The appraisal in Section 6 highlights that there are certain arguments in favour of higher growth, but also arguments against higher growth.  It is then stated that Heyford Park is an "obvious reasonable alternative", but this is not the case. The detailed analysis presented across Section 5 leads to a conclusion that there is no reasonable growth scenario involving allocation of Heyford Park.  The representation concludes:  "The SA has failed to consider the continuation of the role of Heyford Park in the plan period. It is illogical for the Council to state there is the possibility for substantial growth at Heyford Park but to then suggest its simply too much for them to consider."  On the first point, this is not correct as detailed consideration is given to Heyford Park across Section 5 (Defining growth scenarios). On the section point, it is not illogical to give weight to the merits of comprehensive growth and then to conclude that the scale of growth needed (to deliver comprehensive growth) is not supported given various factors e.g. housing need.
Sustainability Appraisal	There is little explanation why 'additional' unmet need cannot be identified at present and thereby distributed.	LPR-D-211	The concern is with Section 7 of the SA Report, as follows:  "There is little explanation as to why any 'additional' unmet need in addition to that agreed in 2016 cannot be identified at present and thereby distributed. There is also limited explanation as to why the arguments for adopting a higher housing requirement figure are not supported. It highlights the flaws in the approach pursued by the Council." Section 7 of the SA Report presents the Council's response to the appraisal in Section 6. It does not aim to repeat all of the substantive discussion from Section 6, but rather aims to present a concise statement on why the preferred approach – Growth Scenario 1 – is justified on balance.

Document Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Sustainability Appraisal	Paragraph 2.2.6 refers to risk associated with Oxford City's unmet need and the likelihood of this continuing beyond 2031. Notes on paragraph 2.2.8 that the housing requirement should be an aggregate figure combining the allocations to meet Oxford's unmet need and Cherwell's need. Disagrees that growth should be focused at Bicester over Banbury and disagrees with the conclusion that HELAA038 is unsuitable for development	LPR-D-221	Comments noted. The representation refers to Section 6 of the SA Report, which presents the appraisal of reasonable alternatives growth scenarios, finding that Growth Scenario 1 – which is then taken forward as the preferred approach in Section 7 – is not "the most sustainable". It is important to be clear that this may be the interpretation of the objector, but it is not the conclusion reached in Section 6. Indeed, Section 6 does not reach a conclusion on which of the growth scenarios appraised is the most sustainable.  Similarly, the representation states that the SA Report "provides clear support" for higher growth, but this risks being highly misleading. The appraisal in Section 6 highlights that there are certain arguments in favour of higher growth, but also arguments against higher growth. Section 7 of the SA Report presents the Council's response to the appraisal in Section 6. It does not aim to repeat all of the substantive discussion from Section 6, but rather aims to present a concise statement on why the preferred approach – Growth Scenario 1 – is justified on balance.  Banbury has seen higher levels of delivery, but it remains constrained as a town in terms of growth at the town's edge for reasons of topography and landscape. Bicester remains the focus of on-going growth and reflects its role as a sustainable Garden Town and position on the Oxford Cambridge Corridor
Sustainability Appraisal	The updated SA was presented to O&S committee without revised housing needs assessment, an up-to-date employment needs assessment of infrastructure delivery plan. There should be a review of the SA in relation to the comments made regarding Oxford's needs, Kidlington and the need for a Green Belt Review	LPR-D-282	The suggestion is that the SA Report "site-steps" the matter of further unmet need from Oxford City, but in fact it makes every effort to address the issue head on. The risk of further unmet need from Oxford City was a key factor influencing a decision to define (Section 5) and appraise (Section 6) higher growth scenarios.  The suggestion is that a "policy on" approach was taken in respect of Green Belt. It is correct to say that Green Belt fed in when defining reasonable alternative (RA) growth scenarios (Section 5), but ultimately two Green Belt sites are taken forward to the RA growth scenarios defined in Section 5.5. It is also noted that, within the concluding statement, the suggestion is that the SA Report "ruled out a Green Belt Review", but this misunderstands the role of the SA process, which is simply to draw upon best available evidence.

Document Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response
Sustainability Appraisal	Regarding paragraphs 5.4.104-5.4.113, does not consider the SA to be legally compliant. Islip should be assessed as a Category A village	LPR-D-289	The concern is with the decision to progress the option of strategic growth at Shipton Quarry to the reasonable alternative growth scenarios (Section 5.5 of the SA Report) for appraisal (Section 6) ahead of the option of strategic growth at Islip. This matter is discussed from paragraph 5.4.108 of the SA Report (read in the context of preceding discussion across Section 5) and the reasoning presented is considered to be sufficient ("outline reasons for selecting the alternatives").
Sustainability Appraisal	Questions Shipton Quarry Eco Village and notes the need for development to remain proportionate	LPR-D-217 (Shipton on Cherwell & Thrupp Parish Council)	Noted.  The assessment of reasonable options in the Sustainability Appraisal has supported the Plan in meeting identified housing, employment and other development needs within a positively prepared and justified Plan strategy.
Traffic Modelling	There is under-estimation in the Bicester Traffic Model and there Is no reliable evidence of 500 additional homes in Hawkwell Village	LPR-D-339	Bicester Transport Modelling Forecasting Report is being updated.
Transport Modelling	Notes the engagement carried out with National Highways on traffic modelling evidence supporting the plan. Provides a list of queries and observations on the modelling evidence and notes engagement will continue to resolve their outstanding queries. Proposes the preparation of a Statement of Common Ground	LPR-D-200 (National Highways)	Noted. We will continue to engage with National Highways to address and resolve relevant matters as we progress the CLPR to adoption and implementation of transport improvements alongside OCC.
Transport Evidence	There is a need for a proper transport assessment of the proposed draft Local Plan	LPR-D-276 LPR-D-341 LPR-D-233	Disagree. The CLPR has been informed by transport evidence consistent with national guidance on 'Transport evidence bases in plan making and decision taking'. Matters addressed include assessment of existing conditions, cumulative impact of existing and proposed

EVIDENCE DOCUMENTS				
Document	Comment / Issue	Rep. Number	Officer Response	
	The evidence based documents are incomplete		development, capacity of transport infrastructure and identification of transport proposals. Table 1 of the Transport Existing Conditions Topic Paper published in December 2024 provides an overview of transport evidence prepared to inform the December 2024 CLPR consultation and the matters covered in compliance with national guidance. In addition, the Council also published for consultation place specific transport topic papers focused on Banbury, Bicester and Kidlington.	

	Site	Re. Number	Officer Response
Omission Sites	Land off Bicester Road, Bicester for 24.5ha employment land	LPR-D-039	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off Overthorpe Road, Banbury for 1,500,000sq ft of employment/transport hub space	LPR-D-091	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land south of A41, Bicester for 56,000 sqm industrial floorspace	LPR-D-152	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land south of the B4030, adjacent to North West Bicester (HELAA531) for a high-tech business park and associated research campus	LPR-D-216	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at J11, M40 for 140,000 sqm of logistics floorspace	LPR-D-253	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.

<b>Omission Sites</b>	Land East of Baynards Green Farm,	LPR-D-319	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed
	Baynards Green (Tritax Park, Ardley) for B8 employment development		residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north of Langford Lane for employment development	LPR-D-310	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at London Oxford Aiport for employment development	LPR-D-293	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Bicester Motion for employment development	LPR-D-265	
Omission Sites	Land at the Former Lagoon, Banbury Sewage Treatment Works, Thorpe Mead, Banbury employment/residential development	LPR-D-079 (Thames Water)	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Grimsbury Reservoir, Grimsbury, Banbury for employment development	LPR-D-079 (Thames Water)	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Thames Water Kidlington Depot for employment development	LPR-D-079 (Thames Water)	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Shipton Quarry site for 2500 dwellings/ 25 acres of employment land	LPR-D-276	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Pear Tree Services for employment development	LPR-D-142	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

LUCAL PLAN PRO	POSED SUBMISSION: REPRESENTATIONS	PROMOTING SITE	10 V 10 0 V 10 V 10 V 10 V 10 V 10 V 10
			housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land west of the A44/A34 junction for renewable energy and employment uses	LPR-D-142	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off of the A41, South East Bicester for 70 dwellings or commercial led development	LPR-D-230	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off Bicester Road, Kidlington for housing development	LPR-D-142	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Painters Farm Bloxham for residential development	LPR-D-164	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north of Old Quarry House, Steeple Aston for 12-15 dwellings	LPR-D-295	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land south of Hempton Road, Hempton for 9 dwellings	LPR-D-315	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land to the west of Southam Road, Banbury (The Rise) for 150 dwellings	LPR-D-275	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land east of Barford Road, Bloxham for 115 dwellings	LPR-D-269	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

			housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land south of Cassington Road, Yarnton for 400 dwellings	LPR-D-268	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north of Old Quarry House, Steeple Aston for 12-15 dwellings	LPR-D-295	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Ells Lane, Bloxham for housing development	LPR-D-297	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off Ploughley Road, Ambrosden for housing development	LPR-D-300	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land south of Station Road, Cropredy for 71 dwellings	LPR-D-303	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at 14-16 Woodstock Road, Yarnton for 60 dwellings	LPR-D-304	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Station Road, Bletchingdon for 50-150 dwellings	LPR-D-314	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Crouch Farm, Bloxham Road, Banbury for 260 dwellings	LPR-D-091	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

			housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having
			considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land North of Merton Road, Ambrosden for 95 dwellings	LPR-D-263	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land to the north of Cropredy and south of Cropredy for 65 dwellings	LPR-D-262	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land west of The Old Piggeries, Bloxham Road, Milcombe for 50 dwellings	LPR-D-254	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land south of Milton Road, Bloxham for 200 dwellings	LPR-D-242	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Arncott for 300 dwellings	LPR-D-231	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Blackthorn (2 parcels) for 100 and 40 dwellings respectively	LPR-D-232	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land east of South Newington Road, Bloxham for 130 dwellings	LPR-D-236	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land to the west of Fringford, off The Green, Fringford for 9 dwellings	LPR-D-153	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

	DPOSED SUBMISSION: REPRESENTATIONS I		State and the state of the stat
			housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Blackthorn Hill, Bicester for 800 dwellings	LPR-D-165	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Banbury Road, Adderbury for 75 dwellings	LPR-D-151	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land south of Banbury Road, Finmere for 50 dwellings	LPR-D-137	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land south of Springfield Road, Caversfield, Land north of Rau Court, Caversfield and Land off of Woodcote Road for 100 dwellings	LPR-D-107	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north of A4095, Bicester for 100 dwellings	LPR-D-224	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land west of Banbury, north of Broughton Road for 58 dwellings	LPR-D-136	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Bicester Hotel, Chesterton for 450 dwellings	LPR-D-105	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Crouch Farm, Bloxham Road, Banbury for 260 dwellings	LPR-D-091	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

	DPOSED SUBMISSION: REPRESENTATIONS F		9-11 W 10.0 Table (40.0 Table 10.0 Table 10.
			housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north of Broughton Road, Banbury for up to 58 dwellings	LPR-D-136	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land south of Broughton Road and west of Friswell Road, Banbury for 50-75 dwellings	LPR-D-054	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land to the north of Banbury (West of Warwick Road) for 200 dwellings	LPR-D-190	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north of Epwell Road, Shutford for 35 dwellings	LPR-D-208	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land within and south of Heyford Park for 6000 dwellings	LPR-D-210	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off Station Road, Hook Norton for 55 dwellings	LPR-D-211	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off Tadmarton Road, Bloxham for 60 dwellings	LPR-D-211	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north of the The Bourne, Hook Norton for 71 dwellings	LPR-D-214	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

	DPOSED SUBMISSION: REPRESENTATIONS F		STATE OF THE STATE
			housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land adjacent to Bankside Phase 2, Banbury for 260 dwellings	LPR-D-227	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north and south of Milton Road, Bloxham for 230 dwellings	LPR-D-288	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Milestone Farm, Broughton Road, Banbury for residential development	LPR-D-215	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Painters Farm, Bloxham for residential development	LPR-D-164	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north of Wimborn Close, Deddington (HELAA116) for residential development	LPR-D-155	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at The Moors, Kidlington for 300 dwellings	LPR-D-282	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Banbury Road, Deddington for 135 dwellings	LPR-D-283	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at South Bicester for 2850 dwellings	LPR-D-287	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

			housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having
			considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Ells Lane, Bloxham for 30 dwellings	LPR-D-297	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at The Bretch for 300 dwellings	LPR-D-299	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at 14-16 Woodstock Road, Yarnton for 60 dwellings	LPR-D-304	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Buckingham Road, Bicester for residential development	LPR-D-079 (Thames Water)	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Banbury Road, Finmere	LPR-D-050	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Sugarswell Lane, Shenington (HELAA524)	LPR-D-207	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Dymocks Farm, Bicester	LPR-D-211	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Fulwell Road, Finmere (HELAA415) for residential development	LPR-D-220	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

			housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having
			considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Hardwick Farm, Banbury for residential development	LPR-D-221	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land west of Fringford Road and north of Aunt Em's Lane, Caversfield for residential development	LPR-D-229	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land east of Adderbury for residential development	LPR-D-320	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off Stocking Lane, Shenington for residential development	LPR-D-250	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land west of Sibford Road, Hook Norton for residential development	LPR-D-255	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land south of Camp Road, Heyford Park for residential development	LPR-D-258	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Islip for residential development	LPR-D-289	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off Ploughley Road, Ambrosden for residential development	LPR-D-300	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

			housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Extension of North West Bicester for an initial 500 dwellings and two further phases	LPR-D-266	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off Station Road, Hook Norton for 55 dwellings	LPR-D-211	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off Tadmarton Road, Bloxham for 60 dwellings	LPR-D-211	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Water Eaton for housing and employment development	LPR-D-223	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Caversfield	LPR-D-223	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land off School Lane, Cropredy for residential development	LPR-D-303	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land adjoining 'Judges' and land off 'The Greensward', Wardington for residential development	LPR-D-308	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land to the East of Stratfield Brake, for Oxford United Football Club	LPR-D-280	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

			housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having
			considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north west of Bicester for Puy du Fou proposal	LPR-D-296	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Frieze Farm, for a Digital Innovation District (AI infrastructure and associated employment) on 35.8ha of land.	LPR-D-247	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north of Wimborn Close, Deddington	LPR-D-155	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land at Islip	LPR-D-203	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Questions the omission of HELAA 394 and HELAA 034 from in the Local Plan	LPR-D-311	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land north of Dukes Meadow Drive, for up to 117 dwellings	LPR-D-248	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Oxford Technology Park Phase 2, Kidlington for employment use	LPR-D-193	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.
Omission Sites	Land east of Grange Park, south of The Beeches Footpath and west of Dr	N/A	The CLPR spatial strategy has been positively prepared. It meets objectively assessed residential, employment and other development needs (including Oxford's unmet

OCAL PLAN PROPOSED SUBMISSION: REPRESENTATIONS PROMOTI	ING SITES NOT IN THE PLAN
Radcliffes School, Steeple Aston for 20-40 dwellings	housing needs). It is informed by up-to-date evidence and has been selected having considered reasonable alternatives as demonstrated in the Sustainability Appraisal.