

Parish Council/Meeting Name	Comments received	Analysis of Democratic and Elections Team	Working Group comments	Recommendation to Council?
<a href="#">Adderbury Parish Council</a>	Request to increase number of Parish Councillors from 11 to 14, to improve expertise and knowledge base.	Officers feel that an increase to 14 is too high, and would suggest 12 instead.	Members agree with officers that an increase to 14 would be too high, and would lead to repeated co-options. Members feel consultation on an increase to 12 would be more appropriate	Consult on increase to 12
<a href="#">Ambrosden Parish Council</a>	Submitted a map showing an area that they would like to request be transferred into the Parish.	Officers agree with this proposal for consultation	Members agree with this proposal for consultation	Consult on Incorporating the area highlighted into the Parish
<a href="#">Ardley with Fewcott Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Ardcott Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Banbury Town Council</a>	Amendments to be made to incorporate areas of planned housing growth at Bankside; west of Bretch Hill and north of Hanwell Fields, and also the historic Wykham Farm within the town boundary, in the interests of convenient and effective local government and to reflect the identities and interests of the community, and it seeks to correctly name the Parish Ward of Grimsbury and Castle under the review.	Officers agree with all aspects of this proposal for consultation	Members agree with all aspects of this proposal for consultation.	Consult on all suggestions received, i.e inclusion of development outside of the existing Banbury Town Council boundary.
<a href="#">Barford St John and Barford St Michael Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Begbroke Parish Council</a>	At the time of initial letter (April 2012) the PC considered that the status quo should be maintained. Further response received in January 2013 - suggestion received that, as a parish in it's own right, should be included in the name of the current electoral division which is Yarnton Gosford and Water Eaton, e.g. Yarnton, Begbroke, Gosford and Water Eaton.	This query is outside the remit of this particular Review, and needs to be referred to the Boundary Commission as part of the District Review.	Members agree with officer comments.	No action required at this time.
<a href="#">Bicester Town Council</a>	Make a number of suggestions 1. a map was enclosed with the response with an indicative revised boundary 2. the incorporation of the Eco town in to the Town Council area; 3. the SW Bicester, Kingsmere site into Bicester from Chesterton; 4. the Graven Hill site into Bicester; 5. support for the site at RAF Bicester to be developed as a heritage centre; 6. Views on the scope of the revised boundaries are in line with a number of strategic documents already in place; 7. the increased area as outlined should comprise 9 Wards of 3 - 5 elected members each, resulting in a total of 27 - 36 members in total; 8. but prior to 2015 the representation to be increased to 20 members across the 5 existing wards.	1. Officers feel that this is an aspirational outlook and not appropriate at the current time. 2. Officers agree with this element for consultation. 3. Officers also agree with this element for consultation. 4 & 5. Officers feel discussion with the Working Group is necessary for these elements. 6 & 7 Officers feel it is too early for these elements 8. Officers agree with this aspect to the response. In addition, officers feel it would be appropriate to consult on an increase in numbers from 15 to 20, and also from 15 to 25.	Members agree that the Eco Town should be consulted on as part of the Town Council area, as well as the SW Bicester/Kingsmere site. Members feel that Graven Hill should be left out of the consultations at present time. Members agree that it is too early to look at revised boundaries as per the submitted plan. Members agree with the proposal to consult on increasing numbers from 15 to 20.	Consult on Eco Town being included in the Parish, and increasing numbers from 15 to 20
<a href="#">Blackthorn Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Bletchington Parish Council</a>				

<a href="#">Bloxham Parish Council</a>	Request to increase number of Parish Councillors from 11 to 14 to ease workload on existing Councillors with large planning applications.	Officers feel that an increase to 14 is too high, and would suggest 13 instead	Members agree with officers that an increase to 14 would be too high, and would lead to repeated co-options. Members feel consultation on an increase to 12 would be more appropriate	Consult on increase to 12
<a href="#">Bodicote Parish Council</a>	Concerns about the potential lose of land to the Parish currently earmarked for the Bankside development. Feel that it would be better to wait until development has started before making a decision on warding.	Warding of the Parish has already taken place and is outside of the control of this review. The principle of the inclusion of Bankside within Banbury has already been established and will be consulted on.	Members agree that the consultation should include the principle of Bankside within Banbury.	Consult on principle of Bankside being included within Banbury
<a href="#">Bourton Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Broughton Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Bucknell Parish Council</a>	Would like the Eco Town to be considered a Ward of Bicester Town Council and not become part of any existing Parish Council. Bucknell Parish Council should keep its current remit.	Officers agree that the Eco Town should be considered as part of Bicester Town Council.	Members agree that the Eco Town should be consulted on as part of Bicester Town Council.	Consult on principle of Eco Town being included within Bicester
<a href="#">Caversfield Parish Council</a>	At the time of initial letter (April 2012) the PC expressed their view that it was extremely important for them to retain their own identity, particularly due to the gaining of residents when 'the Garden Quarter' is completed. They do not want to merge with another parish, or for the Eco Town to become part of their parish.	Officers agree and do not propose any changes to this Parish.		
<a href="#">Charlton-on-Otmoor Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Cherwell Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Chesterton Parish Council</a>	Would like clarification on when the warding of the parish is to take place; the line of the demarcation; reconsideration of the number of Parish Councillors due to increasing numbers of residents; possible creation of a Little Chesterton ward.	<b>i)</b> - elections would take place in 2014 due to the proposed changes <b>ii)</b> officers support the line of demarcation being Vendee Drive <b>iii)</b> officers note that the Parish currently has 6 Councillors, and agree this should be increased to 7 <b>iv)</b> Warding won't be necessary as changes elsewhere will remove the issues.	Members agree that the line of demarcation should be Vendee Drive, and that the Parish should be increased from 6 to 7.	Consult on dividing line being Vendee Drive, and increasing numbers from 6 to 7.
<a href="#">Claydon with Clattercote Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Cottisford Parish Meeting</a>				
<a href="#">Croprey Parish Council</a>	Councillor Atack currently dealing with Prescote Parish meeting transferring to Croprey Parish Council.	Officers support the action currently being taken, and propose to consult at the relevant time on whether the new Parish should still be called Croprey, or be renamed Croprey and Prescote.	Members note the action being taken and agree to consult on the name of the Parish Council at the appropriate time. Since the working group meeting, Councillor Atack has advised that Prescote Parish Meeting wish to continue in their own right.	No action required at this time.

<a href="#">Deddington Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Drayton Parish Council</a>	In connection with the proposed planning applications for the Parish but which would be extensions of Banbury, the Parish Council would like to see the sites being moved in the township of Banbury. Boundaries would be tightly drawn around the sites, the parish would expect to retain Drayton Lodge Farm house, the golf course and associated houses. Would also expect to retain Withycombe Farmhouse and adjoining land. It would regret losing the houses and bungalow east of the Warwick Road but see the road as a natural boundary.	Officers agree with this proposal for consultation	Members agree with this proposal for consultation	Consult on principle of moving sites into Banbury Town Council area.
<a href="#">Duns Tew Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Epwell Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Fencott and Murcott Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Finmere Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Fringford Parish Council</a>	No comments to add to the Review			
<a href="#">Fritwell Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Godington Parish Meeting</a>	Would like to remain as a separate Parish Meeting and not be grouped with any other parish.	Officers note the response and don't propose any changes that affect this Parish meeting.	Members note the response and agree with officers comments.	No action required at this time.
<a href="#">Gosford and Water Eaton Parish Council</a>	At the time of the initial letter (April 2012) the PC requested to be kept informed of all considerations for the review. They also asked about the future role of small parish councils that are 'constantly being regulated and ruled over by government directives'	Officers note the response but this is not in the remit of the current Review.	Members note the response and agree with officers comments.	No action required at this time.
<a href="#">Hampton Gay and Poyle Parish Meeting</a>				
<a href="#">Hanwell Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Hardwick with Tusmore Parish Meeting</a>				
<a href="#">Hethe Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Hook Norton Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Horley Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Hornton Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Horton-cum-Studley Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Islip Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Kidlington Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Kirtlington Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Launton Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Lower Heyford Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Merton Parish Council</a>	Would like to add to the key questions - how might people be encouraged to become Councillors	Officers note the response but this is not in the remit of the current Review. This particular query needs to be redirected to Government.	Members note the response and agree with officers comments.	No action required at this time.
<a href="#">Middle Aston Parish Meeting</a>				

<a href="#">Middleton Stoney Parish Council</a>	At time of initial letter re review (April 2012), the PC indicated they would like to increase their number of parish councillors from 5 to 7	Officers agree with this proposal for consultation.	Members agree with this proposal for consultation	Consult on principle of increasing to 7.
<a href="#">Milcombe Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Milton Parish Meeting</a>				
<a href="#">Mixbury Parish Meeting</a>				
<a href="#">Mollington Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Newton Purcell with Shelswell Parish Meeting</a>				
<a href="#">Noke Parish Meeting</a>				
<a href="#">North Aston Parish Meeting</a>				
<a href="#">North Newington Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Oddington Parish Meeting</a>				
<a href="#">Piddington Parish Council</a>	Contacted team in early 2012 to say they wanted to increase their numbers. Were advised by Catherine Phythian of impending review, so should be picked up as part of this process.	Officers agree with this proposal and will consult on increasing from 5 to 7 Councillors	Members agree with the proposal of consulting on an increase from 5 to 7 Councillors	Consult on principle of increasing to 7.
<a href="#">Prescote Parish Meeting</a>	Councillor Atack currently dealing with Parish meeting transferring to Cropredy Parish Council.	Officers support the action currently being taken, and propose to consult at the relevant time on whether the new Parish should still be called Cropredy, or be renamed Cropredy and Prescote.	Members note the action being taken and agree to consult on the name of the Parish Council at the appropriate time. Since the working group meeting, Councillor Atack has advised that Prescote Parish Meeting wish to continue in their own right.	No action required at this time.
<a href="#">Shenington with Alkerton Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Shipton-on-Cherwell and Thrupp Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Shutford Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Sibford Ferris Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Sibford Gower Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Somerton Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Souldern Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">South Newington Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Steeple Aston Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Stoke Lyne Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Stratton Audley Parish Council</a>	No comments to add to the Review			
<a href="#">Swalcliffe Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Tadmarton Parish Council</a>				

<a href="#">Upper Heyford Parish Council</a>	At the time of initial letter (April 2012) District Cllr Macnamara raised the issue of the Former RAF base at Upper Heyford and possible separation from the village of Upper Heyford, or at the very least warding. Further response received in January 2013, long term organisation of the Parish is for it to be split into two parishes; Heyford Park to consist of area currently owned and managed by Dorchester Group, the mobile home park to the east of Heyford Park and the housing at Heyford Grange; other parish to consist of the village of Upper Heyford and the remaining rural areas of the current parish and continue to be referred to as Upper Heyford Parish.	Officers feel that at the present time it would be more appropriate to Ward the Parish rather than split it totally. The recommendation for consultation would be two Wards, one with 5 Councillors and the other with 3.	Members agree that Warding would be the best way forward at the present time, and request that the financial implications of splitting the Parish be included in the final report to illustrate the disadvantages to both areas.	Consult on principle of Warding the Parish.
<a href="#">Wardington Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Wendlebury Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Weston-on-the-Green Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Wigginton Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Wroxton Parish Council</a>				
<a href="#">Yarnton Parish Council</a>				