

LOCAL PLAN PROPOSED SUBMISSION (2024): Proposed Changes

~~**Bold Strikethrough text**~~ = text proposed for removal compared to Reg 19 consultation version

Bold underlined text = new text proposed for addition compared to Reg 19 consultation version

Italics = where change is specified (e.g. Delete this paragraph)

Ref.: To be added once table finalised

Typos, minor editorials are not for the Inspector

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	-	Contents list	NEW Policy CSD (No.TBC): Nature Conservation and Watercourses	Consequential	N
	-	Contents list	NEW Policy CSD26: Aerodrome Safeguarding	Consequential	N
	-	Contents list	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester	Consistency of policy format	N
	-	Contents list	Policy BIC E1: Land East of M40 J9, M40+D20	Consistency of policy format	N
	-	Contents list	Appendix 1: Retained <u>Saved</u> Policies List Appendix 9: Airport Safeguarded Areas <u>Aerodrome Safeguarding Zones</u>	Part clarification Part consequential	N
	6	Spatial Strategy: Bicester	Replace the text of Spatial Strategy -Bicester on page 6 with text of Spatial Strategy - Bicester on page 201	To ensure consistency with the text of the Bicester Spatial Strategy on page 201 of the Plan.	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	21	Spatial Strategy: Bicester	Replace text of Spatial Strategy -Bicester on page 21 with text of Spatial Strategy - Bicester on page 201	To ensure consistency with the text of the Bicester Spatial Strategy on page 201 of the Plan.	Y
	21	Spatial Strategy: Kidlington	Replace text of Spatial Strategy - Kidlington on page 21 with text of Spatial Strategy - Kidlington on page 7	To ensure consistency with the text of the Kidlington Spatial Strategy on page 7 of the Plan.	Y
	40	Policy CSD 4: Improving Energy and Carbon Performance in Existing Buildings	<u>In most cases, the adaptation of historic buildings for carbon and energy efficiency requires a whole building approach, informed by heritage expertise.</u> Major applications relating to existing buildings (10+ homes or 1,000m2 floorspace), should include an energy statement showing how opportunities for energy and carbon performance improvements have been explored, and implemented where suitable, feasible and viable, with their improvement effect quantified.	Requested by Historic England.	Y
	44	Policy CSD 6: Renewable Energy	Add an additional point <u>xi) Military aviation and defence interests.</u>	Request by the MoD	Y
	48	Policy CSD 8: Sustainable Drainage Systems	All development will be required to use sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) ..., the need to protect <u>groundwater</u> quality, with specific additional protection of areas of principal aquifers and within Source Protection Zones, and biodiversity must be taken into account, especially where infiltration techniques are proposed. Infiltration SuDS will not normally be acceptable in areas of former or current land contamination. <u>Infiltration SuDS will not be acceptable in areas where the depth to groundwater is insufficient to provide attenuation.</u> ...'	Request by Environment Agency.	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	50	Policy CSD9: Water resources and wastewater infrastructure	New homes are required to be designed to a water efficiency standard of at least 110 litres/head/day (l/h/d).	Request by Environment Agency to help future proof.	Y
	-	NEW CSD POLICY Nature Conservation and Watercourses NON-STRATEGIC	<p><u>Development proposals that are likely to have an adverse impact on the functions and setting of any watercourse and its associated corridor will not be permitted. Development should seek to conserve and enhance the ecological, landscape and recreational value of the watercourse and its associated corridor through good design and seeking out opportunities to deliver Water Framework Directive objectives.</u></p> <p><u>To protect and enhance the watercourse, an undeveloped buffer zone measuring a minimum of 10m from the top of the riverbank is required to be retained/reinstated on both sides of the watercourse. This buffer zone should:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>i. be larger in previously undeveloped land.</u> <u>ii. be free from all built development including lighting.</u> <u>iii. not incorporate domestic gardens and formal landscaping.</u> <u>iv be planted with locally native species of UK genetic provenance and appropriately managed under an agreed scheme.</u> <p><u>To reduce light spill into the river corridor outside the buffer zone, all artificial lighting should be directional and focused with cowlings. The buffer zone and river corridor should form an essential/valuable part of green infrastructure. Any scheme providing a buffer zone will need to include a working methods statement detailing how the buffer zone will be protected during construction and a Landscape and Ecological Management Plan detailing how it will be enhanced in the long-term.</u></p>	Requested by Environment Agency. And futureproofing with regards to the emerging LNRS	Y
	52	Policy CDS10: Oxford Meadows SAC	<p><u>All new development proposals likely to adversely affect, either alone or in combination, the integrity of the Oxfordshire Meadows Spacial Area of Conservation will be required to demonstrate that:</u></p> <p><u>i. They have undertaken comprehensive pre-application engagement with the relevant statutory organisations in relation to their development proposals relationship with the Oxford Meadows SAC; Provide a 'project level' Habitats Regulations Assessment that includes transport and air quality assessment; ...iv. New developments should seek to improve the water quality and the hydrological regime of the Oxford Meadows SAC and they must not significantly alter groundwater flows or impact fluvial flood risk, and...</u></p>	Part request by Environment Agency. Part correction and consistency with Policy CSD11 and LP evidence.	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	61	Paragraph 3.96	The Natural Capital Map of Oxfordshire (2021) presents the natural capital assets present in Cherwell,...present in the district. <u>Oxfordshire Local Nature Partnership's nature finance strategy 'Financing nature-based solutions in Oxfordshire' outlines a framework for natural capital investment. The Partnership's emerging framework includes developing a pipeline of projects that can be invested to enhance ecosystem service in Oxfordshire.</u> Where an environmentalacross the site.'	Improvement and factual update.	Y
	65	Policy CSD 16: Air Quality	All development proposals should be designed to be air quality neutral. The design and layout of a development should <u>also</u> seek to improve air quality <u>in order</u> to protect <u>and improve</u> the health and wellbeing of all end users <u>and neighbouring occupiers</u> . Development proposals that are likely to have an impact on local air quality, including those in, or within relative proximity to, existing or potential Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) and those in, or within relative proximity to the Oxford Meadows Special Area of Conservation (SAC) will be required to provide appropriate design and <u>to provide</u> related infrastructure mitigation <u>and air quality mitigation</u> measures <u>in order</u> to minimise any <u>potential</u> adverse impacts. Where <u>any</u> development (<u>including extensions to existing premises</u>) is proposed in areas <u>which have</u> of existing poor air quality, or where development may impact the Oxford Meadows SAC, <u>or where development may generate air pollution</u> and/or where significant development is proposed, an Air Quality Assessment and a Sustainable Construction Management Plan will <u>normally be required to be submitted as part of the planning application submission</u> . The Council will require applicants to <u>undertake pre application discussions with the Council which will include obtaining the latest air quality monitoring data. Applicant/s will be required to</u> demonstrate that their <u>proposed</u> development will minimise the impact on air quality, both during construction and throughout the lifetime of the completed development. <u>Proposed air quality</u> mitigation measures will need to demonstrate how the proposal/s would make a positive contribution towards the aims of the Council's Air Quality Action Plan <u>and how they would meet the requirements of the County Council's Air Quality Strategy</u> and their County Council's Local Transport <u>and Connectivity</u> Plan. Mitigation measures will be secured <u>either</u> through a negotiation on a scheme <u>with or via</u> the use of a planning condition/s and or where <u>appropriate the use of</u> planning obligation/s depending on the scale and nature of the <u>proposed</u> development and its associated impacts on air quality.	Improvements for clarity of implementation	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	67-68	Policy CDS17: Pollution and Noise	Add an additional point <u>vi) When considering sensitive development, such as residential uses, close to the Sewage Treatment Works, a technical assessment should be undertaken by the developer or by the Council. The technical assessment should confirm that either: (a) there is no adverse amenity impact on future occupiers of the proposed development or; (b) the development can be conditioned and mitigated to ensure that any potential for adverse amenity impact is avoided.</u>	Policy Corrections and Clarifications. Request By Thames Water	Y
	70	Policy CSD19: Soils, contaminated land and stability	'Development will ..., <u>including risks to groundwater and surface waters</u> , or can be satisfactorily addressed through the development'	Request by Environment Agency	N
	71	Policy CSD20: Hazardous Substances	Development involving the use, movement or storage of hazardous substances... there is no unacceptable risk on human health, safety and the environment, <u>including groundwater and surface water</u> .	Request by Environment Agency	Y
	76	Policy CSD 22: Sustainable Transport and Connectivity Improvements	The Council will give priority to ...: ... v. shared vehicles (car clubs, <u>car sharing</u> and carpooling), and vi. other motorised modes (cars, vans, and lorries) ...'	Improvements	N
	81	Policy CSD25: The Effective Use of Land - Brownfield Land and Housing Density	For residential development the following minimum densities (net) will be required unless specific local circumstances indicate that this would have an adverse effect (<u>including but not limited to</u>) on the character of the area, highway safety or the amenity of neighbours.	Improvement to the Policy	N

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	-	Supporting text to NEW Policy CSD26: Aerodrome Safeguarding NON-STRATEGIC	<p>Policy CSD 26: Aerodrome Safeguarding</p> <p><u>The council recognises the importance of privately owned airfields at Oxford- London Airport and former RAF Bicester/Bicester Motion to the economy, leisure, training and emergency needs and that of RAF Weston on the Green, RAF Barford St Jhon and RAF Croughton for defence requirements. The operators of the civil aerodromes and the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) Safeguarding Team have in place safeguarding zones which they provide to the Council for consultation on planning applications.</u></p> <p><u>New developments in the proximity of an aerodrome may affect its operation either by forming a physical obstruction, pose a hazard to aviation safety or compromise the technical operation of communications, navigation and surveillance systems. These may concern aspects of development including:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>• loss of forced landing areas.</u> <u>• the height of buildings.</u> <u>• the height of cranes and temporary structures.</u> <u>• lighting.</u> <u>• increased noise complaints from new development.</u> <u>• energy and telecommunications proposals such as solar farms, wind turbines and radiotelephony masts.</u> <u>• large forestry proposals.</u> <u>• creation of new water bodies such as reservoirs, wetlands and ponds and other elements associated with sustainable drainage systems.</u> <u>• green Infrastructure/nature reserves proposals in relation to tree planting and increased bird and wildlife activity.</u> <p><u>The aim of Policy CSD26 is to assess the implications of development proposed within the vicinity of an aerodrome to ensure, as far as practicable, that the aerodrome and its surrounding airspace is not adversely impacted by the proposals. Consultations from aerodrome operators will be considered as a material consideration in determining planning applications but the final decision rests with the Council as Planning Authority.</u></p> <p><u>The safeguarding zones designed to help preserve the operation of these aerodromes are shown in Appendix 9 of the Local Plan.London-Oxford Airport (LOA) is safeguarded in Annex 3 of Planning Circular 2/2003 ‘Safeguarding of Aerodromes, Technical sites and Military Explosives Storage Areas’ (Updated 2016). Development in the vicinity of LOA should have regard to Policy CSD 26 and Policy KID 2 in Local Plan Chapter 6: Kidlington Area Strategy.</u></p>	Requested by DIO/MoD	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	-	NEW Policy CSD26: Aerodrome Safeguarding NON- STRATEGIC	<p>Development proposals falling within a 'Aerodrome Safeguarding Zone' which may impact on operations will be referred to the operator and the Ministry of Defence for consultation. If objections are raised:</p> <p>i. the operator is required to specify how the proposal would impact on operations.</p> <p>ii. the applicant may be required to provide an independent assessment of the impact on the safe operation of the facility, prepared by a suitably qualified person.</p> <p>All consultations from aerodrome operators and independent assessments will be considered as a material consideration in determining planning applications.</p>	Requested by DIO/MoD	Y
	82	Paragraph 3.152	<p>Our employment needs evidence indicates a need for around 250 hectares between <u>273.5-358.9 hectares</u> of employment land in Cherwell over the period 2020<u>1</u> to 2042<u>0</u>. The lower end of the range has been informed by Experian forecasting and the upper end of the range has been informed by a trend based forecast of employment land. The range itself is quite large and there is an inherent element of forecasting involved with both ends of this range. The mid point of this range has been chosen as an appropriate figure upon which to base the employment need for the plan period of 316 hectares. This assessment considered the delivery of employment land, but whilst recognising the potential contribution that existing allocated sites would make, did not factor this potential supply into an adjusted need figure. We have extrapolated this calculation of need to cover the additional two years that the Local Plan now covers so that the total employment need for the district is around 280 hectares to 2042.</p>	To reflect latest/updated evidence	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	82	Paragraph 3.153	<p><u>To meet this identified need, our overall employment land supply will be delivered from the following sources.</u> Our last Local Plan identified a significant amount of employment land and whilst much of this has been developed there still remains substantial areas of land available for development. Allocations from the 2015 Local Plan, <u>left to be delivered will contribute 37.63 hectares of employment land</u> .referred at the time of the 2021 Economic Needs Assessment, which had yet to deliver at that point would make a contribution of 160.4 hectares against the need total. The table below shows the updated information relating to delivery of those sites. <u>In addition, we have a positive pipeline of employment supply. Cherwell has delivered 114.72 hectares of employment land since 2020 and there are a further 78.37 hectares of employment land with planning permission. need to take account of completions since the start of the plan period other permissions in place and development that has been completed on unallocated sites since the start of the Plan.</u> We have completed an Employment Land Review (October 2022) and we have identified a number of additional potential employment sites across the District to inform the allocation of sites referred below. We have proposed the allocation of 97.5 hectares of land on new sites. <u>These new allocations are shown in Policy LEC 1.</u></p>	To reflect latest/updated evidence	Y
	83	Policy LEC 1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs	<p>Policy LEC 1: Meeting Business and Employment Needs</p> <p><u>This Local Plan requires 316 hectares of employment land to be delivered to meet employment needs between 2020 and 2042.</u></p> <p><u>Our overall employment supply will be as follows</u> (this will be made into a table and reference to retained allocations changed to saved allocations in text and table header)</p> <p>Employment supply Completions 114.72 hectares Commitments 78.37 hectares Allocations to be retained 37.63 hectares New allocations 97.5 hectares Total 328.22 hectaresRetained <u>Saved</u> allocations from the 2015 Local Plan will provide 37.63 hectares of land as shown below.</p> <p>The following parcels of land are identified for future development on the following new and retained Local Plan 2015 allocations: Employment and business development will be supported on allocated sites where it meets the requirements set out within the Area Strategies. New employment sites will be expected to provide a</p>	Planning Inspectorate visit/updated evidence	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
			range of sized units to reflect the need for smaller and medium sized businesses to grow and expand. Proposals for employment-related development on unallocated sites and all proposals for job generating uses in the rural areas will be determined in accordance with Policy LEC 3.		
		Policy LEC 8: Rural Diversification	In rural areas, proposals for economic activities that bring about rural diversification shall normally be permitted, providing that the following criteria are met: i. The development is operated as part of a viable rural business (including farm holding) and demonstrably contributes to the viability of the holding; ii. The proposed use does not detract from or prejudice the existing agricultural undertaking or its future operation; iii. It is not detrimental to the character and appearance of existing buildings and their setting within the landscape; iv. Existing buildings are used in preference to new buildings or extensions; v. Utilities and other infrastructure are available or can be provided, and vi. There is access by means of an existing road; no highway hazards are created or increased; and road improvements incompatible with the character of the surrounding area are not required.	To ensure the policy does not conflict with the NPPF	Y
	104	Policy COM 1: District-wide Housing Distribution	This Local Plan requires 20,042 homes to be delivered to meet housing need between 2020 and 2042 <u>at an average housing requirement of 911 homes per annum.....</u> Third sentence Additional housing is provided to help further social/affordable housing, support place making and provide contingency. <u>In total this Local Plan provides for an overall housing supply of 24,587 homes.</u>	For clarity	Y
	106	Policy COM 2: Affordable Housing	'... Planning permission will not be granted unless these requirements are met, or, where it is agreed with <u>the Council</u> they cannot: ... b) The Council agrees that there are site specific circumstances that provide sufficient benefit to demonstrating that departing from the requisite level/type/mix of affordable housing <u>secures better outcomes in meeting the district's needs.</u> ... Affordable housing is expected to be met on site unless there are <u>robustly justified site specific exceptional</u> circumstances and where demonstrating off-site provision or an appropriate financial contribution in lieu can be robustly justified secure better outcomes in meeting the districts needs.	For clarity	Y
	108	Section title	Policy COM 3: Housing Mix <u>Size/Type</u>	Consistency	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector																									
	108	Table 4 Paragraph 3.222	<div>'Table 4. Mix of housing by size and type (Affordable and Specialist Housing Needs Assessment (November 2024))</div> <table><tr><td>Type</td><td>One Bedroom</td><td>Two Bedroom</td><td>Three Bedroom</td><td>Four+ Bedroom</td></tr><tr><td>Social/Affordable Rented- General needs</td><td>25-30%</td><td>25-30%</td><td>30-35%</td><td>15-20%</td></tr><tr><td>Social/Affordable Rented - Older</td><td>50-55%</td><td colspan="3">45-50%</td></tr><tr><td>Affordable home ownership</td><td>20-25%</td><td>40-45 45-50%</td><td>25-30 20-25%</td><td>5-10%</td></tr><tr><td>Market Housing</td><td>5-10%</td><td>35-40%</td><td>35-40%</td><td>15-20%</td></tr></table>	Type	One Bedroom	Two Bedroom	Three Bedroom	Four+ Bedroom	Social/Affordable Rented- General needs	25-30%	25-30%	30-35%	15-20%	Social/Affordable Rented - Older	50-55%	45-50%			Affordable home ownership	20-25%	40-45 45-50%	25-30 20-25%	5-10%	Market Housing	5-10%	35-40%	35-40%	15-20%	Factual correction/ reflecting evidence	Y
Type	One Bedroom	Two Bedroom	Three Bedroom	Four+ Bedroom																										
Social/Affordable Rented- General needs	25-30%	25-30%	30-35%	15-20%																										
Social/Affordable Rented - Older	50-55%	45-50%																												
Affordable home ownership	20-25%	40-45 45-50%	25-30 20-25%	5-10%																										
Market Housing	5-10%	35-40%	35-40%	15-20%																										
	110	Policy COM 4: Specialist Housing	<div><u>Opportunities for the provision of extra care, specialist housing for older and/or disabled people, those with mental health needs, looked after children, and other supported housing for those with specific living needs will be encouraged in suitable locations close to services and facilities.</u></div> <div>Housing sites of 400 dwellings and ... alternative specialist housing will be required. Elsewhere, opportunities for the provision of extra care, specialist housing for older and/or disabled people, those with mental health needs, looked after children, and other supported housing for those with specific living needs will be encouraged in suitable locations close to services and facilities.</div>	Paragraph reordering for clarity and policy effectiveness	Y																									
	113	Policy COM 5: Residential Space and Accessible Standards	<div>Internal Residential Space</div> <div>All new dwellings will achieve compliance with the nationally described space standards (or any successor standards/policy) as a minimum and the provision of home that meet the M4(2) building regulations standards is encouraged.</div> <div>On residential sites of 100 dwelling or more, aAt least 10% of the dwellings proposed should be built to M4(3) building regulations standards or subsequent equivalent.</div> <div><u>5% of affordable housing for rent should be provided to M4 (2) (3b) standards. The size of these dwellings should meet identified needs, including for larger family homes, in consultation with CDC Housing.</u></div> <div>External Residential Space</div> <div>New residential dwellings ...'</div>	To reflect evidence and CDC affordable housing needs	Y																									

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	119	Policy COM 9: Travelling Communities	'... Locations within the Cotswolds National Landscape (former Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty) or the Oxfordshire Green Belt are unlikely to be considered acceptable. ...'	Editorial improvement	N
	114	Policy COM 6: SelfBuild and Custom-build Housing	... The Council will require Opportunities for the in all housing developments of 100 or more dwellings to include 5% of the residential plots to be serviced and made available for self-build will be encouraged, which can include the partial completion for self-finish. This will be reviewed through the Council's Monitoring Report considering the need shown on the register....'	Improvement to reflect the Policy intention.	Y
	124	Policy COM 10: Protection and Enhancement of the Landscape	'... Development will be expected to respect and enhance local landscape character, securing appropriate mitigation...Proposals will not be permitted if they would: ... vi. Reduce Harm the historic significance of the landscapes. ... The Cotswolds AONB National Landscape Management Plan will be used...'	Part improvement requested by Historic England/Part factual correction	Y
	130	Policy COM 13: Settlement Gaps	Development proposals will only be considered favourably where: ... iii. It does not lead to a loss ... local identity, and ; or iv. It is proposed through a Local Plan, Neighbourhood Plan or Neighbourhood Development Order. Strategic Settlement Gaps Associated with Banbury, Bicester and Heyford Park (as shown in Appendix 4) are: (Changed into a subtitle) ... Strategic Gaps Associated with Settlements Development proposals within a strategic settlement gap as (shown in Appendix 4) must have regard to the characteristic of the gap it is likely to affect (as shown in Appendix 4) and will be required to: vi. Respond ... vii. Respond ... viii. Avoid loss of ...' (And any consequential changes to supporting text in the Plan should the Inspector conclude changes to Policy COM 13 are necessary)	Editorial improvements and clarity for policy implementatio n.	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	139	Policy COM 15: Active Travel – Walking and Cycling	...New development and infrastructure proposals shall:...iv. Ensure that existing pedestrian and cycling routes and public rights of way are retained as continuous linear features and improved where appropriate ;...'	Effectiveness and clarity for policy implementation.	Y
	141	Policy COM 17: Health Facilities	The Council will support the provision, extension and co-location of health facilities in sustainable locations. Health facilities should: i. Be designed to a high quality to accommodate a range of health-related services through multifunctional and adaptable facilities, including provision for car parking, landscaping and where possible sites should be designed to enable the potential for future expansion ii. Be accessible by walking, wheeling, cycling and public transport, and iii. Be informed by developers undertaking pre application engagement with the relevant statutory and local health providers to ensure facilities meet the identified health needs of the communities they are intended to serve including cross boundary catchments. iv) Any proposed-off site health provision including extensions would need to be secured via the use of planning obligations that should include the cost of the design, consent, the provision of the land and buildings and the associated infrastructure.	Policy effectiveness, clarity & request by BOB ICB.	Y
	144	Policy COM 19: Hot Food Takeaways	'Proposals for hot food takeaways will only be permitted provided they: i. Would not result in significant harm to the amenity of local residents, or highway safety ii. Would not result in harmful cumulative impacts because of any existing or consented outlets in the immediate vicinity, and iii The proposal is not located within a five-minute walk of a school or playground, unless it is within an established local shopping centre iv) External ventilation and extraction equipment must be of a sensitive scale that is positioned in an appropriate location and it should ensure that it minimises noise and odours	Policy Improvement. To provide clarity and Requests by Oxfordshire County Council & Kidlington Parish Council	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	145	Policy COM 20: Providing Supporting Infrastructure and Services	If infrastructure requirements could render a development unviable, proposals should be supported by an independent viability assessment on terms agreed by the relevant parties including the Council and County Council and funded by the developer. This will involve an open book approach. Where viability constraints are demonstrated by evidence the Council will: i. Prioritise developer contributions having regard to the detailed requirements set out in the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan and the associated Council's Infrastructure Schedule ; and/or ii. Use an appropriate mechanism to defer part of the developer contributions requirement to a later date; or iii. As a last resort, refuse planning permission if the development would be unsustainable without inclusion of the unfunded infrastructure requirements, taking into account reasonable contributions from elsewhere, including Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) where applicable.	Policy Clarification.	Y
	152	Paragraph 3.372	'Our evidence,...in our 2015 Plan should be retained saved.'	Clarification	Y
	159	COM 25: Local Green Space	Removal of Hudson Street, Bicester designation (And any consequential changes to the removal of this LGS should the Inspector conclude the change is necessary)	Further evidence from Oxfordshire County Council	Y
	160	Paragraph 3.390	Our 1995 2024 Cherwell District Landscape Assessment identified eight four distinct landscape character areas in the district, with each displaying distinct settlement patterns, building materials and vernacular architecture to create a strong, locally distinctive urban and rural environment.	Correction	N
	161	Paragraph 3.391	The NPPF uses the term 'heritage asset' which can be designated or non designated. Examples of these are scheduled monuments, listed buildings, historic farmsteads, conservation areas, registered parks and gardens, registered battlefields and non-designated archaeological remains. Throughout Cherwell, there are numerous designated heritage assets, which are protected through national policy and legislation that enjoy statutory protection...	Correction	N
	162	Paragraph 3.399	Non-designated heritage assets of archaeological interest, which have equivalent significant to scheduled d monuments, should be considered against policies for designated heritage assets.	Typo	N

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	164	Policy COM26: Historic Environment	Non-designated Heritage AssetsDevelopment affecting a non-designated heritage asset will be permitted where it is designed sympathetically having regard to the significance of theasset, its fabric, character and setting. Where possible, development should seek to enhance the significance character of the non-designated heritage asset...All Heritage Assets...Proposals which would harm the significance of a designated or non- undesignated asset will not be approved, unless there are substantive tangible public benefitsthat clearly and convincingly outweigh the harm, using the balancing principles set out in national policy and guidance.”	Corrections in terminology to align with national guidance	N
	167	Policy COM 29: Registered Parks and Gardens and Historic Battlefields	Development should conserve or enhance the special historic interest, character or setting of a battlefield, or park or garden on the Historic England Registers of Historic Battlefields or Register of Historic Parks and Gardens of Special Historic Interest in England.	Typo	N
	176	Policy BAN 1: Banbury Area Strategy	Under the first table delete The following existing strategic site policies are retained and will not be replaced: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy Banbury 2: Land to the West of Southam Road • Policy Banbury 3: West of Bretch Hill • Policy Banbury 4: Bankside Phase 2 • Policy Banbury 5: North of Hanwell Fields • Policy Banbury 16: South of Salt Way West • Policy Banbury 17: South of Salt Way East • Policy Banbury 18: Land at Drayton Lodge Farm. 	Removal of reference to saved policy	Y
	182	Paragraph 4.29	Remove last sentence The 2015 adopted Policy Banbury 12 is therefore saved in this Local Plan.	Removal of reference to saved policy	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	189	Policy BAN M/U1: Banbury Canalside	<p>Green and Blue Infrastructure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The provision of a public linear park along the length of the River Cherwell corridor within the site, providing easily accessible walking and wheeling routes to Spiceball Park in the north and Longford Park to the south; • The River Cherwell should be maintained in a semi-natural state and mature trees should remain. • <u>The green space to the south of the Grade II Listed Bridge should be preserved</u> <p>Design and Place-Shaping Principles</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Innovative, sustainable architecture, including the use of robust and locally distinctive materials, which reflect the character and appearance of Banbury, respect the setting of the retained historic buildings and in particular <u>connect with reference the canal side location (responding sympathetically to the key characteristics of the Oxford Canal Conservation Area, integrating the Canal more strongly into the identity of the town, and where possible restoring the industrial heritage associated with the canal)</u>” • “Retention and integration of the most <u>important valuable</u> historic buildings/structures including the Grade II Listed Old Town Hall and the bridge over the river. <u>Further investigation is required to identify the significance of surviving historic buildings to conserve important assets and support effective, character-led place-shaping;</u> • <u>Archaeological desk-based assessment will be required and potentially field evaluation</u> • <u>The integration of existing historic buildings, which will enrich the environment and maintain the long-term character of the area</u>” 	At request of Historic England	Y
	193	Policy BAN H2: East of Bloxham Road, Banbury (South of Saltway East - Phase 2)	<p>Addition of 'Key design requirements' and 'Planning application requirements' headings</p> <p>Key Design Requirements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>“6. Archaeological desk-based assessment will be required and potentially field evaluation to avoid and minimise harm to archaeological remains. Developable area to be pulled back from areas of archaeological interest to ensure no adverse impacts.”</u> 	Consistency of policy format and with other policies	Y
	195	Policy BAN H3: Calthorpe Street	<p>Addition of 'Key design requirements' and 'Planning application requirements' headings through the site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The setting of the Grade II* listed <u>Danvers House/Calthorpe House</u>Calthorpe Manor is protected and enhanced. 	Consistency of policy format	N
	196	Policy BAN M/U2: Bolton Road	Addition of 'Key design requirements' and 'Planning application requirements' headings	Consistency of policy format	N

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	199	Policy BAN E 1: Land at Higham Way	Addition of 'Key design requirements' and 'Planning application requirements' headings	Consistency of policy format	N
	205	Paragraph 5. 14	Delete last sentence The policies for the existing sites identified in the 2015 Plan will be saved.	Removal of reference to saved policy	Y
	206/207	Policy BIC 1: Bicester Area Strategy	After the first table delete The following existing strategic site policies are retained and will not be replaced: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy Bicester 2: Graven Hill • Policy Bicester 3: SW Bicester • Policy Bicester 12: SE Bicester • Policy Bicester 13: Gavray Drive In last sentence delete that is being saved through this Local Plan.	Removal of reference to saved policy	Y
	211	Paragraph 5.25	Careful consideration will need to be given to ecological constraints, historic <u>heritage</u> assets, the crossing of the railway line, the relationship with employment land and potential impacts on Wendlebury. <u>The scheme should avoid and minimise harm to the Scheduled Monuments associated with the Alchester Roman site, also taking into account any impacts on their setting. Scheduled Monument Consent will be needed if a resulting proposal affecting the Roman site constitutes 'works', as defined by the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological areas Act 1979.</u> The SEPR will be designed..."	Provides clarity	Y
	217	Paragraph 5.42	Rename Policy Policy BIC 6: Former RAF Bicester Bicester Motion 'The Former RAF Bicester (Bicester Motion) is an inter-war airfield...'	Correction and consistency	N
	218	Policy BIC 6: Former RAF Bicester	Rename Policy Policy BIC 6: Former RAF Bicester Bicester Motion	Correction and consistency	N
	226	Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco Town	<u>43. Archaeological desk-based assessment will be required and potentially field evaluation to avoid and minimise harm to archaeological remains.</u>	Consistency of policy requirements	N

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	226	Policy BIC H1: North West Bicester Eco Town	Insert in Planning application requirements <u>44. A Transport Assessment and Travel Plan to accompany development proposals which set out measures to prevent vehicular traffic adversely affecting surrounding communities and which maximise sustainable transport connectivity.</u>	Consistency of policy requirements/ OCC request	N
	228	Policy BIC E1	Policy BIC E1: Land East of J9, M40, Bicester Developable area: <u>At least</u> 30 hectares	Consistency of policy format	N
	228	Policy BIC E1	Policy BIC E1: Land East of M40 J9, M40	Consistency of policy format	N
	228	Policy BIC E1	After Planning permission will only be granted.....approved by the Council. <u>Where proposals come forward that are part of the wider area of E1, E2 and E3, supporting information will be expected to demonstrate that the proposal will not prejudice the appropriate development of the adjoining site(s) in accordance with Local Plan policies. Development proposals that prevent or limit the potential for appropriately developing an adjoining site, or which do not maximise connectivity, permeability and efficiency opportunities or address mitigation and infrastructure needs relating to the wider area, will not be permitted. Development proposals which could delay or prevent the comprehensive development of the wider area, a legal agreement will be sought to ensure that any permitted development does not prejudice the development of adjacent land.</u>		Y
	228	Policy BIC E1	Insert after criterion 3 <u>Contributions will be sought towards the South East Peripheral Road</u>	Consistency of policy format	Y
	233	Policy BIC E2: Land south of Chesterton	Policy BIC E2: Land South of Chesterton Developable area: <u>At least</u> 9 hectares	Consistency of policy format	N
	233	Policy BIC E2: Land south of Chesterton	‘After Planning permission will only be granted....approved by the Council. <u>Where proposals come forward that are part of the wider area of E1, E2 and E3, supporting information will be expected to demonstrate that the proposal will not prejudice the appropriate development of the adjoining site(s) in accordance with Local Plan policies. Development proposals that prevent or limit the potential for appropriately developing an adjoining site, or which do not maximise connectivity, permeability and efficiency opportunities or address mitigation and infrastructure needs relating to the wider area, will not be permitted. Development proposals which could delay or prevent the comprehensive development of the wider area, a legal agreement will be</u>		Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
			<u>sought to ensure that any permitted development does not prejudice the development of adjacent land.</u>		
	233	Policy BIC E2: Land south of Chesterton	Insert after criterion 3 <u>Contributions will be sought towards the South East Peripheral Road</u>	Consistency of policy format	Y
	237	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton Developable area: <u>At least</u> 25 hectares	Consistency of policy format	N
	237	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	‘After Planning permission will only be granted...approved by the Council. <u>Where proposals come forward that are part of the wider area of E1, E2 and E3, supporting information will be expected to demonstrate that the proposal will not prejudice the appropriate development of the adjoining site(s) in accordance with Local Plan policies. Development proposals that prevent or limit the potential for appropriately developing an adjoining site, or which do not maximise connectivity, permeability and efficiency opportunities or address mitigation and infrastructure needs relating to the wider area, will not be permitted. Development proposals which could delay or prevent the comprehensive development of the wider area, a legal agreement will be sought to ensure that any permitted development does not prejudice the development of adjacent land.</u>		Y
	237	Policy BIC E3: Land at Lodge Farm, Chesterton	Insert after criterion 3 <u>Contributions will be sought towards the South East Peripheral Road</u>		Y
	241	Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill Developable area: <u>At least</u> 17 hectares	Consistency of policy format	N

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	241	Policy BIC E4: Land South West of Graven Hill	Insert after criterion 4 <u>Contributions will be sought towards the South East Peripheral Road</u>		Y
	245	Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park Developable area: At least 6 hectares	Consistency of policy format	N
	245	Policy BIC E5: Land adjacent to Symmetry Park	Insert after criterion 4 <u>Contributions will be sought towards the South East Peripheral Road</u>		Y
	249	Paragraph 6.2 Overall Spatial Strategy - Kidlington	Replace the text of the Overall Spatial Strategy- Kidlington on paragraph 6.2 with the text of Spatial Strategy - Kidlington on page 7.	To ensure consistency with the text of the Kidlington Spatial Strategy on page 7 of the Plan.	Y
	258	Policy Kid 1: Kidlington Area Strategy	Delete after the first table The following existing strategic site policies are retained and will not be replaced: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Policy PR6a – Land East of Oxford Road •Policy PR6b – Land West of Oxford Road •Policy PR6c – Land at Frieze Farm •Policy PR7a – Land South East of Kidlington •Policy PR7b – Land at Stratfield Farm 1 •Policy PR8 – Land East of the A44 •Policy PR9 – Land West of Yarnton. 	Removal of reference to saved policies	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	274	Paragraph 6.119	<p>6.119. We have drawn from current and recent Historic England advice on Local Plan preparation and planning applications. Advice indicates that: On Blenheim WHS and setting of Woodstock• there is no intervisibility between the proposed site and the WHS, only treescreened glimpsed views across the A44 on the northwestern corner of the site• the site makes a very minor contribution to the communal value of the WHS which could potentially be mitigated with good design;• the experience of those approaching along the A44 from the Oxford direction view the WHS set in the countryside on the edge of the market town of Woodstock the proposed allocation site is experienced as an agricultural field glimpsed through a hedge in the approach to Woodstock• Shipton Road, bordering the north of the proposed site is not considered to be part of the WHS setting. On Blenheim Roman Villa• The villa remains have high evidential value as shown by geophysical survey and evaluation trenching. The setting of the villa makes a modest contribution to the significance of the site, illustrating its original outlook and surroundings. It also illustrates the agricultural estate of the original villa on which its economy would have depended, at least in part.• Aesthetic value is negligible and communal value is currently limited mainly to the interest of specialist groups• Need detailed policy to clarify that residential development on the SM or in a location which may harm its significance through the impact of its setting would be inappropriate. In preparing the Local Plan Review 2042 we have reassessed the landscape impact of this site and in compliance with Policy COM 10 development proposals are required to provide a landscape and visual impact assessment.</p>	Request by Historic England	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
		Policy Kid H1: South East Woodstock	<p>‘...Proposals must follow ... which secures the future sustains the significance and enhances the appreciation of Blenheim Roman Villa on site ... to with the WHS/ Brownian landscape that contributes to the WHS’ Outstanding Universal Value on the western side of the Oxford Road. Key design requirements9. Design and layout... sensitively to the significance of identified ...the site: Developable area to be pulled back from areas of archaeological interest, to ensure no adverse impacts:i. Exclude development ...boundary of the site to avoid... the sSScheduled mMonument...;ii. Preserve The villa site and the historic outlook of the villa to the east to be kept open and secure a scheme of heritage interpretation that enhances the understanding and appreciation of the Roman villa;...iv. Avoid and minimise locating development in the southern and western part of the site to protect the Scheduled Monument, avoid and impact on the setting harm to the significance and Outstanding Universal Value of Blenheim Palace WHS, including any contribution made by its setting and RPG and helpv. Reduce any potential impact on the sense of separation between Woodstock and surrounding settlements;vi. Road access to be distanced from the scheduled area which should be accessible only on foot or cycle;vii. Avoid intervisibility between the site and the WHS and RPG by limiting building heights to 13m from current ground level to the ridgeline and drawing the development back from the western / southern boundary of the Site with Historic England, andviii.The access road ... archaeological remains and to minimise ... archaeological remains.Planning application requirements...18. Predicted views of the housing from the sSScheduled mMonument villa;19. Development ... from Blenheim Roman Villa, requiring early engagement in consultation with Historic England;20. A conservation management plan ...a S106s agreement;...22. Heritage Impact Assessment to addressassess potential impacts on the significance, attributes that convey Outstanding Universal Value, integrity and authenticity of Blenheim Palace WHS outstanding universal value attributes including any contributions made by its setting; 23. Heritage Impact Assessment measures to assess potential impacts to avoid or minimise conflict with identified on the significance of heritage assets (other than the WHS) within and adjacent to the site including legibility and intervisibility evidence between the site and Bladon Hill; 24. Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment, linked to A a zone of theoretical visibility (ZTV)... any key visual links, including Bladon Hillfort;...’</p>	Improvements to policy requirements requested by Historic England.	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	281	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Park Strategy - Supporting text in Para 7.2, 2nd highlighted purple box	Heyford Park Area Strategy • Successful implementation of the approved masterplan (2022) • Preservation of the area's heritage significance • Delivery of further transport investment to mitigate impacts on the highway network and support sustainable modes of travel • Improvements to the range of employment, local community facilities and infrastructure, and further enhancements to sustainable transport options and the connectivity of the area.	Request by Historic England	Y
	281	Paragraph 7.3	Our previous adopted Local Plan identified the Former RAF Upper Heyford... That policy is retained saved. ...'	Clarification	Y
	283	Paragraph 7.14.	Any new proposals within the Heyford Area will therefore need to take account of important views and vistas, avoid coalescence with neighbouring settlements, seek to limit of the impact of development on the surrounding countryside and preserve and enhance the historic environment of this site as articulated in Policy Villages 5, retaining the Cold War character of the flying field while ensuring it has a sustainable use.	Request by Historic England	Y
	283	NEW Paragraph after 7.14	The policy map supporting the implementation of Policy Villages 5 provides for additional development through a combination of the intensification of the density of development proposed on the less sensitive previously development parts of the site, and the new, limited, greenfield development in additional development areas.	Request by Historic England	Y
	284	Policy HEY 1: Heyford Area Strategy	Our over-arching priority for this area is to secure the delivery of the adopted 2015 retained Policy Villages 5.	Removed reference to saved policy	Y
	324	Appendices Content list	Appendix 1: Retained Saved Policies List Appendix 9: Airport Safeguarded Areas Aerodrome Safeguarding Zones	Part clarification Part consequential	N
	325	Appendix 1: Retained-Saved Policies List	Retained Saved Policies List	Clarification	Y
	326-332	Appendix 1: Retained-Saved Policies List	Replace 'Retained' with 'Saved' throughout the second column of the list table.	Clarification	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	325-332	Appendix 1: Retained Saved Policies List	Add column headings to the top of each page i.e. Policy Number and Description. Retained, Replaced or Other and Replacement Policy	Text and End User Improvement	N
	326	Appendix 1: Retained Saved Policies List	Policy BAN 3: Banbury Inner Relief Road and Hennef Way	Correction - policy not taken forward from Reg 18	Y
	331	Appendix 1: Retained Saved Policies List	Policy BAN 7 6 : Banbury Opportunity Areas Policy BAN 5 4 : Green and Blue Infrastructure in the Banbury Area	Correction of policy numbering	Y
	332	Appendix 1: Retained Saved Policies List	RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Correction of policy numbering	Y
	334	Appendix 2: Housing Supply and Trajectory	Updated trajectory. Replace 'Retained' with 'Saved' in Housing Trajectory Chart 2020 to 2042	Clarification and updating	Y
	336	Appendix 2: Housing Supply and Trajectory	Change orientation of Housing Delivery Trajectory Graph to landscape	Improve legibility	N
	346 348	Appendix 3: Monitoring Framework	Replace 'Retained' with 'Saved' in the Monitoring Framework table.	Clarification	Y
	337-350	Appendix 3 Monitoring Framework	Add column headings to the top of each page	Text and End User Improvement	N
	360 362 365	Appendix 4: Strategic Settlement Gaps associated with Banbury, Bicester and Heyford Park	Amendment to map to remove land within West Northamptonshire: Banbury – Kings Sutton gap Banbury – Nethercote, Overthorpe, Warkworth and Middleton Cheney gap Banbury - Williams Scot and Chacombe gap	Improvement	Y

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	365	Appendix 4: Strategic Settlement Gaps associated with Banbury, Bicester and Heyford Park	... Guidance for preservation and enhancement of settlement gap Although the gap between Banbury and Chacombe ... new development within Cherwell District should: ...'	Correction and consistency	Y
	358	Appendix 4: Strategic Settlement Gaps associated with Banbury, Bicester and Heyford Park	Amend Banbury- Hanwell gap map to remove permitted development site (21/03426/OUT).	Correction and consistency	Y
	366	Appendix 4: Strategic Settlement Gaps associated with Banbury, Bicester and Heyford Park	Amend Bicester- Ambrosden, Blackthorn and Arncott gap to remove site Policy E5.	Correction and consistency	Y
	-	Appendix 4: Strategic Settlement Gaps associated with Banbury, Bicester and Heyford Park	Minor corrections to the mapping of settlement gaps to improve accuracy of GIS plotting.	Improvements/ Corrections	N

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	429	Appendix 9: Airport Safeguarded Areas Aerodrome Safeguarding Zones	Airport Safeguarded Areas Aerodrome Safeguarding Zones Factual corrections and improvements to Airport Safeguarding Areas.	Part improvements/ corrections Part consequential	Y
	431	Appendix 10 - Glossary	Addition of the word 'Modelling' to the Air Quality Assessment to read 'Air Quality <u>Modelling</u> Assessment'	Editorial Improvement	N
	431	Appendix 10 - Glossary	Addition to glossary - <u>Environmental Net Gain is an approach to development that leaves both biodiversity and the environment in a measurably better state than prior to development – as measured by biodiversity measures, ecosystem services and environmental metrics (refer to Oxfordshire's Nature Finance Strategy).</u>	Improvement/ consequential	N
	431	Appendix 10 - Glossary	Addition to glossary - <u>Integrated Care Board (ICB)</u>	Editorial Improvement	N
	431	Appendix 10 - Glossary	Addition to glossary - <u>NHS Buckinghamshire, Oxfordshire and West Berkshire Integrated Care Board (BOB-ICB)</u>	Editorial Improvement	N
	431	Appendix 10 - Glossary	Addition of text to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan to read Infrastructure Delivery Plan <u>and Infrastructure Schedule (IFS). The IDP has an Appendix called the Infrastructure Schedule which contains the individual line items which detail the each of the required infrastructure items.</u>	Editorial Improvement	N
	443	Appendix 10 - Glossary	Addition of text term to the 'Connectivity to the glossary term Local Transport Plan to read the Local Transport and <u>Connectivity</u> Plan (LTCP).	Editorial Improvement	N
	431	Appendix 10 - Glossary	Addition to glossary - <u>National Energy System Operator (NESO) - Is the National Energy System Operator for Great Britain to make sure that the country has the essential energy by ensuring supply meets demand.</u>	Editorial Improvement	N
	431	Appendix 10 - Glossary	Addition to glossary - <u>National Health Service (NHS) - Is the term for the publicly funded healthcare systems of the United Kingdom.</u>	Editorial Improvement	N
	431	Appendix 10 - Glossary	Addition to glossary - <u>Primary Health Care (PHC) - Primary health care is the first point of contact for health care for people. It includes GP's, community pharmacists, opticians and dentists.</u>	Editorial Improvement	N

Ref	Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Changes	Reason	For Inspector
	431	Appendix 10 - Glossary	Addition to glossary - Regional Energy Strategic Plan (RESP) - A RESP will enable the coordinated development of the energy system across multiple sectors, to provide confidence in energy systems requirements and to enable network infrastructure investment ahead of need. This will support the energy system's transition to net zero.	Editorial Improvement	N
	457	Appendix 11: Strategic and Non-Strategic Policies	Policy BAN 3: Banbury Inner Relief Road and Hennef Way Policy BAN 4 3 : Development in the Vicinity of Banbury Railway Station Policy BAN 5 4 : Green and Blue Infrastructure in the Banbury Area Policy BAN 6 5 : Horton Hospital Site Policy BAN 7 6 : Banbury Opportunity Areas Policy KID 3: Delivery of Strategic Transport Transport Schemes within the Kidlington Area	Correction of policy wording/numb ering	N
	458	Appendix 11: Strategic and Non-Strategic Policies	Policy RUR 1: Rural Areas Housing Strategy	Correction of policy wording	Y
	456-458	Appendix 11: Strategic and Non-Strategic Policies	All policies reviewed for strategic-non_strategic status	Correction	Y
	-	Policies Map	Improvements to the legibility of proposals and base map	Improvement	Y
	-	Policies Map	Removal of Hudson Street, Bicester Local Green Space designation	Consequential to Policy COM 25	Y
	-	Plan-wide	Minor editorial improvements and corrections to be undertaken following the CLPR Examination: - Consistent referencing of the Bicester South East Peripheral Road throughout the Plan and Cherwell's supporting evidence. - Update referencing of Landford Meadows and Bicester fields recently renamed as Langford Park	Improvement	N